

## PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Knauf UK GMBH Immingham Docks Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN42 2NT
Contact:	Andrew Gilpin
Permit Ref:	200600005
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Plaster Process
Guidance Note:	3/12
Date of Visit:	04/11/14
Condition number:	
1 (monitoring records)	dust emission monitoring completed daily and recorded in site log.
2 (adverse results)	Recorded within log. Written procedure available
3 (visible emissions)	No visible emissions during visit.
4 (visual assessments)	Yes completed daily
5 (monitoring)	monitoring completed.
6 (breakdowns)	logged.
7 (adverse emissions)	written procedure for adverse emissions.
8 (stockpiles/storage)	stockpiles suitably profiled.
9 (control techniques)	rain bird suppression system.
10 (conveyors)	—
11 (loading/unloading)	shovel loading drop height suitable.
12 (site layout)	—
13 (roadways)	o.k.
14 (wheel-wash)	compliant.
15 (management)	compliant
16 (spares consumables)	—
17 (staff training)	compliant

## POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

18 (training records)	Compliant.
19 (preventative maint.)	Compliant.
20 (maint. techniques)	Compliant.

### Risk Assessment Score Sheet

#### Environmental Impact Appraisal

<b>Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential</b>		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	10
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	

<b>Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading</b>		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

<b>Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)</b>			
Proximity to Emission Source	Sensitivity of Receptors		
	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

\* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

<b>Component 4 - Other Targets</b>		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10

## POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

(B) No such air pollution problems	0	
------------------------------------	---	--

<b>Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal</b>	<b>Range 0 to 70</b>	<b>25</b>
---	----------------------	-----------

### Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	
<b>Total</b>	<b>(Max. 50)</b>	<b>0</b>
<i>* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.</i>		

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	Y 0
<b>Total score</b>	<b>(-5 to 30)</b>			<b>0</b>

Report Reference: -

## POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

--	--

<b>Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility</b>				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>(-5 to 25)</b>			<b>0</b>

<b>Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal</b>	<b>Range -10 to 105</b>	<b>0</b>
---	-------------------------	----------

<b>OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS</b>	<b>Range -10 to 175</b>	<b>25</b>
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------

<b>REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY</b> * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	<b>LOW, MED, HIGH</b>	<b>LOW</b>
--	-----------------------	------------

**Officer:** VICKY THOMPSON

**Officer Signature:** *V. Thompson*

**Operator Signature**

**Date:** 04/11/14