

STACK EMISSIONS MONITORING REPORT



Unit 5 Crown Industrial Estate
Kenwood Road
Stockport
SK5 6PH
Tel: 0161 443 0980
Fax: 0161 443 0989

Your contact at ESG

Mark Woodruff
Business Manager - North
Tel: 0161 443 0982
Email: mark.woodruff@esg.co.uk

Operator & Address:

Energy Pyrolysis
West Factory Bale Store
Great Coates Industrial Estate
Moody Lane
Grimsby
DN31 2SS

Permit:

EPR Permit: EP20140001

Release Point:

Main Process Exhaust

Sampling Date(s):

18th - 20th May 2016

ESG Job Number:	LNO 13026
Report Date:	30th June 2016
Version:	1
Report By:	Johnathon Orley
MCERTS Number:	MM 08 983
MCERTS Level:	MCERTS Level 2 - Team Leader
Technical Endorsements:	1, 2, 3 & 4
Report Approved By:	Dominic Houghton
MCERTS Number:	MM 04 529
Business Title:	MCERTS Level 2 - Team Leader
Technical Endorsements:	1, 2, 3 & 4
Signature:	



CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Stack Emissions Monitoring Objectives

- Plant
- Operator
- Stack Emissions Monitoring Test House

Emissions Summary

Monitoring Times

Process Details

Monitoring Methods

Analytical Methods

- Sampling Methods with Subsequent Analysis
- On-Site Testing

Sampling Location

- Sampling Plane Validation Criteria
- Duct Characteristics
- Sampling Lines & Sample Points
- Sampling Platform
- Sampling Location / Platform Improvement Recommendations

Sampling and Analytical Method Deviations

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MONITORING OBJECTIVES

Energy Pyrolosis operates a rubber recycling process at Grimsby which is subject to EPR Permit EP20140001, under the Environmental Permitting Regulations 2010.

ESG were commissioned by Mabbett & Associates Ltd to carry out stack emissions monitoring to determine the release of prescribed pollutants from the following Plant under normal operating conditions.

The results of these tests shall be used to demonstrate compliance with a set of emission limit values for prescribed pollutants as specified in the Plant's EPR Permit, EP20140001.

Plant

Main Process Exhaust

Operator

Energy Pyrolosis
West Factory Bale Store
Great Coates Industrial Estate
Moody Lane
Grimsby
DN31 2SS

EPR Permit: EP20140001

Stack Emissions Monitoring Test House

ESG - Stockport Laboratory
Unit 5 Crown Industrial Estate
Kenwood Road
Stockport
SK5 6PH
UKAS and MCERTS Accreditation Number: 1015

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.
MCERTS accredited results will only be claimed where both the sampling and analytical stages are UKAS accredited.
This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ESG.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EMISSIONS SUMMARY					
Parameter	Units	Result	Calculated Uncertainty +/-	Limit	MCERTS accredited result
Dioxins & Furans - UPPER Limits					
Dioxins & Furans (NATO I-TEQ)	ng/m ³	0.0018	0.0022	0.1	✓
Dioxins & Furans (NATO I-TEQ) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0015	0.0019	-	
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Humans / Mammals)	ng/m ³	0.0020	0.0024	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ H / M) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0017	0.0021	-	
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Fish)	ng/m ³	0.0022	0.0026	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Fish) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0019	0.0023	-	
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Birds)	ng/m ³	0.0030	0.0037	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Birds) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0026	0.0032	-	
Dioxins & Furans - LOWER Limits					
Dioxins & Furans (NATO I-TEQ)	ng/m ³	0.0018	0.0022	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (NATO I-TEQ) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0015	0.0019	-	
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Humans / Mammals)	ng/m ³	0.0020	0.0024	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ H / M) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0017	0.0021	-	
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Fish)	ng/m ³	0.0022	0.0026	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Fish) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0019	0.0023	-	
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Birds)	ng/m ³	0.0030	0.0037	-	✓
Dioxins & Furans (WHO TEQ Birds) Emission Rate	µg/hr	0.0026	0.0032	-	
Cadmium & Thallium	mg/m ³	0.0007	0.0010	0.05	✓
Cadmium & Thallium Emission Rate	g/hr	0.0006	0.0009	-	
Heavy Metals	mg/m ³	0.031	0.0046	0.5	✓
Heavy Metals Emission Rate	g/hr	0.026	0.0038	-	
Mercury	mg/m ³	0.0004	0.0006	0.05	✓
Mercury Emission Rate	g/hr	0.0004	0.0005	-	
Hydrogen Chloride	mg/m ³	0.04	0.005	20	✓
Hydrogen Chloride Emission Rate	g/hr	0.03	0.004	-	
Hydrogen Fluoride	mg/m ³	0.06	0.008	2	✓
Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Rate	g/hr	0.06	0.008	-	
Nitrous Oxide	mg/m ³	0.65	1.1	-	✓
Nitrous Oxide Emission Rate	g/hr	0.63	1.1	-	
Oxides of Nitrogen (as NO ₂)	mg/m ³	39	13	400	✓
Oxides of Nitrogen (as NO ₂) Emission Rate	g/hr	37	12	-	
Sulphur Dioxide	mg/m ³	21	5.5	100	✓
Sulphur Dioxide Emission Rate	g/hr	20	5.3	-	
Carbon Monoxide	mg/m ³	30	3.8	150	✓
Carbon Monoxide Emission Rate	g/hr	29	3.7	-	
Moisture	%	12	1.3	-	✓
Stack Gas Temperature	°C	41	-	-	✓
Stack Gas Velocity	m/s	6.3	0.16	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate (Actual)	m ³ /hr	1116	58	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate (STP, Wet)	m ³ /hr	958	50	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate (STP, Dry)	m ³ /hr	842	44	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate at Reference Conditions	m ³ /hr	958	50	-	

ND = None Detected,

Results at or below the limit of detection are highlighted by bold italic text.

The above volumetric flow rate is calculated using data from the preliminary survey. Mass emissions for non isokinetic tests are calculated using these values. For all isokinetic testing the mass emission is calculated using test specific flow data and not the above values.

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MONITORING TIMES			
Parameter	Sampling Date(s)	Sampling Times	Sampling Duration
Dioxins & Furans Run 1	19 May 2016	10:27 - 16:27	360 minutes
Cadmium & Thallium Run 1	18 May 2016	12:50 - 14:50	120 minutes
Heavy Metals Run 1	18 May 2016	12:50 - 14:50	120 minutes
Mercury Run 1	18 May 2016	12:50 - 14:50	120 minutes
Hydrogen Chloride Run 1	18 May 2016	11:15 - 12:15	60 minutes
Hydrogen Fluoride Run 1	18 May 2016	15:22 - 16:22	60 minutes
Combustion Gases	19 May 2016	12:17 - 16:17	240 minutes
Nitrous Oxide	19 May 2016	12:17 - 16:17	240 minutes
Preliminary Stack Traverse	18 May 2016	09:05	-

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PROCESS DETAILS

Parameter	Process Details
Description of process	Rubber recycling
Continuous or batch	Batch
Product Details	Diesel and Carbon
Part of batch to be monitored (if applicable)	When operating at temperature
Normal load, throughput or continuous rating	Normal Load
Fuel used during monitoring	LPG and recycled process gas
Abatement	None
Plume Appearance	Plume Visible

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Monitoring Methods

The selection of standard reference / alternative methods employed by ESG is determined, wherever possible by the hierarchy of method selection outlined in Environment Agency Technical Guidance Note (Monitoring) M2. i.e. CEN, ISO, BS, US EPA etc.

