

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Simon Storage Ltd Immingham Storage Company Ltd Immingham East Terminal Immingham Dock North East Lincolnshire DN40 2QW
Contact:	Phil Dyson
Permit Ref:	EP/200200044
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Bulk storage of chemicals
Guidance Note:	
Date of Visit:	04/11/140
Report Reference:	ISE1
Condition number:	
1.1 No emission of acrylonitrile to air	Compliant
other than from tank pressure	
vacuum valves?	
1.2 Any release other than pressure	0.K
release system shall require investigation	
and notification.?	
1.3 No offensive odours beyond the site	compliant - no offersive
boundary?	odours during vivit.
1.4 Maximum estimated annual release	7
still correct on permit?	
1.5 Max emission for each tank correct?	
2.1 Correct tanks?	Yes.
2.2 Storage facility equipped with	
mechanical seals and high temperature protection?	
2.3 Storage tanks painted with reflective colour?	Yes.

2.4 Storage tanks equipped with:	Yas Glaskad Fridenses
Roof mounted PRV	Yes checked maintrance all compliant.
Flame detonation trap in the vapour lines	
Level gauging and remote high level	
alarms. Deluge facilities for the cooling	
the tank	
2.5 Integrity of bunding maintained?	Yes.
2.6 Dedicated vapour return line	
2.7 Road transfer station serving 700	Yes compliant.
series tanks. Concrete surface &	
suitable drainage via interceptor.	
2.8 Rail loading	The state of the s
2.9 Factory pipeline equipment	not in use.
2.10 Drum storage area have paved	
surface with closed drainage system?	
3.1 Ship imports and exports	
3.2 Road imports	
3.3 Drum imports	
3.4 Road exports	
3.5 Rail export	
3.6 Pipeline export	0. k.
3.7 Drum exports	
4.1 Monitoring	
4.2 Site log	compliant.
4.3 Records kept 2 years	Compliant.
4.4 Emergency telephone number	
provided to NELC	
4.5	
4.6 Flame detonation trap	completed. 22.10.15
pressure/vacuum valves checked every	completed. 22.10.15.
6 months and records kept on findings	
4.7 Storage filling tanks monitored	Yes.
via level gauges and have high level	
alarm?	
4.8 Emission assessment daily	
at road loading location. Weekly at bund	

walls at 500 and 700 tank locations –	Check sheets available.
recorded?	
4.9 Export pipeline examined once a	not in use.
month?	
4.10 Breakdowns regulator notified?	
5.1 Inspection of storage tanks,	inspections compreted and
pipeline, hoses and abatement	recorded.
equipment. Records?	
6.1	
6.2	
6.3	
6.4	The state of the s
7.1 Air Quality	
7.2 Benzene objectives	
7.3 Management techniques/	Yes.
breakdowns	/03
7.4 Appropriate management system?	
7.5 Training?	Yes.
7.6 Planned preventative maintenance programme?	Yes.
00	

Mainterance checks bronthly - completed
La flame detanction traps?
Vo puvs 6 monthly? SGS externel contractor.
Ve Integrity of bunding checks - completed 12/08/15
Ve Integrity of bunding checks completed 12/08/15 V. Tanks. (Checked 502) all schefectory external completed due May 16. Export pipeline - decommissioned.
Ve Export pipeline - decommissioned.
To populare - last visual ochalin
(502 export line checked). Visual, incl bonding
due Cabib

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmenta APRR Risk Rating Category	al Impact Potential Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10	
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		rithmaning by the
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	
Requirements		

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)				
	Sensit	ivity of Rece	eptors	
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary	20	12	5	
designated a SSSI				
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	52
Appraisa	"	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0			
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0			
Total	(Max. 50)	O			

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

		ossib Score	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	уо
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIN O
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	MIAO
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	уб
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	N/A O
Total score	(-5 to 30)		9.	

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainii	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Уб
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	YO
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	у о.
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	y 6
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	YO
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0 4 1 4
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	Ο.

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0.
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	52.
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED.

Officer: V. Thompson.

Officer Signature: VICKY THOMPSON.

Operator Signature AnClash

Date: 04/11/15.