

Item 1 - Land Field Head
Road Laceby -
DM/0470/23/OUT

Laceby Parish Council

Mrs N Ashton, Clerk to Laceby Parish Council
c/o The Stanford Centre, Cooper Lane, Laceby, Grimsby, DN37 7AX
Email: lacbypcclerk@gmx.co.uk



Planning Department,
New Oxford House,
George Street,
Grimsby,
DN31 1HB

9th June 2023

Dear Sir/Madam

DM/0470/23/FUL – removal of condition 5 (northern buffer zone); Land at Field Head Road, Laceby

The above planning application was discussed at the Parish Council Meeting on the 6th June 2023.

In consideration of the plans which were reviewed and the representations made by many residents at the Parish Council Full Planning and Finance Meeting, Laceby Parish Council object to the removal of this condition and request that NELC refuse this application.

The current buffer zone was put in place when the 400m was removed, and was done so in order to protect the residents of the new houses on the Land at Field Head Road, and enable the piggery to continue with its practice.

If the developer is given approval for the buffer zone to be removed, they are looking to build bigger houses in place of the current (approved) ones with the buffer zone in place. These houses border the piggery, and when there are no crops in the field the farmer does muck spread across the field behind these houses and along the hedgerow and this will adversely affect the residents of these properties and the piggery. The supporting documentation considered the impact when there were crops in the field.

It is not acceptable to have an application with conditions to then look to remove conditions after building has begun.

To protect new residents, existing residents and the piggery, the buffer zone needs to remain in place.

Yours faithfully,

NJ Ashton

Mrs N Ashton
Clerk to Laceby Parish Council

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Kenneth Rilatt

Address: 9 Gibraltar Lane Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this cynical attempt to open the door for more houses to be built on this site. The buffer zone should remain as a planning condition as imposed on the full approval previously received. The Council's own condition quoted 'In the interests of residential amenity in accordance with Policy 2 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032 (adopted 2018)'. Please stand by your original planning decision.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Richard Blakey

Address: 43,CHARLES AVENUE LACEBY Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Looking at the application where is the buffer zone no 5 on the original plan.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Broughton

Address: 35 charles avenue Laceby Laceby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this amendment as I see no valid reason for the removal of the buffer zone. The original application stated that IT WAS IN THE INTERESTS OF LOCAL AMENITIES now it appears to be more in the interests of the builders. Why bother having planning when the minute any sort of work starts the application is amended and local people are ignored in the pursuit of profit

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Karen Mann

Address: 29 Charles Avenue, Laceby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 7EZ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Original plans were approved with the buffer zones to protect wildlife, greenery and public rights of way which have not changed therefore no reason to remove condition 5 or make any amendments

Any removal of elements of the buffer zones could be construed as a pre cursor to further urbanisation of green areas and therefore could be considered as profiteering from the removal of green space

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Karen Mann

Address: 29 Charles Avenue Laceby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Original plans were approved with the buffer zones to protect wildlife, greenery and public rights of way which have not changed therefore no reason to remove condition 5 or make any amendments

Any removal of elements of the buffer zones could be construed as a pre cursor to further urbanisation of green areas and therefore could be considered as profiteering from the removal of green space

From:

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2023 3:29 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: DM/0470/23/OUT

Ref. Removal of conditions 5 (Northern buffer zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

To Richard Limmer,

We at 59, Charles Ave, Laceby are totally against the removal of the buffer zone.

All though we are against the development we have now got to accept it as it is and are against anymore houses being built on the buffer zone as it will already be a concrete jungle and where do all the poor animals go as there habitat has been taken away from them.

Yours Thankfully Mr & Mrs S Brewitt

59, Charles Ave

Laceby

DN37 7HB.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stuart Ladlow

Address: 24 Willow Close Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Just again to reiterate mine and everyone else who has objected to this proposal to remove the buffer zone that the councils planning department need to reject the developers wishes that played a big part of the original decision to pass this development in the first place. It was them who made this stipulation and how can they now change one thing after another once it was passed .

I am in full support of Mr Starkey`s buisness and concerns and I know of the odours etc having lived down wind of his intensive livestock holding for over 50 years (when it was at full capacity) there is no reason that he will not in the future have more stock holding and so the council will need to ensure that future residents of any of the new development be made aware of the facts of living down wind of an ILU and be prepared to compensate Mr Starkey should this be of any detriment to his buisness ,

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stuart Ladlow

Address: 24 Willow Close Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: How can the Planning department of North East Lincolnshire pass an application on certain terms for them to be totally ignored or overturned by themselves especially when one of the main issues with the original application was building within a certain distance of a livestock holding. This is yet another condition they are trying to change along with what was said about the number of properties being fed by 1 road for them to even consider another 60 houses to be built on the application they recently submitted, I am sure that if this buffer zone is removed then that will be the next move to build more properties on that area which will just prove to me that once an application is passed the council will allow whatever the property developers ask for .

The news this week is Conservative`s (Rishi Sunak) will be putting a stop to building on the Green Belt (this is going to be one of their mandates for the next election) .I just hope this happens because there will not be any green belt left shortly if North Lincolnshire Council carry on passing applications on green belt land that is not even on the local plan but are not pushing on development that is ear marked for development such as the old Western School site.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs dawn nicholson

Address: 136 St Nicholas Drive Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I am slowly watching any green space around us disappear,I'm aware that some homes need to be built but at the rate we are destroying green space around this area our wildlife and trees etc that are supposed to help with global warming will be extensively depleted. Hopefully this council can maybe make the right decision.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jean Williams

Address: 6 Yews Lane Laceby GRIMSBY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I echo the objections already raised by my neighbours. The development of land is pushing our wildlife out of their natural habitats. I now travel to Scunthorpe for work and over the last 6 to 8 weeks I've noticed a marked increase of dead deer and badgers on the Barton Street. Further development will only result in more deaths and/or reduction of wildlife in our area. I hope the Environment Agency step in and put a stop to this profiteering.

Dear Sirs

~~DM/0470/23/OUT Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant AoA~~
~~DM/1133/17/OUT Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SSA~~

With reference to the above application, I would like to object on the following grounds:

There appears to be two Condition 5's attached to the planning applications to build 152 houses.
The first one being for:

DM/1133/17/OUT

5 Condition

As detailed in the Odour Assessment (ADAS Ref: CEN4105 dated August 2016) a 30m buffer zone shall be created from the northern boundary into the site where no dwellings or gardens shall be located.

Reason In the interests of residential amenity in accordance with Policy 2 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032 (adopted 2018).

and the second one:

DM/0522/21/REM

5 Condition

The scheme of landscaping, tree planting and footpath surfacing shown on plans ref. LMP-060521-00 Landscape master plan LP-060521-01 landscape plan 1/3, LP-060521-02 landscape plan 2/3, LP-060521-03 landscape plan 3/3 and RD4598-03G shall be completed within a period of 12 months, beginning with the date on which development began or within such longer period as may be first agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. All planting shall be maintained in accordance with the Landscape Management Plan.

Reason To ensure a satisfactory appearance and setting for the development and continued maintenance of the approved landscaping in the interests of local amenity in accordance with Policy 43 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032 (adopted 2018).

Of particular interest was DM/0522/21/REM, a "LANDSCAPE PLAN 1" was supplied that showed there would be a Woodland Buffer Planting scheme on the northern boundary consisting of Upper and Lower Woodland Species of trees, plus 2 English Oaks, 3 Field Maples, 2 Silver Birches, 2 Rowan, and 3 Bird Cherries. Woodland Buffers provide a wildlife corridor and can also help reduce the impact of flooding.

As to DM/1133/17/OUT, the updated Odour Assessment does not appear to consider the impact the removal of the buffer zone would have on the farmer or future residents living nearby should there be an increase in the number of livestock.

Both of these conditions are valid and are in the interests of the local amenity so cannot see what has changed in the locality to now have them removed.

NELC Planning Department sets Conditions to protect all parties and I am concerned that conditions placed on any planning application is of no consequence and can be disregarded

The Application Form as shown below states that the development started on the 01/04/2023:

Condition number(s)

Condition 5

As detailed in the Odour Assessment (ADAS Ref: CEN4105 dated August 2016)
a 30m buffer zone shall be created from the northern boundary into the site
where no dwellings or gardens shall be located.

Has the development already started?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If Yes, please state when the development was started (date must be pre-application submission)

01/04/2023

You received communication on the 24 March 2023 in respect of planning application DM/0118/23/FUL with an image showing flooding of work already started on the site of the 152 houses (original application DM/1133/17/OUT) so not quite sure what the 01/04/2023 date relates to or whether this is an incorrect date.

Thank you.

Angela Francis
17 Cemetery Road.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Tony John Starkey

Address: 56 a Cooper Lane Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My family have been operating an intensive livestock unit at Hazeldene cooper lane for approximately 60 years and will continue to do so for many years to come. We have highlighted the issues of noise, smell, flies out of hour operations etc on more than several occasions. This was all investigated before the planning application was approved, one of the conditions the planning application was approved was condition 5 of the decision of notice, which was to basically create a 30-meter buffer zone from the northern boundary into the site where NO dwellings OR gardens shall be located. - Reason. In the interests of residential amenity in accordance with policy 2 of the north east Lincolnshire local plan 2013 - 2032. This is to protect the new residents as well as ourselves as a fully operational pig farm. In the odour report it shows that the sniff tests were taken place in the winter months, and none taken in the summer when the smells would be at its highest due to the annual spreading of pig muck onto the harvested land as done every year since the piggery has been in operation and will continue. The buffer zone will surely once set with trees will protect the new residents from some of the adverse effects of living in proximity of a fully operational pig farm and will protect the farm business from future complaints, of which we cannot be responsible, nor should our business be in any way prejudiced if the buffer zone is removed. So, it is our view that future homeowners of the new development should be made aware of the piggery to avoid future complaints.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0470/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0470/23/OUT

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) pursuant to DM/1133/17/OUT

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Starkey

Address: Hazeldene, Cooper Lane Laceby Cooper Lane Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: C J Starkey Pig Farmers

Hazeldene, Cooper Lane, Laceby, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 7AT

6th June 2023

Dear Mr Limmer

PLANNING APPLICATION REFERENCE: DM/0470/23/OUT

LAND FIELD HEAD ROAD, LACEBY, GRIMSBY, NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE DN37 7SS

I write to OBJECT in the strongest possible terms to the proposed removal of the 30-meter buffer zone on the northern boundary of the field head development.

I am the owner and occupier of Hazeldene, the intensive livestock unit (ILU) to the north of the proposed site.

On the occasion of all appeals, The Secretary of State concluded that the adverse physical factors associated with the nearby intensive livestock unit warranted the refusal of planning permission, however it was agreed that there should be a 30-metre buffer zone from the northern boundary into the development site.

We understand that North East Lincolnshire Council has made significant progress with its new Local Plan, which has been through consultation and independent examination. Whilst this process has resulted in the altering of various planning policies, the fact still remains that materially there has been no change to the intensive livestock unit at Hazeldene.

My business is still in full operation and has capacity for up to 400 head of pigs. The unavoidable physical effects that have been the consistent reason for refusal of previous applications and dismissal of previous appeals remain unaltered regardless of whether planning policies may be changing in the future. Our entirely legal and long-established operations simply cannot avoid the generation of noise, smell, flies, vehicle movements (livestock collection and delivery, as well as feed deliveries), manure handling, storage and disposal. These effects are potentially 24-hour

effects in some cases, and are

certainly, outside recognised working hours - when domestic property occupiers would be seeking not to be disturbed.

Paragraphs 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 of appeal decision dated 10 March 2016 still remain wholly relevant:

-

"I agree with the previous Inspector that this not a reason to condone the exposure of more households to the same conditions. To do so would be contrary to the advice at paragraph 120 of the Framework which indicates that to prevent unacceptable risks from pollution, planning decisions should ensure that new development is appropriate for its location."

"I am not satisfied that the proposal would provide satisfactory living conditions for future occupants of the proposed dwellings in relation to air and noise pollution from the activities at Hazeldene or that the viability of the existing ILIJ at Hazeldene would not be compromised by complaints or the threat of complaints from the future occupants of the proposed dwellings. "

The proposal does not accord with the NPPF, specifically paragraph 120, which seeks to prevent unacceptable risks from pollution, meaning decisions should ensure new development is appropriate for its location. This cannot be demonstrated any more this time than has been the (repeatedly failed) case in the past.

It is clear that despite changes to policies within the proposed Local Plan, the factual conflict between the ongoing operation of my existing business operation and the amenity of occupants of the proposed new dwellings remains unaltered. In order to avoid the needless 'chaos and confusion' originally identified by the first Appeal Inspector, I request that this application is refused without delay.

