

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Associated British Ports The Quays and Jetties of Immingham Dock Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LZ
Contact:	
Permit Ref:	EP/20090003
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke
Guidance Note:	PG3/5 (04)
Date of Visit:	20/03/14
Report Reference:	SS/C/1
Condition number:	
1 No visible dust beyond site boundary?	Compliant - asked for roadsweeper to clear roadway
2	near stockpile - dust from the Chromium (not coal storage).
3 Visual monitoring at 4 hourly intervals? Time/date/result recorded?	Yes - records available
4 Operator monitors stockpiles and vehicle routes on continuous basis?	Yes - Contract with RPM roadsweeper for ongoing clearing programme.
5 24 hour monitoring arrangement in place?	Yes.
6 Wind speed and direction recorded?	Yes.
7 Machinery examined for build up of dusty material?	Yes.
8 Escape of dust procedure	Check Toolbox talks + training records
9 Site log	Yes. ↓ TBT 44

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

10	
11 Particulate monitoring	
12 House keeping	
13 No product loaded directly to quayside unless meet Cond 14	In process of building Storage bund. at Quayside Temporary stockpile at Quayside
14 Products permitted to load to quayside	at present follow request for temp arrangement
15 Storage Bund	
16 Temporary stockpiles	O.K
17 Storage bund suppression techniques	→ not yet complete building works in process.
18 Un-used stocking areas cleaned?	Yes - roadsweeper and dampened down with hose.
19 Temp stockpiles maintained suitable damp?	Yes.
20 Roadways	
21 Vehicles not overfilled?	Yes.
22 Wheel wash used?	Yes.
Vehicles Sheeted	Yes.
Grab handling	Tool box talk - @ down heights reduced.
Training	Training matrix + tool box talks (TBT 44)
Maintenance	Yes - mainstream system used check wheel wash and dust boss checks.

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	
(B) Category 2	20	20
(C) Category 3	30	

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NA 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NA 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	NA 0
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	○
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	○
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	○
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	○

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

Proximity to Emission Source	Sensitivity of Receptors		
	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

** All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.*

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	42
---	----------------------	-----------

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N 0
Total	(-5 to 25)			0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
---	-------------------------	---

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	42
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW MED

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V. Wray

Operator Signature: S. Wray

Date: 20/03/14

