

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address.	DD Dowte La Dowte Dood
Installation Address:	PD Ports – La Porte Road,
	Stallingborough
Contact:	Mick Cruddas
	COC.S W'LINGS
Permit Ref:	EP/201300001
Date of Varied Permit:	Permit issued15th July 2013
Permitted activity:	Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke
Guidance Note:	PG3/5 (12) — Part 3 Reduced Fee activity. Throughput of coal is NOT to exceed 250,000 tonnes over any 12-month period.
Date of Visit:	021018 1770.14
Report Reference:	
Condition number:	
1 No visible emissions of particulate matter beyond site boundary?	Complicat no visible te bourger
2 Monitoring completed as required within Table 1 of permit?	Yes - Completed by Hargnewer, but handover to PD Ports 24th 10.14
3. N/A no arrestment plant	W Forward PD Port is usual
	check sheet template.
4 Maintenance completed and recorded for plant and equipment capable of causing, or preventing, emissions?	Yes * to forward template for check sheet / programme for
5 Correct storage areas in use? Suitable	wheel both + sprinkler
suppression and management	
techniques employed to minimise	
emissions?	Yes paymer used and sprake
6. Stockpiles profiled with no peaks or ridges?	Compliant
7 Unused stocking areas cleaned and regularly wetted until brought back into use?	Yes.
8 Vehicles carrying coal product fully sheeted when arriving or leaving site? Vehicles not overfilled?	Yes.
9 N/A Loading of Ships	
10 N/A Loading of Ships	
11 Roadways kept clean or wet. Internal haul roads maintained and clearly delineated.	Yes
12 Vehicles have clean wheel and	Yes.

underbody before leaving site?	
13 Hard surface provided between under-vehicle washing facility and site	
exit?	Yes
14 Buildings maintained dust tight and doors kept closed?	Yes
15 Records of monitoring and test available for at least 24 months?	Yes
16 Staff training and records	* Procedure to be ferward.
17 BAT	
18 Notification	

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal – N/A for reduced fee activity

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC   Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

	Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	. 12	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0		
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustic cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proposes. Distances should be measured from the posite boundary.	n, incine ocesses.	ration (not			

Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10*		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		

Total Score for Environmental Impact	Range 0 to	N/A
Appraisal	70	REDUCED
9		FEE ACTIVTITY

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Possible	Score
period prior to review)	Scores <	Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points	
breach of specific authorisation condition or of		
general/residual BATNEEC condition	.047	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per	
	incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per	
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per	
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per	
	incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0
and the same of th	30.55	

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M Records	onitor	ing, N	/lainte	nance and
		ossib Score	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	YC
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?				N/A for reduced fee activity
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIAO
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	40

(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	Y 0
Total score	(	0 to 35	<b>i</b> )	

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainii	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
		ossib		Scores
		Score	1	Awarded
Criterion	(x)	(y)	(z)	
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for	0	5	0	*
implementing all aspects of the authorisation?				70
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to	0	5	0	
individual staff for these procedures?			200	YO
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities	0	5	0	
checked and recorded by the company?		4		70
(D) Documented training records for all staff	0	5	0	
with air pollution control responsibilities?				40
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods	0, ^	5	0	
where potentially air-polluting activities take	The second of th	,		1
place?	1			70
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental	∀ -5	0	0	11 -
management system in place?				Y -5
Total	(-:	5 to 2	5)	-5
				7

\* forward
when hand
over from

Hargnesses.

FD Ports Acceding.

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	-5.
--	-------	-----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >60, medium 30-60 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Officer Signature: V. Thompson VICKY THOMPSON

Operator Signature Com COLW WICK, wed

Date: 17.10.14.