MONITORING METHODS						
Species	Method Standard Reference Method / Alternative Method	ESG Technical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	MCERTS Accredited Method	Limit of Detection (LOD)	Calculated MU +/- %
PCDD/PCDF	SRM - BS EN 1948-1	AE 109	1015	Yes	0.001 ng/m ³	122%
Cd & Tl	SRM - BS EN 14385	AE 108	1015	Yes	0.00051 mg/m ³	144%
Heavy Metals	SRM - BS EN 14385	AE 108	1015	Yes	0.002 mg/m ³	15%
Mercury	SRM - BS EN 13211 / MID 14385	AE 107/AE 108	1015	Yes	0.0002 mg/m ³	130%
Hydrogen Chloride	SRM - BS EN 1911	AE 111	1015	Yes	0.001 mg/m ³	12%
Hydrogen Fluoride	SRM - BS ISO 15713	AE 113	1015	Yes	0.02 mg/m ³	14%
Nitrous Oxide	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	0.1 mg/m ³	172%
NO _x	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	3.5 mg/m ³	33%
SO ₂	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	0.7 mg/m ³	26%
CO	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	0.5 mg/m ³	13%
H ₂ O	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	0.01 %	11%
Velocity	SRM - BS EN ISO 16911-1	AE 154	1015	Yes	5 Pa	2.6%
Volumetric Flow Rate	SRM - BS EN ISO 16911-1	AE 154	1015	Yes	-	5.2%

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Analytical Methods

The following tables list the analytical methods employed together with the custody and archiving details:

SAMPLING METHODS WITH SUBSEQUENT ANALYSIS							
Species	Analytical Technique	Analytical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	UKAS Accredited Lab Analysis	Analysis Lab (ESG or Subcontract)	Sample Archive Location	Archive Period
PCDD/PCDF	Gas Chromatography - High Resolution Mass Spectrometry	MSOP1	1549	Yes	SAL	SAL	3 months
Cd & Tl	Inductively coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry	ASC/SOP/117	1015	Yes	ESG Bretby	ESG Bretby	3 months
Heavy Metals	Inductively coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry	ASC/SOP/117	1015	Yes	ESG Bretby	ESG Bretby	3 months
Mercury	Inductively coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry	ASC/SOP/117	1015	Yes	ESG Bretby	ESG Bretby	3 months
Hydrogen Chloride	Ion Chromatography	ASC/SOP/110	1015	Yes	ESG Bretby	ESG Bretby	3 months
Hydrogen Fluoride	Ion Chromatography	ASC/SOP/110	1015	Yes	ESG Bretby	ESG Bretby	3 months

ON-SITE TESTING							
Species	Analytical Technique	Analytical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	MCERTS Accredited Analysis	Laboratory	Data Archive Location	Archive Period
NO _x	Fourier Transform - Infra Red	AE 102	1015	Yes	ESG Stockport	ESG Stockport	5 years
SO ₂	Fourier Transform - Infra Red	AE 063	1015	Yes	ESG Stockport	ESG Stockport	5 years
CO	Fourier Transform - Infra Red	AE 063	1015	Yes	ESG Stockport	ESG Stockport	5 years
N ₂ O	Fourier Transform - Infra Red	AE 063	1015	Yes	ESG Stockport	ESG Stockport	5 years
H ₂ O	Fourier Transform - Infra Red	AE 063	1015	Yes	ESG Stockport	ESG Stockport	5 years

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SAMPLING LOCATION					
Sampling Plane Validation Criteria	Value	Units	Requirement	Compliant	Method
Lowest Differential Pressure	29	Pa	≥ 5 Pa	Yes	BS EN 15259
Lowest Gas Velocity	6.3	m/s	-	-	-
Highest Gas Velocity	6.3	m/s	-	-	-
Ratio of Gas Velocities	1.0	: 1	$< 3 : 1$	Yes	BS EN 15259
Mean Velocity	6.3	m/s	-	-	-
Maximum angle of flow with regard to duct axis	< 15	$^{\circ}$	$< 15^{\circ}$	Yes	BS EN 15259
No local negative flow	Yes	-	-	Yes	BS EN 15259

DUCT CHARACTERISTICS		
	Value	Units
Shape	Circular	-
Depth	0.25	m
Width	-	m
Area	0.05	m ²
Port Depth	60	mm

SAMPLING LINES & POINTS		
	Isokinetic	Non-Iso & Gases
Sample port size	4 inch BSP	4 Inch BSP
Number of lines used	1	1
Number of points / line	1	1
Duct orientation	Vertical	Vertical
Filtration	In Stack	In Stack

SAMPLING PLATFORM	
General Platform Information	
Permanent / Temporary Platform / Ground level / Floor Level / Roof	Floor Level
Inside / Outside	Outside

M1 Platform requirements	
Is there a sufficient working area so work can be performed in a compliant manner	Yes
Platform has 2 levels of handrails (approximately 0.5 m & 1.0 m high)	No
Platform has vertical base boards (approximately 0.25 m high)	No
Platform has removable chains / self closing gates at the top of ladders	No
Handrail / obstructions do not hamper insertion of sampling equipment	Yes
Depth of Platform = $>$ Stack depth / diameter + wall and port thickness + 1.5m	No

Sampling Platform Improvement Recommendations (if applicable)

The platform should be improved to meet the requirements of EA Guidance Note M1.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sampling & Analytical Method Deviations

In this instance there were no deviations from the sampling and analytical methods employed.

APPENDICES

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

MONITORING SCHEDULE					
Species	Method Standard Reference Method / Alternative Method	ESG Technical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	MCERTS Accredited Method	Number of Samples
PCDD/PCDF	SRM - BS EN 1948-1	AE 109	1015	Yes	1
Cd & Tl	SRM - BS EN 14385	AE 108	1015	Yes	1
Heavy Metals	SRM - BS EN 14385	AE 108	1015	Yes	1
Mercury	SRM - BS EN 13211 / MID 14385	AE 107/AE 108	1015	Yes	1
Hydrogen Chloride	SRM - BS EN 1911	AE 111	1015	Yes	1
Hydrogen Fluoride	SRM - BS ISO 15713	AE 113	1015	Yes	1
Nitrous Oxide	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	1
NOx	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	1
SO ₂	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	1
CO	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	1
H ₂ O	AM - M22/FTIR	AE 063	1015	Yes	1
Velocity	SRM - BS EN ISO 16911-1	AE 154	1015	Yes	1

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

CALIBRATEABLE EQUIPMENT CHECKLIST					
Extractive Sampling		Instrumental Analyser/s		Miscellaneous	
Equipment	Equipment I.D.	Equipment	Equipment I.D.	Equipment	Equipment I.D.
Control Box DGM	LNO 13-08	Horiba PG-250 Analyser	LNO 21-20	Laboratory Balance	LNO 00-12/00-13
Box Thermocouples	LNO 03-08	FT-IR Gasmet	LNO 0750	Tape Measure	LNO 24-JO
Meter In Thermocouple	LNO 03-08	FT-IR Oven Box	LNO 34-29	Stopwatch	LNO 17-JO
Meter Out Thermocouple	LNO 03-08	Bernath 3006 FID	-	Protractor	-
Control Box Timer	LNO 17-08	Signal 3030 FID	-	Barometer	LNO 08-JO
Oven Box	LNO 09-25	Servomex	-	Digital Micromanometer	LNO 01-JO
Probe	LNO 11-24	JCT Heated Head Filter	-	Digital Temperature Meter	LNO 03-JO
Probe Thermocouple	LNO 10-24	Thermo FID	LNO 21-09	Stack Thermocouple	LNO 10-JO
Probe	-	Stackmaster	-	Mass Flow Controller	LNO 29-44
Probe Thermocouple	-	FTIR Heater Box for Heated Line	-	MFC Display module	LNO 29-15
S-Pitot	LNO 06-JO	Anemometer	-	1m Heated Line (1)	-
L-Pitot	-	Ecophysics NOx Analyser	-	1m Heated Line (2)	-
Site Balance	LNO 14-JO	Chiller (JCT/MAK 10)	LNO 21-41	1m Heated Line (3)	-
Last Impinger Arm	-	Heated Line Controller (1)	LNO 03-95	5m Heated Line (1)	-
Dioxins Cond. Thermocouple	-	Heated Line Controller (2)	-	10m Heated Line (1)	-
Callipers	LNO 31-JO	Site temperature Logger	LNO 12-JO	10m Heated Line (2)	-
Small DGM	-		-	15m Heated Line (1)	-
Heater Controller	-		-	20m Heated Line (1)	LNO 18-85
Inclinometer (Swirl Device)	LNO 23-JO		-	20m Heated Line (2)	-

NOTE: If the equipment I.D is represented by a dash (-), then this piece of equipment has not been used for this test.

