

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	H Cope & Sons Ltd Moody Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2SY
Contact:	Andrew Cope Stephen Cope
Permit Ref:	EP/20020001A
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Mobile crushing & Screening
Guidance Note:	PG 3 / 16 (04)
Date of Visit:	28/02/14
Report Reference:	HC1
Condition number:	
1 No visible emissions beyond site boundary?	Compliant
2 Records of inspections and monitoring kept on site?	Yes.
3 Historical records made available	Yes
4 Visual assessments made three times a day during operation. Time/ location and result.	Yes
5 Informative	
6 Abnormal emissions investigated?	Yes.
7 Local regulator in whose area the plant is operating notified?	Yes
8. NELC notified of mobile plant location/movement?	Yes via & fax.
9 loading to and from stockpiles suitable?	Yes
10. No material stored outside except for those stated in permit	

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

11. Informative	
12. Vehicle routes/ storage areas have consolidated surface and kept clean and good repair?	Yes.
13. Storage bays used?	No - wall bond to be implemented.
14. Storage bay – height of stock lower than external walls unless suppression provided?	NA.
15. Stockpiles wetted when necessary, fixed water spray used for long term stocking areas?	Yes.
16 Conditioning with water takes place at or before point of discharge of conveyor?	Yes
17. Stockpiles suitable profiled and condition with water?	Yes.
18	
19	
20 Unused stocking areas controlled to prevent emissions?	Yes.
21 Crushers shall be totally contained or fitted with water suppression system over the crusher aperture?	Yes.
22 Notification	
23 Notification	
24	
25	
26 plant cleaned off of dust deposits at end of each working day?	Yes.
27 Conveyors have sufficient capacity to handle max loads without spillage?	Yes.
28 Conveyor and transfer points provided with adequate wind protection?	wetted product before handled.
29 Conveyors fitted with means for keeping the belt clean?	Yes.

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

30	
31 Conveyor belt shall not be overloaded?	o.k
32	
33	
34	
35 vehicles loaded in such a way to minimise dust emissions?	Yes.
36 Vehicles sheeted before leaving site?	No vehicles leaving site
37 Loading to trains	N/A
38 Roadways kept clean?	Yes.
39 Wheel cleaning facility available?	Yes.
40 Vehicle exhausts on mobile plant not directed below the horizontal?	Yes.
41 Spares	
42 Training	Yes checked records.
43 Training statements	
44 Written maintenance programme?	Yes. Findings completed in July.
45 Maintenance programme available?	Yes.

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	10
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	

Report Reference: -

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

Proximity to Emission Source	Sensitivity of Receptors		
	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	15
---	----------------------	-----------

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Total	(Max. 50)	0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.		

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	Y 0
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
Total	(-5 to 25)			0

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	15
--	------------------	----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	15
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V. Wray

Operator Signature

Date: 28/02/14

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL INSPECTION REPORT