

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Oxbow Coal Ltd Southern Way Immingham Dock Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2NX
Contact:	Mike Cusick
Permit Ref:	EP/200200041/V5
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke
Guidance Note:	PG3/5(04)
Date of Visit:	11 107/14.
Report Reference:	OX1
Condition number:	
1 No visible emissions or accumulation of	No visible emissions
Particulate Matter beyond site boundary?	during visit.
2 Operator prevents release of	Yes
particulate emission?	
3 Visual assessments made and the	Yes recorded in log book
time location and date recorded in log?	
4 Equipment and machinery kept clean	Yes - * add to check list!
and in good repair?	
5 24/7 hour cover on site?	Yes
6 Escape of dust or breakdown likely to lead	wooded record in log book. and remedial actions.
to escape investigated and corrective	and remedial actions.
measures employed. Recorded in site log?	
7 Site log have records of visual	Yes
monitoring and weather forecasts?	
8 Weather forecast and seven day	Yes
proactive alert scale updated?	

Notified.	
Yes	
Yes.	
Yes.	
Yes.	
Yes	
Yes	
Cornor available	
Yes,	
Yes.	
	to head.
o.k.	
Yes - * to include in written proceed and sign of system.	sure
currently tool box back verbal infor	ration
Yes.	
Yes.	
to confirm arrangement in	
	Yes.  Screening operation fine - procedure be downed be downed by downed by the following of system.  Currently tool box talk / verbal inforty yes.

<b>30.</b> Stockpiles receive polymer suppression?	Yes
31. Training	Tool box talk
33. Preventative maintenance	Yes - reviewed and forward to

#### **Risk Assessment Score Sheet**

#### **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20	20		
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appressore)  Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) (y) (z) High Medium Lov		
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the

site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores			
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0			

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	40
--	------------------	----

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Possible	Score
period prior to review)	Scores	Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points	
breach of specific authorisation condition or of		
general/residual BATNEEC condition		0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per	
	incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per	
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per	
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per	
. ,	incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	
	ā.	0

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree	0	10	0	
required in the authorisation?				YO
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because	-5	0	0	
results over time show consistent compliance?				OAIN
(C) Process operation modified where any	0	5	0	
problems indicated by monitoring?				NIAO
(D) Fully documented and adhered to	0	5	0	
maintenance programme, in line with				
authorisation?				YO

Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	y O
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	γo

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A		occurd.
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	* 7 0	to be reviewed how recorded
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	YO	as discussed
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	YO	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	YO	as above
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	УО	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	DIA O	
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0	

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
--	---------------------	---

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	40
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY  * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED.

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V. Wray

Operator Signature

Date: 11/07/14.