In the event that the Council see fit to grant permission despite the obvious future problems, then I must request that a Section 106 agreement be put in place between the Council and the applicant, whereby there is both: -

1. an obligation on the developer to notify prospective purchasers of any of the new dwellings of the existence of my ILU within 72 metres of their property: and
2. an unlimited indemnity from the applicant so I can pursue the Council for the financial impact of permitting the removal of the 30-metre buffer zone

I sincerely trust that common sense prevails, and this application is dismissed.

Yours sincerely

C J STARKEY

Item 2 - Land Field Head
Road Laceby -
DM/0815/22/REM

Laceby Parish Council

Mrs N Ashton, Clerk to Laceby Parish Council
c/o The Stanford Centre, Laceby, Grimsby, DN37 7AX
Email: n.ashton@lacebyparishcouncil.gov.uk



Planning Department,
Origin One, Origin Way,
Europarc,
Grimsby,
DN37 9TZ

4th November 2022

Dear Sir/Madam

DM/0815/22/REM – variation to condition 1 to amend house types for plots 19 to 29 and removal of condition 9 (air quality report); Land at Field Head Road, Laceby.

The above planning application has been circulated and discussed with Parish Councillors and there were no comments or concerns recorded in relation to these plans and documents.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs N Ashton
Clerk to Laceby Parish Council

Laceby Parish Council

Mrs N Ashton, Clerk to Laceby Parish Council
c/o The Stanford Centre, Cooper Lane, Laceby, Grimsby, DN37 7AX
Email: lacbypcclerk@gmx.co.uk



Planning Department,
New Oxford House,
George Street,
Grimsby,
DN31 1HB

2nd August 2023

Dear Sir/Madam

DM/0815/22/REM – Variation to condition 1 (approved plans) of DM/0692/22/REM to amend the layout to house types for plots 19 to 29, remove northern buffer zone and removal of condition 9 (air quality report) following DM/0522/22/REM; Land at Field Head Road, Laceby (dated 18/7/23).

The above planning application was discussed at the Parish Council Meeting on the 1st August 2023.

In consideration of the plans which were reviewed and the representations made by many residents at the Parish Council Full Planning and Finance Meeting, Laceby Parish Council object to the removal of this condition and request that NELC refuse this application.

The current 30m buffer zone was put in place when the 400m was removed, and was done so in order to protect both the residents of the new houses on the Land at Field Head Road and the Piggery.

The developer should not be allowed to have a planning application approved, then request subsequent, and multiple, variations to the conditions that were already agreed. The plans were approved based on a 30m buffer zone, and this should remain in place to protect all parties.

These houses being built will border the piggery, and be adversely impacted when the farmer muck spreads across the field behind these houses and along the hedgerow. The supporting documentation considered the impact during a specific period rather than as a whole.

To protect new residents, existing residents and the Piggery, the buffer zone needs to remain in place and this variation should be refused.

Yours faithfully,

NJ Ashton

Mrs N Ashton
Clerk to Laceby Parish Council

Comments for Planning Application DM/0815/22/REM

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0815/22/REM

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) of DM/0692/22/REM to amend the layout and house types for plots 19 to 29, remove northern buffer zone and Removal of Condition 9 (Air Quality Report) following DM/0522/21/REM.

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Starkey

Address: Hazeldene, Cooper Lane Laceby Cooper Lane Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: C J Starkey Pig Farmers

Hazeldene, Cooper Lane, Laceby, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 7AT

29TH July 2023

Dear Mr Limmer

PLANNING APPLICATION REFERENCE: DM/0470/23/OUT

LAND FIELD HEAD ROAD, LACEBY, GRIMSBY, NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE DN37 7SS

I write to OBJECT in the strongest possible terms to the proposed removal of the 30-meter buffer zone on the northern boundary of the field head development.

I am the owner and occupier of Hazeldene, the intensive livestock unit (ILU) to the north of the proposed site.

On the occasion of all appeals, The Secretary of State concluded that the adverse physical factors associated with the nearby intensive livestock unit warranted the refusal of planning permission, however it was agreed that there should be a 30-metre buffer zone from the northern boundary into the development site.

We understand that North East Lincolnshire Council has made significant progress with its new Local Plan, which has been through consultation and independent examination. Whilst this process has resulted in the altering of various planning policies, the fact still remains that materially there has been no change to the intensive livestock unit at Hazeldene.

My business is still in full operation and has capacity for up to 400 head of pigs. The unavoidable physical effects that have been the consistent reason for refusal of previous applications and dismissal of previous appeals remain unaltered regardless of whether planning policies may be changing in the future. Our entirely legal and long-established operations simply cannot avoid the

generation of noise, smell, flies, vehicle movements (livestock collection and delivery, as well as feed deliveries), manure handling, storage and disposal. These effects are potentially 24-hour effects in some cases, and are certainly, outside recognised working hours - when domestic property occupiers would be seeking not to be disturbed.

Paragraphs 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 of appeal decision dated 10 March 2016 still remain wholly relevant:

-

"I agree with the previous Inspector that this not a reason to condone the exposure of more households to the same conditions. To do so would be contrary to the advice at paragraph 120 of the Framework which indicates that to prevent unacceptable risks from pollution, planning decisions should ensure that new development is appropriate for its location."

"I am not satisfied that the proposal would provide satisfactory living conditions for future occupants of the proposed dwellings in relation to air and noise pollution from the activities at Hazeldene or that the viability of the existing ILIJ at Hazeldene would not be compromised by complaints or the threat of complaints from the future occupants of the proposed dwellings. "

The proposal does not accord with the NPPF, specifically paragraph 120, which seeks to prevent unacceptable risks from pollution, meaning decisions should ensure new development is appropriate for its location. This cannot be demonstrated any more this time than has been the (repeatedly failed) case in the past.

It is clear that despite changes to policies within the proposed Local Plan, the factual conflict between the ongoing operation of my existing business operation and the amenity of occupants of the proposed new dwellings remains unaltered. In order to avoid the needless 'chaos and confusion' originally identified by the first Appeal Inspector, I request that this application is refused without delay.

In the event that the Council see fit to grant permission despite the obvious future problems, then I must request that a Section 106 agreement be put in place between the Council and the applicant, whereby there is both: -

1. an obligation on the developer to notify prospective purchasers of any of the new dwellings of the existence of my ILU within 72 metres of their property: and
2. an unlimited indemnity from the applicant so I can pursue the Council for the financial impact of permitting the removal of the 30-metre buffer zone

I sincerely trust that common sense prevails, and this application is dismissed.

Yours sincerely

C J STARKEY

Comments for Planning Application DM/0815/22/REM

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0815/22/REM

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend house types for plots 19 to 29 and Removal of Condition 9 (Air Quality Report) following DM/0522/21/REM

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Jonh Starkey

Address: Hazledene Cooper lane laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing once again to remind everyone that the piggery is still in full operation. I am slightly puzzled about the disregard of the air pollution the piggery causes this seems to be forgotten and you're more concerned about the M180 which is as stated is 7 km away. The piggery is only 70 meters away from the boundary of the new development. I once again insist that new residents should be informed upon buying their new home that there is an active intensive livestock unit running. This should be a requirement so that no complaints are made towards my business.

Regards

J Starkey

Comments for Planning Application DM/0815/22/REM

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0815/22/REM

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) of DM/0692/22/REM to amend the layout and house types for plots 19 to 29, remove northern buffer zone and Removal of Condition 9 (Air Quality Report) following DM/0522/21/REM.

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Mark Tarttelin

Address: 15 Elm Lane Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The subject of the buffer zone has been looked at on several occasions in the past and on each occasion any possible change/removal has been refused and there is no new evidence that has been brought to the attention of the council for that stance to change so I therefore object to the buffer zone being removed and the proposed properties being altered. Since the revised application was put in the government have made it clear that there is to be more focus on developing brown field sites for housing, so although this development was granted prior to this there is no need to alter the plans as any dwellings of such types could well be incorporated within plans on brown field applications.

From: Angela Francis
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2023 1:36 PM
To: Planning - IGE (Equans)
Subject: DM/0815/22/REM

Dear Sirs

**DM/0815/22/REM Variation of Condition (Approved Plans) of A
DM/0692/22/REM to Amend the Layout and House Types for Plots A9 to A29, A
remove Northern Buffer Zone and Removal of Condition 5 (Air Quality Report) A
following DM/0522/21/REM. A and Field Head Road Aceby North East A
Lincolnshire DN37 7SSA**

I object to the new proposed site plan. Not quite sure why this proposed site plan revision (No 16) published on the 18 July 2023, would be posted with this planning application when there is a planning application **M/0470/23/OUT A Removal of Condition 5 (Northern Buffer Zone) that as to do with the buffer zone, unless this is an error or the reduction of the 30m buffer zone to a 10m planting area is not considered a removal by the Planning Department.**

All objections to the removal of the buffer zone that have been placed with a planning application **M/0470/23/OUT A** should be linked to this application.

It has been noted that the description for **DM/0815/22/REM A** has been altered from "removal of condition 5 (air quality)" to now being "remove northern buffer zone".

Angela Francis
17 Cemetery Road

Comments for Planning Application DM/0815/22/REM

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0815/22/REM

Address: Land Field Head Road Laceby North East Lincolnshire DN37 7SS

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) of DM/0692/22/REM to amend the layout and house types for plots 19 to 29 and Removal of Condition 9 (Air Quality Report) following DM/0522/21/REM and removal of condition 5 (air quality) of DM/1133/17/OUT.

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: John-Craig Foley

Address: 1 Mulberry Lane Laceby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This needs to be approved as it's been open to comments for too long now. This doesn't really affect anyone that has commented in any way - people are only rejecting this plan because they don't want new houses to be built and are clutching at straws.

Please accept that Laceby is growing and move on

Item 3 - 7 Great Coates
Road Grimsby -
DM/0677/23/FUL

	<p>Development Management Services</p> <p>New Oxford House, George Street Grimsby, N E Lincolnshire, DN31 1HB Telephone (01472) 313131 Fax (01472) 324216 Email: Planning@nelincs.gov.uk</p>
--	--

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application No.	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
DM/0677/23/FUL	<p>I support this application on the basis that the resident has satisfied planning obligations, save for one item that is subject to opinion which is the change of use point. This is a subjective area and there is sufficient precedent to support the resident's application. The resident has explained how his business, restoring vintage motorbikes, will not damage residential amenity and he has gained the support of neighbours for his application. His property is on Toothill Roundabout where he has an adjacent pub (The Trawl) and opposite is the old Shell garage. The development planned is in keeping with the style of his house, which he is sympathetically restoring, and in his grounds (which have previously been given planning permission for housing). He has satisfied the road safety concerns previously raised.</p>

Contact Details: -

SignatureCllr Paul Henderson..... Date8/8/23.....

Name: Paul Henderson

Address: 9 Great Coates Rd, Grimsby

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Robson McKeon

Address: 3 Great Coates Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: As a neighbour, I have studied the plans and have no issues or concerns with the proposal. Mr Gunster has been upfront and provided clarity during conversations regarding the plans. I support the application.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr James Cairns

Address: 430 Laceby Road, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN34 5ND

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Ward Councillor

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have visited Mr Gunster at his proposed business location and was impressed by his enthusiasm & dedication to his profession as a motor cycle expert in the field of restoration & maintenance of high value machines. His background of many years experience with racing teams is undisputed. He is also passionate about the complete restoration of the house & garden of the said premises, to its original state.

I have no hesitation in recommending his proposed business plans and wish him every success.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr James Cairns

Address: 450 Laceby Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Ward Councillor

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have visited Mr Gunster at his proposed business location and was impressed by his enthusiasm & dedication to his profession as a motor cycle expert in the field of restoration & maintenance of high value machines. His background of many years experience with racing teams is undisputed. He is also passionate about the complete restoration of the house & garden of the said premises, to its original state.

I have no hesitation in recommending his proposed business plans and wish him every success.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Caroline Gibbins

Address: 12 Great Coates Rd Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My husband and I have no objections to this proposal. Mr. Gunster has already addressed any concerns we might have had, such as noise, traffic, parking etc.

Safety concerns expressed regarding entry to and exit from the premises can surely be no different than for ourselves as we live directly opposite, and have done so for 45 years!

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Caroline Gibbins

Address: 12 Great Coates Rd Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My husband and I have no objections to this proposal. Mr. Gunster has already addressed any concerns we might have had, such as noise, traffic, parking etc.

Safety concerns expressed regarding entry to and exit from the premises can surely be no different than for ourselves as we live directly opposite, and have done so for 45 years!

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr David Urquhart

Address: 17 Westward Ho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I was surprised to see this application was rejected recently. With the pub, hotel and golf club all surrounding it, the location is hardly residential. I also notice that the old Shell garage will be occupied by businesses too, so why is there a problem with this application?

