

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Brianplant (Humberside) Ltd Estate Road 2 South Humberside Industrial Estate Grimsby North East Lincolnshire
	DN31 2TG
Contact:	Clive Thornley.
Permit Ref:	EP/200300104
Date of Varied Permit:	Annual Section of the
Permitted activity:	Mobile crushing & screening
Guidance Note:	
Date of Visit:	12/07/16
Report Reference:	BPI
Condition number:	Security 1
1 Visual assessment recorded 3 x	Compliant recorded within
times a day? Time/location/result?	En log.
2 Env log of recorded Visual	Compliant.
Assessments?	Compila.
3 Visual escape – prompt	procedure compliant
action/cease operation?	
4	
5 Notification to LA in event of	0. L
escape?	
6 adequate supply of water	.,
available?	Yes
7 Stockpiles Unprocessed	
	Compliant
material dampened down?	
8 Stockpiles /storage bays Not	Compliant.
project above bay?	Complicat.
9 Drop heights minimised?	Company ,
10 Vehicles sheeted leaving and	Yes.
entering site?	
11 LA notification of movement?	NELC notified but also need to notify regulator of area mount into

12		
13 Staff training	Tool box talk June 2014 operational procedure Em	impach
14 Maintenance	Wall planner in place for service.	
15 Spares		

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Remove not applicable.

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10	/ 10		
(B) Category 2	20 /			
(C) Category 3	30	io trafficost		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading	1	
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	American programme for the
deadline has yet to be reached		为200米 为300 And
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note/	10	a marriage,
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	CARL PRODUCTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
Requirements		1970年1981年1987年1

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

Sensitivity of Receptor			eptors
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets	多海绵绵绵	多点的图象
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local	10	
area to which process is a potential contributor		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	22 -

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment	· 测量效率等	
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records **Possible** Score Scores **Awarded** Criterion (x) **(y)** (z) Yes N/A No All monitoring undertaken to the degree 10 0 Y O required in the authorisation? (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because -5 0 results over time show consistent compliance? NIAO (C) Process operation modified where any 0 5 0 problems indicated by monitoring? NH O (D) Fully documented and adhered to 0 5 0 maintenance programme, in line with authorisation? (E) Full documented records as required in 0 5 0

authorisation available on-site?				
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	Y 0
Total score	(-	(-5 to 30)		0

crushes to manton

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Traini	ng an	d Res	onsibility
	Possible			Scores
		Scores		Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Yo
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	y o
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	¥ 0	5	0	y o
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	YO
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	20
Total	(-:	5 to 2	5)	0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
--	---------------------	---

Now reduced fee activity	Range -10 to 175	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40=80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

760

30-60

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature:

Operator Signature