

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

| Installation Address: | Associated British Ports The Quays and Jetties of Immingham Dock Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LZ | |
|---|---|---------|
| Contact: | Steve williams | |
| Permit Ref: | EP/20090003 | |
| Date of Varied Permit: | | |
| Permitted activity: | Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke | |
| Guidance Note: | PG3/5 (04) | |
| Date of Visit: | 10/09/14 | |
| Report Reference: | SS/C/1 | |
| Condition number: | watched intoad of vessel ONEGO 1 | MARINER |
| 1 No visible dust beyond site | Compliant. unloading via | |
| boundary? | hoppers and dust boss in use. | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 Visual monitoring at 4 hourly intervals? Time/date/result recorded? | Swallows handling product on ABP behalf. log book completed. | |
| 4 Operator monitors stockpiles and vehicle routes on continuous basis? | Yes | |
| 5 24 hour monitoring arrangement in place? | Yes | |
| 6 Wind speed and direction recorded? | Yes recorded at HIT. | |
| 7 Machinery examined for build up of dusty material? | Yes' | |
| 8 Escape of dust procedure | Crane driver procedure | |
| 9 Site log | | |

| 10 | - |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 11 Particulate monitoring | |
| 12 House keeping | avery and roadway cleared |
| 13 No product loaded directly | , |
| to quayside unless meet | Yes. |
| Cond 14 | |
| 14 Products permitted to load to | |
| quayside | |
| 15 Storage Bund | |
| 16 Temporary stockpiles | |
| 17 Storage bund suppression | Yes water spray/sprinkler |
| techniques | Yes water spray/sprinter system in use within storage bind. |
| 18 Un-used stocking areas | Yes Quay desired at end |
| cleaned? | Yes, away deared at end of shift and roadsweaper used. |
| 19 Temp stockpiles maintained | Yes |
| suitable damp? | |
| 20 Roadways | Roadsucep |
| 21 Vehicles not overfilled? | compliant |
| 22 Wheel wash used? | Yes |
| Vehicles Sheeted | Yes - in haulage contract |
| Grab handling | Grab kept low when unloading to happen |
| Training | checked records component. |
| Maintenance | Checked wheel wash, Fore 14. |
| | * Sprinker system on storage boy revoly installed. To be included within manyerance |

installed. To be included within maintenance programme.

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

| APRR Risk Rating Category | Possible Scores | Score Awarded | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| (A) Category 1 | 10 | | |
| (B) Category 2 | 20 | 20 | |
| (C) Category 3 | 30 | | |

| Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| Status of Upgrading | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
| (A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached | 5 | 0 |
| (B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed | 10 | 0 |
| (C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements | 0 | 0 |
| (D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements | -10 | G |

| Component 3 - Sensitivity | and Proximity | of Receptors (| circle appropriate |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| score) | | | |

| Sensit | ivity of Rec | eptors |
|-------------|-------------------|---|
| (x) High | (y) Medium | (z) Low |
| 20 | 12 | 5 |
| 12 | 10 | 3 |
| - 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | (x) High 20 | High Medium 20 12 12 10 |

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

| | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| (A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor | 10 | 10 |
| (B) No such air pollution problems | 0 | |

| Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal | Range 0 to 70 | 42 |
|--|------------------|----|
|--|------------------|----|

Operator Performance Appraisal

| Component 5 - Compliance Assessment | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review) | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition | 0 points | 0 |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint* | 5 per incident | 0 |
| (C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18) | 10 per breach | 0 |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution | 15 per incident | 0 |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice | 20 per incident | 0 |
| Total | (Max. 50) | 0 |

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

| Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M Records | onitor | ing, N | /lainte | nance and |
|---|------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| | 50 | ossib Score | | Score Awarded |
| Criterion | (x) Yes | (y) No | (z) N/A | |
| (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation? | 0 | 10 | 0 | y o |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | -5 | 0 | 0 | NIAO |
| (C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring? | 0 | 5 | 0 | NIHO |
| (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation? | 0 | 5 | 0 | Yo |
| (E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site? | 0 | 5 | 0 | YO |
| (F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required? | 0 | 5 | 0 | yo |
| Total score | (- | 5 to 3 | 0) | Ö |

* cdd Sprinkler System

| Component 7 - Assessment of Management, | Trainir | ng and | l Resp | onsibility |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | Р | ossib | le | Scores |
| | | Scores | | Awarded |
| Criterion | (x) Yes | (y) No | (z) N/A | |
| (A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 70 |
| (B) Specific responsibilities assigned to | 0 | 5 | 0 | |
| individual staff for these procedures? (C) Completion of individual responsibilities | 0 | 5 | 0 | YO |
| checked and recorded by the company? | | | | YO |
| (D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities? | 0 | 5 | 0 | YO |
| (E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take | 0 | 5 | 0 | YO |
| place? (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place? | -5 | 0 | 0 | NIAO |
| Total | (- | 5 to 2 | 5) | 0 |

| Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal | Range -10 to 105 | 0 |
|--|---------------------|---|
|--|---------------------|---|

| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS | Range -10 to 175 | 42 |
|--|---------------------|-----|
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40 | LOW, MED, HIGH | MED |

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature:

Operator Signature

Date: 10109114.