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Hamnett

Address: 16, Great Coates Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: After studying the proposed amended planning application, my wife and I fully support this development in its entirety.

This property which is opposite our house had stood empty for nearly 20 years, and was constantly attracting burglars and kids that committed criminal damage. I called the Police on many occasions when I saw people climbing over the walls of the property. Since this family has bought the property, they have explained in depth to us what their plans for it are, and we applaud their vision.

They are proposing a small family owned business, which will not attract a lot of traffic, quite the contrary as on some days there wouldn't be any. They have tidied up the grounds of the property considerably since moving in, and it looks neat and tidy once again. We have lived in our property for over 35 years, and are pleased to see a family now living in the property who care passionately about their house and indeed the surrounding area.

What they are proposing, in our opinion will be an asset to the area, not an inconvenience or an unsightly development. The amended access to the site is fine and would give safe access and egress to Great Coates Road and their development.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stephen Pont

Address: 15 nicholson road Healong Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Local business and local jobs providing a much needed service on the area.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Scott Philips

Address: 7a Great Coates Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I support the plans to use land for a small garage for MOT testing and motorbike repairs.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Les Hinchliffe

Address: 46 Maple Avenue Keelby Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I can't believe that the council hasn't passed this application, the area has businesses all around Great Coates road there's plans to redevelop the garage, the trawl pub, golf course and a care home. It makes a fantastic change when a young business man who wants to improve the area don't forget he bought the old house which was empty for decades and is turning it into a wonderful home tastefully this is no longer an eyesore. Surely he will do the same to the proposed building. I hope the council feels ashamed for stopping progress.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Lee Rhoades

Address: 31 Mayfair drive west Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I fully support this application for two reasons:

Firstly, there was a petrol station situated opposite for many many years with a tremendous amount of traffic; cars , vans , motorcycles, lorry's all going in and out. There's no doubt that petrol station would still be operational today if not for the fuel leaks that caused its unfortunate closure. It now seems there is going to be some new businesses situated on the old site which is great for the local area. They in turn will need vehicle access.

Secondly, an application for a small coach house type building should be supported as it's another local small business. Small businesses need community backing or they will just disappear something we hear every day is businesses failing after being refused help.

It is fantastic that in this case not only do you get to support a small local business but it has the added bonus of being established on their own land .

I own my own small business and any support we get means the world to us, so I can imagine how frustrated Mr Gunster must feel seeing others have planning granted for far bigger commercial projects whilst his, tiny in comparison, gets knocked back.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: David White

Address: 14 Great Coates rd Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I live opposite Number 7 in which this application is regarding.

I have not one objection to the plans and hope the matter isn't dragged out any further.

I wish them luck

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Lee Williams

Address: 27 Osprey Dr Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Really don't see a problem with this I drive down the road nearly every day and we're the house is right near the old petrol garage advertising a possible retail building and the pub soon as you get to the round about we need to support local businesses to many small family run firms right up against it at the minute all across the uk surly you will pass this planning and help businesses in our area

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Martyn Sandford

Address: 52 coventry ave Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am in full support of this application. Mr Gunster is the only person in this area to restore vintage motorcycles. I have had the pleasure in looking around the site area this year and seen what Mr Gunster is trying to do. As I have alluded in the last planning meeting when I spoke Mr Gunster is restoring and MOT motorcycles within a few days at a time so traffic won't be a problem down Great Coates Road. I believe Mr Gunster has a good enough space for what he wants to do.

I fully support this application and I wish Mr Gunster all the best in his application

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr David Taylor

Address: 36 Great Coates Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Excellent idea and I fully support Mr Gunster with his proposal. We should do all we can to support the self employed and those prepared to take a chance in these extremely challenging and unpredictable times. I can see no issues at all with access or noise and with the existing pub and current proposal for the ex Shell petrol station there should be no real issues with access and egress. If we can have houses built on the golf course with a single access into 5 properties only endorses Mr Gunster's submission. I wish him every success and sincerely hope that his submission is approved

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Miss kerry watson

Address: 93 pershore avenue grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I think this is a great idea for the area! I don't understand why the council aren't supporting more local businesses, when the area the business is wanting to work from already has other local businesses surrounding the area and planning to add more. The business has already addressed any concerns people might have had, such as noise, traffic, parking etc and I have no issues or concerns with the proposal and fully support the planning going ahead.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0677/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0677/23/FUL

Address: 7 Great Coates Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 4NA

Proposal: Re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL (Partial change of use to mixed use from residential land to include commercial garage for repair restoration and MOT testing of motorcycles with erection of detached coach house, drive with parking area and associated works) including revised proposed entrance/exit to the commercial motorcycle garage.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Peter Taylor

Address: 22 welhome avenue grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: this is a great idea for the area, the area is surrounded by commercial business, Pub, Golf course, Shops down the road along with the planning proposals for the old filling station.

the council needs to be supporting our small business not making things harder for them.

From Kevin Bacon
19 Little Coates Road
Grimsby
DN344NG



Ref planning application DH 0677/23/FUL

Dear Sirs,

I wish to make the following comments/objections to the above planning application.

1. The property and immediate area have recently been almost totally cleared of trees, apparently, like most standing in the way of any development they were found to be diseased. This, spoils the view that had existed for years, trees that had taken decades to grow destroyed in minutes.
2. There are still a few trees left, some covered for years by preservation orders, are these still to be protected?
3. The original planning application was according to communications with a councillor and the applicant to be basically the erection of a shed for the enjoyment of a hobby. This new and extended version is purely a commercial development on what was and is a residential area, this is inappropriate, must impact on existing residents and establishes a precedent. My hobby involves rebuilding my classic cars, will I be allowed the same type of development?
4. Traffic on what is already a dangerous and busy roundabout will increase, doubtless even more so when the inevitable planning permission for a McDonalds, Starbucks or similar drive through is granted for the site directly opposite.
5. I bought my house to live in a quiet and green residential area not the middle of an industrial estate.

Yours sincerely.





3 Aylesby Lane
Healing
DN41 7QP

30th July 2023

North East Lincolnshire Planning
New Oxford House
2 George Street
Grimsby
FAO Mr O Toop

Dear Sir,

Planning Application Ref. DM/0677/23/FUL

I wish to refer to the above planning application, being a re-submission of DM/0301/23/FUL for which planning has previously been refused.

This latest proposal which addresses the previous highway objection would appear to indicate that an informal agreement has been reached with the Council's Highways Engineer on necessary measures to be undertaken to overcome previous concerns, thereby enabling the proposal to go ahead.

However, no consideration whatsoever appears to have been given to the overriding issue of the suitability or otherwise of the proposal on the residential amenity of the area affected. This important planning aspect surely warrants at least the same degree of concern and consideration as the highway aspect, especially as what is being proposed involving as it does, the deliberate revving of motorcycle engines, is a normal part of this operation. If Planning values are in any way meaningful, therefore, and recognising that such a use would be totally incompatible with the ideals of a residential area and more appropriately located within an industrial setting, it is inconceivable that this should be allowed to take place.

I recognise that it could be argued that the noise and nuisance aspect which would inevitably occur could be controlled by imposing planning conditions to limit the activities, but surely this would be wholly impracticable as far as any meaningful enforcement is concerned when the work being carried out is reliant upon noise creation as an integral part of the business use which the applicant wishes to undertake.

Members of the Planning Committee will not need reminding that motorcycles are intrinsically noisy machines. To grant approval to such an activity in what is principally a residential part of the town would be wrong and show a complete disdain for the welfare of persons living nearby.

In view of this, I hope and trust that the Planning Committee or, if the application is to be determined by an Official, will not be swayed by the apparent support indicated by the applicant, and that the previous correct decision not to allow this intrusive use will be re-affirmed in accordance with the Council's own adopted policy, to which reference has been made in the Report to Committee in respect of application DM/0301/23/FUL and continues to be fully applicable at this time.

Yours faithfully

Owner: 2 Littlecoates Road, Grimsby

Item 4 - Land At Cromwell
Road Grimsby -
DM/0598/23/PAT

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I believe the law is incorrectly being applied and legislations after 1973 are unlawfully due to an act of treason.

I believe the law is incorrectly being applied and legislations after 1973 are unlawfully due to an act of treason carried out by the government in charge at that point of time, meaning we have been acting in the colour of law after 1973. There was a Commonwealth Office memo/document "FCO 30/1048". This document was classified as confidential and remained hidden from the public for 30 years. I will try to give a brief outline why.

Margret Thatcher and the 1974 government of the day was in full knowledge, but Edward Heath in his esteemed corruption decided to bury his advice given to him. He was plainly told he was committing treason (See FCO30/1048 <http://www.acasefortreason.co.uk/fco-30-1048/#top1>)

Planning Paper on a question of entry into the European Communities and its effect on British Sovereignty. If we were to join the EEC or EU the UK will lose its sovereignty and the country will become a corporation. The Monarch's title also changed to Head of State because every sovereign resident in Great Britain became known as a citizen of the UK which is a corporation. The laws protecting our birth rights are:

Superior Statutes:-

- 1688 Coronation Oath
- 1689 Bills of Rights
- 1700 Act of Settlement
- 1795 Treason Act

1689 Bill of Rights. Express words are - Stands for all time (In Perpetuity). Every Monarch will

abide by that In Perpetuity, so every Monarch has to abide by the 1689 Bill of Rights.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bill_of_Rights_1689

Every organisation has a fiction of law called Constituting Authority what give it power to act, So the Constituting Authority of those governing today is 1689 Bill of Rights in the first sentence it says Parliament Assembled is claiming to be representative therefore saying we the people are the Master and they are the Agent, therefore that totally undermines any rationality for Parliament to believe that they are Sovereign over the people what happened is the 1688 Coronation Oath is that the Monarch, the incoming Monarch promises to govern us according to our respective laws and customs.

Understanding Legislation. Gov.Uk

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation>

Admissions Legislation is not law.

<https://youtu.be/B8LlbXjjR7c>

Legislation is merely advice and guidance to deliver the obligations of those governing as set out in The Bill of Rights 1688 to the people who hold those governing to account in the independent judiciary, thereby creating the common law.

Legislation = administrative law.

Understanding legislation

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation#Howlegislationworks>

How legislation comes into force and is amended

An Act of Parliament creates a new law or changes an existing law. An Act is a Bill that has been approved by both the House of Commons and the House of Lords and been given Royal Assent by the Monarch. Taken together, Acts of Parliament make up what is known as Statute Law in the UK.

Acts of Parliament make up what's known as Statute law in the UK.

Primary legislation = Statute law & equals merely a common law contract by the consenting parties.

Policy is not law.

Secondary legislation.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation#Howlegislationworks>

Secondary legislation = Agency

Understanding legislation

Secondary legislation' (also called 'subordinate legislation') is delegated legislation made by a person or body under authority contained in primary legislation. Typically, powers to make secondary legislation may be conferred on ministers, on the Crown, or on public bodies. For example, the Office of Communications (OFCOM) is given such powers by the Communications Act 2003.

Case Law

Case law = common law precedence.

Case law is the set of rulings from court judgements that set precedents for how the law has been interpreted and applied in certain cases. Case law is not held on [legislation.gov.uk](https://www.legislation.gov.uk).

So if there is no case law, then an Act of Parliament by admission, and hence it is not disputed, has no force of law, as again confirmed in Understanding legislation on [legislation.gov.uk](https://www.legislation.gov.uk)

Act of Settlement (1700)

The Laws of England are the Birth right of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2/section/IV>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Can you please tell me the contact details of who is dealing with this planning application plus acknowledge and address my concerns and objections.

These facts should raise further concerns in all planning applications concerning 5G, 6G telecommunication not just this application. There are grave errors that need addressing in local and government policy, now what will you do with this new factual data covered by my emails?.

5G Planning Applications for telecommunications in the North East Lincolnshire area follows this Government publication. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper [https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88)

[H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88)

shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022

"5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health

<https://ehtrust.org/science/whoarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have a detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.

3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Section 4. Decision-making

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/4-decision-making#para56>

45. Local planning authorities should consult the appropriate bodies when considering Applications for the siting of, or changes to, major hazard sites, installations or Pipelines, or for development around them.

