



To be submitted to the Council at its meeting on 14th December, 2017

PORTFOLIO HOLDER – ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

16th October 2017

PRESENT: Councillor Watson (in the Chair)

Officers in attendance:

Angela Blake	Director for Economy and Growth
Spencer Hunt	Service Manager
Simon Jones	Solicitor and Acting Monitoring Officer
Beverly O'Brien	Committee and Scrutiny Support Officer
Debbie Swatman	Traffic Team Manager

Others in attendance:

Councillor Chase – Ward Councillor and Lead Petitioner
Councillor Bolton – Portfolio Holder for Safer Communities and Public Protection
Councillor Rogers – Ward Councillor

There was one member of the public present.

PH.EE.16 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interests in respect of items on the agenda.

PH.EE.17 **PETITION – SITE CLEARANCE AND DEMOLITION OF OLD CHERRY VALLEY BUILDING, PELHAM ROAD**

The Portfolio Holder received a petition regarding the clearance and demolition of the Old Cherry Valley building in Pelham Road, Cleethorpes.

The Portfolio Holder invited Councillor Chase as Lead Petitioner to present the petition. Councillor Chase presented the following issues and concerns on behalf of residents:

- Residents were becoming more and more concerned over the dangers this building could be having on the closeby community.
- Residents have suffered abuse from youths climbing on the derelict building.
- Land was being used as a dumping ground.
- The police had questioned whether the building was safe and secure. The issues with the site had gone on for too long. Residents had stopped calling the police as they cannot go on the roof to get the young people down.
- Historically, the site had not been secure and unauthorised persons were gaining access, which sometimes led to arson of the building. Residents were concerned that a toxic gas was polluting the environment when this happened.
- Workers had been on the site in protective gear removing structures from the building. Residents had wondered whether there was an asbestos problem that they needed to be aware of.

Councillor Chase stated that it was the owner's responsibility to make sure measures were put in place to make the site secure. She asked for the Portfolio Holder to urge Officers to come to an agreement with the owner to push for demolition before Christmas 2017.

Ms Blake stated that she would follow this up with Engie to look at the building and mention it to the building enforcement team.

Mr Jones explained that planning enforcement had powers if there was an asbestos issue.

Mr Hunt identified that work could be done with Young People Support Service to identify the individuals who were climbing on the building and try to alleviate the problems on the site.

RESOLVED – That the petition be received.

PH.EE.18

**TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – PROHIBITION OF WAITING:
WORSLEY ROAD, IMMINGHAM**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report seeking to introduce a Traffic Regulation Order for “No Waiting at Any Time” restrictions in Worsley Road, Immingham on the approach to Pelham Road junction.

The Portfolio Holder agreed to let Councillor Bolton raise some of the issues with the current situation at Worsley Road. Councillor Bolton explained that by putting in this traffic regulation order (TRO) it would make the road a lot less dangerous than it currently was. He said that it was currently a struggle to see cars coming from all directions. He felt that the quicker this TRO came into place, the better.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That, subject to a formal consultation and no objections being received, approval be granted to the making of a “No Waiting at Any Time” Traffic Regulation Order as listed in Schedule 1 in Appendix 1 of the report now submitted and shown on drawing TR101/17-47.
- (2) That in the event that there were unresolved objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for determination and decision as to whether or not the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed.

PH.EE.19

LACEBY ROAD BUS SHELTER CONSULTATION

The Portfolio Holder considered the implementation of a proposed bus shelter outside 110 Laceby Road, Grimsby.

The Portfolio Holder invited Mr Simpson, a local resident, to present the issues he had if the suggested bus shelter was implemented. Mr Simpson presented the following issues and concerns he had:

- No consultation with directly affected residents had taken place.
- The area that had been proposed for the bus shelter had to be kept clear, with regard to the fire hydrant outside his property. The design of the bus shelter had not been formally disclosed and he was worried that it would interfere with the easy access to the fire hydrant.
- Road safety would become an issue.
- It had been suggested that on average 6 people used the bus stop daily, but Mr Simpson stated that he had observed the amount of people that had used it within a week and clarified that only 12 people had.

Mr Simpson was concerned that the shelter would be implemented where it was not needed and the numbers some residents had suggested was not true.

Ms Blake stated that a specific design had not been mentioned, but she thought it was appropriate to make sure the design was fit for purpose before it was approved, especially because of the fire hydrant.

Councillor Watson saw the need for further investigation to monitor the use of the bus stop and also to receive details of the proposed design.

RESOLVED – That the report be deferred for further consultation.

PH.EE.20

SPEED LIMIT REVIEW: B1203 EAST RAVENDALE TO WALTHAM

The Portfolio Holder received a referral from the Economy Scrutiny Panel.

The Panel had recommended to the Portfolio Holder that officer's look in more detail at the suggestions to further reduce the number of speed limit changes via removal of the 40 mph section around the Ashby-cum-Fenby junction. This would then be brought back to the Economy Scrutiny Panel prior to confirmation of any resolution of the portfolio holder and prior to the statutory consultation required in making a traffic regulation order.

Ms Swatman explained that Officers had looked into the rationalisation of the speed limits along B1203 and stated that it was feasible for the 40mph section to be removed.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That the removal of the 40mph speed limit changes along the B1203 between East Ravendale and Waltham be fed back as being feasible to the Economy Scrutiny Panel on 14th November 2017.
- (2) That the speed limit changes along the B1203 between East Ravendale and Waltham be approved, subject to the comments received by the Economy Scrutiny Panel on 14th November 2017.

PH.EE.21

MAJOR PROJECT CONSULTATION

The Portfolio Holder received a report setting out the proposed process for consultation and engagement on major highways projects.

This matter had been considered by the Economy Scrutiny Panel and it had recommended to the Portfolio Holder that the process be adopted and published on the council's website to provide a legitimate expectation of the level of engagement that the public and other stakeholders should anticipate for all major highways projects.

RESOLVED – That the major highways projects consultation and engagement process be adopted and published on the Council's website.

PH.EE.22

LOCAL TRANSPORT PLAN QUARTERLY UPDATE

The Portfolio Holder received a referral from the Economy Scrutiny Panel.

The Panel had recommended to the Portfolio Holder that he look to initiate a process for advising ward councillors at the earliest opportunity of changes to the Local Transport Plan capital programme.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That the recommendation be noted.
- (2) That Engie provide commentary through the Highways Weekly Update to members, for any Local Transport Plan schemes that had been substantially delayed or postponed. The information would then be collated and summarised in the Local Transport Plan Quarterly Update.

PH.EE.23

TRACKING REPORT

The Portfolio Holder received a tracking report tracking the recommendations of this Portfolio Holder and to agree any items for sign off.

RESOLVED –

- (1) That in relation to DNPH.ET.16 (Petition for pedestrian crossing, Station Road, Stallingborough), it be noted that a feasibility study was still being undertaken and therefore this item would remain on tracking until a resolution had been accomplished.
- (2) That in relation to DPH.EE.6 (Petition for the maintenance schedule for public grassed areas in Cleethorpes) it be noted that the issues raised by the lead petitioner were discussed at the Smarter Neighbourhoods Working Group on 2nd October 2017, but had been deferred to their next meeting so that the Interim Director for Environment could gather more information to be able to provide a more detailed response.
- (3) That in relation to DNPH.EE.10 (Petition to lock gates at Cleethorpes Country Park) it be noted that issues had been taken to relevant managers for a response.

There being no further business, the Portfolio Holder closed the meeting at 10.55 a.m.