

# PERMIT CONDITIONS COMPLIANCE CHECK REPORT

Installation Address:	Dunlop Oil and Marine Ltd Moody Lane Pyewipe Grimsby DN31 2SP
Contact:	Chris Allen
Permit Ref:	EP/20020004/V1
Date of Varied Permit:	The same of the sa
Permitted activity:	Rubber process
Guidance Note:	6/28(04)
Date of Visit:	14101120
Report Reference:	DOM1
Condition number:	
1 Table 4.	
PM annual extractive 10mg/m3 for	Extraction unit - Received and compliant.
bag filtration unit.	A de la companya de l
50 mg/m3 for Banbury Mixer.	84"Mill PM extractive test completed Compliant
	Isocyanate -
Isocyanate 0.1mg/m3	
NOx & CO 500mg/m3	Compliant
2 Reference Conditions	Yes
1 No. 1	
273.15K, 101.3kPa used?	Solvent Management Plan available?
3, 4, 5 & 6	Solvent Management Plan available? Compliant
VOC Fugitive releases should be reduced by 50% for period of 12 months from 1 April 1992.	Licensed hazardous waste disposal agents used? Ye.s
Determined using solvent management plan.	Solvent used vs rubber processed? = 0.32 Conixing Agore) Compliant
	Target Value = Solvent consumption in 1992/Tonnes of rubber used x 0.5?
	(Target value 0.68) below torset level compliant
	C Company

VT to provide general email address for Bustion Control Team.

Report Reference: -

Solvent Management Plan adhered	yes.	
Low or organic solvent coating		
13 SED Box 7	Designated materials used:  programme to review products used I work at possible represented.  COSH ROLLEN I assessment.	
14 Monitoring records available?	Yes.	
<b>15</b> Regulator informed in advance of annual test?	Yes	
<b>16</b> Visible emission during visit or odour beyond site boundary?	No odour or visible	
17 Silo	Informative	
18 Inspection of filtration	Is bag filter or cartridge used? Bes Alter outomatic	
plant?	Are pressure drop sensors used to monitor arrestment plant?	
Carbon black storage and empty bags	Empty bags that have contained carbon black placed in stored containers?  Stored outside but with country  aust is organized.	
20 Abnormal event procedure	Procedure aboilable and incident reporting programme	
and records	incident reporting programme	
21 Non-compliance causing	Yes of	
immediate danger to human	"The state of the	
health - procedure in place?	18 teb 19. LEVITZ. Page continuedo.	- Kiler c
LEV Maintenance certificate?	24/71 30/4/19 Barbury Pass	2.42005
Sampling provisions for extractive testing and compliance Test methods  Nitrogen Dioxide ISO 40849?  PM ISO 12039?	Yes	
32 VOC and Odour control storage	suitable measure).	
33 Bulk Storage tanks	compliant.	
34 Spillage procedure	procedure available + signasse.	
35 VOC Control Handling	suitable handling techniques.	
36 Cleaning operations	daily clear down.	
38 VOC Control Waste	weste storage compliant.	
Training		
Maintenance Programme	Boiler service 15 may 2019.	

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance (Within 12 month	Possible	Score
period prior to review)	Scores	Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0 points	
breach of specific authorisation condition or of		
general/residual BATNEEC condition		O
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per	
	incident	0
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to	10 per	
formal action (Updated by AQ 18)	breach	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution,	15 per	
Enforcement Notice or prosecution	incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per 🥂	
	incident	
Total	(Max 50)	

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of M Records	onitor	ing, N	/lainte	nance and
	Possible Scores			Score Awarded
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	γ Ο
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	O AIM
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	NIA O
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	<b>Y</b> O
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	y G
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	уО
Total score	(-	5 to 3	0)	0

## **Risk Assessment Score Sheet**

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impa	ict Potential	
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	30

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10 🔨	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Rescore)	eceptors	(circle app	ropriate
04.5	Sensit	ivity of Rec	eptors
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low
(A) < 100m* Reason Humber Estuary designated a SSSI	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	11
(D) > 500m*	0	0	0

\* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	33
---	---------------	----

<b>Component 7 - Assessment of Management,</b>	Trainir	ng and	d Resp	ons	sibility
	Possible Scores		4.50	cores varded	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A		
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	У	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to ndividual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	y	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	у	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	Y	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	7	0.
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	y	-5
Total	(-	5 to 2	5)		-5

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	-5.
--	---------------------	-----

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175	28
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Officer: VICKY THOMPSON

Officer Signature: V. Thomps.

**Operator Signature** 

C ALLEN

Date: 14/01/20.

