

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

	Installation Address:	Ci W Gi	orth East Lincolnshire Council rematorium leelsby Avenue rimsby orth East Lincolnshire N32 7AU			
	Contact:	Lis	sa Logan			
	Permit Ref:	20	00200091/V3			
	Date of Varied Permit:					
	Permitted activity:	Crematorium				
	Guidance Note:	P	G5/2 (04)			
	Date of Visit:		0.01.17			
	Report Reference:	erence: NEC1				
	Condition number:					
0	5.1 Reference Cond 273K,		Last annual extraction test completed on 02.01.16.			
	101.3kPa?.		Operator provided report to LA within compliant time			
	See Table 2		Frame. Compliant reference conditions used.			
	HCL annual extractive (200mg/m3)		HCL = 7.7mg/m ³ compliant			
	TPM Cont + Annual extract		TPM extract = 4.0mg/m ³ compliant Cont o.k.			
	CO Cont + Annual extract		$CO = 3.3 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ compliant}$			
	Organic comp annual		VOC = 2.3 mg/m ³ compliant			
8	Temperature & Oxygen continuous		mercury = 0.0041 nsln3 complicat			
	Alarm activations recorded?	enole	Yes.			
	5.3 Notify NELC 7 days before		Yes.			
	testing and forward results?					
1.	5.4 Visual and odour check		Yes recorded within log			
	recorded at least once a day during		book.			
	operation? Any Vis emissions/odou	r?				
	5.5 Abnormal events recorded? Mattinches / breakdown leading to abnormal emissions do they have procedure.		Yes recorded in daily record log-			
	5.7 Cont monitor – calibrated/					

Questions

TPM Conditions
continuous
indicative limit
is 80mg/m³
eve over anter
for 95% of
cremations

co continuous is roomg/m³ are over an hr for 95% of cremations.

Visual alarm. when temp drops below 1123K

fesidence time confirmed

continuous are 61. minimum 31. (achivatealorn?)

activation alarm recorded?	
Continuous readings on display to	-Yes
trained staff?	
Activation of alarms automatically	- Yes.
recorded?	
5.8	
5.9 Monthly/4 weekly reports	Yes.
available?	
5.13	
5.14	Informative
5.15	Informative
5.16 Annual extraction meets BS	BS EN 13284-1
ISO 9096?	Used for tpm - ok
5.17 N/A	Mercury abatement installed
5.18 N/A	
5.19 Informative	
6.32 Training	0·le
6.33 Maintenance	Yes facultieve carry out 3 months
Programme?	
Maintenance checks	Yes dainy checks recorded.
recorded?	ū
Cleaning schedule?	Automated cleaning. System.
7.2 Sent by the 1st April 2011 the	
certificate from Cameo organisation	
number of cremations in past 12	
The state of the s	I I

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10	30		
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10	
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	
Requirements	/	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

01,	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m* School.	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets					
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	0			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0				

Total Score for Environmental Impact	Range 0 to	42
Appraisal	70	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment						
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Score					
period prior to review)	Scores	Awarded				
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points					
breach of specific authorisation condition or of	85					
general/residual BATNEEC condition		0				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per					
	incident	0				
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per					
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	0				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per					
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	0				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per					
	incident	0				
Total	(Max. 50)					
		0				

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M Records	onitor	ing, N	/lainte	nance and
85	70	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	уО
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIAO
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	ΥO
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	уО
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainii	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
		ossib Score	Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	УО
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	уО
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	у О
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	2
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	42
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V. Thompson

Operator Signature

Date: 10-101/17.