

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Phillips 66 Ltd Immingham Pipeline Centre Immingham Docks Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2PB
Contact:	Kevin Burnett
Permit Ref:	EP/200200097V2
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Petrol Vapour Recovery
Guidance Note:	PG1/13
Date of Visit:	25/08/15.
Report Reference:	CP97/1
Condition number:	
1.1 External wall and roof of tanks	Yes for maintenance programme
painted with colour with light reflectance of 70% or more.	for maintenance programme
1.2 Existing roof tanks, T8013,	Compliant.
T8014 and T8034 have internal	<b>V</b>
floating roof fitted with primary	
seals?	
1.3 Any changes/ new tanks in	
use?	
2.1	
2.2 The mean concentration of	# To check whether 3 yearly
vapours in the exhaust from the	To check whether 3 yearly Too test required at URU outlet.
vapour recovery unit – corrected for	
dilution during treatment – must not	
exceed 35g/m3 for any one hour.	
2.3 All pollutant concentrations	

averaged at reference conditions	
expressed at reference conditions	
273K, 101.3kPa without correction	
for water vapour.	
<b>2.4</b> Emissions from vapour	
recovery unit continuously	
monitored?	
Twelve month calibration	
documented/certificate?	-yes.
<b>2.5</b> Regulator notified 7 days before	Yes – notified via email
any planned maintenance of the	
vapour recovery unit?	
2.6 annual inspection completed of	Yes regular checks completed. inspection group. complete PAS
all pipework, flexible hoses, joints	inspection group. complete ffs
and connections to confirm integrity	
and security? Is it logged?	SAP System.
2.7 Procedure for vapour leak	High level alorm ppm 6 monthly.
detected? Where practical shut	The state of the s
down? Operating instructions	
available to loading personnel	- yes -
includes detection of leaks, reporting	
of and shutdown?	- Yes included in induction.
2.8 Top loading system – written	Yes cord provided and training
instructions to keep outlet of loading	
arm as close to the bottom of the	
mobile container as reasonably	
practical?	
3.1	VRU internal checks. 2,000 Itis per minute.
3.2 What is the normal liquid	2,000 Itis per minute.
loading rate? (less than 2,500 litres	
per minute?)	
3.3	
3.4 Loading gantries equipped with	Yes.
an overfill detection control unit?	
3.5	
3.6 Is the gantry control unit	yes.
suitable for two wire system?	
3.7	
3.8	
3.9 The earth/overfill connector	Yes.
	/ ( )

located to the right of the liquid and	Yes.
vapour collection adapters below 1.5	763
meters (unladen)?	
3.10 Loading shall not be permitted	Maria
unless a permissive signal is	Yes.
provided by the earth overfill control	
unit?	
3.11	
4.1 Site log available with records	Yes-
of monitoring and maintenance	763
kept?	
4.2 Breakdowns or malfunctions	- Nothication received.
leading to abnormal emission dealt	The state of the s
with immediately and reported to	
regulator?	
5.1 Proper management,	A. C.
supervision and training for process	Yes-
operations?	
5.2 Staff training and instruction	Yes.
5.3 Effective preventative	Yes. Yes
maintenance	

# Risk Assessment Score Sheet

**Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary	20	12	5	
designated a SSSI				
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0	

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0			

Total Score for Environmental Impa	ct Range	e 0 to 52
Appraisal	70	0
GA.	nearly and the second	

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0		
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0		
Total	(Max. 50)	0		

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
		ossib Score:	Score Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A O
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	y 6
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	YO
Total score	- T-	5 to 3	0)	6.

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Trainii	ng and	d Resp	onsibility
		ossib Score	Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Section 1. Section 1.
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	y O
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	YO
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	YO
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	у 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	y -5
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	-5

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	-5
--	------------------	----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	47
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V- Thompson

POLILIFIED PREFERENCE SECONIFICATION PROBLEM SECONIFICATION PROBLEM