



www.nelincs.gov.uk

Executive Director Environment, Economy & Housing
Marc Cole

Mr Andrew Gilpin
Knauf Drywall
Queens Road
Immingham
North East Lincolnshire
DN40 1QT

Our Ref.: VW/KNUAF/INSP13

Date: 26.11.13

When Calling Please Ask For Vicky Wray

Direct line telephone number (01472) 324833

e-mail address : vicky.wray@nelincs.gov.uk

Dear Sir,

Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010 (as amended)
Permit Ref: EP/200600005. Knauf Gypsum Storage Pad, Immingham Dock

As part of our inspection programme, I wish to confirm my visit on the 26th November 2013 to your gypsum storage site on Immingham Dock to conduct a compliance inspection against the above environmental permit. The process was inspected and I am pleased to note that everything was found to be of satisfactory standard.

All permitted processes/installations are risk rated in line with criteria determined by Defra. This rating is subject to review following every inspection, to ensure that the rating remains an accurate reflection of the level of risk posed by the installation, in terms type of process, proximity to sensitive receptors, compliance with permit conditions, management, training and number of complaints received. Having performed an assessment of the risk, I would like to inform you that the installation is currently rated as **LOW** risk.

Please find enclosed a copy of the Compliance Check Report for your records.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your assistance during the inspection.

Yours sincerely,

Vicky Wray
Technical Officer

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Knauf UK GMBH Immingham Docks Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN42 2NT
Contact:	Andrew Gilpin
Permit Ref:	200600005
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Plaster Process
Guidance Note:	3/12
Date of Visit:	26.11.13.
Condition number:	
1 (monitoring records)	Shift checks for and weather dates
2 (adverse results)	recorded in site log.
3 (visible emissions)	recorded in site log.
4 (visual assessments)	Yes odour and dust recorded daily.
5 (monitoring)	
6 (breakdowns)	written procedure for breakdowns
7 (adverse emissions)	written procedure in place.
8 (stockpiles/storage)	O.K.
9 (control techniques)	Suitable techniques, rain bird fencing.
10 (conveyors)	
11 (loading/unloading)	Compliant
12 (site layout)	compliant
13 (roadways)	kept clear, road sweep employed as needed.
14 (wheel-wash)	Wheel wash on site and maintained in accordance with maintenance plan.
15 (management)	Compliant
16 (spares consumables)	contractor.
17 (staff training)	Compliant.

* forward rainbird service record.

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

18 (training records)	* forward copy compliant.
19 (preventative maint.)	Programme in place * forward Svs record
20 (maint. techniques)	good. For rainbird

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	10
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)			
Proximity to Emission Source	Sensitivity of Receptors		
	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

(B) No such air pollution problems	0	
------------------------------------	---	--

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25.
---	----------------------	-----

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

** Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.*

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	N/A 0
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

--	--

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility

Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	y -5
Total	(-5 to 25)			-5.

* forward staff training records.

ISO 14001

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	-5
---	------------------	----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	20
--------------------------------------	------------------	----

REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low.
--	-------------------	------

Officer: VICKY WRAY.

Officer Signature: *V. Wray*

Operator Signature *[Signature]*

Date: 26.11.13.