



Officer Decision Record

1. Subject and details of the matter (to include reasons for the decision)

Domestic Abuse Services April 2020 to March 2021

Via MHCLG grant revenue funding of £105,000, provide an additional IDVA (Independent Domestic Violence Advocate), a Complex Needs Support Worker and a Children's Support Worker to work with victims and families affected by domestic abuse in North East Lincolnshire. Existing commissioned providers, Women's Aid and Blue Door, working in partnership, will provide these enhanced services.

The bid was written in partnership with Women's Aid Grimsby & Scunthorpe Rape Crisis (operating as Blue Door) and they were required signatories on the bid.

2. Is it a Key Decision as defined in the Constitution?

No

3. Details of Decision

Release payments of £70k to Women's Aid and £35k to Blue Door be paid in quarterly instalments.

4. Is it an Urgent Decision? If yes, specify the reasons for urgency Urgent decisions will require sign off by the relevant scrutiny chair(s) as not subject to call in.

Yes funding agreed by MHCLG and required for urgent use to fulfil bid specifications and grant agreements drawn up and approved by Becky Schofield and Debbie Dales.

5. Anticipated outcome(s)

Approve funding for a £70k payment enabling Women's Aid to fund a Complex Needs Support Worker and a Children's Support Worker and;
£35K to Blue Door to work with victims and families affected by domestic abuse in North East Lincolnshire.

6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision

None – grant funding and providers were required to be signatories on the bid.
Both are current, commissioned providers of these services in NE Lincolnshire.
These are Service(s) of General Economic Interest (SGEI)

7. Background documents considered

Considered as a pre-cursor to the government introducing a new duty on local authorities to assess the need for and commission support for victims and their children within safe accommodation, from April 2021, NELC Homelessness and Rough Sleeping strategy 2019.

8. Does the taking of the decision include consideration of Exempt information? If yes, specify the relevant paragraph of Schedule 12A and the reasons

No

9. Details of any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision (in respect of any declared conflict of interest, please provide a note of dispensation granted by the Council's Chief Executive)

None

10. Monitoring Officer Comments (Monitoring Officer or Deputy Monitoring Officer)

The Council will be concerned to ensure that all terms and conditions of the grant funding from MHCLG are adhered to. The proposals illustrated above appear to be consistent with the expectations of the funder. It is noted legal and procurement colleagues are supporting.

11. Section 151 Officer Comments (Deputy S151 Officer or nominee)

As outlined above, the Council was successful in bidding for external grant funding to cover the costs of this proposal.

12. Human Resource Comments (Strategic Workforce Lead or nominee)

There are no direct HR implications

13. Risk Assessment (in accordance with the Report Writing Guide)

LOW RISK:

Services are already operational, this simply enhances current provision.

<p>14. Decision Maker(s):</p>	<p>Name: Mark Nearney</p> <p>Title: Assistant Director Housing, Economy and Growth</p> <p>Signed: signed off via email.</p> <p>Dated: 24th June 2020</p>
<p>15. Consultation carried out with Portfolio Holder(s):</p>	<p>Name: Councillor John Fenty</p> <p>Title: Portfolio Holder for Regeneration, Skills and Housing</p> <p>Signed: signed off via email.</p> <p>Dated: 24th June 2020</p>
<p>16. If the decision is urgent then consultation should be carried out with the relevant Scrutiny Chair/Mayor/Deputy Mayor</p>	<p>Name:</p> <p>Title:</p> <p>Signed:</p> <p>Dated:</p>



