

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Norec Pad Junction of Robinson Road and Queens Rd Immingham Dock North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LZ
Contact:	Geoff Smith
Permit Ref:	EP/201100009
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke
Guidance Note:	PG3/5 (04)
Date of Visit:	21/07/14
Report Reference:	
Condition number:	
1 Any visible emissions beyond site boundary?	No visible emissions.
2 Operator prevents release of particulate emissions?	Yes.
3 Pro active alert scale used and visual assessments recorded daily? (time/result/location)	Yes, daily checks completed and recorded log.
4 Machinery kept clean and examined for build up of dusty material?	Yes.
5 Vehicle routes and stockpiles monitored and cover provided for this 24/7?	Yes.
6 In event of breakdown/escape is an investigation and corrective action undertaken?	Yes, recorded within log book
7. Site log available and weather forecasts recorded?	Yes.
8. seven day pro active code and environmental action board	Yes.
9 Records kept for 2 years	Yes
10. wheel wash working	Yes.
11 vehicles using wheel wash?	signage and training.

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

12 Alternative wheel wash used in case of failure	—
13	
14 Exhaust emissions on mobile plant directed upwards	Yes
15 Vehicles not concerned with product handling or maintenance no access to working areas?	Yes
16 On site speed limit 10mph	—
17 Vehicles sheeted?	within contract + electric sheets and alarms if back opened.
18 Checks for damage to vehicles that could result in spillage?	camera's to monitoring, majority of vehicles used are Hargreaves Daily defect book recorded by driver.
19	
20 Suitable perimeter fencing?	Yes.
21 Stocking areas remain sufficiently damp	Yes, rain bird system checked.
22 Sufficient water available?	Yes.
23 Any Screening on site? Adequate supply of water?	No screening.
24 loading height below 3.5 meters?	no loading during visit. training + procedure available.
25 Stockpiles	O.k.
26 Stockpiles progressively compacted and profiled?	O.k.
27 No overhanging faces or ridges?	O.k.
28 Particulate monitoring	Yes.
29 Stockpile temperatures monitored weekly	Yes, probe used checked weekly.
30 Polymer used?	Yes.
31 Training	Yes
32 Written maintenance programme available?	Yes

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	
(B) Category 2	20	20
(C) Category 3	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)			
Proximity to Emission Source	Sensitivity of Receptors		
	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	(12)	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.
 Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	42
---	----------------------	-----------

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

** Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.*

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A y 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	N/A 0
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x)	(y)	(z)	

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y O
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y O
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y O
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y O
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y O
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N/A O
Total	(-5 to 25)			O

→ Forward temp monitoring procedure for stockpiles.

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	O
---	-------------------------	---

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	42
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED

Officer Signature: *V. Wray*

Operator Signature *[Signature]*

Date: 21/7/14