43. The right information is crucial to good decision-making, particularly where formal Assessments are required (such as Environmental Impact Assessment, Habitats Regulations assessment and flood risk assessment). To avoid delay, applicants Should discuss what information is needed with the local planning authority and Expert bodies as early as possible

Section 5. Determining applications

47. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in Accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate Otherwise. Decisions on applications should be made as quickly as possible, and Within statutory timescales unless a longer period has been agreed by the applicant In writing

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
 2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
 3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Section 10. Supporting high quality communications

114. Advanced, high quality and reliable communications infrastructure is essential for Economic growth and social well-being. Planning policies and decisions should Support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including next Generation mobile technology (such as 5G) and full fibre broadband connections. Policies should set out how high quality digital infrastructure, providing access to services from a range of providers, is expected to be delivered and upgraded over time; and should prioritise full fibre connections to existing and new developments (as these connections will, in almost all cases, provide the optimum solution).

115. The number of radio and electronic communications masts, and the sites for such installations, should be kept to a minimum consistent with the needs of consumers, the efficient operation of the network and providing reasonable capacity for future expansion. Use of existing masts, buildings and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such as for new 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate.

116. Local planning authorities should not impose a ban on new electronic communications development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or a wide range of electronic communications development, or insist on minimum distances between new electronic communications development and existing development. They should ensure that:

a) they have evidence to demonstrate that electronic communications infrastructure is not expected to cause significant and irremediable interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation operated in the national interest; and

b) they have considered the possibility of the construction of new buildings or other structures interfering with broadcast and electronic communications services.

117. Applications for electronic communications development (including applications for prior approval under the General Permitted Development Order) should be supported by the necessary evidence to justify the proposed development. This should include:

a) the outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development, in particular with the relevant body where a mast is to be installed near a school or college, or within a statutory safeguarding zone surrounding an aerodrome, technical site or military explosives storage area; and

b) for an addition to an existing mast or base station, a statement that self-certifies that the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission guidelines on non-ionising radiation protection; or

c) for a new mast or base station, evidence that the applicant has explored the Possibility of erecting antennas on an existing building, mast or other structure 34 and a statement that self-certifies that, when operational, International Commission guidelines will be met.

118. Local planning authorities must determine applications on planning grounds only. They should not seek to prevent competition between different operators, question the need for an electronic communications system, or set health safeguards Different from the International Commission guidelines for public exposure

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I Object.

These facts should raise further concerns in all planning applications concerning 5G, 6G telecommunication not just this application.

There are grave errors that need addressing in local and government policy, now what will you do with this new factual data covered by my emails?.

5G Planning Applications for telecommunications in the North East Lincolnshire area follows this Government publication. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper [https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88)

[H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88) shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory

nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022 "5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health. <https://ehtrust.org/science/whoiarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS. https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have:

1. A detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.
2. The dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I believe residents and visitors within NE Lincs are being exposed to adverse health effects of 5G.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.
- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Act of Settlement (1700)

The Laws of England are the Birth right of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2/section/IV>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7. All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such

discrimination.

<http://nations->

[united.org/Universal_Declaration_Of_Human_Rights/Articles_Human_Rights/Articles_7_Human_Rights_Universal_Declaration_Nations_United_Seven.htm](http://nations-united.org/Universal_Declaration_Of_Human_Rights/Articles_Human_Rights/Articles_7_Human_Rights_Universal_Declaration_Nations_United_Seven.htm)

Responsibilities & liabilities.

No.1. The North Lincolnshire Council Constitution sets out how the council operates and how decisions are made. <https://www.northlincs.gov.uk/your-council/the-councils-constitution/>

No 2. Responsibilities-of-civil <https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/written-answers/1985/feb/26/the-duties-and-responsibilities-of-civil>

No 3. "The Civil Service code - GOV.UK" <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/civil-service-code/the-civil-service-code>

No 4. The Nolan 7 Principles of Public Life. <https://leadinggovernance.com/blog/resources/the-nolan-principles/>

No 5. Local Authorities Responsibilities.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/23/part/1/crossheading/general-responsibilities-of-local-authorities/enacted>

No 6. Highways Act 1980. Compensation, damages for negligence.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1980/66/section/97>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Mark Steele, a 5G campaigner, has been highlighting the dangers of a secret 5G rollout by Gateshead Council. The court's decision will be regarded as a landmark case for other people to start using this Court's ruling to challenge their Councils. Recorder Nolan said Steele had put a "tremendous amount of research" into the 5G debate and that his voice should be allowed to be heard.

"It is an area which I can accept there is an area of public concern," he added.

"And the public have a right to know - this is a free country."

Video 1. <https://www.bitchute.com/video/IFFxLwsPCt6i/>

Video 2. Mark Steele explains the court case involving Gateshead Council, back ground facts and evidence. <https://youtu.be/JaOZP-yhXFA>

Video 3. Mark Steele 5G presentation, weapon & surveillance?. https://youtu.be/MRYZh_pvARI

Video 4. Graphene oxide reacts on radio waves. <https://youtu.be/-jPEpmYHkRo>

Video 5. Graphene oxide reacting to mobile phone frequency. <https://youtu.be/mZWgnnuIXr8>

Video 6. Any kind of wifi, RF, EMF will damage your blood cells. <https://youtu.be/oHxxNgEoJwk>

Video 7. 5G IS A WAR ON OXYGEN, A WAR ON IODINE, A WAR ON IRON, A WAR ON HEMOGLOBIN AND A WAR ON THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM.

<https://youtu.be/oY5SReQ2Kqc>

Video 8. Links to various other videos.

<https://m.youtube.com/@SmombieGate/videos?itct=CBoQ8JMBGAlEwj8iob428L7AhUHHwYAHWJpA6M%3D>

Article 1. <https://smombiegate.org/britains-first-5g-court-case-and-the-people-won/>

Article 2. <https://smombiegate.org/gateshead-council-new-attempt-to-jail-mark-steele-over-5g-claims/>

Article 3. <https://principia-scientific.com/uks-first-5g-court-case-and-the-people-won/>

Article 4. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem has discovered in a recent investigation that human skin acts as a type of receptor for 5G radiation, drawing it in like an antenna.

No fewer than 26,000 Scientists Oppose 5G Roll Out. <https://martialartstoronto.ca/petition-26000-scientists-oppose-5g-roll-out-1/>

Article 5. 11,000 Pages of Evidence Filed in Landmark 5G Case Against the FCC, Hearing Set for Jan. 25. <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/landmark-5g-case-against-fcc-hearing-set-jan-25/>

Article 6. Patent: The present disclosure provides methods of producing lipid nanoparticle (LNP) formulations and the produced LNP formulations thereof. The present disclosure also provides therapeutic and diagnostic uses related to the produced LNP formulations.

<https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2020160397A1/en>

Article 7. CIA DOCUMENT ON MILLIMETER WAVE AND MICROWAVE EFFECTS ON HUMAN HEALTH.

<https://thefullertoninformer.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/cia-millimeter-waves-1.pdf>

Article 8. French court orders 4G antenna switch-off over cow health concerns

A farmer in central-east France said that milk production had dropped by 15-20% in the days following the antenna installation, and 40 of his 200 cows had died.

<https://radiation dangers.com/2022/05/27/french-court-orders-cell-tower-shut-off-after-farmer-complains-that-the-radiation-is-damaging-his-cows-health/>

Article 9. 5G - 60GHz Wireless Network Oxygen Absorption Unable to Breathe.

<https://stop5g.cz/us/5g-60ghz-wireless-network-oxygen-absorption-unable-to-breathe/>

Article 10. List of States, Cities, Towns, Councils and Countries that have Banned 5G.

<https://smombiegate.org/list-of-cities-towns-councils-and-countries-that-have-banned-5g/>

Article 11. LED Street lighting & public health.

<https://www.localgov.co.uk/LED-street-lighting-and-public-health/45627>

Lloyds insurers refuse to cover 5g wi-fi illnesses

Article 1. <https://principia-scientific.com/lloyds-insurers-refuse-to-cover-5g-wi-fi-illnesses/>

Article 2. <https://www.rfsafe.com/lloyds-of-london-insurance-wont-cover-smartphones-wifi-smart-meters-cell-phone-towers-by-excluding-all-wireless-radiation-hazards/>

Safety Standards & guidance.

No.1. 5G pre installation Legal requirements.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>

No.2. This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

No.3. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

No.4 Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

No.5. IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz. <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/C95.1/4940/>

No.6, A complete list of 5G projects involved in the 5G Testbeds and Trials Programme

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/5g-testbeds-and-trials-programme-complete-list-of-5g-projects>

No 7, Telegram channel: TOP 5G IT IS A WEAPON SYSTEM www.saveusnow.org.uk

..<https://t.me/marksteele5g>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object due to harmful frequencies.

5G Frequencies.

- Low Band: below 1 GHz

- Mid Band: 1 - 6 GHz

- High Band: 24 - 48 GHz.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication,

Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimetre exposure.

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

LETTER FROM CORNERSTONE, Ref: HEALTH AND MOBILE PHONE BASE STATIONS

[http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

[applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

[LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

Has used out dated research reviews ref hyperlink. Radiation: Electromagnetic fields, link seems to be. 4 August 2016 | Q&A.

W.H.O Link: (<http://www.who.int/peh-emf/about/WhatisEMF/en/index1.html>)

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: All quoted reference material in this document including the government links were carried out on or before 2020 so we need to challenge and question if it is out dated.

http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf

People have lost trust in Public Health England (PHE), government reports and guideline after the flawed lockdowns and covid-19.

Who are the sponsors of all these peer review guidelines and guidance papers? We no longer trust the government and the W.H.O nor can we trust the bias funded research.

In this publication it asks. What are the differences between 5G and 3G and 4G? There is nothing fundamentally different about the physical characteristics of the radio signals that will be produced by 5G compared to previous technologies like 3G and 4G.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/953342/5G_mobile_technology_-_a_guide__1.pdf

In this publication it clearly states: Characteristics of the application and its use.

There are a number of differences between 5G and previous wireless standards. One of these is that, in addition to the EMF frequencies that are used for 3G and 4G standards, some 5G communication technologies utilise higher EMF frequencies.

<https://www.icnirp.org/en/applications/5g/5g.html>.

5G Frequencies.

- Low Band: below 1 GHz
- Mid Band: 1 - 6 GHz
- High Band: 24 - 48 GHz.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G

Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

Where are the relevant updated ref links or documents to support these out dated report?

http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf

The Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects. The final report from this programme was published in February 2014. The report noted that the research conducted found no evidence of adverse health effects from the radio waves produced by mobile phones or their base stations.

In April 2012 the Health Protection Agency's independent Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation (AGNIR) published a report entitled "Health Effects from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields". This report concluded that there is no convincing evidence that mobile phone technologies cause adverse effects on human health.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) noted that "A large number of studies have been performed over the last two decades to assess whether mobile phones pose a potential health risk. To date, no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use" WHO factsheet 193: Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile telephones, 2014.

In 2019 Public Health England (PHE) noted that "Exposure to radio waves is not new and health-related research has been conducted on this topic over several decades. In particular, a large amount of new scientific evidence has emerged since the year 2000 through dedicated national and international research programmes" <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5gtechnologies-radio-waves-and-health>

ICNIRP GUIDELINES The radiofrequency public exposure limits for EMF fields were developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org> following evaluation of all the peer-reviewed scientific literature, including thermal and non-thermal effects. ICNIRP is a non-governmental organisation formally recognised by WHO. Established biological and health effects have been used as the basis for the ICNIRP exposure restrictions. These guidelines have been adopted for use in the European Union and the UK. In 2017 ICNIRP reaffirmed that their safety guidelines provide protection against all known health effects of radiofrequency signals.

COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL EXPOSURE GUIDELINES All Cornerstone installations are designed and constructed in compliance with the precautionary ICNIRP public exposure guidelines as adopted in EU Council Recommendation of 12 July 1999 on

the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz). These guidelines have been set following a thorough review of the science and take into consideration both thermal and non-thermal effects. They protect all members of the public 24 hours a day. In addition, precautionary measures have been taken into account when setting relevant guideline limits for the public (i.e. in the UK a safety factor of 50 times is applied to the public exposure guideline). When measured, field strengths are many times lower than the precautionary ICNIRP general public guidelines. An ICNIRP certificate is provided with every planning application and this verifies that the mobile phone base station, when operational, will meet the precautionary ICNIRP guidelines. We also provide further documentation to clarify that the ICNIRP certificate addresses emissions from all mobile phone network operators' equipment at the proposed site.

1981 - 2012

Further Information: World Health Organisation EMF Project <http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/>

International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org/>

Public Health England (formally HPA) <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

My links

Gov. UK Guidance: 5G technologies: radio waves and health. Summary seems to be extremely misleading.

It is possible that there may be a small increase in overall exposure to radio waves when 5G is added to an existing network or in a new area. However, the overall exposure is expected to remain low relative to guidelines and, as such, there should be no consequences for public health. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health>

In this presentation the 2020 RF Guidelines are referred to as ICNIRP 2019.