Officer Decision Record

1. Subject and details of the matter
To seek approval to award the contract for implementation of Louth Road pedestrian crossing improvement and carriageway resurfacing, following the conclusion of the evaluation process.
2. Is it a Key Decision as defined in the Constitution?
No
3. Details of Decision
<p>The project forms part of the LTP 2020/21 delivery plan. The project is to implement carriageway patching repair on Louth Road and Scartho Road in the borough. This is a LTP and Dft potholes funded project.</p> <p>A tender was let through NELC's surfacing frameworks. The award criteria used to evaluate the submissions was cost only, with the award going to the lowest tender value. However, the successful contractor is known to NELC/Engie and they have a sound reputation.</p>
4. Is it an Urgent Decision? If yes, specify the reasons for urgency
Yes. The required works will be undertaken on two major traffic routes within the borough. Currently, both routes have reduced traffic due to the current restrictions in place and this would be the ideal opportunity for the works to be carried out.
5. Anticipated outcome(s)
<p>The successful tenderer is Specialist Surfacing Ltd, tender return value £169,866.12 Breakdown as below: Louth Road - £87,961.96 Scartho Road - £81,904.16</p> <p>The works are in a position where we can commission and start these works straight away. The contract mobilisation will start upon award of contract and receipt of a signed contract. Duration of the works will be approx. 2 weeks.</p>
6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision
n/a
7. Background documents considered:
<p>Cabinet Decision Notice 11 March 2019 (LTP Programme approval) North East Lincolnshire Local Transport Plan Delivery Plan 2019 - 2022</p>

8. Does the taking of the decision include consideration of Exempt information? If yes, specify the relevant paragraph of Schedule 12A and the reasons	
No	
9. Details of any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision (in respect of any declared conflict of interest, please provide a note of dispensation granted by the Council's Chief Executive)	
No	
10. Monitoring Officer Comments <i>(Strategic Director – Governance & Transformation or nominee)</i>	
Approval is in line with the Cabinet decision dated 11 th March 2019 and forms part of the LTP delivery plan. The project is Dft and LTP funded. The tender has followed an approved framework. Legal Services will support the completion of the contractual documentation on award.	
11. Section 151 Officer Comments <i>(Head of Finance & Assets or nominee)</i>	
The cost of the work is funded through external grant and is incorporated into the Council's approved Capital Investment Programme.	
12. Human Resource Comments <i>(Head of Governance & Business Support or nominee)</i>	
No direct HR implications.	
13. Risk Assessment (in accordance with the Report Writing Guide)	
There is a significant risk to the Authority that if the contract is not awarded on time the programme of works may not be delivered before the end of July 2020.	
14. Decision maker(s)	Name: Clive Tritton Title: Director of Economy and Growth Signed: REDACTED Dated: 4 th June 2020
15. Consultation carried out with Portfolio Holder(s):	Name: Cllr Stewart Swinburn Title: Portfolio Holder for Environment and Transport Signed: REDACTED Dated: 4 June 2020
16. If the decision is urgent then consultation should be carried out with the relevant Scrutiny Chair/Mayor/Deputy Mayor	Name: Title: _____ Signed and Dated



Officer Decision Record

1. Subject and details of the matter (to include reasons for the decision)

Rough Sleeping Initiative – year 3

We were asked by MHCLG to submit a proposal, to be co-produced with our MHCLG rough sleeping advisor, for further funding under the Rough Sleeping Initiative to extend the existing arrangements currently in place.

Over the past 24 months, this funding has facilitated the opening of a new night shelter, expansion of rough sleeper street outreach, mental health and substance misuse support. We were one of just 80 authorities chosen to receive this funding in 2018 due to our relatively high rough sleeper count number.

The proposal for 2020/21 requests continued funding for the night shelter, street outreach, mental health support, drugs/alcohol recovery, two navigators and continuation of a move on housing scheme (rapid rehousing pathway) for rough sleepers.

2. Is it a Key Decision as defined in the Constitution?

No

3. Details of Decision

£499,250 to fund both the Rapid Re-housing Pathway and continued rough sleeper night shelter and outreach service provision from 1st April 2020 – 31st March 2021.

4. Is it an Urgent Decision? If yes, specify the reasons for urgency Urgent decisions will require sign off by the relevant scrutiny chair(s) as not subject to call in.

Yes funding agreed by MHCLG and required for urgent use to fulfil bid specifications.

5. Anticipated outcome(s)

Approve £499,250 funding for both the Rapid Re-housing Pathway and continued rough sleeper night shelter and outreach service provision from 1st April 2020 – 31st March 2021.

