

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Phillips 66 Ltd Immingham Pipeline Centre Immingham Docks Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2PB	
Contact:	Ms Sarah Catmull	
Permit Ref:	EP/200200097V4	
Date of Varied Permit:	2016 following procedural review process	
Permitted activity:	Petrol Vapour Recovery	
Guidance Note:	PG1/13	
Date of Visit:	29.09.16.	
Report Reference:		
Condition number:	The second secon	
1. Table 1 continuous monitoring Total Organic Carbon (equivalent to 70% L.E.L gas analyser)	Yes compliant.	
2 Vapour Recovery operational and monitoring procedure	SAP system. Priority Scale.	
3 &4 Records available?	Yes-	
5 & 6 N/A Continuous		
7 Adverse results from monitoring procedure?	Yes.	
8 Abnormal events procedure	Yes. recoone notification and mai	ntainlog.
9 Informative	5	
10 Notification to regulator of adverse monitoring results and or key arrestment breakdown	Yes – notified via email	
12 continuous monitoring results on display to trained staff?	Yes.	5
13 Cont. monitor has audible and visual alarm to warn of arrestment failure or malfunction?	fludible alorn that indicate	

14 Activation of alarm automatically	Vas
recorded?	Yes.
20 Tank storage plan up to date?	Yes
21 external walls and roof of tanks	
above ground painted in a colours with 70% light reflectance?	Tank remedial programme in
22 Internal floating roofs landed as infrequently as possible?	RBI inspection. corression factor Tank remodial programme in place last resort to landing Corporate report required
23 New storage tanks?	N/A.
24 External Floating roof and seal system compliant?	
25 Internal Floating roof and seal	
system compliant?	
Loading and Unloading of	Annual visual examination of system to check for integrity and
mobile container at terminal	alignment of pipework and joints? Vapour Couplings 3months
26, 27 & 28	Annual examination of bellows and flexible hoses used to
	connect to mobile containers? _ change annual + PPM in SAP.
Gantries	Liquid loading rate not to exceed max 2,500 litres per minute
29, 30, 31	(per loading arm)? Yes
38 Loading not permitted unless	Driver induction + training.
permissive signal is provided by combined earth/overfill control?	Driver induction + training. Signage + 2-3 superissed. local.
39 & 40 Loading procedure at Gantries	Yes. power point presentations.
41 & 42 Staff training and instruction	Yes.
43 Effective preventative	Compliant. checked replacement faulty parts etc.
maintenance	recording system of replacement

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20	qu'il floatiu		
(C) Category 3	30	30		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached	at the c	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10	Marine Paris
deadline has passed	7 A	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	
Requirements		

Component 3 - Sensitivity	and Proximity of Receptors	(circle appropriate
score)		

	Sensit	ivity of Rece	eptors
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	(12)	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets	PROPERTY	
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	42
		specific test on the second of the

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	BUT A HARASTA
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Possible	Score
period prior to review)	Scores	Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points	Appendit in
breach of specific authorisation condition or of		1000
general/residual BATNEEC condition		U
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per	
	incident	O
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per	
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	O
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per	· Comment
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per	
	incident	
Total	(Max. 50)	
		O .

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M Records	onitor	ing, N	lainte	nance and
	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	YO
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIAO
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA G
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	уб
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	γO
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	y O
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainiı	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
	Possible		Scores	
	-	Score		Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	У- О
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	УО
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	УО
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	у О
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5.
Total	(5 to 2	5)	-5.

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	-5.
--	---------------------	-----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	37
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	rom.

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V. Thompson

Operator Signature

Date: 29.09.16-

S.V. CATMULL