

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Cemex UK Operations Ltd Grimsby Royal Dock, Quayside Grimsby NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE DN31 3II
Contact:	
Permit Ref:	EP/200500005
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Bulk loading of cement to vessels
Guidance Note:	PG3/1
Date of Visit:	01/07/13
Report Reference:	Cmx/10
Condition number:	the same of the sa
4.1 7 days notice of vessel	Yes, Regulator is included in shipping mail list
loading given to authority.	
5.1 No visible emissions beyond site boundary?	Compliant
5.2 Records of inspections, tests and operator observations available?	Yes.
5.3 Adverse result procedure, corrective actions recorded and regulator notified?	Yes, written proceedure and emergency drill report produced.
5.4 Visual assessments made frequently? Time, location and result recorded?	Yes, recorded in filter check
5.5 Abnormal event procedure available?	Yes
5.6 N/A	
Outlet checked for signs that emissions have occurred? Equipment checked for defects?	Yes.
Suitable loading pressure?	to be forward.
5.9 Service records for arrestment plant/ filters?	to be forward.
5.13 Arrestment plant exhaust	O.K.
flow and emission limit met?	
Filtration plant filter cleaning	Yes filler check sheet
method and frequency of inspection met?	completed.
PRV Checks?	
TITY OHOOKS:	Yes - refer to filter Check Sheet

High level alarm monitor/ checks?	o·k
Roadways kept clean and in good repair?	Road sweeper used.
Planned Preventative Maintenance Programme?	Yes.
Staff Training	Yes

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10	10		
(B) Category 2	20	5.4		
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading	Prof. of State Sta	
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	it a heads.
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

.0.7		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0		

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	20
	1 1	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Possible	Score
period prior to review)	Scores	Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points	
breach of specific authorisation condition or of		
general/residual BATNEEC condition	Annual Assets	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per	
	incident	新加集的社会
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per	With the species of the
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per	
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	And the Constitution of th
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per	
	incident	
Total	(Max. 50)	
A Parameter Comment of the Comment o		O

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

		ossib Score		Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Yo
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIA O
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	у о
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	γο

Total score	(-	5 to 30	0)	6
authority by date required?				y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the	0	5	0	

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Traini	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
		ossib Score		Scores Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for	0	5	0	
implementing all aspects of the authorisation?				YO
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to	0	5	0	
individual staff for these procedures?			ي پيماني	YO
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities	0	5	0	
checked and recorded by the company?		Z		УО
(D) Documented training records for all staff	0	5	- 0	An Professional
with air pollution control responsibilities?				YO
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods	0.5	5	0	
where potentially air-polluting activities take		8		
place?				4 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental	-5	0	0	
management system in place?				Y - 5
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	-5

150	14001.
Form	mfreete
(-	Which

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	_5
	105	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	15
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V. W.

Operator Signature

Date: 01/07/13