

PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Ultimate Packaging Ltd Pegasus Way, Europarc Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN37 9TS
Contact:	Ian Turner
Permit Ref:	EP/200200062/V2
Date of Varied Permit:	15 th January 2019
Permitted activity:	Printing of flexible packaging
Guidance Note:	
Date of Visit:	19/08/19.
Report Reference:	
Condition number:	A STATE OF THE STA
Table 2 non VOC emissions:	Surrogate Temperature (Agreed Temp) = Compliant .
Continuous Monitor CO (from	
oxidation plant)	Visible Observations (daily) = to add to one sheet sheet
Annual CO and NOx levels from	Nox = 66.26 ng/m3 pass
turbines, reciprocating engines	Annual Extraction test completed =
or boilers used as VOC	Annual Extraction test completed =
abatement	3rd - 5th Sep 2018
Table 2 VOC emissions	Total Organic Carbon = 47.73 mg/m2
Annual VOC expressed as Total	P = 25
Carbon excluding particulate	l book
matter (100 mg C/m3)	* Chack Meet accredited.
Solent Management Plan	To discuss draft plan to be agreed and
	submitted - draft report provided. VT to check methodology and confirm.
Designated Risk Phrase	Appendix 4 = Checked with
Materials	pourenent.
Odour Control	Compliant.
Monitoring and Reporting	Compliant - NT to review compliant draft solvent plan
Delivery, Storage and handling of	Compliant.

Raw Material	
Continuous Monitor	Yes serviced.
service/maintenance, alarms	
checked and levels calibrated	
Activation alarms and display units	Vac - temperatures compliant
for continuous monitoring results	Yes - temperatures compliant and display on screen.
(Total Carbon or temperature as	, ,
surrogate)	
Suitable storage and handling of	Yes -
solvents and materials containing	162
solvents?	
Bulk storage tanks	Yez.
Delivery connections within bund	163.
area. Tanks fitted with high level	The state of the s
alarm?	- no high level clark
Mixing areas	Well verted + good housekeeping.
Waste Control / Waste Minimisation	Yes
Waste recovery / Reporting od	Worte inventory.
Waste	
Water Use and resource utilisation	* discussed.
Dust and spillage	procedure in place. Spill kit absorbent materal available.
Cleaning methods	Compliant.
Dispersion and Dilution from stacks	
Management Techniques	* to email for Accident + non- confermence procedure + Spill procedure.
Maintenance	Arnual clear out of interaptor. LEV checked annual.
training	* send spillage procedure.
not Say	
Annual inspection re	port on themal combourtion plant.

Risk Assessment Score Sheet

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20	20		
(C) Category 3	30	and leaves are a subject to		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note	5	
deadline has yet to be reached		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note	10	
deadline has passed		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC	0	0
Requirements		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC	-10	
Requirements	·*	

Component 3 - Sensitivity	nd Proximity of Rece	ptors (circle appropriate
score)		

23.		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0		

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets					
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10				
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0			

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32
---	---------------	----

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month period prior to review)	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	10 per breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	O
Total	(Max. 50)	0

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree equired in the authorisation?	0	10	0	YO
Monitoring requirements reduced because sults over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	NIA G
Process operation modified where any oblems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
Fully documented and adhered to aintenance programme, in line with thorisation?	0	5	0	у С
Full documented records as required in thorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y G
All relevant documents forwarded to the thority by date required?	0	5	0	y G
tal score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management,	Traini	ng and	d Resp	onsibility	
		ossib Score:		Scores Awarded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A		
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	уО	non-conformance + spillage
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	у О	procedure
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	y O	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	y O	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	уО	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	NIA O	
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)	0	

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0
The state of the s		
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	22
2	175	26
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY	LOW, MED,	1 01 1
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	HIGH	LOW

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V. Thouse.

Operator Signature

Date: 19/08/19.