1 x rough sleeper co-ordinator

6 x night support workers

1 x street outreach co-ordinator

2 x street outreach workers

1 x drug/alcohol specialist support worker

1 x mental health support worker

Rapid Re-housing pathway – 1.5 FTE support workers and set up costs for 5 properties.

6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision

If this funding ceased the services will have to close if alternative funding sources cannot be found. Harbour place, who provide the majority of this service, are regularly applying for charitable grants to fund the various aspects of their work. The Council does have some homelessness prevention funding, but this would not be sufficient to enable the night shelter service to keep running

7. Background documents considered

Government's Rough Sleeping Strategy 2018

8. Does the taking of the decision include consideration of Exempt information? If yes, specify the relevant paragraph of Schedule 12A and the reasons

No

9. Details of any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision (in respect of any declared conflict of interest, please provide a note of dispensation granted by the Council's Chief Executive)

None

10. Monitoring Officer Comments (Monitoring Officer or Deputy Monitoring Officer)

The Council will be concerned to ensure that all terms and conditions of the grant funding from MHCLG are adhered to. The proposals illustrated above are a continuation of the previous 2 years' programme and appear to be consistent with the expectations of the funder.

11. Section 151 Officer Comments (Deputy S151 Officer or nominee)

As outlined above, the Council has received £499,250 external grant funding to cover the costs of this proposal.

12. Human Resource Comments (Strategic Workforce Lead or nominee)

There are no direct HR implications

13. Risk Assessment (in accordance with the Report Writing Guide)

MEDIUM RISK:

Services already being provided, but funding required to continue to reduce numbers of rough sleepers in the Borough.

This is the final year of a 3 year programme delivered by existing providers with extensive experience of supporting vulnerable individuals with complex needs and is externally funded in full

14. Decision maker(s)	Name: Mark Nearney Title: Assistant Director Housing, Economy and Growth Signed: Signed off via email. Dated: 24 th June 2020
15. Consultation carried out with Portfolio Holder(s):	Name: Cllr John Fenty Title: Portfolio Holder for Regeneration, Skills and Housing Signed: Signed off via email. Dated: 24 th June 2020
16. If the decision is urgent then consultation should be carried out with the relevant Scrutiny Chair/Mayor/Deputy Mayor	Name: Title: <hr/> Signed and Dated

Officer Decision Record

1. Subject and details of the matter

Scartho Cemetery Chapels - Heritage At Risk scheme

Cabinet approved the release of funds of £431,000 to allow urgent repairs to take place to Scartho Cemetery Chapels as part one (1) of the next phase of repairs to Council owned Heritage Assets on 7th August 2019.

Ref CB 07/19/04 - HERITAGE AT RISK involves restoration and conservation to the existing 1888 pair of Cemetery Chapels to enable the buildings to be removed from the Heritage 'At Risk' Register.

This Officer Decision Record is to approve the procurement of a contractor and associated costs to allow the project to progress and for works to be undertaken to the Chapel buildings.

The tender is attached in full at Appendix 1, however, in summary below the total expenditure of the project is set out in order to complete the project:

	Tender	Paid
Project	Received	2019-20
Manufacture of Rainwater goods		£57,040.08
Preliminaries	£15,350.00	
Contract works	£133,407.00	
Provisional Sums and Dayworks	£22,300.00	
Contract Sum	£171,057.00	
Contingency @ 15%	£25,658.55	
Subtotal for WIP	£196,715.55	
Direct Costs (see breakdown in Appendix One)	£4,053.70	
Subtotal before fees	£200,769.25	
Engie Fees @ 12%	£24,092.31	£20,000.00
TOTAL FORECAST BUILDING WORKS AND DESIGN COST EXC VAT	£224,861.56	
TOTAL PAID TO DATE		£77,040.08
TOTAL PROJECT COST		£301,901.64