<https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/radiofrequency/index.html>

ICNIRP has published a set of new guidelines in this frequency range. The ICNIRP 2020 RF EMF guidelines (<https://www.icnirp.org/cms/upload/publications/ICNIRPrfgdl2020.pdf>) protect against all potential adverse health effects relating to exposure to RF EMF, including from 5G technologies. The ICNIRP (2020) guidelines has made a number of improvements to health protection and provides more-detailed guidance for the application of its health protection system. These include the addition of whole body average restrictions for EMF frequencies above 6 GHz, restrictions for brief (< 6 minutes) exposures for EMF frequencies above 400 MHz, and the reduction of the averaging area for EMF frequencies above 6 GHz.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G.

This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper [https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88)

[H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88)

shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022

"5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health <https://ehtrust.org/science/whoiarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have a detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.

3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

10. There is a 5G full two day judicial hearing. Court Hearing will take place at The Royal Courts of Justice on Strand, London WC2A 2LL. The case by Michael Mansfield KC will be heard on 7th and 8th Feb. <https://actionagainst5g.org/> The legal team have completed the preparation of the Exhibits, Statements and Reports and are now ready for the case to be heard. The work on the Court bundles has been enormous, the commitment of our legal team has been outstanding and

the preparation of documentation quite astonishing. Their dedication is unrivalled and for their 32 months of diligent work we are enormously grateful.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Has NE Lincs Planning re-evaluated its Policies and procedures to take into account the new Impacts and dangers 5G and 6G will have on its population?

Some publications or policy documents that may require review are listed below.

Guidance on plan-making.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

NEL Council Planning for growth.

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/assets/uploads/2018/05/20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018-WEB.pdf>

Authority monitoring report (AMR)

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/monitoring/>

The authority monitoring report provides information that shows the progress of the local plan preparation, any activity relating to the duty to cooperate, indicators in the plan and any policies not been implemented.

The AMR can also provide information to indicate whether there is a need to undertake a partial or full update of the adopted Local Plan.

The latest version of the AMR is the 2017/2018 Authority Monitoring Report (PDF) which was published in June 2019.

Reviewing and monitoring performance is an essential part of the planning system. As the local planning authority for North East Lincolnshire the Council currently publishes two monitoring documents.

I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G.

This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in

local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Will this development breach or be in contrary to policies 5 and 35 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032 (Adopted 2018) and section 10 of the NPPF 2021 in that the proposed mast and ancillary equipment, by reason of height, position and appearance would represent a visual intrusion to the detriment of the visual character of the area and due to dominance and intrusion be detrimental to local residential amenity. Furthermore, the position of the mast would block pedestrian and driver visibility to the detriment of highway safety.

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/the-local-plan/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: If this application is approved and the installation has a negative effect on the surrounding property prices or someone suffers any loss injury or harm who is liable?

Equity law. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equity_\(law\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equity_(law))

What regulations protect and cover this eventuality?

Will there be a Clause or covenant placed upon the land registry deeds or building owners to be held liable and to carry the correct levels of insurance?

Are third parties protected or covered within any Acts, Statutes or Regulations for suffering loss, harm or injury?

Landscape Character Assessment, Sensitivity and Capacity Study (2015)

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/the-local-plan/local-plan-background-information/landscape-character-assessment-sensitivity-and-capacity-study-2015/>

Gov. UK Guidance on plan-making.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

Statutory duty and the role of plans.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/3-plan-making#para17>

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

UK Public General Acts 2004 c. 5 Part 2 Documents Section 19

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/section/19>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to this harmful communications technology and its ugly infrastructure in our community. If there are any doubts as to any hazards or harm it may cause then work needs to cease, serious reviews are required then address the issues, mitigate, isolate, de-energise the equipment then draft plans to remove the hazards.

5G, 6G is not a legal requirement. I cannot find any law stating we must have 5G or 6G.

Government policy, plans, guidance, Strategy or targets is not the Law. So how is law created?

<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/>

Within the law each word is carefully defined and has specific meaning.

<https://alegaldictionary.com/color-of-law/>

The difference between Law and Policy.

<https://www.differencebetween.com/difference-between-law-and-vs-policy/>

Government requires the consent of the People.

<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/>

The Distribution of Power in UK Government

<https://www.lawteacher.net/free-law-essays/constitutional-law/distribution-of-power-uk-government.php>

Government 5G policy

The Government has a target that the majority of the population will be covered by a 5G signal by 2027. The Government's strategy for future digital infrastructure - full-fibre and 5G - is set out in DCMS's Future Telecoms Infrastructure Review (FTIR), published on 23 July 2018.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/future-telecoms-infrastructure-review-call-for-evidence>

When The government is working in collaboration with corporate businesses it can not harm the people it is meant to serve and protect.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/telecommunications-security-bill-factsheets/factsheet-6-5g>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-laws-to-wipe-out-rural-mobile-not-spots-and-speed-up-rollout-of-next-generation-5g-technology>

<https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-7883/>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/134/the-security-of-5g/>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/134/the-security-of-5g/>

<https://cms.law/en/int/expert-guides/cms-expert-guide-to-5g-regulation-and-law/united-kingdom>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to 5G & 6G technology because I've researched the dangers it poses to our health and the environment.

Carry out your own due diligence or check out the wealth of information in these links.

Dr. Sharon Goldberg Testifies at Michigan's 5G Small Cell Tower Legislation Hearing October 4, 2018

<https://youtu.be/CK0AliMe-KA>

Protecting Against EMF Radiation with Jason Bawden-Smith

<https://library.solari.com/protecting-against-emf-radiation-with-jason-bawden-smith/>

BEST 5G DOCUMENTARY

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/RYGOCjKRC52c/>

Helpful Resources.

<https://ehtrust.org/>

<https://bioinitiative.org/updated-research-summaries/>

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<https://stop5g-resistance.whynotnews.eu/>

<https://www.emf-portal.org/en>

<https://www.powerwatch.org.uk/>

<https://wearetheevidence.org/>

<http://wifiinschools.com/index.html>

<http://www.parentsforsafetechnology.org/>

<http://www.parentsforsafetechnology.org/the-telecommunications-act-of-1996.html>

<https://www.wirelesseducation.org/>

<https://www.takebackyourpower.net/>

<https://www.inpowermovement.com/>

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<https://www.fcc.gov/general/telecommunications-act-1996>

<https://home.solari.com/live-solari-report-protecting-against-emf-radiation-what-5g-means-to-you-with-jason-bawden-smith/feed/>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15910543/>

https://www.foodsmatter.com/asd_autism/autism_causes/articles/es_autism_goldsworthy.html

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24095003/>

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/nonionising/electro.htm>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20798635/>

<https://home.solari.com/live-solari-report-protecting-against-emf-radiation-what-5g-means-to-you-with-jason-bawden-smith/#com>

Some of the Laws and legislations to protect us from harm are:

Act of Settlement (1700)

the Laws of England are the Birthright of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection.

ARTICLES SEVEN 7 UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS 1948 NATIONS

UNITED (nations-united.org)

Misconduct In Public Office

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/misconduct-public-office>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I Object.

The Control of Electromagnetic Fields at Work Regulations 2016

I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Are all employees within any nearby businesses including domestic residents/occupants and visitors who may be affected by 5G/6G Mast, Tower, Monopole, Antenna or transmitter within the coverage been given knowledge, training and regular medical screening for harmful side effects like cancer?

Have this group of people been given additional PPE or protective barriers/shielding?

Are there any on looking direct line of sight office, cafe, restaurant, care home, Hotel, B&B or domestic dwelling house windows that may allow the occupants more exposure to harmful emf waves been taken into consideration, if so what are the individual findings and how will this be addressed?.

Very few people are correctly informed on the hazards within their immediate working or living area. Most folks trust they will not be placed in danger. EMF waves are a hidden layer which often exceeds safe levels of exposure.

How will new employees of any nearby businesses and domestic residents/occupants and visitors who may be affected by 5G/6G be informed after the installation?.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G/6G.

To highlight my point here are some regulations and legislation's to help in protecting the uneducated and vulnerable.

The Control of Electromagnetic Fields at Work Regulations 2016

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/nonionising/emf-regulations.htm>

Electromagnetic fields. Advice on exposure to electromagnetic fields in the everyday environment, including electrical appliances in the home and mobile phones.

This covers radio waves used to broadcast radio and TV and by telecommunication equipment such as mobile phones and base stations.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

Research and analysis in. Residential magnetic field exposures in the UK: sources

This report (HPA-RPD-005) describes the investigation and identification of sources of residential magnetic field exposures in the UKCCS.

The Residential Sources Study was set up to investigate the sources of power frequency magnetic fields that contributed to average residential exposure estimates of 0.2 microtesla and above in the UK Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS). The work was carried out as part of an extension of the UKCCS EMF Hypothesis - that exposure to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs), specifically power frequency magnetic fields, may play a role in the aetiology of childhood cancer.

There were two stages to the project; in Stage 1 the main objective was to identify power frequency magnetic field sources in the neighbourhood of the homes that might explain the UKCCS residential exposures. When there was no obvious explanation for the high exposure, the home and its corresponding matched case control were nominated for Stage 2 of the study, involving an internal inspection.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/residential-magnetic-field-exposures-in-the-uk-sources>

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

Is this Telecommunications mast, monopole, aerial or antenna abiding by all the rules within:
The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015
. UK Statutory Instruments 2015 No. 596 SCHEDULE 2 PART 16.
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2015/596/schedule/2/part/16/made>

Development not permitted: apparatus near a highway

(7) Development is not permitted by Class A(a) if, in the case of the installation of a mast, on a building or structure which is less than 15 metres in height, such a mast would be within 20 metres of a highway.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this planning application on Public health and safety grounds.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

To quote Martin L Pall, PhD, Emeritus Professor of Biochemistry and Medical Sciences at Washington State University, "Putting tens of millions of 5G antennas, without a single biological test of safety, has to be about the stupidest idea anyone has had in the history of the world". Professor Pall is wrong, however: it is not a stupid idea but a heinous crime if one understands the motive behind this deployment. 5G is a compartmentalized weapons deployment masquerading as a benign technological advance for enhanced communications and faster downloads. The globalist false propaganda falls away with one simple undisputed fact: their PCR test patent for Covid-19, which was filed in 2015, was never able to identify a live virus, so that it could be utilized to terrorize ignorant and unsuspecting populations across the world into taking a Covid-19 vaccine polluted with a nano antenna technology to hook victims up to the 5G network.

Emerging 5G, autonomous, augmented reality technologies, often referred to by the manufactures as "killer tech", will prove to be exactly that. The laissez-faire approach adopted by regulatory bodies and the telecoms industry encouraged the total disregard of the known biological harm from increasing levels of ionizing and non-ionizing radiation arising from the mass deployment of these technologies, which were developed for battlefield use. Non-governmental organizations, individuals and groups have had to take court action against government safety regulatory organizations as they have ignored the precautionary

principle and disregarded the requirement for standards which are indispensable in law for new and experimental product developments. The whole basis for the existence of regulatory bodies has been corrupted by criminals within these organizations who have destroyed the confidence of the educated public in them. Basic safety requirements have been wilfully ignored by the telecoms industry and the ICNIRP guidelines have been deliberately designed to confuse those who lack technical knowledge.

Download research document on 5G: Great risk for EU, U.S. and International Health! Compelling Evidence for Eight Distinct Types of Great Harm Caused by Electromagnetic Field (EMF) Exposures and the Mechanism that Causes Them.

Written and Compiled by Martin L. Pall, PhD

Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry and Basic Medical Sciences

Washington State University

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/research/dr-martin-palls-latest-compilation-of-emf-medical-research-literature/>

3G / 4G / 5G coverage map, United Kingdom. Cellular data networks in United Kingdom

<https://www.nperf.com/en/map/GB/-/-/signal/?ll=55.751357157443756&lg=-3.4249999999999963&zoom=5>

Open up the menu and look at the other tabs like Provider. Then you are able to Zoom in to street level.

<https://www.cellmapper.net/map?MCC=234&MNC=20&type=LTE&latitude=53.56804581824048&longitude=-0.1363126295396545&zoom=13.539836676525454&showTowers=true&showIcons=true&showTowerLabels=true&clusterEnabled=true&tilesEnabled=true&showOrphans=false&showNoFrequencyOnly=false&showFrequencyOnly=false&showBandwidthOnly=false&DateFilterType=Last&showHex=false&showVerifiedOnly=false&showUnverifiedOnly=false&showLTECAOnly=false&showENDCOnly=true&showBand=0&showSectorColours=true&mapType=roadmap&darkMode=false>

<https://www.startpage.com/do/search?q=interactive%20map%20of%20cell-phone%20towers&segment=startpage.vivaldi>

Environmental Health Trust. <https://ehtrust.org/> WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT 5G.

