

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

| Installation Address:                            | Phillips 66 Ltd. Coke Silos Immingham Docks Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2NT |
|--|--|
| Contact:   | Allson Walker/Tim Goldby   |
| Permit Ref:                                      | EP/200200090V2   |
| Date of Varied Permit:                           | 18.07.12   |
| Permitted activity:                              | Process using coal, coke, coal product and petroleum coke                              |
| Guidance Note:                                   | PG3/5(04)  |
| Date of Visit:                                   |  |
| Report Reference:                                | CP90/1   |
| Condition number:                                | & &  |
| 1.1 Any visible emissions of                     | Compliant.   |
| particulates beyond site                         |  |
| boundary?  |  |
| <b>1.2</b> Prevent harmful, offensive emissions? | Compliant  |
| <b>2.1</b> Designated traffic routes on site?    | Yes and signage.   |
| 2.2 Onsite speed limit 10mph?                    | Yes signage  |
| 2.3 Vehicles fully sheeted?                      | In contract with transport Company   |
| 2.4 Vehicles checked for                         | KPI checks by the transport  |
| damage that could result in                      | company used.  |
| spillage?  |  |
| 2.5 Designated traffic routes                    | Yes.   |
| monitored?                                       |  |
| 2.6 Machinery examined for                       | Yes and recorded bys.  |
| build up of dusty machinery?                     |  |

| 2.7 Escape/ breakdown                 | Recorded in SAP.   |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| procedure and records?                |  |
| 2.8 Site log with wind direction      | Yes.   |
| and speed recorded?                   | , , ,  |
| 2.9 Bulk loading chute properly       | Yes  |
| seated on tanker?                     | 763  |
| 3.1 All petroleum on site oil         | 0.15% pet coke sprayed.  |
| sprayed?                              | £  |
| 3.2 Petroleum coke received via       | Yes  |
| enclosed loading bays or              | 763  |
| warehouse?                            | The state of the s |
| 3.3 roller shutter doors fully        | Checked by En KONE - 24 hours  |
| closed and extract system in          | Sevice.  |
| operation? When last serviced?        |  |
| 3.4 Written operational               | Yes.   |
| procedure for discharge to ship?      |  |
| 3.5 Vacuum equipment for              | Yes  |
| spillages?                            |  |
| 3.6 side panels and deck plates       | 0.50   |
| in place when loading to ship?        | <u> </u>   |
| 3.7 Scrap coke loaded to              | 0  |
| suitable bags?                        | Yes  |
| 3.8                                   |  |
| <b>4.1</b> Visual monitoring during   | Yes.   |
| start up and 4 – hourly intervals?    |  |
| Locations indicated on site plan?     |  |
| <b>4.2</b> Particulate monitoring?    | Sticky googes all kept.  |
| 4.3 Silos manually dipped and         | Yes recorded in sile dip<br>spreadsheet.   |
| recorded prior to filling?            | spreadsheet.   |
| <b>4.4</b> Coke fine hoppers manually | Vas  |
| dipped and recorded during            | Yes.   |
| filling?                              |  |
| 5.1 Staff training?                   | O.K feward template template and   |
| 5.2 Maintenance?                      | SAP system and EDMBS and   |
|                                       | maintenance regime.  |

#### **Risk Assessment Score Sheet**

### **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

| Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential |                    |                  |  |  |
|---|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| APRR Risk Rating Category                             | Possible<br>Scores | Score<br>Awarded |  |  |
| (A) Category 1  | 10                 | 10               |  |  |
| (B) Category 2  | 20                 |                  |  |  |
| (C) Category 3  | 30                 |                  |  |  |

| Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading      |                    |                  |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Status of Upgrading                        | Possible<br>Scores | Score<br>Awarded |
| (A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note     | 5                  |                  |
| deadline has yet to be reached             |                    |                  |
| (B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note | 10                 |                  |
| deadline has passed                        | <i>y</i>           |                  |
| (C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC   | 0                  | 0                |
| Requirements                               |                    |                  |
| (D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC      | -10                |                  |
| Requirements                               |                    |                  |

# Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

| Sensitivity of Rece                                 |             |               | eptors     |
|---|-------------|---------------|------------|
| Proximity to Emission Source                        | (x)<br>High | (y)<br>Medium | (z)<br>Low |
| (A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI | 20          | 12            | 5          |
| (B) 100 - 250m*                                     | 12          | 10            | 3          |
| (C) 250 - 500m*                                     | 5           | 3             | 1          |
| (D) > 500m*   | 0           | 0             | 0          |

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

| Component 4 - Other Targets  |                    |                  |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Sec. 1   | Possible<br>Scores | Score<br>Awarded |
| (A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor | 10                 | 10               |
| (B) No such air pollution problems   | 0                  |                  |

| Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal | Range 0 to<br>70 | 25 |
|--|------------------|----|
|  |                  |    |

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

| Component 5 - Compliance Assessment  |                    |                  |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)   | Possible<br>Scores | Score<br>Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition | 0 points           |                  |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*   | 5 per incident     |                  |
| (C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)  | 10 per<br>breach   |                  |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution  | 15 per incident    |                  |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice   | 20 per incident    |                  |
| Total  | (Max. 50)          | 0                |

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

| Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M<br>Records                                      | onitor     | ing, N         | lainte           | nance and |
|---|------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
|   |            | ossib<br>Score | Score<br>Awarded |           |
| Criterion   | (x)<br>Yes | (y)<br>No      | (z)<br>N/A       |           |
| (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?                | 0          | 10             | 0                | УО        |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | -5         | 0              | 0                | NIA O     |
| (C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?                | 0          | 5              | 0                | NA O      |
| (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?    | 0          | 5              | 0                | Y 0       |
| (E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?               | 0          | 5              | 0                | YO        |
| (F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?                   | 0          | 5              | 0                | Y 0       |
| Total score   | (-         | 5 to 3         | 0)               | 0         |

| Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility                                       |                    |           |                   |     |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----|
|   | Possible<br>Scores |           | Scores<br>Awarded |     |
| Criterion   | (x)<br>Yes         | (y)<br>No | (z)<br>N/A        |     |
| (A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?                     | 0                  | 5         | 0                 | y 0 |
| (B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?                          | 0                  | 5         | 0                 | y o |
| (C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?                        | 0                  | 5         | 0                 | Y 0 |
| (D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?                | 0                  | 5         | 0                 | YO  |
| (E) Trained staff on site throughout periods<br>where potentially air-polluting activities take<br>place? | 0                  | 5         | 0                 | УО  |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?   | -5                 | 0         | 0                 | - 5 |
| Total   | (-:                | 5 to 2    | 5)                | -5. |

150 14001.

| 105 | Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal |  | -5. |
|-----|--|--|-----|
|-----|--|--|-----|

| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS  | Range -10 to<br>175 | 20   |
|--|---------------------|------|
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40 | LOW, MED,<br>HIGH   | LOW. |

Officer: VICKY WRAY.

Officer Signature: V. Way

Operator Signature

Date: 10.06.13