<p>Note: Fees of £20,000 have been claimed and the manufacture of Rainwater goods of £57,040.08 have been forward funded to ensure economies of scale from a contract awarded for works to the Scartho Cemetery gates and to allow the manufacture & reduction in the required lead in time.</p> <p>A retention figure from the above Preliminaries of £8,552.85 will be held and paid in 2021-22.</p>
<p>2. Is it a Key Decision as defined in the Constitution?</p>
<p>No.</p>
<p>3. Details of Decision</p>
<p>Approval to carry out the award process in accordance with the Council's Contracts Procedure Rules which will result in a remaining expenditure across 2020-22 of £224,861.56. The total project cost totals £301,901.64.</p>
<p>4. Is it an Urgent Decision? If yes, specify the reasons for urgency</p>
<p>No.</p>
<p>5. Anticipated outcome(s)</p>
<p>Award the tender and issue subsequent award/decline letters and contract to the successful tenderer and proceed with works to improve the condition of the subject site.</p>
<p>6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision</p>
<p><u>Do nothing</u> - the buildings would continue to deteriorate and costs would escalate increasing the Council's liability. This would not only affect the Council's Heritage buildings, it would also negatively impact on privately owned heritage, as it would be perverse for the Council to pursue enforcement on private buildings without also having a coherent and achievable plan for its own assets. Inaction would also affect the likelihood of bringing this building back into use.</p> <p><u>Defer investment and removal from the 'At Risk' Register</u> - the option to wait until grant funding is available is not a viable option. The Council has already recently secured Heritage Lottery Funding for other projects in the Borough and to wait to secure further funding will only see the building deteriorate further which will see the overall cost of repair escalate. Any delay in removing this Asset from the 'At Risk' Register could also result in the LPA / Secretary of State taking formal enforcement action against the Council to regularise breaches.</p>
<p>7. Background documents considered:</p>
<p>Cabinet decision notice DN.28 on 7th August 2019 the following:</p> <p><i>That the Director of Resource and Governance be authorised to make the necessary arrangements to release the capital sum of £431,000 to allow urgent repairs to take place to Scartho Cemetery Chapel as part one (1) of the next phase of repairs to Council owned Heritage Assets.</i></p>
<p>8. Does the taking of the decision include consideration of Exempt information? If yes, specify the relevant paragraph of Schedule 12A and the reasons</p>
<p>No.</p>
<p>9. Details of any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision (in respect of any declared conflict of interest, please provide a note of dispensation granted by the Council's Chief Executive)</p>
<p>No.</p>
<p>10. Monitoring Officer Comments (Strategic Director – Governance & Transformation or nominee)</p>
<p>The award and works are in line with the Cabinet decision and delegations of 7th August 2019. The process followed is compliant with the Contract Procedure Rules and Public Contracts Regulations. Legal Services will support the completion of the contractual documentation on award.</p>

11. Section 151 Officer Comments (Head of Finance & Assets or nominee)	
The works are included in the approved capital programme and the tender falls below the budget made available for this element of the scheme.	
12. Human Resource Comments (Head of Governance & Business Support or nominee)	
There are no direct HR implications.	
13. Risk Assessment (in accordance with the Report Writing Guide)	
<p>Crime and Disorder – There are no impacts on Crime and Disorder in relation to the award of the tender. Should the required works not progress however, the asset will continue to deteriorate and will cost more to maintain in the future which may lead to them becoming dangerous, causing risk to life and requiring enhanced remediation works. Poorly maintained assets also attract anti-social behaviour which has a detrimental effect on the Community.</p> <p>Diversity – The Council has taken into account in its tender evaluation and contracting processes, a potential contractor’s approach to equalities in terms of its employment practices and service delivery. Potential contractors were asked relevant questions and included appropriate provisions in its contract documents relating to these matters. The response to these questions has been evaluated as part of the selection process. The impact of the procurement with regard to the promotion of equalities within service delivery and employment opportunities will also be monitored and managed during the life of each contract. The Council’s contracting officers will ensure that these principles are observed.</p> <p>Value for Money – The recommendations for approval to carry out the award process are in accordance with the Council’s Contracts Procedure Rules.</p>	
14. Decision maker(s)	Name: Sharon Wroot Title: Director of Resources and Governance Signed: REDACTED Dated: 2 nd June 2020
15. Consultation carried out with Portfolio Holder(s):	Name: Cllr John Fenty Title: Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Regeneration, Skills and Housing Signed: REDACTED Dated: 2 nd June 2020
16. If the decision is urgent then consultation should be carried out with the relevant Scrutiny Chair/Mayor/Deputy Mayor	Name: Title: <hr/> Signed and Dated



