

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

| Installation Address: | Ultimate Packaging Ltd Pegasus Way, Europarc Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN37 9TS |
|--|---|
| Contact: | Ian Turner |
| Permit Ref: | EP/20020062 |
| Date of Varied Permit: | |
| Permitted activity: | Printing of flexible packaging |
| Guidance Note: | |
| Date of Visit: | 06.10.15 |
| Report Reference: | |
| Condition number: | |
| Table 4 non VOC emissions: | a Continuous monitoring for temperature |
| Continuous Monitor CO (from | alam. Contractor for problems. |
| oxidation plant) | |
| Annual CO and NOx levels from | * Dela Grandel |
| turbines, reciprocating engines | test. To forward copy once report received. |
| or boilers used as VOC | report received. |
| abatement | |
| Table 5 VOC emissions | waiting for report. |
| Annual VOC expressed as Total | |
| Carbon excluding particulate | |
| matter | |
| Is oil fired thermal oxidiser | |
| used? | |
| Certificate of fuel content? | |
| Solvent Reduction Scheme used? | |
| 5.15 nformed NELC of extraction | Notified on date of extractive |
| monitoring/ forward results? | Notified on date of extractive test. Rea waiting on results. |
| 5.16 Any visible emission or offensive | A.) |
| odour beyond site boundary? | No |
| 5.17 Abnormal events procedure? | Yes. |

| SED Box 7 | |
|---|--|
| Non compliance causing immediate | |
| danger (procedure) | |
| 5.18 Precaution procedure during | O.K. |
| start up and shut down to minimise | |
| emissions? | |
| 5.19 Continuous Monitor | 6 monthly service on themal combustion plant. 10st July 2015 |
| service/maintenance, alarms | last July 2015 |
| checked and levels calibrated | 323 |
| 5.22 Activation alarms and display | Yes. |
| units for continuous monitoring | |
| results (Total Carbon or | |
| temperature as surrogate) | |
| Correct testing standards/ criteria met | Yes |
| for extractive and cont monitoring | 163 |
| used? | |
| Calibration certificate | 04/02/15 EPM. |
| Suitable storage and handling of | |
| solvents and materials containing | |
| solvents? | The state of the s |
| Bulk storage tanks | Yes |
| Delivery connections within bund | |
| area. Tanks fitted with high level | The state of the s |
| alarm? | ~ |
| Mixing areas | O.K. |
| Waste Control | O.K. |
| Dust and spillage | |
| Cleaning methods | Good house keeping. |
| Dispersion and Dilution from stacks | |
| Management Techniques | |
| Maintenance | maintenance programme |
| training | checked written procedure for spilleger. |
| | 9 |

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

| Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| APRR Risk Rating Category | Possible Scores | Score Awarded | | |
| (A) Category 1 | 10 | | | |
| (B) Category 2 | 20 | 20 | | |
| (C) Category 3 | 30 | | | |

| Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Status of Upgrading | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
| (A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note | 5 | |
| deadline has yet to be reached | | |
| (B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note | 10 | |
| deadline has passed | | |
| (C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC | 0 | 0 |
| Requirements | | |
| (D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC | -10 | |
| Requirements | | |

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

| 9.5.7 | Sensit | ivity of Rec | eptors |
|---|-------------|---------------|------------|
| Proximity to Emission Source | (x) High | (y) Medium | (z) Low |
| (A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI | 20 | 12 | 5 |
| (B) 100 - 250m* | 12 | 10 | 3 |
| (C) 250 - 500m* | . 5 | 3 | 1 |
| (D) > 500m* | 0 | 0 | 0 |

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

| Component 4 - Other Targets | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | Possible Scores | Score Awarded | | |
| (A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor | 10 | | | |
| (B) No such air pollution problems | 0 | 0 | | |

| Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal | Range 0 to 70 | 32 |
|--|---------------|----|
| 5 | | |

Operator Performance Appraisal

| Component 5 - Compliance Assessment | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month | Possible | Score | | | |
| period prior to review) | Scores | Awarded | | | |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no | 0 points | | | | |
| breach of specific authorisation condition or of | | | | | |
| general/residual BATNEEC condition | | O | | | |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint* | 5 per | | | | |
| | incident | 0 | | | |
| (C) Breach of authorisation not leading to | 10 per | | | | |
| formal action (Updated by AQ 18) | breach | 0 | | | |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, | 15 per | | | | |
| Enforcement Notice or prosecution | incident | 0 | | | |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice | 20 per | | | | |
| , , | incident | O | | | |
| Total | (Max. 50) | 0 | | | |

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

| | 8 . | Possible Scores | | Score Awarded |
|---|------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|
| Criterion | (x) Yes | (y) No | (z) N/A | |
| (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation? | 0 | 10 | 0 | y o |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | -5 | 0 | 0 | NINO |
| (C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring? | 0 | 5 | 0 | NIA U |
| (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation? | 0 | 5 | 0 | y 0 |
| (E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site? | 0 | 5 | 0 | γΟ |
| (F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required? | 0 | 5 | 0 | Y 0 |
| Total score | (- | 5 to 3 | 0) | 0. |

* forward.

| Component 7 - Assessment of Management, | Trainii | ng and | d Res | onsibility |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| | Possible Scores | | Scores Awarded | |
| Criterion | (x) Yes | (y) No | (z) N/A | |
| (A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation? | 0 | 5 | 0 | Y 0 |
| (B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures? | 0 | 5 | 0 | YO |
| (C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company? | 0 | 5 | 0 | y O |
| (D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities? | 0 | 5 | 0 | y 0 |
| (E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place? | 0 | 5 | 0 | YO |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place? | -5 | 0 | ₹ 0 | y -5 |
| Total | (- | 5 to 2 | 5) | -5 |

15014001.

| Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal | | -5. |
|--|-----|-----|
| | 105 | |

| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS | Range -10 to 175 | 27 |
|--|---------------------|------|
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40 | LOW, MED, HIGH | rom. |

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON.

Officer Signature: V. Then

Operator Signature

Date: 06.10.15