CALIBRATION / CHECK GASES					
Gas (traceable to ISO 17025)	Cylinder I.D Number	Supplier	ppm	%	Analytical Tolerance +/- %
Methane	HPC793	BOC	101.1	-	2.0
Sulphur Dioxide	242507	BOC	35	-	2.0
Nitrous Oxide	HPC 787	BOC	42.3	-	2.0

STACK EMISSIONS MONITORING TEAM

MONITORING TEAM								
Personnel	MCERTS Number	MCERTS		TE / H&S Qualifications and Expiry Date				
		Level	Expiry	TE1	TE2	TE3	TE4	H&S
Johnathon Orley	MM 08 983	MCERTS Level 2	Mar-20	Mar-20	Dec-21	Dec-20	Mar-21	Nov-18
Mike Howell	MM 13 1226	MCERTS Level 1	Jul-19	-	-	-	-	Apr-18

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS SUMMARY - UPPER LIMIT

NATO I-TEQ					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.002	0.0008	0.1	0.002
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.0008	-	-	-

WHO TEQ (Humans / Mammals)					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.002	0.001	-	0.002
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.0009	-	-	-

WHO TEQ (Fish)					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.002	0.001	-	0.002
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.001	-	-	-

WHO TEQ (Birds)					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.003	0.001	-	0.003
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.001	-	-	-

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS SUMMARY - LOWER LIMIT

NATO I-TEQ					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.002	-	0.1	0.002
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.00000	-	-	-

WHO TEQ (Humans / Mammals)					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.002	-	-	0.002
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.00000	-	-	-

WHO TEQ (Fish)					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.002	-	-	0.002
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.00000	-	-	-

WHO TEQ (Birds)					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration ng/m ³	LOD ng/m ³	Limit ng/m ³	Emission Rate µg/hr
Run 1	10:27 - 16:27 19 May 2016	0.003	-	-	0.003
Field Blanks Run 1	-	0.00000	-	-	-

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS ANALYSIS SUMMARY - RUN 1

NATO I-TEQ & WHO TEQ (Humans / Mammals)					
Congener	Result ng	NATO I-TEQ ng	WHO TEQ Humans / Mammals ng	Extraction Recovery	
				Actual %	Permitted %
Dioxins					
2378 Tetra CDD	0.0033	0.0033	0.0033	85	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDD	0.0054	0.0027	0.0054	83	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDD	0.0052	0.00052	0.00052	89	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDD	0.0066	0.00066	0.00066	73	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDD	0.0064	0.00064	0.00064		
1234678 Hepta CDD	0.024	0.00024	0.00024	80	50 - 130
OCDD Octa CDD	0.055	0.000055	0.0000165	76	20 - 150
Total -Dioxins	0.1059	0.00812	0.01078		
Furans					
2378 Tetra CDF	0.0068	0.00068	0.00068	89	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDF	0.0045	0.000225	0.000135	-	>=50
23478 Penta CDF	0.0045	0.00225	0.00135	91	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDF	0.0043	0.00043	0.00043	89	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDF	0.0045	0.00045	0.00045	81	50 - 130
234678 Hexa CDF	0.0046	0.00046	0.00046	78	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDF	0.0046	0.00046	0.00046	-	>=50
1234678 Hepta CDF	0.015	0.00015	0.00015	78	20 - 150
1234789 Hepta CDF	0.011	0.00011	0.00011	-	>=50
OCDF Octa CDF	0.033	0.000033	0.0000099	40	20 - 150
Total -Furans	0.0928	0.00525	0.00423		
Mean Recoveries (%)				79	
Total Isomers	0.1987	0.01336	0.01501		
Total ITEQ (<LOD = 0)		0.01336	0.01501		

NOTE: The Total Isomers result includes all isomers below the limit of detection. This gives a "worst case" Dioxins & Furans result.

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS ANALYSIS SUMMARY - RUN 1

WHO TEQ (Fish) & WHO TEQ (Birds)					
Congener	Result	WHO TEQ Fish	WHO TEQ Birds	Extraction Recovery	
				Actual	Permitted
	ng	ng	ng	%	%
Dioxins					
2378 Tetra CDD	0.0033	0.0033	0.0033	85	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDD	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	83	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDD	0.0052	0.0026	0.00026	89	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDD	0.0066	0.000066	0.000066	73	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDD	0.0064	0.000064	0.000064		
1234678 Hepta CDD	0.024	0.000024	0.000024	80	50 - 130
OCDD Octa CDD	0.055	-	-	76	20 - 150
Total -Dioxins	0.1059	0.01145	0.00911		
Furans					
2378 Tetra CDF	0.0068	0.00034	0.0068	89	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDF	0.0045	0.000225	0.000045	-	>=50
23478 Penta CDF	0.0045	0.00225	0.0045	91	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDF	0.0043	0.00043	0.00043	89	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDF	0.0045	0.00045	0.00045	81	50 - 130
234678 Hexa CDF	0.0046	0.00046	0.00046	78	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDF	0.0046	0.00046	0.00046	-	>=50
1234678 Hepta CDF	0.015	0.00015	0.00015	78	20 - 150
1234789 Hepta CDF	0.011	0.00011	0.00011	-	>=50
OCDF Octa CDF	0.033	0.0000033	0.0000033	40	20 - 150
Total -Furans	0.0928	0.00488	0.01341		
Mean Recoveries (%)				79	
Total Isomers	0.1987	0.01633	0.02252		
Total ITEQ (<LOD = 0)		0.01633	0.02252		

NOTE: The Total Isomers result includes all isomers below the limit of detection. This gives a "worst case" Dioxins & Furans result.

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS ANALYSIS SUMMARY - FIELD BLANK RUN 1

NATO I-TEQ & WHO TEQ (Humans / Mammals)					
Congener	Result	NATO I-TEQ	WHO TEQ Humans / Mammals	Extraction Recovery	
				Actual	Permitted
	ng	ng	ng	%	%
Dioxins					
2378 Tetra CDD	< 0.002	0.002	0.002	98	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDD	< 0.002	0.001	0.002	83	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDD	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	100	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDD	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	96	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDD	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002		
1234678 Hepta CDD	< 0.005	0.00005	0.00005	84	50 - 130
OCDD Octa CDD	< 0.066	0.000066	0.0000198	73	20 - 150
Total -Dioxins	0.081	0.00372	0.00467		
Furans					
2378 Tetra CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	104	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDF	< 0.002	0.0001	0.00006	-	>=50
23478 Penta CDF	< 0.002	0.001	0.0006	96	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	85	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	88	50 - 130
234678 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	84	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	-	>=50
1234678 Hepta CDF	< 0.008	0.00008	0.00008	81	20 - 150
1234789 Hepta CDF	< 0.008	0.00008	0.00008	-	>=50
OCDF Octa CDF	< 0.008	0.000008	0.0000024	77	20 - 150
Total -Furans	0.038	0.002268	0.0018224		
Mean Recoveries (%)				88	
Total Isomers	0.119	0.00598	0.00649		
Total ITEQ (<LOD = 0)		0.00000	0.00000		

NOTE: The Total Isomers result includes all isomers below the limit of detection. This gives a "worst case" Dioxins & Furans result.

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS ANALYSIS SUMMARY - FIELD BLANK RUN 1

WHO TEQ (Fish) & WHO TEQ (Birds)					
Congener	Result	WHO TEQ Fish	WHO TEQ Birds	Extraction Recovery	
				Actual	Permitted
	ng	ng	ng	%	%
Dioxins					
2378 Tetra CDD	< 0.002	0.002	0.002	98	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDD	< 0.002	0.002	0.002	83	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDD	< 0.002	0.001	0.0001	100	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDD	< 0.002	0.00002	0.00002	96	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDD	< 0.002	0.00002	0.00002		
1234678 Hepta CDD	< 0.005	0.000005	0.000005	84	50 - 130
OCDD Octa CDD	< 0.066	-	-	73	20 - 150
Total -Dioxins	0.081	0.00505	0.00415		
Furans					
2378 Tetra CDF	< 0.002	0.0001	0.002	104	50 - 130
12378 Penta CDF	< 0.002	0.0001	0.00002	-	>=50
23478 Penta CDF	< 0.002	0.001	0.002	96	50 - 130
123478 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	85	50 - 130
123678 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	88	50 - 130
234678 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	84	50 - 130
123789 Hexa CDF	< 0.002	0.0002	0.0002	-	>=50
1234678 Hepta CDF	< 0.008	0.00008	0.00008	81	20 - 150
1234789 Hepta CDF	< 0.008	0.00008	0.00008	-	>=50
OCDF Octa CDF	< 0.008	0.0000008	0.0000008	77	20 - 150
Total -Furans	0.038	0.00216	0.00498		
Mean Recoveries (%)				88	
Total Isomers	0.119	0.00721	0.00913		
Total ITEQ (<LOD = 0)		0.00000	0.00000		

NOTE: The Total Isomers result includes all isomers below the limit of detection. This gives a "worst case" Dioxins & Furans result.