This site has a wealth of factual knowledge. Take time to watch the:

5G Health and Environmental Impacts : Science and Policy video.

<https://ehtrust.org/key-issues/cell-phoneswireless/5g-internet-everything/20-quick-facts-what-you-need-to-know-about-5g-wireless-and-small-cells/>

Environmental Health Trust. <https://ehtrust.org/> "NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM"

<https://ehtrust.org/?s=national+toxicology+program>

The advent of 5G technology has been hailed by governments and certain vested interests as transformative, promising clear economic and lifestyle benefits, through massively boosting wireless and mobile connectivity at home, work, school and in the community, he says.

But it has become the subject of fierce controversy, fueled by four key areas of scientific uncertainty and concern.

The lack of clarity about precisely what technology is included in 5G; and a growing but far from comprehensive body of laboratory research indicating the biologically disruptive potential of RF-EMFs

An almost total lack (as yet) of high quality epidemiological studies of the impact on human health from 5G EMF exposure

Mounting epidemiological evidence of such effects from previous generations of RF-EMF exposure at lower levels

Persistent allegations that some national telecoms regulatory authorities haven't based their RF-EMF safety policies on the latest science, amid potential conflicts of interest....<https://www.bmj.com/.../stop-global-roll-out-of-5g.../>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I Object.

Radio-frequency radiation can be defined in law as producing pollution or waste that negatively affects human health

According to the definitions from the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (EPA 1990), the Pollution Prevention and Control Act 1999 (PPCA 1999), and the EU Directive on Industrial Emissions (2010/75/EU), radio-frequency radiation (RFR) emitted from masts and antennae can be shown to produce pollution or waste of a kind directly dangerous to human health. The laws specifically reference 'organ toxicity', listing as examples of this cell mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, and reproductive toxicity. A document produced by an EU entity (EUROPAEM 2016), has provided evidence that these are all (non-thermal) health effects of RFR. Thus, broadcast Wireless or Wi-Fi can be shown to create pollution/industrial waste, at the very least, to the standard that warrants investigation under the EPA 1990 and therefore that the erection of the proposed mast and its use are not sustainable given the nuisance control obligations that arise from the aforementioned legislation.

The above shows that the emissions from this mast will constitute a statutory nuisance emanating from a pollutant producing waste harmful to human health. Therefore, should the current planning application be approved, we intend to submit a formal statutory nuisance complaint against the mast.

EUROPAEM (2016) 'Guideline 2016 for the Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of EMF related health problems and illnesses' (Belyeav et. al., on behalf of the EMF Working Group, published in 'Reviews on Environmental Health, July 2016).

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Igor-Belyaev/publication/305689940_EUROPAEM_EMF_Guideline_2016_for_the_prevention_diagnosis_and_treatment_of_EMF-related_health_problems_and_illnesses/links/59f2dfeaca272cdc7d0312c/EUROPAEM-EMF-Guideline-2016-for-the-prevention-diagnosis-and-treatment-of-EMF-related-health-problems-and-illnesses.pdf

5.0 Public Health and Safety

The proposal is not sustainable in relation to the objective pursued through NPPF 2019, Section 8, paragraph 91, which states:

'Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places which: c) enable and support healthy lifestyles, especially where this would address identified local health and well-being needs - for example through the provision of safe and accessible green infrastructure, sports facilities, local shops, access to healthier food, allotments and layouts that encourage walking and cycling.'

Neither ICNIRP nor any other official body has provided peer-reviewed evidence as to the cumulative effects of long-term exposure to radio-frequency radiation. Therefore, it is impossible to state at this time that any such mast as the one proposed can be deemed sustainable in respect of this NPPF objective.

At odds with the government's directive to councils is the fact that, on its website, ICNIRP itself '...does not guarantee the correctness, reliability, or completeness of the information and views published. The content of our website is provided to you for information only. We do not assume any responsibility for any damage, including direct or indirect loss suffered by users or third parties in connection with the use of our website and/or the information it contains, including for the use or the interpretation of any technical data, recommendations, or specifications available on our website...' (<https://www.icnirp.org/en/legal-notice.html>)

Similarly, UK Health Security Agency (formally Public Health England), to which the government has been referring for all advice regarding the health impacts of radio-frequency emissions, disclaimed responsibility for its own advice as follows in a letter from its solicitors to Leigh Day solicitors, on 8 August 2019:

A public body must determine how much weight to put on the PHE guidance. Equally that body must determine what other evidence from your client or other members of the public or interested parties to consider in making any decision. If it be alleged that a public body now or in the future acted unlawfully in placing reliance on the guidance, that cannot retrospectively taint the guidance with illegality.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This suggests that despite the UK government's guidelines to councils that they should accept the ICNIRP guidelines as guaranteeing the lack of negative health effects of radiofrequency radiation, the opposite is likely to be the case. ICNIRP limit themselves to thermal effects while there are hundreds if not thousands of scientific studies detailing the cumulative non-thermal effects of even small doses of radiation typical of the levels of the proposed mast: A tiny number of these is listed below:

0.5 to 1.0 mW/m² - Headaches, concentration difficulties, restlessness, tremor, and sleep disruption associated with chronic exposure to mobile phone base stations.

Mobile Phone Base Stations - Effects on Wellbeing and Health, Kundi M and Hutter HP, Pathophysiology, August 2009, 16 (2-3), pp 123-35

5 to 10 mW/m² - At this level it decreases human sperm motility, increases sperm DNA fragmentation, and affects brain activity and cognitive functions.

Conrado Avendaño, et.al., American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Fertility and Sterility, Vol. 97, No. 1, January 2012, pp 39-45

Approaching 30 mW/m² of RF changes in cardiac function, including altered heart rate, arrhythmia, and/or tachycardia were observed.

Magda Havas, Reviews on Environmental Health, Vol. 28, 2-3, November 2013, pp 75-84

In 2011 the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)/World Health Organisation, classified RF radiation as a Group 2B carcinogen. Studies found a correlation between RF radiation from mobile phones and two kinds of brain tumour: glioma (a cancer) and acoustic

neuroma.

A summary of the IARC's conclusions was published in Lancet Oncology, 2011, 12, pp 624-26

However, Morgan LL et al. (2015) suggests this to be over cautious, going further to propose a change of classification from 2B possible carcinogen to 2A probable carcinogen:

Morgan LL et al. (2015) 'Mobile phone radiation causes brain tumors and should be classified as a probable human carcinogen (2A) (Review)' Int J Oncol. PMID: 25738972.

Moreover, a study on the website of the US National Institutes of Health also insists that IARC revise its stance on health issues. This study shows major damage in terms of cancer, significantly reduced sperm counts, and serious harm to children's brains, stating that developing brains absorb 10 times more radiation than adult brains. The abstract ends: 'current knowledge provides justification for governments, public health authorities, and physicians/allied health professionals to warn the population that having a cell phone next to the body is harmful, and to support measures to reduce all exposures to RFR'. [Emphasis added] (Miller, Anthony B., Margaret E. Sears, L. Lloyd Morgan, Devra L. Davis, Lennart Hardell, Mark Oremus, and Colin L. Soskolne (2019) 'Risks to Health and Well-Being From Radio-Frequency Radiation Emitted by Cell Phones and Other Wireless Devices,' Frontiers in Public Health 7.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6701402/>

In the Spanish village of Benajafe more than 30 people died, with 50 out of 400 developing cancer and many others further health issues shortly after the erection of a Vodafone mast. The following report describes an order to remove the mast and provides extensive epidemiological research showing biological harm near masts and other infrastructure:

<https://rfinfo.co.uk/index.php/4-0-d-recent-epidemiologic-neuro-studies-infrastructural-radiation/>

The above literature shows that adding 3G 4G and 5G antennae is neither 'enabling healthy lifestyles' nor providing 'safe spaces'. For nearby businesses, residential properties and pedestrians the knowledge that they are within close proximity of a mast with 5G emissions not tested for safety can be anxiety-inducing. With peer-reviewed research on pulsed-modulated electromagnetic radiation showing harmful biological effects, the remit of 'safe space' is certainly not achieved.

6.0 Precautionary Principle

My understanding of this matter is that it is mandatory that the Council and the applicant consider the possible impact of mobile phone masts, most especially 5G, on members of the public. It is within your own powers as a council to make sure people are safe. Sustainability demands that precaution.

The Precautionary Principle should therefore apply. For instance, we know the roads are dangerous and the Council have put up adequate crossing places for children and pedestrians. Traffic presents visible harm but 5G monopoles and masts are potential invisible harm - anyone spending a long period of time in the vicinity of a mast that emits radiation all day and night in a

dome effect, no matter what the level, is simply not proven to be safe; it is not a natural environment for humans, especially for children and young people.

In respect of the current planning application it should be noted that besides the bus station so near the site, there is a residential building across the main road. This means they will be constantly exposed to the highest level of radiation from the mast as they are so near it, and especially at night, the time when the human body is regenerating and thus most needs protection from such effects. ICNIRP standards discuss short-term exposure to radiation. They make no mention of the effects of exposure 24/7 for long periods of time such as those living in close proximity to masts are forced to endure.

The Precautionary Principle is defined as follows:

"When human activities may lead to morally unacceptable harm that is scientifically plausible but uncertain, actions shall be taken to avoid or diminish that harm."

Many councils and governments are already demanding Precaution and truly protective measures:
<https://rfinfo.co.uk/index.php/legal-actions/>

Closing statement

Many communities are taking action throughout the country and several Councils have felt it prudent formally to affirm their compliance with the Precautionary Principle when facing choices and decisions on any intensification or 'densification' of public or environmental exposure to RFR. This puts matters on hold for up to two years so that more research can be carried out towards making a proper informed decision. As there are currently no studies to provide evidence that there are no detrimental effects on the environment and public health, I would strongly recommend the Council enact the Precautionary Principle to ensure that the public health and environmental consequences of any intensification/densification of RFR are verified before approving ANY new application for telecoms installation of 5G monopoles.

<https://rfinfo.co.uk/index.php/legal-actions/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: MAST OBJECTION -

Appearance: The proposed mast will be obtrusive, ugly and incongruous with the surrounding character and appearance, resulting in detriment to the visual amenities of the area, as well as a harmful impact to the outlook of residential properties nearby.

The mast will have an imposing and overbearing impact on the amenity of the nearby area causing local residents unnecessary upset and anxiety, impacting the quality of the local area.

Traffic Distraction: The mast may create a visual distraction to road users, and its associated equipment cabinets would clutter and degrade the look and feel of the area.

In close proximity to residences and businesses, within 500m of the proposed site, which will be subjected to high levels of radiation beaming from this mast throughout the day and night. The impact of this proposal on the health (including mental health) and well-being of residents must be taken into account, and is a material planning consideration.

Transmitter density required for 5G means that more people will be exposed to radio frequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs), and at levels that emerging evidence suggests, are potentially harmful to health, argues Professor John William Frank, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh.

5G uses much higher frequency radio waves than in the past and it makes use of very new- and relatively unevaluated, in terms of safety- supportive technology to enable this higher data transmission capacity, points out Professor Frank. <https://www.bmj.com/company/newsroom/stop-global-roll-out-of-5g-networks-until-safety-is-confirmed-urges-expert/>

Carbon footprint: Each 5G mast requires approximately 3 x more power than a 4G mast (as much as 73 typical homes). <https://spectrum.ieee.org/5gs-waveform-is-a-battery-vampire>

Local authorities are expected to safeguard the quality of the local environment and some have a statutory duty to help conserve biodiversity and species protection as part of the planning process. Councillors are in a position to help preserve the natural environment for the benefit of future generations and to promote sustainability.

With 5G's greatly increased mobile traffic, electricity usage from telecoms could create up to 23% of global greenhouse gas emissions by 2030; power demand would be the equivalent of 36 nuclear reactors or 7800 massive offshore wind farms worldwide. - <https://www.mdpi.com/2078-1547/6/1/117/htm> - <https://www.meer.com/en/64080-green-5g-or-red-alert>

The France, Spain and California Green Parties, the France Climate Change Council, and Greenpeace East Asia have all warned of the climate footprint of 5G - <https://www.france24.com/en/europe/20201220-deploying-5g-will-lead-to-spike-in-co2-emissions-french-climate-council-warns>

WILDLIFE: See list of studies regarding potential harm to wildlife compiled by the Environmental Health Trust, a US foundation run by Nobel lead author and eminent environmental oncologist Dr Devra Davis - <https://ehtrust.org/science/bees-butterflies-wildlife-research-electromagnetic-fieldsenvironment/>

ICNIRP standards are mainly based on acute warming effects, with more than one degree of temperature increase. In several thousand studies, biological effects such as DNA damage have been shown to occur at exposure levels FAR BELOW these standards.

