

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

| Installation Address:  | Paragon Automotive Ltd<br>Kiln Lane<br>Stallingborough<br>North East Lincolnshire<br>DN41 8DQ  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Contact:   | Ian Cowdroy  |  |  |
| Permit Ref:  | EP/200200087   |  |  |
| Date of Varied Permit:   | The state of the s |  |  |
| Permitted activity:  | Coating Process  |  |  |
| Guidance Note:   | PG 6/34b(06)   |  |  |
| Date of Visit:   | 21/06/13   |  |  |
| Report Reference:  |  |  |  |
| Condition number:  |  |  |  |
| <b>1.1</b> Emission to air free from persistent                    | Compliant.   |  |  |
| visible emissions?   |  |  |  |
| <b>1.2</b> All emissions to air free from droplets                 | Yes.   |  |  |
| 1.3 Compliant paints and primers used?                             | Glasoit Glasvit point used   |  |  |
| <b>1.4</b> Emissions from combustion not exceed Ringlmann shade 1? | 0.12   |  |  |
| 1.5 No offensive odour beyond site boundary?                       | Compliant  |  |  |
| <b>1.6</b> No odour masking agents used?                           | o.k  |  |  |
| <b>1.7</b> Any changes to spray booths? Last serviced?             | No changes. All booths sourced in may by   |  |  |
| 1.8 Spray booths meet particulate                                  | somethorn expurer extractor  |  |  |
| matter emission limit?   | check on 15/5/13. O.K. And Booth charles serviced in may 13  |  |  |
| 1.9 HVLP spray guns used to apply                                  | Yes.   |  |  |
| primers and top coats?   | * .  |  |  |
| 1.10 Any changed to centralised extraction                         | Dust extraction system examination check on 7 June 2013. by  |  |  |
| system? Last serviced?   | Funex  |  |  |

| 1.11  |  |
|---|--|
| 2.1 visible emission assessment             | Yes.   |
| completed once a day and recorded?          | 185.   |
| 2.2 Daily odour assessment made and         | Yes.   |
| recorded?                                   | i jes.   |
| 2.3 Log book available with monitoring      | Yes.   |
| records and wind direction?                 |  |
| 2.4 Total organic solvent usage, 6          | Yes and received copy.                                 |
| monthly reports?                            | Solvent date rela di read office.                      |
| 3.1 Coatings containing VOC stored in       | Yes.   |
| closed storage containers?                  |  |
| 3.2 Spillage procedure? Absorbent           | Yes absorbed material                                  |
| material available?                         | available.   |
| 3.3 Waste removed by licensed               | Yes - aus.   |
| contractor – receipts available?            | 457  |
| 3.4 Drums containing liquid material        | Yes.   |
| stored in secure, well ventilated storage   |  |
| areas?                                      |  |
| 3.5 Mixing of coatings carried out within   | Yes  |
| paint store mixing areas?                   | 94   |
| 3.6   | 7  |
| 3.7 Solvent wipes placed in suitably        | Yes  |
| labelled metal bins with self closing lids? |  |
| Emptied daily?                              |  |
| 3.8   |  |
| 3.9 Review programme                        |  |
| 3.10 Spraygun testing procedure             | Yes  |
| 3.11 Spray gun cleaning equipment           | Yes  |
| 4.1 Dispersion                              |  |
| 4.2 Discharge velocity                      |  |
| 4.3 Stacks and/or vents                     |  |
| 5.1 Effective preventative maintenance      | Spraybooths, planned maintenance<br>Schodule completed |
| programme                                   |  |
| 5.2 Staff training                          | 0·k.   |
| 5.3 Malfunctions leading release to air     | Yes.   |
| reported to regulator and recorded?         |  |

### **Process Risk Assessment**

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

| Compliance Assessment   |                    |                  |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)                  | Possible<br>Scores | Score<br>Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any permit condition | 0 points           | 0                |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*                                    | 5 per<br>incident  | 0                |
| (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action                                 | 10 per<br>breach   | 0                |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution         | 15 per incident    | 0                |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice                                      | 20 per incident    | 0                |
| Total   | (Max. 50)          | 0                |

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

| Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and  | Possible<br>Scores |           | Score<br>Awarded |      |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|------|
| Criterion  | (x)<br>Yes         | (y)<br>No | (z)<br>N/A       |      |
| (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?   | 0                  | 10        | 0                | Y 0  |
| (B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?   | 0                  | 5         | 0                | NIAO |
| (C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request   | 0                  | 5         | 0                | y O  |
| (D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request? | 0                  | 5         | 0                | Y 0  |
| (E) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?*   | -5                 | 10        | 0                | y O  |
| Total score  | (-5 to 35)         |           | 0                |      |

\*These aspects relate to the operators performance within 12 months immediately preceding the assessment or review of the assessment. Failure to monitor to the degree required or to forward documents on time more than twelve months ago should be excluded

| Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility |                 |           |            |           |
|---|-----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
|   | Possible Scores |           | Scores     |           |
| Criterion   |                 |           | Awarded    |           |
| Gitterion   | (x)<br>Yes      | (y)<br>No | (z)<br>N/A |           |
| (A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper          | 0               | 5         | 0          |           |
| management, supervision and training for              |                 |           |            | Y         |
| process operations, proper use of equipment           |                 |           |            | 0         |
| and effective preventative maintenance on all         |                 |           |            |           |
| plant and equipment concerned with the control        |                 |           |            |           |
| of emissions to the air?                              |                 |           |            |           |
| (B) Specific responsibilities assigned to             | 0               | 5         | 0          |           |
| individual staff for these procedures?                |                 |           |            | y O       |
| (C) Completion of individual responsibilities         |                 | 5         | 0          |           |
| checked and recorded by the company?                  |                 |           |            | YO        |
| (D) Does the operator maintain a record of            | 0               | 5         | 0          |           |
| staff training and instruction and make it            |                 |           |            |           |
| available to the regulator on request?                |                 |           |            | y o       |
| (E) Trained staff on site throughout periods          | 0 🥖             | 5         | 0          |           |
| where potentially air-polluting activities take       |                 |           |            | ********* |
| place?  |                 |           |            | y O       |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental                 | <b>-5</b>       | 0         | 0          |           |
| management system in place?                           |                 |           |            | NIA O     |
| Total   | (               | 5 to 2    | 5)         | ^         |
|   |                 |           | 8          | U         |

| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS  | Range -10 to<br>110 | 0    |
|--|---------------------|------|
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >55 medium 30- 55 and low <30 | LOW, MED,<br>HIGH   | Low. |

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V- Wry

Date: 21/06/13