

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	North East Lincolnshire Council Crematorium Weelsby Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 7AU
Contact:	Phil Hewitt
Permit Ref:	200200091/V3
Date of Varied Permit:	
Permitted activity:	Crematorium
Guidance Note:	PG5/2 (04)
Date of Visit:	25/01/19-
Report Reference:	NEC1
Condition number:	A STATE OF THE STA
5.1 Reference Cond 273K,	Last annual extraction test completed on:
101.3kPa?.	Operator provided report to LA within compliant time
See Table 2	Frame. Compliant reference conditions used.
HCL annual extractive (200mg/m3)	HCL = 2-8 mg/m3
TPM Cont + Annual extract	TPM extract = 0.49 mgl m3.
CO Cont + Annual extract	CO = 8.3
Organic comp annual	VOC = 2.1.
Temperature & Oxygen continuous	continuous all compliant.
5.2 Monitoring records	Yes.
Alarm activations recorded?	
(Temperature and Oxygen)	Temp + Oz, Co manifored continuously
5.3 Notify NELC 7 days before	Yes
testing and forward results?	167
5.4 Visual and odour check	Yes.
recorded at least once a day during	
operation? Any Vis emissions/odoι	ur?
5.5 Abnormal events recorded?	Yes.
5.6	
5.7 Cont monitor – calibrated/	Yes.

activation alarm recorded?	
Continuous readings on display to	Yes automatically records
trained staff?	on computer system and
Activation of alarms automatically	on computer system and sends reports to regulator.
recorded?	
5.8	
5.9 Monthly/4 weekly reports	Yes - System sends
available?	automaki report.
5.13	
5.14	Informative
5.15	Informative
5.16 Annual extraction meets BS	BS EN 13284-1
ISO 9096?	Used for tpm - ok
5.17 N/A	Mercury abatement installed
5.18 N/A	
5.19 Informative	
6.32 Training	Yes
6.33 Maintenance	Yes
Programme?	
Maintenance checks	Daily checks completed by
recorded?	Staff. Fac Tech complete Service.
Cleaning schedule?	Yes.
7.2 Cameo report received	Yes
informing number of cremations in	
past 12 months?	
2000 2000	I .

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10	30	
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30	Promise whose state to a	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity	and Proximity of Receptors	(circle appropriate
score)		

000.0)			
and the state of t	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0			

Total Score for Environmental Impact	Range 0 to	42
Appraisal	70	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Possible	Score
period prior to review)	Scores	Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points	
breach of specific authorisation condition or of		D
general/residual BATNEEC condition		O
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per	
	incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per	~
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per	
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per	
	incident	O
Total	(Max. 50)	6

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M Records	onitor	ing, N	lainte	nance and
	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	y 6
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIM O
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	y G
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y 6
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	γ 6
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility

		ossib Score	Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	YO
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	УО
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	у о
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Yo
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	W/A O
Total	(-:	5 to 2	5)	0

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
--	------------------	---

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	42
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MED

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: Thorner
Operator Signature

Date: 25/1/19