Criticism of ICNIRP by the Council of Europe: "Both the European Parliament (in its resolution 2008/2211(INI)) and the Council of Europe recommend lowering the exposure limits based on the ICNIRP opinions. The Council of Europe in its Opinion of 6 May 2011 on health risks associated with electromagnetic fields (12608) <https://www.jrseco.com/council-of-europe-advice-on-health-risks-of-electromagnetic-radiation/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr richard Fields

Address: 8 giles street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We as residents in the area oppose this roll out of 5g networks, mental health and health is above all things material

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object.

Parliamentary Assembly. Resolution 1815 (2011). For all governments to adhere too.

The potential dangers of electromagnetic fields and their effect on the environment

<https://pace.coe.int/en/files/17994>

The whole document is worth reading and covers many key areas. Here is just two item from the resolution.

Summary

The potential health effects of the very low frequency of electromagnetic fields surrounding power lines and electrical devices are the subject of ongoing research and a significant amount of public debate. While electrical and electromagnetic fields in certain frequency bands have fully beneficial effects which are applied in medicine, other non-ionising frequencies, be they sourced from extremely low frequencies, power lines or certain high frequency waves used in the fields of radar, telecommunications and mobile telephony, appear to have more or less potentially harmful, non-thermal, biological effects on plants, insects and animals, as well as the human body when exposed to levels that are below the official threshold values.

One must respect the precautionary principle and revise the current threshold values; waiting for high levels of scientific and clinical proof can lead to very high health and economic costs, as was the case in the past with asbestos, leaded petrol and tobacco.

8.2.1 set preventive thresholds for levels of long-term exposure to microwaves in all indoor areas, in accordance with the precautionary principle, not exceeding 0.6 volts per metre, and in the

medium term to reduce it to 0.2 volts per metre.

EMF Radiation medical research paper written and Compiled by Martin L. Pall, PhD. Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry and Basic Medical Sciences, Washington State University.

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/research/dr-martin-palls-latest-compilation-of-emf-medical-research-literature/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object. After listening to a world leading expert in the video link provided you will understand why this equipment causes harmful effects on humans and our future population. Radiation damage during pregnancy. How it will affect the birth rates, and the female future population.

video link <https://video.icic-net.com/w/qZp85kgJKC9pLrmruXkPRY>

Dr. Reiner Fuellmich conducts an exclusive interview with Barrie Trower, one of the best known Experts in the field of microwave radiation and frequencies, which have been researched and used

as weapons in intelligence agencies since the early 1950s. Barrie Trower, a former career soldier in the Royal Navy and intelligence officer with MI5 and MI6 is a scientist and has also been a University lecturer. His knowledge in the field of microwave and 5G radiation is of considerable Explosive nature and he feels obliged to bring it to the public, which, just like other whistle-blowers,

Has already put him in life-threatening situations.

He reports precisely about the hazardous, entropic effects of microwaves and the possibility to Manipulate nature (e.g. HAARP) and even program the human brain.

The main focus of his research and warnings is on the fatal, pathogenic effects of the misuse of Microwave radiation and pulse frequencies, which disable the natural protection of the human System after only six minutes, on women, pregnant women, embryos, fetuses and on new-borns. The damage caused is so severe that it brings entire female generational lines to a halt, Endangering human reproduction. Even embryos exposed to these radiations can show cellular Changes that lead to cancer.

Barrie Trower, a courageous whistle-blower and expert warns of the eminent threat to all life on Earth from 5G microwave radiation, and his urgent warning is timelier than ever.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Can we honestly be expected to believe the points of references and peer review material generated by the organisations quoted in the Supporting Document after the last 3 years of false Covid 19 pandemic? All the listed organisations are not lawful and are extremely corrupt. Any decent researcher can prove what I am saying. It's just like the fox guarding the hen house. Local Authority Toolkit 5G & Health states:

For further information, below we have listed additional external sources that you may find helpful in regard to 5G and health.

Ofcom and HM Government 5G health guide: https://uploads-ssl.webflow.com/5b7ab54b285deca6a63ee27b/5f3fbf86c97b38101210ae5a_5G%20EMF%20Guide.pdf

Public Health England - 5G technologies: radio waves and health:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5g-technologies-radiowaves-and-health>

World Health Organization (WHO) - Radiation: 5G mobile networks and health:

<https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/radiation-5g-mobile-networks-and-health>

Which? - Is 5G safe?: <https://www.which.co.uk/news/2020/06/is-5g-safe-everything-you-need-to-know-on-the-5g-powered-future/>

BBC - Does 5G pose health risks?: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-48616174>

BBC Click - Testing the safety of 5G:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k2t1dUCyE0I&feature=youtu.be>

Cancer Research UK - Do mobile phones cause cancer?:

<https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/causes-of-cancer/cancer-myths/do-mobile-phones-cause-cancer>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: i object.

Here is a excellent link with loads of informative factual videos from reliable sources.

The dangers of this technology is clearly deadly, indiscriminate and it should not be easily accepted as safe.

Please reconsider this application being in a high residential area .the negative health implications of giving 300hertz pass through the body are now gong raised in every medical journals, you're going to have a up rise in cancers , blood disorders, and so on, if you're ready for the damage it will cause .and ill health it will bring

Profits before people's health and safety will not be accepted nor will the excuse I was just doing my job in any court of law when people are held accountable.

<https://actionagainst5g.org/health/health-impacts/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Scientific evidence invalidates health assumptions underlying the ICNIRP exposure limit determinations for radiofrequency radiation: implications for 5G - Environmental Health

"In this paper, we highlight studies that demonstrate the fallacy of inherent assumptions in the ICNIRP guidelines for RF radiation exposure limits, and we find that the limits fail to protect human and environmental health. Fourteen assumptions that underlie the RFR exposure limits established in the 1990s and reaffirmed in 2020 by the FCC and ICNIRP are addressed in this paper"

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12940-022-00900-9/figures/1>

Exposure limits for RF radiation are based on numerous assumptions; however, research studies published over the past 25years show that most of those assumptions are not supported by scientific evidence.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

. Based exposure standards for low-intensity electromagnetic radiation.

5G EMF - 1557 Page Report from 2012 outlining ALL the Damage Caused.

BioInitiative 2012.

A rationale for biologically - Based exposure standards for low-intensity electromagnetic radiation.

Henry Lai's Research Summaries

Research Summaries have been updated in 2022 for genetic, neurological and free radical (oxidative damage) studies. They are subdivided to show ELF-EMF/static field from RFR studies.

<https://bioinitiative.org/research-summaries/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This equipment is extremely dangerous to people's health and well-being.

Within this link below you will find 28 sections. Just looking at the title is scary enough. The Harmful effects and reports are there supporting why emf are dangerous to all life.

https://bioinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/secii_Table_of_Contents.pdf

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object.

Scientists call for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure

If the equipment and technology cannot be proven to cause no harm it shouldn't be allowed to endanger our community and residents.

International Appeal

Scientists call for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure

We are scientists engaged in the study of biological and health effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF). Based upon peer-reviewed, published research, we have serious concerns regarding the ubiquitous and increasing exposure to EMF generated by electric and wireless devices. These include-but are not limited to-radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitting devices, such as cellular and cordless phones and their base stations, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters, and baby monitors as well as electric devices and infra-structures used in the delivery of electricity that generate extremely-low frequency electromagnetic field (ELF EMF).

Scientific basis for our common concerns

Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines. Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans. Damage goes well beyond the human race, as

there is growing evidence of harmful effects to both plant and animal life.

These findings justify our appeal to the United Nations (UN) and, all member States in the world, to encourage the World Health Organization (WHO) to exert strong leadership in fostering the development of more protective EMF guidelines, encouraging precautionary measures, and educating the public about health risks, particularly risk to children and fetal development. By not taking action, the WHO is failing to fulfil its role as the preeminent international public health agency.

Link to article listing PHD Scientists calling for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure.

<https://emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

UK.Gov legislates for Ofcom. The fox guarding the hen house. So it's clearly corporate profit before health and wellbeing of humans.

UK Government Policy on Mobile Infrastructure Deployment. is an expectation not law.

Concerns Ref: SITE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION document dated 13.02.23.

[http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/8846CE6A0800313C1D7365496204D0AD/pdf/DM_0114_23_PAT-SITE_SUPPLEMENTARY_INFORMATION-1637389.pdf)

[applications/files/8846CE6A0800313C1D7365496204D0AD/pdf/DM_0114_23_PAT-SITE_SUPPLEMENTARY_INFORMATION-1637389.pdf](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/8846CE6A0800313C1D7365496204D0AD/pdf/DM_0114_23_PAT-SITE_SUPPLEMENTARY_INFORMATION-1637389.pdf)

1. There is a big presumption made why pre-application advice was not sought from the LPA.
2. Who. And when In accordance with the Code of Best Practice this site has been given a rating of Green?

It states: We operate in accordance with the Code of Best Practice on Mobile Network Development (Nov. 2016). So where is the proof and evidence they have met all the requirements. Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.

- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Description of the Site clearly states what or whom is nearby and will be effected.

Quote:

The wider locality predominantly comprises residential dwellings, as well as further mixed uses surrounding the south and east of the Rugby Club such as Medical Centre, a Hotel and a Community Centre

At the beginning of March 2017 the Department of Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) issued an updated UK Digital Strategy (UK Digital Strategy) with the goal of ensuring that the UK delivers a "world-leading digital economy that works for everyone".

There's pressure and a conflict of interest put upon the planning departments as the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2021. The Government's policy strongly supports communications infrastructure.

Local Policy The North East Lincolnshire Local Plan (Part 1), 2018 sets out the development management policies in the area. The following policies are relevant to the proposal:

Policy 35 Telecommunications 1. Proposals for telecommunications development, including consideration of appropriate prior approval applications will be permitted, or determined, provided that: A. the development is appropriate in terms of siting and appearance, having regard to technical and operational constraints, and does not intrude into or detract from the landscape or urban character of the area; B. applicants demonstrate a sequential approach to show that development cannot be accommodated with less visual intrusion; i. on an existing building, mast or other structure; or, ii. on a site that already contains telecommunications equipment; before new sites

Policy 41 Biodiversity and Geodiversity.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

5G ill-health issues.

Electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS, microwave syndrome)

"Microwave syndrome", is a clinical syndrome characterized by the presence of a wide spectrum of non-specific multiple organ symptoms, typically including central nervous system symptoms, that occur following the patient's acute or chronic exposure to electromagnetic fields in the environment or in occupational settings. Numerous studies have shown biological effects at the cellular level of electromagnetic fields (EMF) at magnetic (ELF) and radio-frequency (RF) frequencies in extremely low intensities. Many of the mechanisms described for Multiple Chemical Sensitivity (MCS) apply with modification to EHS. Repeated exposures result in sensitization and consequent enhancement of response. Many hypersensitive patients appear to have impaired detoxification systems that become overloaded by excessive oxidative stress. EMF can induce changes in calcium signalling cascades, significant activation of free radical processes and Overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in living cells as well as altered neurological and Cognitive functions and disruption of the blood-brain barrier.

link to article.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32289567/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object.

5G exposure effects

Two U.S. government reports from the 1970s to 1980s provide evidence for many neuropsychiatric effects of non-thermal microwave EMFs, based on occupational exposure studies. 18 more recent epidemiological studies, provide substantial evidence that microwave EMFs from cell/mobile phone base stations, excessive cell/mobile phone usage and from wireless smart meters can each produce similar patterns of neuropsychiatric effects, with several of these studies showing clear dose-response relationships. Lesser evidence from 6 additional studies suggests that short wave, radio station, occupational and digital TV antenna exposures may produce similar neuropsychiatric effects. Among the more commonly reported changes are sleep disturbance/insomnia, headache, depression/depressive symptoms, fatigue/tiredness, dysesthesia, concentration/attention dysfunction, memory changes, dizziness, irritability, loss of appetite/body weight, restlessness/anxiety, nausea, skin burning/tingling/dermographism and EEG changes. In summary, then, the mechanism of action of microwave EMFs, the role of the VGCCs in the brain, the impact of non-thermal EMFs on the brain, extensive epidemiological studies performed over the past 50 years, and five criteria testing for causality, all collectively show that various non-thermal microwave EMF exposures produce diverse neuropsychiatric effects.

taken from this article.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26300312/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

5G ill-health effects.

