

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Associated British Ports The Quays and Jetties of Immingham Dock Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LZ
Contact:	
Permit Ref:	EP/20090003
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke
Guidance Note:	PG3/5 (04)
Date of Visit:	14/01/16
Report Reference:	SS/C/1
Condition number: wat	ched local of Wilson toppo.
1 No visible dust beyond site	Not dust during visit.
boundary?	100 out 1. out 1. d. 1. 1.
2	
3 Visual monitoring at 4 hourly	Yes add any remedial
intervals? Time/date/result	Yes add any renedial actions and result.
recorded?	
4 Operator monitors stockpiles	roadsweeper programme.
and vehicle routes on continuous	
basis?	
5 24 hour monitoring	Yes
arrangement in place?	
6 Wind speed and direction	manifored
recorded?	
7 Machinery examined for build	clean at end of day,
up of dusty material?	Quay
8 Escape of dust procedure	* to notify regulator.
9 Site log	0-6.

cule reporting incidents.

10	
11 Particulate monitoring	Upon request.
12 House keeping	The Country of the Co
13 No product loaded directly	Compliant.
to quayside unless meet	
Cond 14	
14 Products permitted to load to	
quayside	0. h.
15 Storage Bund	product stored arrectly within burst.
16 Temporary stockpiles	
17 Storage bund suppression	dust bors and lan trub
techniques	
18 Un-used stocking areas	Yes day or vessel finished
cleaned?	Yes day or vessel finished,
19 Temp stockpiles matintained	
suitable damp?	
20 Roadways	Roadsweeper in use o.k
21 Vehicles not overfilled?	0.2
22 Wheel wash used?	Yes.
Vehicles Sheeted	Contact.
Grab handling	V Tool box belk.
Training	Grab handley bot box talk heights.
Maintenance (	main sour wheat wish by

## **Risk Assessment Score Sheet**

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1	10				
(B) Category 2	20	20			
(C) Category 3	30				

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Roscore)			
	Sensit	ivity of Rec	eptors
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	- 5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	√ 0	0	0

<sup>(</sup>D) > 500m\*

\* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	42
--	---------------	----

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records  Possible Score Scores Awarded					
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Yo	* add di result to
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIA O	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	y O	
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y o	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	NIA O	
Total score	(	5 to 3	0)	0	

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A		
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	YO	sik Superisor to report incidents
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	70	wheelvesh breakdown to
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	YG	Regulator.
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Yo -	-toolbox.
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y6	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0 \	0	N O	
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0	

	_
Range -10 to	
1 105	
	Range -10 to 105

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	42
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY  * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V. Thamps

**Operator Signature** 

Date: 14/01/16.

