

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Hartwell Ford (Grimsby) Corporation Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 1UH
Contact:	Dave Ostler
Permit Ref:	EP/200200010
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Respraying of Road Vehicles
Guidance Note:	PG 6 / 34 (04)
Date of Visit:	10 30101115°
Report Reference:	HWFJCC1
Condition number:	
1.1 No visible emissions?	No visible emissions during west
<b>1.2</b> Emissions to air free from droplets?	
<b>1.3</b> Compliant materials/paints used?	Glaswiot + PPG used
<b>1.4</b> emissions not exceed Ringlemann shade 1?	Compliant
<b>1.5</b> No offensive odour beyond site boundary?	No offensive adour
1.6 No odour masking agents used?	
<b>1.7</b> Any change to combination booth used,	
1.8 Spraybooths meet emission limits10mg/m3?	Yes
<b>1.9</b> HVLP sprayguns used for application of primer and top coats?	Yes
1.10 Centralised extraction unit serviced and maintained?	Yes by Beta Croup.
<b>1.11</b> Precautions to minimise emissions	
during start up and shutdown?	
<b>2.1</b> Visible emissions checked once per day?	Yes

2.2 Odour assessment completed and	Yes
recorded once per day?	1 € 3
2.3 Records of visual and odour checks	Yes
recorded with date/time/result?	les
<b>2.4</b> Records of paints, organic solvents	
etc kept. Six monthly figures of Total	
organic solvent usage received?	
3.1 Coatings containing voc shall be	
stored in closed storage containers?	
3.2 Suitable absorbent material	Yes
available for spillages? Good	163
housekeeping?	
<b>3.3</b> Licensed waste contractor used?	Yes Safety Ween!
Receipts? Waste containing voc stored in	
well ventilated storage areas?	
<b>3.4</b> Drums and containers stored in well	Yes
ventilated storage areas and lids kept	123
tightly closed?	
3.5 Mixing of coatings with solvent	
carried out in paint store mixing area?	
<b>3.6</b> Programmable scales used?	£ 1
3.7 Solvent wipes placed in suitably	Had letter last year regarding this has work been complete?
labelled metal bin fitted with self-closing	Inside venhuchan area
lids? Bins emptied daily?	
3.8	
3.9	
3.10	
3.11 Spray guns and equipment	
cleaning carried out in the safety kleen	Yes
cleaning machine?	
4.1	
4.2	
4.3 Stacks and vents not fitted with plate/	O.K
cap or cowl with exception to cone?	
5.1 Spare filters on site? Preventative	O-k
maintenance / cleaning programme in place?	
5.2 Staff training and records?	0.4.
L	

5.3 Malfunctions which result in			
emission to atmosphere reported to			
regulator and recorded?			

## **Process Risk Assessment**

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any permit condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

		ossib Score	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	CANADA NA TAN
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	уО
(B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request	0	5	0	уО
(D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request?	0	5	0	УО
(E) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?*	-5	10	0	УО
Total score	(-5 to 35)		0	

t forward likest 6 months voc dete

<sup>\*</sup>These aspects relate to the operators performance within 12 months immediately preceding the assessment or review of the assessment. Failure to monitor to the degree required or to forward documents on time more than twelve months ago should be excluded

Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to the air?	0	5	0	УО
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	O K
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Yo
(D) Does the operator maintain a record of staff training and instruction and make it available to the regulator on request?	0.4	5	0	Уо
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	УО
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	NIAO
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >55 medium 30- 55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V. Theres.

**Operator Signature** 

Date: 30/01/15.