

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Phillips 66 Ltd Immingham Pipeline Centre Immingham Docks Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2PB
Contact:	Sarah Catmull / Kevin Burnett
Permit Ref:	EP/200200097V2
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Petrol Vapour Recovery
Guidance Note:	PG1/13
Date of Visit:	
Report Reference:	CP97/1
Condition number:	
1.1 External wall and roof of tanks painted with colour with light reflectance of 70% or more.	Yes
1.2 Existing roof tanks, T8013, T8014 and T8034 have internal floating roof fitted with primary seals?	Usually 20gr life on tanks.
1.3 Any changes/ new tanks in use?	No changes.
2.1	
2.2 The mean concentration of vapours in the exhaust from the vapour recovery unit – corrected for dilution during treatment – must not exceed 35g/m3 for any one hour.	Yes - readings compliant during visit.

2.3 All pollutant concentrations	
expressed at reference conditions	
273K, 101.3kPa without correction	
for water vapour.	
2.4 Emissions from vapour	Yes a calibrated internally
recovery unit continuously	Yes a calibrated internally and recorded.
monitored?	22112612
Twelve month calibration	
documented/certificate?	£
2.5 Regulator notified 7 days before	Yes – notified via email
any planned maintenance of the	
vapour recovery unit?	
2.6 annual inspection completed of	Yes - Sarah to forward.
all pipework, flexible hoses, joints	flexible hose checks.
and connections to confirm integrity	
and security? Is it logged?	
2.7 Procedure for vapour leak	Yes .
detected? Where practical shut	
down? Operating instructions	
available to loading personnel	
includes detection of leaks, reporting	
of and shutdown?	- Covered under driver training.
2.8 Top loading system – written	Covered under driver training,
instructions to keep outlet of loading	
arm as close to the bottom of the	
mobile container as reasonably	
practical?	
3.1	
3.2 What is the normal liquid	Max 2,000ltrs per minute all
loading rate? (less than 2,500 litres	controlled automatically.
per minute?)	
3.3	
3.4 Loading gantries equipped with	Yes
an overfill detection control unit?	sensor tested every Emonths. SC to forward.
3.5	SC to forward.
3.6 Is the gantry control unit	
suitable for two wire system?	\$ SV to forward roplex service
3.7	on vru.
3.8	

3.9 The earth/overfill connector	
located to the right of the liquid and	
vapour collection adapters below 1.5	Yes.
meters (unladen)?	7 6 3
3.10 Loading shall not be permitted	Yes.
unless a permissive signal is	763
provided by the earth overfill control	
unit?	
3.11	K.
4.1 Site log available with records	· ·
of monitoring and maintenance	Yes.
kept?	
4.2 Breakdowns or malfunctions	Yes - email received. P66 notify work on UPO to NELC. Compliant.
leading to abnormal emission dealt	011
with immediately and reported to	roce noting ware on the sacci
regulator?	Compliant.
5.1 Proper management,	Yes.
supervision and training for process	
operations?	
5.2 Staff training and instruction	checked road loading training.
5.3 Effective preventative	SAP system raises jobs +
maintenance	daily checks

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary	20	12	5	
designated a SSSI				
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local	10	10
area to which process is a potential contributor		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	52

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records					
	Possible Scores			core arded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	У	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIA	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	У	0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0 <	5	0	γ	0
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	()

to Gruperd social docs

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Possi Score		ossib Score		Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A		
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	уо	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	YO	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Yo	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	u 0	
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0	

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	0
3 7 1 1 2	105	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	52
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED-

Officer: VICKY WRAY

POLITICA BELIEF FOR STATE OF S Officer Signature: V . Way