Officer Decision Record

1. Subject and details of the matter

Supported Bus Services Grant 2020/2021

Local authorities can submit applications (Statement of Intent) for supported bus services in 2020-21. The Government expects this funding to be used to improve the provision of local bus services in one or more of the following ways:

- To improve current local bus services - for instance increasing evening or weekend frequencies, or supporting additional seasonal services in tourist areas,
- To restore lost bus routes where most needed to ensure people have access to public transport services,
- To support new bus services, or extensions to current services, to access e.g. new housing, employment opportunities, healthcare facilities etc.

Outcome of North East Lincolnshire Council Statement of Intent Submission

On 23 April 2020, DfT (Department for Transport) notified North East Lincolnshire Council the submitted Statement of Intent for £93,411 had been approved. The Statement of Intent planned to increase the frequency of the 3/4 service to every 10 minutes.

The notification from DfT also stated that Government Ministers are allowing local authorities to use some or all of their funding allocations supporting bus services affected by the COVID-19 outbreak. NELC may use the funding to keep services running, or to restore any services lost due to COVID-19. If NELC choose to use any of the funding in this way, this should be reflected in the information NELC publish on the Council's website. The grant terms and conditions require North East Lincolnshire Council to publish how the local authority intends to use the funding and this must be completed within one month of the funding being provided (by 23 May 2020).

2. Is it a Key Decision as defined in the Constitution?

Yes. The decision is significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.

3. Details of Decision

To approve the use of the 2020/2021 Supported Bus Services Grant.

4. Is it an Urgent Decision? If yes, specify the reasons for urgency

No.

5. Anticipated outcome(s)

The following outcome is recommended:

Option 4 is recommended, however, there is a risk if Option 4 utilises the total value of grant funding there will be no funds for Option 3 should there be a reduction in the post COVID-19 local bus network once the restrictions start to be lifted. If the Council receives requests, comments or complaints regarding the withdrawal or reduction of local bus services, if this is not possible on a commercial basis, the Supported Bus Services Grant could be used to either reinstate or improve a local bus service. If Grant funding is fully spent and there is a requirement to reinstate any post COVID-19 bus services it may add pressure to NELC revenue budgets.

It is anticipated NELC subsidy will be altered/reduced/removed from 1 April 2021. Cabinet will receive a report to consider the future of the Europarc bus service prior to any future changes.

6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision

The following options are available to NELC:

- **Option 1** - Do nothing - This option is not recommended, because it does not meet the DfT Support Bus Services grant terms and conditions which the Council has agreed to by submitting the Statement of Intent.
- **Option 2** - Use the funding as proposed in the Statement of Intent. Due to the impact of COVID-19, it may take Stagecoach East Midlands at least 6 to 12 months to restore the local bus network back to pre-COVID-19 frequency levels and there is no guarantee when, or if, this will happen. The local authority may wish to withhold the funding until Stagecoach East Midlands are in a position to restore the pre-COVID-19 frequency levels and then use the funding to deliver the 10-minute frequency enhancement at a later date. This option may require approval from DfT to use the funding in 2021/2022.

As of 4 May 2020, Stagecoach East Midlands have confirmed this option 2 is still available to NELC. However, their preference would be to delay the enhancement (for up to 12 months) until the business recovery from COVID-19 is well established.

