

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Immingham storage Co Ltd West Terminal West Riverside Immingham Docks DN40 2QU
Contact:	David Simmester
Permit Ref:	EP/200200043
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Petrol Vapour Recovery
Guidance Note:	PG1/13
Date of Visit:	17.06.13
Report Reference:	ISW2
Condition number:	
Table 2 Sonsim?	test completed 17th Oct 2012.
TOC Hourly ave below 35 mas? At	result Ave TOC = 1.465 Ng/m3
least every 3 years?	Compliant.
5.2 Notification	
5.3 Notification	
5.4 Notification	
5.5 Notification	
5.6 Ref Cond:	
273K, 101.3kPa , without	
correction for water vapour?	
5.7 Monitoring reports and	
investigations available?	
5.8 Regulator informed of	V
monitoring carried out?	Yes.
5.9 Abnormal Events	KPI - incidents logged + investigates
5.10 Notification	
5.11 External Walls and roof of	

tanks painted in colours with total	
radiant heat or light reflectance of	\/
70% or more?	Yes,
<b>5.12</b> Ranks with external floating	
	Yes.
roofs equipped with a primary seal.	
5213	Daily Checks Completed
5.14	
5.15	
5.16	
5.17	
5.18 Notification	
5.19 Notification	
5.20	
5.21	
	A Company
5.22	
5.23 Mobile containers – annual	Dan Teo check annually.
visual examination of bellows and	Dan Tec. check annually. certificates available.
flexible hoses used to connect mobile	
containers to vapour collection	
pipework for integrity.	American de la companya de la compan
5.24 Leaks in vapour collection	- checked oil pollution preparedness & response procedure:
system at a gantry – operating procedure including provision for	Signage Suitable and Induction
detection of leaks, reporting and shut	Programme checked.
down procedure?	
5.25 Written procedure to instruct	Shut down Procedure + signage.
loading personnel to keep the loading	Yes and Induction DVD. Test to be completed by steff.
arm as near to the bottom of the	lest to be completed by Staff.
mobile container as possible during	
loading?	
5.26 Informative	
5.27	
5.28	
5.29 Liquid-loading rate shall	o.k.
be 2,300 litres per minute per	
loading arm?	
5.30	

<b>5.31</b> Is the <b>loading gantry</b> equipped with an <b>overfill-</b>	Yes.
detection control unit?	
5.32	VRU procedure and rowhine checks
5.33 VRU service records	
5.34 Emergency Sprilled	Plan - procedure check +
5.35	Spill kit and Unit available
5.36	
5.37	
5.38	
5.39	and the second s
5.40	
6.1 BAT	Yes.
Petrol Storage – good seals?	The state of the s
Loading tanks connect to vapour	
recovery unit?	
6.2 Air Quality	
6.3 Air Quality	
6.4 management. Spares?	American Commission of the Com
6.5 EMS/IS014001?	
6.6 Training	Viewed Induction programme.
6.7 Regulator informed of	VRU- EII programme on PPM system. service report from laplants to found
maintenance made on vapour	Checked - Aug 2012 - O.K.
recovery unit and recorded?	1109 2512

### **Risk Assessment Score Sheet**

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30	30		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached	( )	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10	
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	
Requirements		

Component 3	- Sensitivity	and Proximity	of Receptors	(circle appropriate
score)				

01.	Sensit	ivity of Reco	eptors
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0			

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	52	
--	------------------	----	--

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0		
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0		
Total	(Max. 50)	0		

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

A St.		ossib Score	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	YO
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	УО
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	уО
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	y 0
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

rahacahan Zois!

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainii	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	y O
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	уО
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	уо
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	NA O
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
The state of the s		
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	52
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY	LOW MED	

HIGH

Officer: VICKY WRAY.

Officer Signature: V. Wrong

Operator Signature

\* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40

Date: 18/06/13