

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Lafarge Tarmac Ltd → change of name Tarmac Trading Ltd. Manby Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LH
Contact:	Wayne Norton
Permit Ref:	EP/20020037/V1
Date of Varied Permit:	2014 now simplified permit
Permitted activity:	Process using bulk cement (Part 3 reduced fee activity)
Guidance Note:	PG3/1
Date of Visit:	22/04/16
Report Reference:	
Condition number:	
1 No emission beyond site boundary?	No visible emissions during visit
2 Records of monitoring and checks? (Table 1)	Compliant recorded within Env log.
3 Plant maintained, suppression equipment maintenance programme in place?	Compliant.
4 Bulk cement only stored within bulk cement silos?	Yes
5 Correct procedure in place for tanker loading and unloading of cement? Loading rate, connections, displaced air back vented.	Yes written procedure.
6 Overfilling alarm for silos? checked?	Yes. Weekly and recorded in Env log.
7 For new plant after June 2004	_____
8 Silo – displaced air from pneumatic transfer shall pass through abatement.	Compliant Cartridge Filter.
9 Storage bays suitable? Suppression management techniques employed?	Storage bays o.k. Some product spilling over and fencing in need of repair. Work proposed for this area already by company.
10 Conveyor – wind protection/fully enclosed?	o.k.
11 Vehicles carrying dusty product fully enclosed / sheeted when arriving / leaving site?	Yes within procedure.

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

12 Site roadway? Consolidated surface? In good repair and kept clean?	Majority of roadway in good repair and clean. Small area at back of site in need of repair but satisfactory.
13 Vehicles not track material onto highway?	o.k - water hose available and roadsweeper.
14 Process buildings maintained and check for build-up of material?	Yes.
15 Records kept	Compliant
16 Staff training	Compliant

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	Y 0

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

authorisation?				
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	N/A 0
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	Y -5
Total	(-5 to 25)			-5

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	-5
---	-------------------------	----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >60, medium 30-60 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: 

Operator Signature 

Date: 22/04/16

Report Reference: -

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL INSPECTION REPORT

Report Reference: -