

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Simon Storage Ltd Immingham Storage Company Ltd Immingham East Terminal Immingham Dock North East Lincolnshire DN40 2QW
Contact:	Phil Dyson
Permit Ref:	EP/200200044
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Bulk storage of chemicals
Guidance Note:	42
Date of Visit:	18106/14
Report Reference:	ISE1
Condition number:	01
1.1 No emission of acrylonitrile to air	
other than from tank pressure	O.K.
vacuum valves?	
1.2 Any release other than pressure release system shall require investigation and notification.?	No release.
1.3 No offensive odours beyond the site	No offensive odour
boundary?	beyond site bonday.
1.4 Maximum estimated annual release	Estimated vie software +
still correct on permit?	Provided to Mittageon NELL.
1.5 Max emission for each tank correct?	
2.1 Correct tanks?	Yes. some not in service, 1095ed.
2.2 Storage facility equipped with	
mechanical seals and high temperature	Yes
protection?	2000 · · · · · ·
2.3 Storage tanks painted with reflective	21
colour?	Yes.
Most maintenance rec	ands have now moved over

Most maintenance records have now moved over to agility

2.4 Storage tanks equipped with:		
Roof mounted PRV	Y	
Flame detonation trap in the vapour lines	Yes.	
Level gauging and remote high level		
alarms. Deluge facilities for the cooling		
the tank		
2.5 Integrity of bunding maintained?	Yes	
2.6 Dedicated vapour return line	Yes.	
2.7 Road transfer station serving 700	A.	
series tanks. Concrete surface &	Yes.	
suitable drainage via interceptor.		
2.8 Rail loading	Not curenty used,	
2.9 Factory pipeline equipment	line currently closed.	
2.10 Drum storage area have paved	No durins in use at	
surface with closed drainage system?	present.	
3.1 Ship imports and exports	(Pig Line check). Checked Ship	
3.2 Road imports	los.	
3.3 Drum imports	NIA	
3.4 Road exports		0
3.5 Rail export	NIA.	
3.6 Pipeline export	NIA	
3.7 Drum exports	not used at present.	
4.1 Monitoring	spilled + vapour release achon plan in place. (Energency	Plan Procedere)
4.2 Site log	- Incident investigation reporting	
4.3 Records kept 2 years	Incidents logged on KPI system.	
4.4 Emergency telephone number	Yes.	
provided to NELC	103	
4.5	Douby checks for odays + Viscal	
4.6 Flame detonation trap	Bit on assilled some checked	
pressure/vacuum valves checked every	& 6 monthly on det traps.	
6 months and records kept on findings	PVV checked 6 monthly o.k.	
4.7 Storage filling tanks monitored	Yes. Harboned all the	
via level gauges and have high level	3monthly 6 monthly extendly. Ribble.	
alarm?		
4.8 Emission assessment daily	V	
at road loading location. Weekly at bund	Yes.	
monthly walk check	on line to Bluestor chaded	'

monthly walk check on line to Bluestar checked last complete June 2014. Line currenty not is used

Report Reference: -

walls at 500 and 700 tank locations -	24
recorded?	Yes.
4.9 Export pipeline examined once a	Yes - recorded on
month?	cailtr.
4.10 Breakdowns regulator notified?	Yes.
5.1 Inspection of storage tanks,	Daily checks completed.
pipeline, hoses and abatement	3
equipment. Records?	
6.1	E
6.2	
6.3	
6.4	
7.1 Air Quality	
7.2 Benzene objectives	100
7.3 Management techniques/	Yes procedures and training
breakdowns	documented.
7.4 Appropriate management system?	X60
7.5 Training?	Competency matrix. Checked shipping operations - o.
7.6 Planned preventative maintenance	Yes agility system
programme?	used.

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30	30 ·		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached	41	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10 1	
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	The second
Requirements		

Component 3 - Sensitivity	and Proximity	of Receptors (circle appropriate
score)			

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	52
---	---------------	----

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

		ossib Score	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	УО
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIA O
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIAO
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	γο
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y o
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	Y 0
Total score	(-:	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainir	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	y 6
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	70
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	yo
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Yo
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Yo
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	NIAO
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	52
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED

Officer: VICKY WRAY

Officer Signature: V. (Wray

Operator Signature

Date: 18/06/14.