- **Option 3** – Use some or all of the funding allocations to support bus services affected by the COVID-19 outbreak. This option essentially uses the grant to support the existing bus network and mitigates the impact of COVID-19. For example, once the Government restrictions start to be lifted, Stagecoach East Midlands may plan to provide a 30 minute frequency on the 9/10 service (connects various housing estates in North East Lincolnshire to Grimsby and Cleethorpes Town Centres). Prior to COVID-19 the 9/10 service frequency was every 15 minutes. The grant could be used to reinstate the 15 minute

frequency on the 9/10 service. This option could help to support any local bus service in North East Lincolnshire and to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on the local bus network.

- **Option 4** – Use some or all of the grant to support the ongoing delivery of the Europarc bus service. If COVID-19 had not occurred, Cabinet may review the existing contract and the service may have been reduced to an hourly service at Cabinet in June 2020 (a reduced hourly service could commence from 28 September 2020 subject to Cabinet approval). Therefore, the grant could be used to “keep services running” including the Europarc bus service 30 minute frequency in 2020/2021. DfT have confirmed this is in line with the funding objectives and requirements so that is an acceptable use of the funding.

The cost of the Europarc bus service from 1 April 2020 to 26 September 2020 is estimated at £80,830 and the cost of the Europarc bus service from 28 September to 31 March 2021 is estimated at £82,436. If the 2020/2021 Supported Bus Services Grant (£93,411) was used to support the ongoing delivery of the Europarc bus service from 28 September 2020 to 31 March this would leave an estimated remaining balance of £7,975 at the end of the financial year. To ensure all of the 2020/2021 Supported Bus Services Grant of £93,411 is spent on the Europarc bus service contract by the 31 March 2021, it is estimated the funding would need to be allocated to this contract from 10 September (rather than 28 September 2020) 2020 to 31 March 2021.

It is anticipated NELC subsidy budget may change from 1 April 2021. Cabinet will receive a report to consider the future of the Europarc bus service prior to any future changes (the current tendered prices for the Europarc bus service are valid until 31 March 2022).

7. Background documents considered:

2020/2021 Supported Bus Services Statement of Intent.

**8. Does the taking of the decision include consideration of Exempt information?
If yes, specify the relevant paragraph of Schedule 12A and the reasons**

No

9. Details of any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision (in respect of any declared conflict of interest, please provide a note of dispensation granted by the Council's Chief Executive)

None.

10. Monitoring Officer Comments

(Monitoring Officer or Deputy Monitoring Officer)

The Council will be concerned to ensure that all terms and conditions of the grant funding from DfT are adhered to. The proposals illustrated above appear to be consistent with the expectations of the funder. Legal officers will provide support as required.

11. Section 151 Officer Comments <i>(Deputy S151 Officer or nominee)</i>	
The recommended option will help ensure continuity of the respective bus services whilst not putting additional pressure on the Council's revenue budget.	
12. Human Resource Comments <i>(Strategic Workforce Lead or nominee)</i>	
There are no direct HR implications	
13. Risk Assessment (in accordance with the Report Writing Guide)	
<p>There are a number of risks for the Council in exploring future options for delivery of local bus services. Under the 1985 Transport Act North East Lincolnshire Council has a duty to provide socially necessary bus services. Socially necessary bus services are those that wouldn't be profitable for bus companies to run and bus services which the local community require (e.g. late night buses, buses services to isolated housing estates or to employment sites). The Europarc bus service could be deemed as socially necessary.</p> <p>A contract extension for the Europarc bus service will discharge the Council's duty under the 1985 Transport Act, allow the Council to explore alternative options and will continue to provide opportunities to sustain access to employment, health and other services and to reduce social isolation in direct support of the Council's Stronger Communities objective.</p>	
14. Decision maker(s)	Name: Mark Nearney Title: Assistant Director of Housing Signed: Signed off via email. Dated: 1 st June 2020
15. Consultation carried out with Portfolio Holder(s):	Name: Councillor Stewart Swinburn Title: Portfolio Holder for Environment and Transport Signed off via email. 1 st June 2020. <hr/> Signed and Dated
16. If the decision is urgent then consultation should be carried out with the relevant Scrutiny Chair/Mayor/Deputy Mayor	Name: Title: <hr/> Signed and Dated



Officer Decision Record

1. Subject and details of the matter (to include reasons for the decision)

YMCA Humber Housing First 20/21

As part of the Council's commitment to introduce Housing First across North East Lincolnshire, as recommended by the Rough Sleepers working group 2019 and the Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2020, an opportunity was identified to undertake a 12-month pilot scheme.

