

**PORTFOLIO HOLDER
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
DECISION NOTICE**

At the meeting of the Portfolio Holder – Energy and Environment, held on the 16th October, 2017 the following matters were discussed. The decisions of the Portfolio Holder are set out below in each item along with reasons for the decision and other options considered.

DNPH.EE.14 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interests in respect of items on the agenda.

DNPH.EE.15 PETITION – OLD CHERRY VALLEY BUILDING, PELHAM ROAD

The Portfolio Holder received a petition requesting the site clearance and demolition of the old Cherry Valley Building on Pelham Road, Cleethorpes.

RESOLVED –

(1) That the petition be received.

REASONS FOR DECISION – In response to the petition received.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – None

DNPH.EE.16 **TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – PROHIBITION OF WAITING:WORSLEY ROAD, IMMINGHAM**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that introduced a Traffic Regulation Order for a ‘No Waiting at Any Time’ restriction in Worsley Road, Immingham on the approach to Pelham Road junction.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That subject to a formal consultation and no objections being received, approval be granted to the making of a “No Waiting at Any Time” Traffic Regulation Order as listed in Schedule 1 in Appendix 1 and shown on drawing TR101/17-47.**
- (2) That in the event that there be unresolved objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for determination and decision as to whether or not the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed.**

REASONS FOR DECISION – To improve visibility on the approach to the Pelham Road junction and reduce vehicle conflict in this location, creating a safer environment for all road users.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – Parking can be better controlled through the use of “no loading” restrictions. These would require additional road markings and the provision of upright signs. Whilst recognised as effective and easily enforced it is considered that, at this time, such restrictions would be out of place in the residential area.

DNPH.EE.17 **LACEBY ROAD BUS SHELTER CONSULTATION**

The Portfolio Holder considered the implementation of a proposed bus shelter outside 110 Laceby Road, Grimsby.

RESOLVED - That the report be deferred for further consultation.

REASON FOR DECISION – The Portfolio Holder saw the need for further investigation to monitor use of the bus stop and also to receive details of proposed design.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – None.

REFERRALS FROM SCRUTINY

DNPH.EE.18 **SPEED LIMIT REVIEW: B1203 EAST RAVENDALE TO WALTHAM**

It was recommended to the Portfolio Holder for Energy and Environment that officers look in more detail at the suggestions to further reduce the number of speed limit changes via removal of the 40 mph section around the Ashby-cum-Fenby junction. Before being brought back to the Economy Scrutiny Panel prior to confirmation of any resolution of the portfolio holder and prior to the statutory consultation required in making a traffic regulation order.

The Traffic Team Manager explained that Officers had looked into the rationalisation of the speed limits along B1203 and stated that it was feasible for the 40mph section to be removed.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That the removal of the 40mph speed limit changes along the B1203 between East Ravendale and Waltham be fed back as being feasible to the Economy Scrutiny Panel on 14th November 2017.**
- (2) That the speed limit changes along the B1203 between East Ravendale and Waltham be approved subject to the comments received by the Economy Scrutiny Panel on 14th November 2017.**

REASON FOR DECISION - To reduce the number of speed limit changes along the B1203 to enable drivers to travel more smoothly without excessive accelerating and decelerating, and the posted speed limits easier to enforce.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED - The only other option to consider was to take no further action and leave the speed limits as they were. It had already been argued that the existing speed limits were causing issues for users of the B1203 so this option had not been considered any further.

DNPH.EE.19 **MAJOR PROJECT CONSULTATION**

It was recommended to the Portfolio Holder For Energy and Environment, that the major project consultation and engagement process outlined within the report now submitted be adopted and published on the Council's website to provide a legitimate expectation of the level of engagement that the public and other stakeholders should anticipate for all major highways projects.

RESOLVED – That the major project consultation and engagement process be adopted and published on the Council's website.

REASON FOR DECISION – In response to the report received.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – None.

DNPH.EE.20 **LOCAL TRANSPORT PLAN QUARTERLY UPDATE**

It was recommended to the Portfolio Holder for Energy and the Environment that he look to initiate a process for advising ward councillors at the earliest opportunity of changes to Local Transport Plan capital programme.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That the recommendation be noted.**
- (2) That ENGIE provide commentary through the Highways Weekly Update to members, for any Local Transport Plan schemes that be substantially delayed or postponed. The information would then be collated and summarised in the Local Transport Plan Quarterly Update.**

DNPH.EE.21 **TRACKING**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report tracking the recommendations of previous meetings.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That in relation to DNPH.ET.16 (Petition for pedestrian crossing, Station Road, Stallingborough), that a feasibility study was still being undertaken. To remain on tracking until a resolution had been accomplished.**
- (2) That in relation to DPH.EE.6 (Petition for the maintenance schedule for public grassed areas in Cleethorpes) it be noted that the issues raised by the lead petitioner were discussed at the Smarter Neighbourhoods working group on 2nd October 2017, but was deferred to their next meeting so that the Interim Director for Environment could gather more information to be able to provide a more detailed response.**
- (3) That in relation to DNPH.EE.10 (Petition to lock gates at Cleethorpes Country Park) it be noted that issues had been taken to relevant managers for a response.**