

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Ultimate Packaging Ltd Pegasus Way, Europarc Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN37 9TS
Contact:	Ian Turner
Permit Ref:	EP/20020062
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Printing of flexible packaging
Guidance Note:	
Date of Visit:	24/08/18
Report Reference:	
Condition number:	
<p>Table 4 non VOC emissions:</p> <p>Continuous Monitor CO (from oxidation plant)</p> <p>Annual CO and NOx levels from turbines, reciprocating engines or boilers used as VOC abatement</p>	<p>Continuous monitoring display check. Temperature surrogate used. All compliant.</p> <p>— Annual test completed.</p>
<p>Table 5 VOC emissions</p> <p>Annual VOC expressed as Total Carbon excluding particulate matter</p>	<p>Compliant - test completed Sept 2017.</p> <p>Levels all satisfactory</p> <p>CO, NOx + VOC.</p>
<p>Is oil fired thermal oxidiser used?</p> <p>Certificate of fuel content?</p>	N/A.
Solvent Reduction Scheme used?	N/A.
5.15 Informed NELC of extraction monitoring/ forward results?	Yes due in September.
5.16 Any visible emission or offensive odour beyond site boundary?	No. Compliant.
5.17 Abnormal events procedure?	Yes.

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

SED Box 7 Non compliance causing immediate danger (procedure)	Program in place to record usage of solvents, inks and waste.
5.18 Precaution procedure during start up and shut down to minimise emissions?	Compliant
5.19 Continuous Monitor service/maintenance, alarms checked and levels calibrated	Compliant
5.22 Activation alarms and display units for continuous monitoring results (Total Carbon or temperature as surrogate)	Compliant
Correct testing standards/ criteria met for extractive and cont monitoring used?	Yes.
Calibration certificate	* To forward following next service in September.
Suitable storage and handling of solvents and materials containing solvents?	
Bulk storage tanks Delivery connections within bund area. Tanks fitted with high level alarm?	Bunded area available for bulk deliveries.
Mixing areas	Good house keeping. Spill kits available ground site.
Waste Control	
Dust and spillage	Yes spillage procedure and method statement in place.
Cleaning methods	
Dispersion and Dilution from stacks	—————
Management Techniques	Compliant
Maintenance	Completed service on thermal oxidiser on 18/07/18 at schs factory
training	

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	
(B) Category 2	20	20
(C) Category 3	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)			
Proximity to Emission Source	Sensitivity of Receptors		
	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.
 Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32
---	----------------------	-----------

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
Total	(Max. 50)	0

* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	Y 0
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N 0
Total	(-5 to 25)			0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
---	-------------------------	---

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: *V. Thompson*

Operator Signature

Date: 24/08/18

[Signature]
I. TURNER