The delivery of the pilot is to enable the Council to work with YMCA, a current Housing Related Support (HRS) provider involved in the delivery of the work focused around Rough Sleepers, to prototype a project facilitating intensive housing support based upon Housing First principles, for up to 4 individuals with medium-high support needed.

To provide two small properties (2 beds per property) to house four individuals with wrap-around intensive support provided by 1.5 FTE YMCA staff member(s). The properties will include shared communal facilities and will ideally be located close to St. Hugh's Community Centre on the West Marsh, Grimsby, acting as a base for the support worker, and a place that residents can seek further support, advice and guidance. The properties will be managed by YMCA Humber, including maintenance and all housing management costs.

The scheme will allow YMCA and NELC to review and evaluate its successes, learning opportunities and outcomes, thus providing learning information for homelessness strategy planning.

Properties utilised for this scheme also bring back an empty property in to use. The properties will be used to house vulnerable people via their charity for 3 years.

2. Is it a Key Decision as defined in the Constitution?

No

3. Details of Decision

Direct award of £50,000 from Housing Related Support budget which has necessary budget available.

4. Is it an Urgent Decision? If yes, specify the reasons for urgency Urgent decisions will require sign off by the relevant scrutiny chair(s) as not subject to call in.

No

5. Anticipated outcome(s)

Approve a £50K payment to YMCA Humber to house and support 4 individuals with complex needs, engage them in wider support and therapeutic services, and enable them to live independently in the community, reducing the use of urgent care and pressure on homelessness service to house complex individuals.

6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision

No alternative providers involved locally in the development of the Homelessness Strategy have identified themselves as being able to provide the required commitment to be a part of a prototype project, focused around the intensive support of Housing First.

Other providers are currently delivering services above and beyond their contracted requirements. Additionally, not all providers have access to the required accommodation to deliver the project. Some providers currently providing HRS do so at a financial deficit.

7. Background documents considered

Homelessness and Rough Sleeper Strategies 2019 and recommendations from the Rough Sleeper Working Group 2019.

The government has committed to halving rough sleeping by 2022 and end it altogether by 2027 and launched Housing First pilots nationally in 2018.

8. Does the taking of the decision include consideration of Exempt information? If yes, specify the relevant paragraph of Schedule 12A and the reasons

No

9. Details of any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision (in respect of any declared conflict of interest, please provide a note of dispensation granted by the Council's Chief Executive)

None

10. Monitoring Officer Comments (Monitoring Officer or Deputy Monitoring Officer)

The scheme is in line with the current Homelessness and Rough Sleeper Strategies and recommendations from the Rough Sleeper working group. The provider is local with extensive experience and the only one able to provide the required commitment for such a project.

11. Section 151 Officer Comments (Deputy S151 Officer or nominee)

The service has identified resources within existing budgets to cover the £50k cost outlined within this proposal.

12. Human Resource Comments (Strategic Workforce Lead or nominee)

There are no direct HR implications

13. Risk Assessment (in accordance with the Report Writing Guide)

LOW RISK: This project is being delivered by the YMCA, who are a registered provider of social housing and extensive experience of supporting vulnerable individuals with complex needs.

14. Decision Maker(s):	Name: Mark Nearney Title: Assistant Director Housing, Economy and Growth Signed: signed off via email. Dated: 24 th June 2020
15. Consultation carried out with Portfolio Holder(s):	Name: Councillor John Fenty Title: Portfolio Holder for Regeneration, Skills and Housing Signed: signed off via email. Dated: 24 th June 2020
16. If the decision is urgent then consultation should be carried out with the relevant Scrutiny Chair/Mayor/Deputy Mayor	Name: Title: Signed: Dated: