

PORTFOLIO HOLDER

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

DECISION NOTICE

At the meeting of the Portfolio Holder – Energy and Environment, held on the 17th October, 2016 the following matters were discussed. The decisions of the Portfolio Holder are set out below in each item along with reasons for the decision and other options considered.

DNPH.EE.11 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interests in respect of items on the agenda.

DNPH.EE.12 HIGHWAY WINTER SERVICE REVIEW FOR THE 2016-17 SEASON

The Portfolio Holder considered a report on the annual review of the Council's highway winter service policy and operational plan.

RESOLVED – That the Highway Winter Service policy and operational plan (2016-17) be approved.

REASONS FOR DECISION - As a highway authority the council is required to review its policy and operational plan for highway winter service on an annual basis.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED -

The consultation process during 2016 has in the usual way included the emergency services, public transport companies, parish and town councils, and neighbouring highway authorities, as well as other operational services within the council.

It is important for the travelling public to experience a consistent level of service through the region. Thus the consultation with neighbouring authorities is intended to achieve a degree of continuity of treatment across authority boundaries, and identify sections where it would be beneficial, to both parties, for one authority to provide a service to the other.

It is also important that the general public is aware of and understands the council's approach to the highway winter service. Details are given before the start of the winter season by appropriate publicity and the information posted on the council's web site.

Having reviewed the policy and plan, no fundamental issues have been identified that would require amendment of the policy.

Feedback from the consultation and a summary of the comments and service responses were included as appendix 3 to this report.

DNPH.EE.13 TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – PROHIBITION OF WAITING IN CAISTOR ROAD, LACEBY

The Portfolio Holder considered a report proposing the introduction of a Prohibition of Waiting restriction on Caistor Road, Laceby.

RESOLVED –

(1) That, subject to consultation and no objections being received, approval be granted to the making of a "No Waiting Mon-Fri 8am-6pm" Traffic Regulation Order as listed in the Schedule given in Appendix 1 and shown on drawing TR101/16-27/01 of the report now submitted. (2) That in the event there are unresolved objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed.

REASONS FOR DECISION -

To improve the safe passage of vehicles along Caistor Road for all road users. To avoid danger to road users by preserving sufficient available road space for vehicles to manoeuvre. To preserve the condition of the surrounding footway and grass verges.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED -

Parking can be better regulated through the use of "no loading" restrictions. These would require additional road markings and the provision of additional upright signs. Whilst recognised as effective and easily enforced it is considered that, such restrictions would be out of place on Caistor Road. This is as a result of a continued requirement for the nearby business' to load or offload deliveries in order to function effectively.

The suggestion of bollards was also considered however this would create unnecessary obstructions in the carriageway. In addition, unlike yellow lines, there is no provision under Civil Parking Enforcement to enforce bollards and would therefore rely on the Police to take action. In this regard a waiting restriction is considered a more substantial recommendation to remedy the issues raised, due to its ease of enforcement.

Should no action be taken, the opening of the A18 Laceby roundabout service station will only serve to exacerbate the current situation.

DNPH.EE.14 TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – PROHIBITION OF WAITING IN CLAYMORE CLOSE, CLEETHORPES

The Portfolio Holder considered a report proposing the introduction of a Traffic Regulation Order to extend the waiting restrictions on Claymore Close from the junction with Fair View Avenue, Cleethorpes.

RESOLVED -

- (1) That, subject to a formal consultation and no objections being received, approval be granted to the making of a "No Waiting At Any Time" Traffic Regulation Order as listed in Schedule 1 given in Appendix 1 and shown on drawing TR101/16-28/01, of the report now submitted.
- (2) That in the event there are unresolved objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for

determination and a decision as to whether or not the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed.

REASONS FOR DECISION -

To ensure unhindered access to and from Claymore Close and create a safer environment for all road users. To improve line of sight visibility for all road users around the junction of Claymore Close with Fair View Avenue.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED -

Parking can be better controlled through the use of "no loading" restrictions. These would require additional road markings and the provision of upright signs. Whilst recognised as effective and easily enforced it is considered that, such restrictions would be out of place in a residential area.

The area concerned could be covered by another form of waiting restriction (e.g. Mon-sat, 8am-6pm) that is less restrictive, allowing drivers to park in the street outside the restrictions hours of operation. However, this would not remedy the situation encountered on Claymore Close which can occur at various times of the day/night and across seven days a week.

DNPH.EE.15 TRACKING

The Portfolio Holder considered a report tracking the recommendations of previous meetings.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That in relation to DNPH.ET.3 Petition on School Initiative Road Safety - Install pedestrian crossing on Alexandra road, Grimsby, this item remain on the tracking report until the proposed works had been completed.
- (2) That in relation to PH.ET.19 Pelham Road, Immingham weight limit restriction, the outcome of investigations into extending the weight limit restriction to Stallingborough Road and Kings Road, Immingham be reported back to the Portfolio Holder as soon as possible.