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

ISOKINETIC SAMPLING EQUATIONS - RUN 1			Dioxins & Furans	
Absolute pressure of stack gas, P_s			Molecular weight of dry gas, M_d	
Barometric pressure, P_b	mm Hg	756.01	CO ₂	% 6.72
Stack static pressure, P_{static}	mm H ₂ O	1.53	O ₂	% 20.95
$P_s = P_b + (P_{static})$	mm Hg	756.12	Total	% 27.67
13.6			N ₂ (100 - Total)	% 72.33
			$M_d = 0.44(\%CO_2) + 0.32(\%O_2) + 0.28(\%N_2)$	29.91
Vol. of water vapour collected, V_{wstd}			Molecular weight of wet gas, M_s	
Moisture trap weight increase, V_{lc}	g	H ₂ O by FTIR	$M_s = M_d(1 - B_{wo}) + 18(B_{wo})$	g/gmol 28.48
$V_{wstd} = (0.001246)(V_{lc})$	m ³	-	Velocity of stack gas, V_s	
Volume of gas metered dry, V_{mstd}			Pitot tube velocity constant, K_p	34.97
Volume of gas sample through gas meter, V_m	7.46		Velocity pressure coefficient, C_p	.84
Gas meter correction factor, Y_d	0.96348		Mean of velocity heads, DP_{avg}	mm H ₂ O 2.59
Mean dry gas meter temperature, T_m	23.28		Mean square root of velocity heads, $\ddot{O}DP$	1.61
Mean pressure drop across orifice, DH	mmH ₂ O 36.78		Mean stack gas temperature, T_s	°C 46
$V_{mstd} = \frac{(0.3592)(V_m)(P_b + (DH/13.6))(Y_d)}{T_m + 273}$	6.61		$V_s = \frac{(K_p)(C_p)(\ddot{O}DP)(\ddot{O}(T_s + 273))}{(M_s)(P_s)}$	m/s 5.76
Volume of gas metered wet, V_{mstw}			Actual flow of stack gas, Q_a	
$V_{mstw} = V_{mstd} + V_{wstd}$	m ³	7.5255	Area of stack, A_s	m ² 0.05
Vol. of gas metered at O₂ Ref. Cond., $V_{mstd@X\%O_2}$			$Q_a = (60)(A_s)(V_s)$	m ³ /min 17.0
Is the process burning hazardous waste? (If yes, no favourable oxygen correction)	No		Total flow of stack gas, Q	
% oxygen measured in gas stream, act%O ₂	20.95		Conversion factor (K/mm.Hg)	
% oxygen reference condition	21		$Q_{std} = \frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1 - B_{wo})}{(T_s) + 273}$	Dry 12.7
O ₂ Reference O ₂ Ref = 21.0 - act%O ₂	No O ₂ Ref		$Q_{stdO_2} = \frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1 - B_{wo})(O_2REF)}{(T_s) + 273}$	@O ₂ ref No O ₂ Ref
Factor 21.0 - ref%O ₂	No O ₂ Ref		$Q_{stw} = \frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)}{(T_s) + 273}$	Wet 14
$V_{mstd@X\%oxygen} = (V_{mstd})(O_2 Ref)$	m ³	No O ₂ Ref	Percent isokinetic, %I	
Moisture content, B_{wo}			Nozzle diameter, D_n	mm 8.9
$B_{wo} = \frac{V_{wstd}}{V_{mstd} + V_{wstd}}$	%	0.1200	Nozzle area, A_n	mm ² 62.1
		12.12	Total sampling time, q	min 360.0
Moisture by FTIR			%I = $\frac{(4.6398E6)(T_s + 273)(V_{mstd})}{(P_s)(V_s)(A_n)(q)(1 - B_{wo})}$	% 114.2
	%	12.12290456	Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%	
			Yes	

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

DIOXINS & FURANS QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

Leak Test Results	Mean Sampling Rate litre/min	Pre-sampling Leak Rate litre/min	Post-sampling Leak Rate litre/min	Maximum Vacuum mm Hg	Acceptable Leak Rate litre/min	Leak Tests Acceptable litre/min
Run 1	19.97	0.32	0.28	-381	1.00	Yes

Isokinetic Criterion Compliance	Isokinetic Variation %	Acceptable Isokineticity
Run 1	114	Yes

Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%

Filtration	Filter Material	Filter Size mm	Maximum Filtration Temperature °C
Run 1	Quartz Fibre	90	120

Critical Sampling Requirement	Maximum Temperature at Condenser / Adsorber °C	Acceptable Temperature?	Maximum Temperature during storage / transit °C	Acceptable Temperature?
Run 1	15	Yes	20	Yes
Acceptance Criteria	< 20°C	-	< 25°C	-

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

HEAVY METALS SOLID & VAPOUR PHASES COMBINED

CADMIUM & THALLIUM COMBINED					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	Limit mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	12:50 - 14:50 18 May 2016	0.0007	0.0005	0.05	0.001
Field Blank	-	0.0005	-	-	-
TOTAL HEAVY METALS COMBINED					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	Limit mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	12:50 - 14:50 18 May 2016	0.03	0.002	0.5	0.03
Field Blank	-	0.004	-	-	-

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

INDIVIDUAL METALS SUMMARY - SOLID & VAPOUR PHASES COMBINED

Metals	LOD mg/m ³	Concentration mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Cadmium	0.00024	0.00043	0.00036
Thallium	0.00028	0.00029	0.00024
Cadmium & Thallium	0.00051	0.00072	0.00060

Metals	LOD mg/m ³	Concentration mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Arsenic	0.00019	0.00049	0.00040
Antimony	0.00019	0.00039	0.00033
Chromium	0.00016	0.00779	0.00645
Cobalt	0.00010	0.00033	0.00028
Copper	0.00024	0.00422	0.00349
Lead	0.00024	0.00645	0.00534
Manganese	0.00023	0.00313	0.00259
Nickel	0.00019	0.00771	0.00638
Vanadium	0.00014	0.00047	0.00039
Total Other Heavy Metals	0.00168	0.03100	0.02565

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

HEAVY METALS - RUN 1 SUMMARY

Metals	PARTICULATE PHASE			VAPOUR PHASE		
	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³
Cadmium	0.0002	0.50	0.0002	0.00001	0.45	0.0002
Thallium	0.0003	0.60	0.0003	0.00001	0.04	0.00002
Cadmium & Thallium	0.000	1.10	0.000	0.00001	0.50	0.0002
Volume Sampled m ³		2.2146			2.2146	

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

Metals	PARTICULATE PHASE			VAPOUR PHASE		
	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³
Arsenic	0.00018	1.00000	0.00045	0.00001	0.07467	0.00003
Antimony	0.00018	0.70000	0.00032	0.00001	0.17307	0.00008
Chromium	0.00014	16.00000	0.00722	0.00003	1.26243	0.00057
Cobalt	0.00009	0.70000	0.00032	0.00001	0.04187	0.00002
Copper	0.00023	8.40000	0.00379	0.00001	0.94909	0.00043
Lead	0.00023	5.90000	0.00266	0.00001	8.38140	0.00378
Manganese	0.00018	5.90000	0.00266	0.00005	1.03842	0.00047
Nickel	0.00018	16.00000	0.00722	0.00001	1.08029	0.00049
Vanadium	0.00014	1.00000	0.00045	0.00001	0.04187	0.00002
Total Other Heavy Metals	0.00154	55.60000	0.02511	0.00014	13.04311	0.00589
Volume Sampled m ³		2.2146			2.2146	

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

HEAVY METALS - BLANK SUMMARY

Metals	PARTICULATE PHASE			VAPOUR PHASE		
	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³
Cadmium	0.00023	0.5	0.00023	0.00001	0.02370	0.00001
Thallium	0.00023	0.6	0.00027	0.00001	0.02370	0.00001
Cadmium & Thallium	0.00045	1.1	0.00050	0.00001	0.04740	0.00002
Volume Sampled m ³		2.2146			2.2146	

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

Metals	PARTICULATE PHASE			VAPOUR PHASE		
	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³	Stack LOD mg/m ³	Laboratory Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³
Arsenic	0.00018	0.70000	0.00032	0.00001	0.02370	0.00001
Antimony	0.00018	0.40000	0.00018	0.00001	0.02370	0.00001
Chromium	0.00014	2.00000	0.00090	0.00003	0.07110	0.00003
Cobalt	0.00009	0.20000	0.00009	0.00001	0.02370	0.00001
Copper	0.00023	0.50000	0.00023	0.00001	0.07110	0.00003
Lead	0.00023	0.50000	0.00023	0.00001	0.16590	0.00007
Manganese	0.00018	0.40000	0.00018	0.00005	0.11850	0.00005
Nickel	0.00018	3.00000	0.00135	0.00001	0.04740	0.00002
Vanadium	0.00014	0.30000	0.00014	0.00001	0.02370	0.00001
Total Other Heavy Metals	0.00154	8.00000	0.00361	0.00014	0.56880	0.00026
Volume Sampled m ³		2.2146			2.2146	

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

MERCURY SUMMARY - PARTICULATE & VAPOUR PHASES COMBINED

Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	Limit mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	12:50 - 14:50 18 May 2016	0.0004	0.0002	0.05	0.0004
Field Blank	-	0.0003	-	-	-

Mercury	PARTICULATE PHASE			VAPOUR PHASE		
	Stack LOD mean mg/m ³	Lab Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³	Stack LOD mean mg/m ³	Lab Result ug	Concentration mg/m ³
Run 1	0.00023	0.70	0.00032	0.000003	0.27	0.0001
Volume Sampled m ³		2.2146			2.2146	
Field Blank	-	0.50	0.00023	-	0.16	0.0001
Volume Sampled m ³		2.2146			2.2146	

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

ISOKINETIC SAMPLING EQUATIONS RUN 1			Cd, Tl, Heavy Metals & Mercury		
Absolute pressure of stack gas, P_s			Molecular weight of dry gas, M_d		
Barometric pressure, P _b	mm Hg	750.0	CO ₂	%	6.72
Stack static pressure, P _{static}	mm H ₂ O	1.5	O ₂	%	20.95
P _s = P _b + (P _{static})	mm Hg	750.1	Total	%	27.67
13.6			N ₂ (100 -Total)	%	72.33
			M _d = 0.44(%CO ₂)+0.32(%O ₂)+0.28(%N ₂)		29.91
Vol. of water vapour collected, V_{wstd}			Molecular weight of wet gas, M_s		
Moisture trap weight increase, V _{lc}	g	H 0 by FTIR	M _s = M _d (1 - B _{wo}) + 18(B _{wo})	g/gmol	28.47
V _{wstd} = (0.001246)(V _{lc})	m ³	-	Velocity of stack gas, V_s		
Volume of gas metered dry, V_{mstd}			Pitot tube velocity constant, K _p		34.97
Volume of gas sample through gas meter, V _m		2.216	Velocity pressure coefficient, C _p		.84
Gas meter correction factor, Y _d		0.96348	Mean of velocity heads, DP _{avg}	mm H ₂ O	2.37
Mean dry gas meter temperature, T _m		23.54	Mean square root of velocity heads, ÖDP		1.54
Mean pressure drop across orifice, DH	mm	33.74	Mean stack gas temperature, T _s	°C	44
			V _s = $\frac{(K_p)(C_p)(\ddot{O}DP)(\ddot{O}(T_s + 273))}{(M_s)(P_s)}$	m/s	5.50
V _{mstd} = $\frac{(0.3592)(V_m)(P_b + (DH/13.6))(Y_d)}{T_m + 273}$		1.95	Actual flow of stack gas, Q_a		
Volume of gas metered wet, V_{mstw}			Area of stack, A _s	m ²	0.05
V _{mstw} = V _{mstd} + V _{wstd}	m ³	2.2146	Q _a = (60)(A _s)(V _s)	m ³ /min	16.2
Vol. of gas metered at O₂ Ref. Cond., V_{mstd@X%O2}			Total flow of stack gas, Q		
Is the process burning hazardous waste? (If yes, no favourable oxygen correction)	No		Conversion factor (K/mm.Hg)		0.3592
% oxygen measured in gas stream, act%O ₂	21.0		Q _{std} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1-B_{wo})}{(T_s) + 273}$	Dry	12.1
% oxygen reference condition	21		Q _{stdO2} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1-B_{wo})(O_2REF)}{(T_s) + 273}$	@O2ref	No O2 Ref
O ₂ Reference O2 Ref = 21.0 - act%O ₂	No O2 Ref		Q _{stw} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)}{(T_s) + 273}$	Wet	13.8
Factor 21.0 - ref%O ₂	No O2 Ref		Percent isokinetic, %I		
V _{mstd@X%oxygen} = (V _{mstd}) (O ₂ Ref)	m ³	No O2 Ref	Nozzle diameter, D _n	mm	8.90
Moisture content, B_{wo}			Nozzle area, A _n	mm ²	62.22
B _{wo} = $\frac{V_{wstd}}{V_{mstd} + V_{wstd}}$	%	0.1212	Total sampling time, q	min	120
		12.12	%I = $\frac{(4.6398E6)(T_s + 273)(V_{mstd})}{(P_s)(V_s)(A_n)(q)(1-B_{wo})}$	%	105.6
Moisture by FTIR			Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%		
	%	12.12290456	Yes		

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

HEAVY METALS QA CHECKLIST

Leak Test Results	Mean Sampling Rate litre/min	Pre-sampling Leak Rate litre/min	Post-sampling Leak Rate litre/min	Maximum Vacuum mm Hg	Acceptable Leak Rate litre/min	Leak Tests Acceptable litre/min
Run 1	17.8	0.24	0.18	-381	0.36	Yes

Isokinetic Criterion Compliance	Isokinetic Variation %	Acceptable Isokineticity
Run 1	105.6	Yes

Filtration / Temp	Filter Material	Filter Size mm	Maximum Filtration Temperature °C	Maximum storage / transit Temperature °C
Run 1	Quartz Fibre	47	180	18

Metals	Type of Absorbers - Metals	Absorption Solutions - Metals
Run 1	PTFE	3.3% Nitric Acid, 1.5% Hydrogen Peroxide

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

HEAVY METALS ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY

Parameter		Total ug	3rd Absorber ug	Absorption Efficiency (%)	Required %	Pass / Fail
Cadmium	Run 1	0.95361	0.03	97	90	N/A <30% ELV
Thallium	Run 1	1.59548	ND	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
Arsenic	Run 1	1.07467	ND	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
Antimony	Run 1	0.87307	ND	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
Chromium	Run 1	17.26243	0.08	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
Cobalt	Run 1	0.74187	ND	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
Copper	Run 1	9.34909	0.06	99	90	N/A <30% ELV
Lead	Run 1	14.28140	0.18	99	90	N/A <30% ELV
Manganese	Run 1	6.93842	0.05	99	90	N/A <30% ELV
Nickel	Run 1	17.08029	0.06	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
Vanadium	Run 1	1.04187	ND	100	90	N/A <30% ELV
-	Run 1	-	-	-	-	N/A <30% ELV

Parameter		Total ug	5th Absorber ug	Absorption Efficiency	Required	Pass / Fail
Mercury	Run 1	0.97	ND	100	95	N/A <30% ELV

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE SUMMARY					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	Limit mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	11:15 - 12:15 18 May 2016	0.04	0.001	20	0.03
Field Blank	-	0.002	-	-	-

Please note figures in bold italic font are at the limit of detection

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

Leak Test Results	Mean Sampling Rate l/min	Pre sampling leak rate l/min	Post sampling leak rate l/min	Acceptable leak rate l/min	Leak Tests Acceptable?
Run 1	19.2	0.22	0.20	0.38	Yes

	Filter Material	Filter Size mm	Max. Filtration Temp. °C	Max. Storage / Transit Temp. °C	Type of Absorbers	Absorption Solutions
Run 1	Quartz Fibre	47	160	18	Glass	HPLC Water

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY

Parameter	Total ug	IMP C ug	Absorption Efficiency %	Acceptable Absorption Efficiency %	Absorption Efficiency Acceptable ?
Run 1	47.58	8.82	81	95	N/A- < 1mg/m ³

ND - None Detected

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

ISOKINETIC SAMPLING EQUATIONS 1			Hydrogen Chloride	
Absolute pressure of stack gas, P_s			Velocity of stack gas, V_s	
Barometric pressure, P _b	mm Hg	750	Pitot tube velocity constant, K _p	34.97
Stack static pressure, P _{static}	mm H ₂ O	1	Velocity pressure coefficient, C _p	.84
P _s = P _b + (P _{static})	mm Hg	750	Mean of velocity heads, DP _{avg}	mm H ₂ O 2.63
13.6			Mean square root of velocity heads, ÖDP	1.62
Vol. of water vapour collected, V_{wstd}			Mean stack gas temperature, T _s	°C 42
Moisture trap weight increase, Vlc	g	H 0 by FTIR	V _s = (K _p)(C _p)(ÖDP)(Ö(T _s + 273))	m/s 5.8
V _{wstd} = (0.001246)(V _{lc})	m ³	-	(M _s)(P _s)	
Volume of gas metered dry, V_{mstd}			Actual flow of stack gas, Q_a	
Volume of gas sample through gas meter, V _m		1.1960	Area of stack, A _s	m ² 0.05
Gas meter correction factor, Y _d		0.96348	Q _a = (60)(A _s)(V _s)	m ³ /min 17
Mean dry gas meter temperature, T _m		19.92	Dry total flow of stack gas, Q_{std}	
Mean pressure drop across orifice, DH	mmH ₂ O	34.59	Conversion factor (K/mm.Hg)	0.3592
V _{mstd} = (0.3592)(V _m)(P _b + (DH/13.6))(Y _d)		1.06	Q _{std} = (Q _a)P _s (0.3592)(1-B _{wo})	m ³ /min 13
T _m + 273			(T _s) + 273	
Volume of gas metered wet, V_{mstw}			Wet total flow of stack gas, Q_{stw}	
V _{mstw} = V _{mstd} + V _{wstd}	m ³	1.2101	Q _{stw} = (Q _a)P _s (0.3592)	m ³ /min 15
Vol. of gas metered at O₂ Ref. Cond., V_{mstd@X%O2}			(T _s) + 273	
Is the process burning hazardous waste? (If yes, no favourable oxygen correction)	No		Dry total flow of stack gas at X% O₂, Q_{stdO2}	
% oxygen measured in gas stream, act%O ₂	20.9		Q _{stdO2} = (Q _a)P _s (0.3592)(1-B _{wo})(O ₂ REF)	m ³ /min No O2 Ref
% oxygen reference condition	21		(T _s) + 273	
O ₂ Reference	O2 Ref = 21.0 - act%O ₂	No O2 Ref	Percent isokinetic, %I	
Factor	21.0 - ref%O ₂	No O2 Ref	Nozzle diameter, D _n	mm 8.90
V _{mstd@X%oxygen} = (V _{mstd})(O ₂ Ref)	m ³	No O2 Ref	Nozzle area, A _n	mm ² 62.22
Moisture content, B_{wo}			Total sampling time, q	min 60
B _{wo} = (V _{wstd}) / (V _{mstd} + V _{wstd})	%	0.1212	%I = (4.6398E6)(T _s +273)(V _{mstd})	% 109
		12.12	(P _s)(V _s)(A _n)(q)(1-B _{wo})	
Moisture by FTIR			Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%	Yes
	%	12.12290456	Hydrogen Chloride Concentration, C	
Molecular weight of dry gas, M_d			Mass collected, M	ug 48
CO ₂		6.72	C _{wet} = M _n / V _{mstw}	mg/m ³ 0.039
O ₂		20.9	C _{dry} = M _n / V _{mstd}	mg/m ³ 0.045
Total		27.62	C _{dry@X%O2} = (M _n) / (V _{mstd@X%oxygen})	mg/m ³ No O2 Ref
N ₂ (100 -Total)		72.38		
M _d = 0.44(%CO ₂)+0.32(%O ₂)+0.28(%N ₂)		29.91	Hydrogen Chloride Emission Rates, E	
Molecular weight of wet gas, M_s			E = [(C _{wet})(Q _{stw})(60)] / 1000	g/hr 0.03
M _s = M _d (1 - B _{wo}) + 18(B _{wo})	g/gmol	28.5		

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE SUMMARY					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	Limit mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	15:22 - 16:22 18 May 2016	0.06	0.02	2	0.06
Field Blank	-	0.03	-	-	-

Please note figures in bold italic font are at the limit of detection

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

Leak Test Results	Mean Sampling Rate l/min	Pre sampling leak rate l/min	Post sampling leak rate l/min	Acceptable leak rate l/min	Leak Tests Acceptable?
Run 1	2.0	0.002	0.001	0.04	Yes

	Filter Material	Filter Size mm	Max. Filtration Temp. °C	Max. Storage / Transit Temp. °C	Type of Absorbers	Absorption Solutions
Run 1	Quartz Fibre	47	150	18	PE	0.1N Sodium Hydroxide

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY

Parameter	Total ug	IMP C ug	Absorption Efficiency %	Acceptable Absorption Efficiency %	Absorption Efficiency Acceptable ?
Run 1	ND	ND	100	95	Yes

ND - None Detected

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

COMBUSTION GAS SUMMARY

Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	Analysis Areas	Interference (%) *	LOD mg/m ³	Limit mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Oxides of Nitrogen	12:17 - 16:17 19 May 2016	39	1875 - 2130 / 2700 - 2950	1.05	3.4	400	37
Sulphur Dioxide	12:17 - 16:17 19 May 2016	21	1050 - 1250	0.76	0.65	100	20
Carbon Monoxide	12:17 - 16:17 19 May 2016	30	2000 - 2200 / 2540 - 2590	0.78	0.46	150	29
Nitrous Oxide	12:17 - 16:17 19 May 2016	0.65	2100 - 2223 / 2540 - 2600	0.62	0.10	-	0.63

*M22 Specifies interference must be <5%.

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa without correction for water vapour

Test	Sampling Times	Concentration %	Analysis Areas	Interference (%) *	LOD %
Moisture	12:17 - 16:17 19 May 2016	12.1	3200 - 3401	0.7	0.01

*M22 Specifies interference must be <5%.

FTIR CALIBRATION CHECKS

Pre - Sampling Checks - System			Date of Checks	19 May 2016		Time of Checks	11:34	
Post Sampling Checks - System			Date of Checks	20 May 2016		Time of Checks	14:00	
Compound	Pre - Test Zero Reading	Post Test Zero Reading	Zero Drift as a % of Range	Span Gas (ppm)	Pre - Test Span Reading	% Variation from Actual	Post Test Span Reading	% Span Drift
H ₂ O	0.07	0.00	-0.22	101.1	105.9	4.71	105.9	0.00
CO	0.31	0.80	0.49	79.8	81.7	2.34	82.7	1.31
NO _x	-0.12	0.00	0.06	199.0	198.7	-0.17	200.4	0.86
SO ₂	0.28	0.80	1.05	35.0	34.5	-1.33	34.6	0.10
N ₂ O	0.00	-0.10	-1.01	42.3	43.9	3.70	43.0	-1.90

Note - Methane was used as a surrogate span check for moisture. All other surrogates are listed in the individual measurement uncertainty budgets.

Acceptance criteria for initial span check variation is +/-5% of certified reading for all gases, except HCl and NH₃ which are +/- 10% of certified reading.


Acceptance criteria for % zero drift across the test is +/-2% of range for all gases.

Acceptance criteria for % span drift across the test is +/-5% for all gases, except very reactive gases, such as HCl and NH₃ which are exempt.


M22 also states that if the gas with the narrowest peak width (Methane) has to be drift corrected and that correction should also apply to all gases.

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts


MOISTURE EMISSIONS CHART



OXIDES OF NITROGEN EMISSIONS CHART




SULPHUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS CHART



APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

CARBON MONOXIDE EMISSIONS CHART

NITROUS OXIDE EMISSIONS CHART



APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY

Stack Characteristics		
Stack Diameter / Depth, D	0.25	m
Stack Width, W	-	m
Stack Area, A	0.05	m ²
Average stack gas temperature	41	°C
Stack static pressure	0.015	kPa
Barometric Pressure	100	kPa

Stack Gas Composition & Molecular Weights								
Component	Molar Mass M	Density kg/m ³ p	Conc Dry % Vol	Dry Volume Fraction r	Dry Conc kg/m ³ pi	Conc Wet % Vol	Wet Volume Fraction r	Wet Conc kg/m ³ pi
CO ₂	44	1.963059	1.421494	0.014215	0.027905	1.249167	0.012492	0.024522
O ₂	32	1.427679	20.950000	0.209500	0.299099	18.410251	0.184103	0.262839
N ₂	28	1.249219	77.628506	0.776285	0.969750	68.217676	0.682177	0.852188
H ₂ O	18	0.803070	-	-	-	12.122905	0.121229	0.097355

Where: $p = M / 22.41$ $pi = r \times p$

Calculation of Stack Gas Densities		
Determinand	Result	Units
Dry Density (STP), P_{STD}	1.2968	kg/m ³
Wet Density (STP), P_{STW}	1.2369	kg/m ³
Dry Density (Actual), P_{Actual}	1.1131	kg/m ³
Average Wet Density (Actual), $P_{ActualW}$	1.062	kg/m ³

Where:

P_{STD} = sum of component concentrations, kg/m³ (not including water vapour)

$P_{STW} = (P_{STD} + pi \text{ of H}_2\text{O}) / (1 + (pi \text{ of H}_2\text{O} / 0.8036))$

$P_{Actual} = P_{STD} \times (Ts / Ps) \times (Pa / Ta)$

$P_{ActualW} = P_{STW} \times (Ts / Ps) \times (Pa / Ta)$

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY

TRAVERSE 1

Date of Survey	18 May 2016
Time of Survey	09:05
Velocity Measurement Device:	S-Type Pitot

Sampling Line A								
Traverse Point	Distance into duct (m)	DP pt mmH ₂ O (average of 3 readings)	DP pt Pa (average of 3 readings)	Temp °C	Velocity m/s	Volumetric Flow Rate (actual) m ³ /s	O ₂ % Vol	Angle of Swirl °
1	0.13	3.1	31	41	6.3	0.3	-	<15
Mean	-	3.1	31	41	6.3	0.3	-	-

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

PITOT LEAK CHECK								
Run	Pre Traverse Leak Rate				Post Traverse Leak Rate			
	Start Value mmH ₂ O	End Value mmH ₂ O	Difference %	Outcome	Start Value mmH ₂ O	End Value mmH ₂ O	Difference %	Outcome
Run 1	90	89	1.1	Pass	90	89	1.1	Pass

To complete a compliant pitot leak check a pressure of over 80 mmH₂O (or 800 Pa) is applied and the pressure drop monitored over 5 mins. A drop of less than 5% must be observed.

S-Type Pitot Stagnation Check				
Run	Stagnation (Pa)	Reference (Pa)	Difference (Pa)	Outcome (Permitted +/- 10 Pa)
Run 1	10	15	-5.0	Pass

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY (CONTINUED)

Sampling Plane Validation Criteria				
EA Technical Guidance Note (Monitoring) M1	Result	Units	Requirement	Compliant
Lowest Differential Pressure	31	Pa	>= 5 Pa	Yes
Lowest Gas Velocity	6.3	m/s	-	-
Highest Gas Velocity	6.3	m/s	-	-
Ratio of Gas Velocities	1.0	-	< 3 : 1	Yes
Maximum angle of flow with regard to duct axis	<15	°	< 15°	Yes
No local negative flow	Yes	-	-	Yes

Calculation of Stack Gas Velocity, V		
Velocity at Traverse Point, $V = K_{pt} \times (1-e) \times \sqrt{2 \times DP_{pt} / \rho_{ActualW}}$		
Where: K_{pt} = Pitot tube calibration coefficient (1-e) = Compressibility correction factor, assumed at a constant 0.998		
Average Stack Gas Velocity, V_a	6.3	m/s

Calculation of Stack Gas Volumetric Flowrate, Q			
Duct gas flow conditions	Actual	Reference	Units
Temperature	41	0	°C
Total Pressure	100.0	101.3	kPa
Oxygen	21.0	21	%
Moisture	12.12	12.12	%
Pitot tube calibration coefficient, K_{pt}	0.85		

Gas Volumetric Flowrate	Result	Units
Average Stack Gas Velocity (V_a)	6.32	m/s
Stack Area (A)	0.05	m ²
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (Actual), Q_{Actual}	1116	m ³ /hr
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Wet), Q_{STP}	958	m ³ /hr
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Dry), $Q_{STP,Dry}$	842	m ³ /hr
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (REF), Q_{Ref}	958	m ³ /hr

Where:

$$Q_{Actual} = V_a \times A \times 3600$$

$$Q_{STP} = Q_{Actual} \times (T_s / T_a) \times (P_a / P_s) \times 3600$$

$$Q_{STP,Dry} = Q_{STP} \times (100 - (100 / Ma)) \times 3600$$

$$Q_{Ref} = Q_{STP} \times ((100 - Ma) / (100 - Ms)) \times ((20.9 - O_{2a}) / (20.9 - O_{2s}))$$

Nomenclature:

T_s = Absolute Temperature, Standard Conditions, 273 K

P_s = Absolute Pressure, Standard Conditions, 101.3 kPa

T_a = Absolute Temperature, Actual Conditions, K

P_a = Absolute Pressure, Actual Conditions, kPa

Ma = Water vapour, Actual Conditions, % Vol


Ms = Water vapour, Reference Conditions, % Vol

O_{2a} = Oxygen, Actual Conditions, % Vol

O_{2s} = Oxygen, Reference Conditions, % Vol

STACK DIAGRAM

Non-Isokinetic/Gases Sampling			
Sampling Point	Distance (% of Depth)	Distance into Stack	Units
A	50	0.13	m

[illegible]

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - DIOXINS & FURANS

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Leak %
MU required	≤ 2%	≤ 2%	≤ 1%	≤ 1%	≤ 10%	≤ 2%
Run 1	0.001	2.0	0.50	1.0	N/A	-
as a %	0.01	0.7	0.50	1.0	N/A	1.40
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	O2 Correction -	Mass of Dioxin & Furan ng	Leak ng/m ³	Laboratory analysis -	Combined uncertainty
Run 1	6.9011	1.0	0.1987	0.00001	-	-
MU as ng/m ³	0.00002	-	0.0011	0.00001	0.0002	0.0011
MU as %	1.3046	-	59.8893	0.8095	10.00	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.002	ng/m³	122	%
---	--------------	-------------------------	------------	----------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)

Developed for the STA by R Robinson, NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - CADMIUM & THALLIUM

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Concentration in impinger mg	Leak %
MU required	<=2%	<2.5 k	<=1%	<=1%	<=5%	<5%	<=2%
Run 1	0.001	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.1	0.00	-
as a %	0.05	0.7	0.50	1.0	-	3.00	1.01
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	O2 Correction -	Mass of Cadmium & Thallium mg	Leak mg/m ³	Lab Uncertainty mg	Combined uncertainty
Run 1	2.0156	-	1.5955	0.000004	-	-
MU as mg	0.00001	-	0.0005	0.000004	0.00004	0.0005
MU as %	1.3064	-	71.5691	0.5841	5.00000	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.001	mg/m³	144	%
---	--------------	-------------------------	------------	----------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)
Developed for the STA by R Robinson, NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - HEAVY METALS

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Concentration in impinger mg	Leak %
MU required	<=2%	<2.5 k	<=1%	<=1%	<=5%	<5%	<=2%
Run 1	0.001	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.10	0.001	-
as a %	0.05	0.73	0.50	1.0	-	3.00	1.01
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	O2 Correction -	Mass of Heavy Metals mg	Leak mg/m ³	Lab Uncertainty mg	Combined uncertainty
Run 1	2.1894	-	68.6431	0.0002	-	-
MU as mg/m ³	0.0004	-	0.0017	0.0002	0.00155	0.0023
MU as %	1.3372	-	5.4106	0.5841	5.00000	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.005	mg/m³	15	%
---	--------------	-------------------------	-----------	----------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)

Developed for the STA by R Robinson, NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - MERCURY

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Concentration in impinger mg	Leak %
MU required	<=2%	<2.5 k	<=1%	<=1%	<=5%	<5%	<=2%
Run 1	0.001	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.10	0.00001	-
as a %	0.05	0.7	0.50	1.0	-	3.00	1.01
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	O2 Correction -	Mass of Mercury mg	Leak mg/m ³	Lab Uncertainty mg	Combined
Run 1	2.0156	-	0.9665	0.000003	-	-
MU as mg/m ³	0.00001	-	0.0003	0.000003	0.00002	0.0003
MU as %	1.3064	-	64.7411	0.5841	5.00000	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.001	mg/m³	130	%
---	--------------	-------------------------	------------	----------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)

Developed for the STA by R Robinson, NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - ISOKINETIC HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Concentration in impinger mg	Limit of Detection % by mass	Leak %
MU required	<=2%	<2.5 k	<=1%	<=1%	<=5%	<5%	≤ 5% of ELV	<=2%
Run 1	1.2101	293	100	1.0	-	0.00042	0.00019	-
as a %	0.08	0.68	0.50	1.0	-	3.00	0.01	1.04
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	Mass of Hydrogen Chloride mg	O2 Correction -	Leak mg/m ³	Lab Uncertainty mg	Combined uncertainty
Run 1	1.1145	0.0002	-	0.0002	-	-
MU as mg/m ³	0.0005	0.0012	-	0.0002	0.0019	0.0023
MU as %	1.3129	3.0083	-	0.6012	4.8	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.005	mg/m³	12	%
---	--------------	-------------------------	-----------	----------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)
Developed for the STA by R Robinson, NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - NON-ISOKINETIC HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Concentration in impinger mg	Limit of Detection % by mass	Leak %
MU required	<=2%	<2.5 k	<=1%	<=1%	<=5%	<5%	≤ 5% of ELV	<=2%
Run 1	0.00004	2.000	0.500	1.000	0.100	0.001	0.0002	-
as a %	0.033	0.692	0.067	1.000	-	3.000	3.013	0.050
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	Mass of Hydrogen Fluoride mg	O2 Correction -	Leak mg/m ³	Lab Uncertainty mg	Combined uncertainty
Run 1	0.8515	0.0070	-	0.00002	-	-
MU as mg/m ³	0.0007	0.0017	-	0.00002	0.0035	0.0040
MU as %	1.2179	3.0135	-	0.0289	6.1	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.01	mg/m³	14	%
---	-------------	-------------------------	-----------	----------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)
Developed for the STA by R Robinson, NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - OXIDES OF NITROGEN

Actual Measured Concentration	38.93	mg/m ³
Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	38.93	mg/m ³
Emission Limit Value	400	mg/m ³
Instrument Range	410	mg/m ³
Check Gas Concentration (Nitric Oxide was used as a Surrogate)	266.66	mg/m ³

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Deviation from linearity as a % of the range (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.780	<2%	Yes
Zero drift (calculated from start and end readings)	0.059	<5%	Yes
Span drift (calculated from start and end readings).	0.856	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to sample gas pressure: (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate).	0.990	<2%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at zero (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.920	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at span (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	1.030	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to voltage (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	1.000	<2%	Yes
Interferents (calculated using M22, Section 8.2, equation 3)	1.054	<5%	Yes
Repeatability / standard deviation (taken from worst figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.410	<2%	Yes
Certified reference material (check gas)	2.000	2% or less	Yes

