

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Wilson & Co Hewitts Avenue Hewitts Circus Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4SE
Contact:	Terry Branson
Permit Ref:	EP/200200000
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Respraying of Road Vehicles
Guidance Note:	PG 6 / 34 (04)
Date of Visit:	05/11/13
Report Reference:	W1
Condition number:	
1.1 Releases to air free from persistent visible emissions?	Yes
1.2 Emissions to air free from droplets?	Yes
1.3 Compliant paints/ primers used?	standox - Yes
1.4 Emissions from combustion process not exceed Ringlemann shade 1?	Compliant during visit, emissions o.k.
1.5 No offensive odour beyond site boundary?	No offensive odour beyond site boundary
1.6 No use of odour masking agents?	O.k.
1.7 Any changes to ovens?	The Aura 70 not in use, damaged
1.8 Spraybooth air tests compliant?	
1.9 HVLP spray guns used?	Yes.
1.10 Any changes to extraction unit	Extraction system serviced on 13.09.13

Report Reference: -

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

- latest service?	
1.11 Method to minimise emissions during start up and shut down?	Yes.
2.1 Visible emissions assessed once a day and logged?	Yes on visible/Odour assessment log.
2.2 Odour assessment made daily and recorded?	Yes
2.3 Log book available wind direction and weather conditions?	Yes
2.4 Six monthly solvent record?	Yes * must send to LA every 6 months!
3.1 Coatings containing VOC shall be stored in closed storage containers?	Yes
3.2 Good housekeeping , suitable absorbent material available?	Yes.
3.3 Odorous	
3.4 Containers stored in secure, well ventilated storage area?	Yes.
3.5 Mixing of coating and solvents carried out in paint store?	Yes.
3.6 Programmable scales?	Yes.
3.7 solvent wipes placed in a suitably labelled metal bin with self closing lid?	Yes.
3.8	Consignment notes kept. Safety Kleen remove solvents.
3.9	
3.10	
3.11	
4.1 Adequate insulations for dispersion of contained emissions?	Yes
4.2 Minimum discharge velocity?	Yes.
4.3 Stacks or vents shall not be fitted with any restrictions at the final opening such as cap or cowl.	Compliant.
5.1 Regular cleaning and preventative maintenance programme in place? Spares?	Spraybake 900w last serviced by Beta Automotive on 6/3/13. → a fortnightly check in house and filter change.

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

5.2 Training records?	Yes
5.3 Malfunctions and abnormal emissions reported?	Yes

Process Risk Assessment

Operator Performance Appraisal

Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any permit condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?*	-5	10	0	Y 0
Total score	(-5 to 35)			0

*These aspects relate to the operators performance within 12 months immediately preceding the assessment or review of the assessment. Failure to monitor to the degree required or to forward documents on time more than twelve months ago should be excluded

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to the air?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Does the operator maintain a record of staff training and instruction and make it available to the regulator on request?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
Total	(-5 to 25)			0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >55 medium 30- 55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Officer: VICKY WRAY.

Officer Signature: V. Wray

Operator Signature: 

Date: 5/11/13.