

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Hargreaves Industrial Services Ltd Junction of Robinson Road and Queens Rd Immingham Dock North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LZ
Contact:	Trevor Holland
Permit Ref:	EP/201100009/V2
Date of Varied Permit:	08/10/14
Permitted activity:	Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke
Guidance Note:	PG3/5 (04)
Date of Visit:	13/09/16
Report Reference:	
Condition number:	
1 Any visible emissions beyond site boundary?	No visible emissions during visit
2 Operator prevents release of particulate emissions?	Yes
3 Pro active alert scale used and visual assessments recorded daily? (time/result/location)	Yes assessments recorded in site log.
4 Machinery kept clean and examined for build up of dusty material?	Yes.
5 Vehicle routes and stockpiles monitored and cover provided for this 24/7?	Yes roadsweep + bowses available
6 In event of breakdown/escape is an investigation and corrective action undertaken?	Yes
7. Site log available and weather forecasts recorded?	Yes.
8. seven day pro active code and environmental action board	Yes
9 Records kept for 2 years	*
10. wheel wash working .	Yes
11 vehicles using wheel wash?	Yes + signage.

#### **Risk Assessment Score Sheet**

#### **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1	10				
(B) Category 2	20	20			
(C) Category 3	30				

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	. 0
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	.0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component :	3 - Sensitivit	y and Proxim	ity of Recep	itors (circle	appropriate
score)	de la companya di sa	and the second section in the second	Parameter of the co	rain and history	

	Sensit	ivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

) to
32

40.81	
12 Alternative wheel wash used	
in case of failure	
13	
14 Exhaust emissions on mobile	
plant directed upwards	
15 Vehicles not concerned with	
product handling or maintenance	
no access to working areas?	The state of the s
16 On site speed limit 10mph	,
To On site speed limit Tompin	
17 Vehicles sheeted?	
Tr Vollidioo Salected.	Yes + signage.
18 Checks for damage to	
vehicles that could result in	
The contract of the contract o	Yes
spillage?	/2
19	
20 Suitable perimeter fencing?	
20 Sanable permitter fortung:	Yes
21 Stocking areas remain	
sufficiently damp	Yes
22 Sufficient water available?	
22 Sufficient Water available:	Yes.
23 Any Screening on site?	3
Adequate supply of water?	No
24 loading height below 3.5	
meters?	
25 Stockpiles	
23 Stockpiles	
26 Stockpiles progressively	
compacted and profiled?	Tes
27 No overhanging faces or	
	can dia ti
ridges?	Compliant.
28 Particulate monitoring	Stick Giness on sile
20 Ctestade tess	sticky grages on site
29 Stockpile temperatures	
monitored weekly	
<b>30</b> Polymer used?	No. C
	Yes.
31 Training	Vas
00 11/1	Yes.
32 Written maintenance	Vas
programme available?	167.
***************************************	

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	. ,
Total	(Max. 50)	0

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

		ossib Score	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y o
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<b>-</b> 5	0	0	NIN O
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	УО
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	УО
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	- 5	0	УО
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Traini	ng an	d Res	ponsibility	
•	Possible Scores			Scores	
				Awarded	
Criterion	(x)	(y)	(z)		

	Yes	No	N/A	- 783
(A) Documented procedures in place for	0	5	0	
implementing all aspects of the authorisation?				Yo
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to	0	5	0	
individual staff for these procedures?				YO
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities	0	5	0	
checked and recorded by the company?		, p <sup>(2)</sup>		y O
(D) Documented training records for all staff	0	5	0	
with air pollution control responsibilities?				YO
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods	0	5	0	
where potentially air-polluting activities take				
place?				YO
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental	-5	0	0	
management system in place?			100 100	NO
Total	(-5 to 25)		0	

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY  * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED,	Low

Officer Signature: V. Thompson
Operator Signature

Date: 13/09/16