

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Brianplant (Humberside) Ltd Estate Road 2 South Humberside Industrial Estate Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2TG
Contact:	Clive Thornley.
Permit Ref:	EP/200300104
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Mobile crushing & screening
Guidance Note:	
Date of Visit:	26.06-13
Report Reference:	BPI
Condition number:	The state of the s
1 Visual assessment recorded 3 x	Yes, records available at site log.
times a day? Time/location/result?	& \
2 Env log of recorded Visual	Yes.
Assessments ?	
3 Visual escape – prompt action/cease operation?	Yes.
4	
5 Notification to LA in event of escape?	Yes-notified clive thorney to email incose of abetement column.
6 adequate supply of water available?	Yes
7 Stockpiles Unprocessed	Yes - bowser available.
material dampened down?	
8 Stockpiles /storage bays Not	O.K. Some product above bay but
project above bay?	non dusty product.
9 Drop heights minimised?	Yes
10 Vehicles sheeted leaving and	No vehicles leaving/arriving during
entering site?	visit.
11 LA notification of movement?	Yes via email

12	Record 29/04/13. NCC Plant Services
13 Staff training	Healthy Schety & Environmental Working Paraments
14 Maintenance	Douby check sheet for operator. + 3 monthly internal service recorded in calendar
15 Spares	Yes and NCC Plant Services have parts

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10	10		
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

<u> </u>	Sensitivity of Recept		
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estual designated a SSSI	ry 20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	8-12-7-14-05-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	22
--	------------------	----

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	
Total	(Max. 50)	0

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records **Possible** Score **Scores Awarded** Criterion (x) **(y)** (z) Yes N/A No (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree 0 10 0 required in the authorisation? Y O (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because -5 0 0 results over time show consistent compliance? MA O (C) Process operation modified where any 0 5 0 problems indicated by monitoring? NIA O (D) Fully documented and adhered to 0 5 0 maintenance programme, in line with authorisation? 0 (E) Full documented records as required in 5 0 Y O

authorisation available on-site?				
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	уо
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainii	ng and	d Res	onsibility
	10 10 10	ossib Score		Scores Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	уо
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	уО
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	<i>y</i> o
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	у б
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	y o
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N/A O
Total	(5 to 2	5)	0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
--	---------------------	---

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	22
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Officer: VICKY WRAY.

Officer Signature:

Operator Signature

Date: 26.06.13

26/06/13