

POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

<b>Installation Address:</b>	The Concrete Company (Thorney) Ltd Manby Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 2LH
<b>Contact:</b>	Derek <del>Dukes</del> Jukes
<b>Permit Ref:</b>	EP/20020048V4
<b>Date of Varied Permit:</b>	2014 now simplified permit
<b>Permitted activity:</b>	Process using bulk cement (Part 3 reduced fee activity)
<b>Guidance Note:</b>	PG3/1
<b>Date of Visit:</b>	15/04/19.
<b>Report Reference:</b>	
<b>Condition number:</b>	
<b>1</b> No emission beyond site boundary?	No emissions beyond site boundary
<b>2</b> Records of monitoring and checks?	Yes
<b>3</b> Plant maintained, suppression equipment maintenance programme in place?	Yes
<b>4</b> Bulk cement only stored within bulk cement silos?	Yes
<b>5</b> Correct procedure in place for tanker loading and unloading of cement? Loading rate, connections, displaced air back vented.	Yes
<b>6</b> Overfilling alarm for silos? checked?	Checked and working correctly.
<b>7</b> For new plant after June 2004	—
<b>8</b> Silo – displaced air from pneumatic transfer shall pass through abatement.	Yes
<b>9</b> Storage bays suitable? Suppression management techniques employed?	Compliant
<b>10</b> Conveyor – wind protection/ fully enclosed?	Yes
<b>11</b> Vehicles carrying dusty product fully enclosed / sheeted when arriving / leaving site?	Yes
<b>12</b> Site roadway? Consolidated surface? In good repair and kept clean?	Yes

## POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

13 Vehicles not track material onto highway?	Hose available,
14 Process buildings maintained and check for build-up of material?	Yes.
15 Records kept	Yes
16 Staff training	Written procedures + signage

### Risk Assessment Score Sheet

#### Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>(Max. 50)</b>	0

\* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	Y 0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	N/A 0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	Y 0

## POLLUTION PREVENTION & CONTROL

(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	N/A 0
<b>Total score</b>	<b>(-5 to 30)</b>			0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	Y 0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	Y 0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	Y 0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y 0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	Y 0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	N/A 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>(-5 to 25)</b>			0

\* Forward record.

<b>Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal</b>	<b>Range - 5 to 110</b>	0
---	-------------------------	---

<b>OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS</b>	<b>Range -10 to 110</b>	0
<b>REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY</b> * high=score of > 60, medium 30-60 and low <30	<b>LOW, MED, HIGH</b>	LOW

Officer: Vicky THOMPSON

Officer Signature: *V. Thompson*

Operator Signature: *D. Jukes*      D. JUKES

Date: 15/04/19.

