

www.nelincs.gov.uk
Executive Director Environment, Economy & Housing

Mr G Herrick Regional Manager, Cemex UK Operations Ltd, Long Leys Road Lincoln LN1 1DT

Our Ref.: VW/CemexStalling/Inspection/13

Date.: 25th July 2013

When Calling Please Ask For: Vicky Wray

Direct line telephone number: (01472) 324833

e-mail address: vicky.wray@nelincs.gov.uk

Dear Mr Herrick,

Re; Cemex UK Operations Ltd. Europa Way, Stallingborough Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2010. Permit Ref: EP/200200038/V1

As part of our inspection programme, I wish to confirm my visit of the 25th July 2013, to the above premises. I am pleased to note that everything was found to be of a satisfactory standard during the inspection on the process.

All permitted processes/installations are risk rated in line with criteria determined by Defra. This rating is subject to review following every inspection, to ensure that the rating remains an accurate reflection of the level of risk posed by the installation, in terms type of process, proximity to sensitive receptors, compliance with permit conditions, management, training and number of complaints received. Having performed an assessment of the risk, I would like to inform you that the installation is currently rated as **LOW** risk.

Please find enclosed a copy of the inspection report for your records

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your assistance during the inspection

Yours sincerely,

Vicky Wray
Technical Officer





PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Eur Stal	nex UK Operations Ltd opa Way Ilingborough th East Lincolnshire		
Contact:	Mr (G Herrick		
Permit Ref:	EP/	200200038/V1		
Date of Varied Permit:	28.0	08.07		
Permitted activity:	Con	crete batching plant		
Guidance Note:	PG3	3/1		
Date of Visit:	25	107/13.		
Report Reference:	CM)	XS1		
Condition number:		referenced of		
1.1 records of monitoring test kep	t?	Yes		
1.2 off site records made available				
2.1 Notified of next bulk cement	Yes – operator notified VW of delivery			
delivery?				
3.1 Visible assessment made at le	east	.		
once a day? Time/ location and res	sult?	Yes		
3.2 Ambient monitoring required?				
4.1 List of arrestment plant and procedure for dealing with it's failure	re?	Written procedures		
4.2 Abnormal emissions recorded	?	Yes		
5.1 New or replacement silo filtrat	ion	Yes		
plant less than 10mg/m3 for PM?				
5.2 Start & finish times of delivery		Recorded on weekly plant defekt		
recorded?	10	report.		
6.1 Filter cleaner method recorded	3.5	Yes		
6.2 Outlet checked for signs of emissions?		Yes.		
7.1 Dusty materials stored in silos fully enclosed containers?				
7.2 Displaced air vented back to delivery tanker?		Yes.		
7.3 Tanker drivers informed of cordelivery procedure?	rect	Signage and report to orice.		
7.4 High level/audible alarms checked?		Yes and recorded.		
7.5 Emissions occurs operation cease?		Procedure and Signage.		

7.6 Seating of pressure relief valve checked?	Yes and recorded.
7.7 PRV unseated correction	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
procedure?	Yes
7.8 Tanker driver informed of correct procedure?	Yes
7.9 Tankers have on-board relief valve and filtration system.	Yes.
7.10 Pressure rate to silo?	2bcr.
7.11 Automatic cut off in event of overfilling or pressurisation (new silos only)	Yes-
8.1 Consolidated surface.	Yes
8.2 Storage bays correctly used?	Yes.
8.3	
9.1 Conveyor provided with wind protection?	Y'es - net to love replaced, has been
9.2 Conveyor has method for keeping return belt clean?	0.4
9.3 Conveyor belts not overloaded?	o.k
9.4	K-2,
9.5 Planned maintenance includes conveyor?	Yes:
10.1 Truck mixer loading ok?	No loading during visit
10.2 Ribbon feed technique?	11
10.3 Rubber sock chute used for loading truck mixer?	Rubber chute.
11.1	94
11.2	
11.3 Good house keeping	Grood house keeping.
11.4 Spillage clean up method	Yes:
12.1 Roadways kept clean	Yes
12.2 Wheel cleaning facility?	Yes
12.3	
13.1 Spares?	filters held control.
14.1 Training?	Yes and records available
14.2 Statement of training requirements?	Yes.
15.1 Maintenance programme	Yes
15.2 Maintenance record?	Yes - see wearly plant defect repo

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10	10		
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30	State Control		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10	
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	
Requirements	₹	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets					
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0				

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	6
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	6
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	
Total	(Max. 50)	0

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

	5250	ossib Score		Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	YO
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	MIAO
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	v o
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y o
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	NIA O
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

		raining and Resp Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	5	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A			
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	УО		
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y O		
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	y o		
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Yo		
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	YO		
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	y -s	150140	
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	-5		

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	-5
--	---------------------	----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	20
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V-Wrong

Operator Signature John BRIX'65

Date: 25/07/13