Uncertainty in Performance Characteristics	mg/m ³
Uncertainty of linearity (lack of fit) U_{fit}	1.846
Uncertainty of zero drift $U_{0,dr}$	0.140
Uncertainty of span drift $U_{s,dr}$	2.026
Uncertainty of volume or pressure flow dependence U_{spress}	2.343
Uncertainty in Ambient Temperature U_{temp}	3.269
Uncertainty in Voltage U_{volt}	2.367
Uncertainty of interferents U_i	2.496
Uncertainty of Repeatability U_r	1.681
Uncertainty of Certified Reference Material U_{cal}	1.540

V₂

Measurement Uncertainty	mg/m ³
Combined uncertainty	6.4
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	13

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	33
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	33
Expressed as a % of the Emission Limit Value	3.2

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - SULPHUR DIOXIDE BY FTIR

Actual Measured Concentration	20.76	mg/m ³
Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	20.76	mg/m ³
Emission Limit Value	100	mg/m ³
Instrument Range	143	mg/m ³
Check Gas Concentration	100.10	mg/m ³

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Deviation from linearity as a % of the range (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-0.700	<2%	Yes
Zero drift (calculated from start and end readings)	1.046	<5%	Yes
Span drift (calculated from start and end readings).	0.097	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to sample gas pressure: (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate).	0.990	<2%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at zero (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-1.100	<5%	Yes

LND 13026 / Version 1
18th - 20th May 2016
EPR Permit: EPR140001

Sensitivity to ambient temperature at span (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-1.500	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to voltage (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	1.800	<2%	Yes
Interferents (calculated using M22, Section 8.2, equation 3)	0.760	<5%	Yes
Repeatability / standard deviation (taken from worst figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.500	<2%	Yes
Certified reference material (check gas)	2.000	2% or less	Yes

Uncertainty in Performance Characteristics	mg/m ³
Uncertainty of linearity (lack of fit) U_{fit}	-0.578
Uncertainty of zero drift $U_{0,dr}$	0.864
Uncertainty of span drift $U_{s,dr}$	0.080
Uncertainty of volume or pressure flow dependence U_{spress}	0.817
Uncertainty in Ambient Temperature U_{temp}	1.536
Uncertainty in Voltage U_{volt}	1.486
Uncertainty of interferents U_i	0.627
Uncertainty of Repeatability U_r	0.715
Uncertainty of Certified Reference Material U_{cal}	0.578

Measurement Uncertainty	mg/m ³
Combined uncertainty	2.7
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	5.5

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	26
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	26
Expressed as a % of the Emission Limit Value	5.5

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - CARBON MONOXIDE BY FTIR

Actual Measured Concentration	30.00	mg/m ³
Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	30.00	mg/m ³
Emission Limit Value	150	mg/m ³
Instrument Range	125	mg/m ³
Check Gas Concentration	99.75	mg/m ³

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Deviation from linearity as a % of the range (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	1.300	<2%	Yes
Zero drift (calculated from start and end readings)	0.490	<5%	Yes
Span drift (calculated from start and end readings)	1.306	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to sample gas pressure: (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.990	<2%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at zero (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-0.100	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at span (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.500	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to voltage (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-0.600	<2%	Yes
Interferents (calculated using M22, Section 8.2, equation 3)	0.784	<5%	Yes
Repeatability / standard deviation (taken from worst figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.400	<2%	Yes
Certified reference material (check gas)	2.000	2% or less	Yes

Uncertainty in Performance Characteristics	mg/m ³
Uncertainty of linearity (lack of fit) U_{fit}	0.938
Uncertainty of zero drift $U_{0,dr}$	0.354
Uncertainty of span drift $U_{s,dr}$	0.943
Uncertainty of volume or pressure flow dependence U_{spress}	0.714
Uncertainty in Ambient Temperature U_{temp}	0.368
Uncertainty in Voltage U_{volt}	-0.433
Uncertainty of interferents U_i	0.566

Uncertainty of Repeatability U_r	0.500
Uncertainty of Certified Reference Material U_{cal}	0.576

Measurement Uncertainty	mg/m ³
Combined uncertainty	1.9
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	3.8

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	13
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	13
Expressed as a % of the Emission Limit Value	2.5

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - NITROUS OXIDE BY FTIR

Actual Measured Concentration	0.65	mg/m ³
Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	0.65	mg/m ³
Emission Limit Value	-	mg/m ³
Instrument Range	20	mg/m ³
Check Gas Concentration	83.09	mg/m ³

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Deviation from linearity as a % of the range (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-0.400	<2%	Yes
Zero drift (calculated from start and end readings)	-1.010	<5%	Yes
Span drift (calculated from start and end readings).	-1.900	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to sample gas pressure: (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate).	0.990	<2%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at zero (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.001	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at span (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.500	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to voltage (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-0.600	<2%	Yes
Interferents (calculated using M22, Section 8.2, equation 3)	0.622	<5%	Yes
Repeatability / standard deviation (taken from worst figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.100	<2%	Yes
Certified reference material (check gas)	2.000	2% or less	Yes

Uncertainty in Performance Characteristics	mg/m ³
Uncertainty of linearity (lack of fit) U_{fit}	-0.045
Uncertainty of zero drift $U_{0,dr}$	-0.114
Uncertainty of span drift $U_{s,dr}$	-0.215
Uncertainty of volume or pressure flow dependence U_{spress}	0.112
Uncertainty in Ambient Temperature U_{temp}	0.057
Uncertainty in Voltage U_{volt}	-0.068
Uncertainty of interferents U_i	0.070
Uncertainty of Repeatability U_r	0.020
Uncertainty of Certified Reference Material U_{cal}	0.480

Measurement Uncertainty	mg/m ³
Combined uncertainty	0.56
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	1.1

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	172
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	172
Expressed as a % of the Emission Limit Value	-

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - MOISTURE BY FTIR

Actual Measured Concentration	12.12	% vol
Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	12.12	% vol
Emission Limit Value	30	% vol
Instrument Range	30	% vol
Check Gas Concentration (Methane was used as a Surrogate)	72.21	mg/m ³

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Deviation from linearity as a % of the range (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	1.300	<2%	Yes
Zero drift (calculated from start and end readings)	-0.220	<5%	Yes
Span drift (calculated from start and end readings).	0.000	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to sample gas pressure: (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate).	0.990	<2%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at zero (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.100	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to ambient temperature at span (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-1.300	<5%	Yes
Sensitivity to voltage (taken from worst case figure in MCERTS certificate)	-1.700	<2%	Yes
Interferents (calculated using M22, Section 8.2, equation 3)	0.741	<5%	Yes
Repeatability / standard deviation (taken from worst figure in MCERTS certificate)	0.001	<2%	Yes
Certified reference material (check gas)	2.000	2% or less	Yes

Uncertainty in Performance Characteristics	% vol
Uncertainty of linearity (lack of fit) U_{fit}	0.225
Uncertainty of zero drift $U_{0,dr}$	-0.038
Uncertainty of span drift $U_{s,dr}$	0.000
Uncertainty of volume or pressure flow dependence U_{spress}	0.171
Uncertainty in Ambient Temperature U_{temp}	0.226
Uncertainty in Voltage U_{volt}	-0.294
Uncertainty of interferents U_i	0.128
Uncertainty of Repeatability U_r	0.0003
Uncertainty of Certified Reference Material U_{cal}	0.417

Measurement Uncertainty	% vol
Combined uncertainty	0.64
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	1.3

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	11
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration at Reference Conditions	11
Expressed as a % of the Emission Limit Value	4.3

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - VELOCITY & VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE

Measured Velocity at Actual Conditions	6.3	m/s
Measured Volumetric Flow rate at Actual Conditions	1116	m³/hr

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Units	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Uncertainty of Local Gas Velocity Determination				
Uncertainty of pitot tube coefficient	-	0.010		
Uncertainty of mean local dynamic pressures	-	0.34		
Factor loading, function of the number of measurements.	3 readings	0.591	minimum 3	Yes
Range of measurement device	pa	1000		
Resolution	pa	1.00		
Calibration uncertainty	pa	2.41	<1% of Value or 20 Pa whichever is greater	Yes
Drift	% range	0.10		
Linearity	% range	0.06	<2% of value	Yes
Uncertainty of gas density determination				
Uncertainty of molar mass determination	kg/mol	0.00003		
Uncertainty of temperature measurement	K	1.60	<1% of value	Yes
Uncertainty of absolute pressure in the duct	pa	510		
Uncertainty associated with the estimate of density	-	0.008		
Uncertainty associated with the measurement of local velocity	-	0.0001		
Uncertainty associated with the measurement of mean velocity	-	0.0002		

Measurement Uncertainty - Velocity	m/s
Combined uncertainty	0.08
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	0.16

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty of Velocity at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	1.3
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	2.6

Measurement Uncertainty Volumetric Flow Rate	m³/hr
Combined uncertainty	30
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	58

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty of Volumetric Flow Rate at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Concentration	2.7
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	5.2

END OF REPORT