Millimeter (MM) wave and microwave frequency radiation produce deeply penetrating effects: the biology and the physics

Millimeter wave (MM-wave) electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are predicted to not produce penetrating effects in the body. The electric but not magnetic part of MM-EMFs are almost completely absorbed within the outer 1 mm of the body. Rodents are reported to have penetrating MM-wave impacts on the brain, the myocardium, liver, kidney and bone marrow. MM-waves produce electromagnetic sensitivity-like changes in rodent, frog and skate tissues. In humans, MM-waves have penetrating effects including impacts on the brain, producing EEG changes and other neurological/neuropsychiatric changes, increases in apparent electromagnetic hypersensitivity and produce changes on ulcers and cardiac activity. This review focuses on several issues required to understand penetrating effects of MM-waves and microwaves:

link to article.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34043892/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object.

Who is taking full public liability in their person capacity for any loss, harm or injury caused by this equipment being installed under this planning application?

Name the Wo/Man taking liability not their position within a company. and do not give any company name taking full liability.

Any persons rebutting any information outlined in this document needs to validate their rebuttal claims or statements with factual evidence written in plain English. Wo/Men who wish fact check or rebut items covered in this document may wish to contact or seek advice and guidance. To the best of my knowledge these are key people within NE Linc's council who may be able to help in building any rebuttal:

Rob Walsh, NEL Chief Executive, rob.walsh@nelincs.gov.uk

Simon Jones, NEL Chief Legal Monitoring Officer, simon.jones1@nelincs.gov.uk

Richard Catlyn, NEL Service Manages, Richard.catlyn@nelincs.gov.uk

Paul Ellis, NEL Head of Information, Governance & Complaints, paul.ellis@nelincs.gov.uk

The Section 151 Officer is Sharon Wroot. (Sharon.wroot@nelincs.gov.uk telephone (01472) 324423)

I believe residents and visitors within NE Lincs are being exposed to adverse health effects of 5G.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>

- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.
- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: 5G Planning I've added objection comments to.

After speaking with a NEL Case planning officer, I was informed when objections or comments are submitted the system they use for accessing the data will not or does not allow the planning department staff to open certain links to online pdfs, videos or important factual material that members of the public may use in validation to support any quotes or claims they which to make and support with evidence. In my opinion the system and regulations are not fit for purpose.

The advice I was given was to write in the comments box which allows up to 5000 words. This is sometimes is not enough to explain or put across certain perspectives or viewpoints. Yes the public can contact the case officers on the phone but this information is not recorded on the data base for future ref

When similar applications with dangerous and harmful equipment are repeatedly being allowed to be submitted then it's best that people can clearly see if key factual details are being ignored. Yes. All the planning applications are accessible on an open source public access data base.

Here is a list of similar telecommunications, Cell towers, monopoles, Lattice towers, aerial, radio, and microwave, radiation frequency, transmitting equipment applications I have raised my objections and concerns on in the past.

25 - 29 St Peters Avenue Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8HF.

Ref. No: DM/1053/22/PAT. Case officer. Owen Toop.

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=RM636FLJMIY00&activeTab=summary>

Mast At Grimsby Rugby Club Springfield Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 3JE

Ref No. DM/0114/23/PAT Case officer. Owen Toop.

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=RQ0YHELJHWZ00&activeTab=summary>

Civic Centre Pelham Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 1QF

Ref No. DM/0192/23/PAT Case officer. Owen Toop.

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=RQYCPNLJIBB00&activeTab=summary>

Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Ref No. DM/0573/23/PAT Case officer. Owen Toop

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=makeComment&keyVal=RW90FPLJJHX00>

Land At Laceby Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 5BG

Ref No. DM/0545/23/PAT Case officer. Becca Soulsby

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RVW1Q7LJJEM00>

DM/0611/23/PAT | Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto. | Land At Grimsby Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RWV8DZLJJM300>

DM/0598/23/PAT | Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto | Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RWLZ5WLJJKM00>

DM/0597/23/PAT | Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development | Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=dates&keyVal=RWLZ4SLJJKK00>

No matter if it is the company, corporation or individual submitting and dealing with any planning application they all need to be aware of the following laws within our society that they need to abide by.

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

"Ignorantia Juris Non Excuse"

<https://www.gov.uk/hmrc-internal-manuals/compliance-handbook/ch160600>

Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule."

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

Misconduct in Public Office

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/misconduct-public-office>

via the

Bill of Rights [1688]

1688 CHAPTER 2 1 Will and Mar Sess 2

An Act declaring the Rights and Liberties of the Subject and Settling the Succession of the Crown.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/WillandMarSess2/1/2/introduction>

it clearly states Crown cannot be held liable when their actors do not do their job, so with knowledge persons can no longer claim a honest mistake. Under:

Crown Proceedings Act 1947

UK Public General Acts, .1947 c. 44 (Regnal. 10_and_11_Geo_6) Part I. Section 2

Liability of the Crown in tort.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo6/10-11/44/section/2>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

EMF Radiation maximum exposure = 1MW per cm² which equals 60 Electron volts in air. At these levels it causes a thermal impact that starts cooking people. It also causes EM Radiation poisoning.

Symptoms: Ring in the ears. Hair loss. Skin problems. Depression. Anxiety. Breathing issues.

Cancer and many more including Leukaemia.

The guild lines state 61 Electron volts.

At 13.5 Electron volts air starts to become positively charged which effects many organs in our bodies including Kidneys. Liver plus an increase in Heart attacks.

This technology uses focused beam waves which puts it in the Category 1 cancer causing radiation equipment. It also causes ionization with energy values over 13.5 Electron volts.

To obtain the correct desired effect these installations (Cell towers/Transmitters/repeaters) require an overlapping transmit ion which have a compounding outputs above 13.5 EV.

Why have they been installed by our local council illegally with?

- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

35 wilful deployment of (5GW) Fifth Generation Weapons equipment

BACKGROUND

The Authority has been engaged in the wilful deployment of (5GW) Fifth Generation Weapons equipment emitting biologically toxic radiation across the Town/city, without any due care to the dangers posed to environment from the battlefield urban radar technology. This hardware by design to cause torturous, physical, including psychological harms and death to the population. Criminal entities within the Councils, following Globalist terrorism goals have facilitated this illegal unlawful deployment to create a 15min digital city prison for the control and extermination of the population. The criminals are relying on 5GW for imminent terrorism attacks to cause death and the destruction of property

THE LAW

Criminal Law act 1967 Section 3 (1) A person may use such force as is reasonable in the circumstances in the prevention of crimes. The necessity of my actions are fulfilling my legal duty, availing myself to my legal right to prevent a more serious evil to myself and others from a number of crimes including the following.

17) 2012 Social care Act, P.21 (4) applies in relation to any function under this section which relates to- (a) the protection of the public from ionizing or non-ionizing radiation

18) 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act.

19) Equality and disability Act 1995 Section 55. It is unlawful to discriminate against another by way of victimization

20) DIRECTIVE 2009/147/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds

21) Article 174 (2) The European Community treaty provides that all Community policy on the environment shall be based on the precautionary principle the environmental protection act 1990.

22) Forgery act 1913 by false representation tendering and using false instrument

23) Misconduct in Public office.

24) Offences against the person Act 1861 the administering of a noxious substance a destructive thing occasioning harm.

25) Accessories and Abettors Act 1861 as amended by the Criminal law act 1977

26) Genocide Act 1969 section (a) killing members of the group (b) causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group (c) deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part (d) imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group.

27) The Nuremberg Code.

28) Article 174 (2) The European Community treaty provides that all Community policy on the environment shall be based on the precautionary principle the environmental protection act 1990.

29) DIRECTIVE 2009/147/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild bird

30) Infant life (Preservation) Act 1929 offences against a foetus causing child destruction

31) Health and Safety Statutory instruments. No 588. The control of Electromagnetic fields at work regulations 2016

32) EN 62311:2008 Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure (0Hz-300GHz)

Human rights laws, including

Article 2 - Right to life

Article 3 - Prohibition of torture and inhuman and degrading treatment

Article 5 - Right to liberty and security

Article 8 - Right to respect for private and family life

Article 14 - Prohibition of discrimination

Torts, negligence, privacy, enjoyment of one's land, assault and trespass against the person due to the reckless deployment of the Telensa radar battlefield derived weapon by the D's.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8580522/>

In this study, we examined the peer-reviewed scientific literature on the detrimental bioeffects of Wireless Communication Radiation (WCR) and identified several mechanisms by which WCR may have contributed to the COVID-19 pandemic as a toxic environmental cofactor. By crossing boundaries between the disciplines of biophysics and pathophysiology, we present evidence that WCR may:

- (1) cause morphologic changes in erythrocytes including echinocyte and rouleaux formation that can contribute to hypercoagulation;
- (2) impair microcirculation and reduce erythrocyte and haemoglobin levels exacerbating hypoxia;
- (3) amplify immune system dysfunction, including immunosuppression, autoimmunity, and hyperinflammation;
- (4) increase cellular oxidative stress and the production of free radicals resulting in vascular injury and organ damage;
- (5) increase intracellular Ca^{2+} essential for viral entry, replication, and release, in addition to promoting pro-inflammatory pathways; and

(6) worsen heart arrhythmias and cardiac disorders.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

5G does not comply with ICNIRP Guidelines. ICNIRP self cert is a false instrument this is fraud. The Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981.

Definitions

False instrument

Section 1 Forgery Act 1981 states:

A person is guilty of forgery if he makes a false instrument, with the intention that he or another shall use it to induce somebody to accept it as genuine, and by reason of so accepting it to do or not to do some act to his own or any other person's prejudice."

It must be shown that D intended for the false instrument it be used to induce somebody to accept it as genuine and, by reason of so accepting it, to do or not to do some act to his own or another's prejudice: R. v. Mary Sylvia Campbell (1985) 80 Cr.App.R. 47, CA.

An intention to induce another to accept a copy of a forgery will also suffice: R v Ondhia (1998) 2 Cr.App.R 150 CA

"Instrument" - the definition for instrument is found at section 8 Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981

Instrument includes any document, postage stamp (or mark denoting payment) , Inland Revenue stamp, disk tape, sound track or other device on which information is stored by any means. It does not include a currency note (see offences under sections 14, 16, 17, 20 and 21 Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981).

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal.../forgery-and-counterfeiting>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I Object.

Is the council tax payers liable as We can claim for 20% loss of value due to 5G. 20% is the average reduction in house value and also mention cancer increase to wake the community up?

<https://www.gov.uk/.../land.../part-2-blight-notice>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My reasons for objection are:

Telecommunications is a threat to public health. It causes many issues. Look at these which will explain the harm this technology causes.

Video 1. Graphene oxide reacts on radio waves. <https://youtu.be/-jPEpmYHkRo>

Video 2. Graphene oxide reacting to mobile phone frequency. <https://youtu.be/mZWggnulXr8>

Video 3. Any kind of wifi, RF, EMF will damage your blood cells. <https://youtu.be/oHxxNgEoJwk>

Video 4. 5G IS A WAR ON OXYGEN, A WAR ON IODINE, A WAR ON IRON, A WAR ON HEMOGLOBIN AND A WAR ON THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM.

<https://youtu.be/oY5SReQ2Kqc>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My objections are based around Safety Standards & guidance.

Why are NELC planning dept not addressing the following items listed below?

No.1. 5G pre installation Legal requirements.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>

No.2. This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

No.3. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

No.4 Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

No.5. IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz. <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/C95.1/4940/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My concerns are about who will take liability for any loss, harm or injury this equipment will cause and the owners and installers require insurance at each stage including operational liability cover.

Lloyds insurers refuse to cover 5g wi-fi illnesses

Article 1. <https://principia-scientific.com/lloyds-insurers-refuse-to-cover-5g-wi-fi-illnesses/>

Article 2. <https://www.rfsafe.com/lloyds-of-london-insurance-wont-cover-smartphones-wifi-smart-meters-cell-phone-towers-by-excluding-all-wireless-radiation-hazards/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Have you ensured this planning application meets all the requirements in which are outlined and is the current Statutory instrument Known as: The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England)?

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) (Amendment) (No. 2) Order 2016

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2016/1040/made>

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) (Amendment) (No. 3) Order 2020

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2020/756/made>

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Health & Safety. Warning signs.

In today's world there are too many dangers and hazards. Some are more obvious than others. So various methods are used to make humans and wildlife aware. Sometimes visual signs may work, sometimes it may require mechanical or physical barriers or zones. This is why the various Regulatory agencies are put in place. One area that is not being addressed is telecommunications equipment.

As part of this installation will NELC take corrective action in addressing this subject of: Informative signs and protective barriers to be put in place on all NELC past and future approved installations of telecommunication towers, poles, lattice masts, aerials, transformers substations, power supply.

This equipment is normally painted in a bland colour instead of being highly visible as any commercial or industrial site would be required to comply and abide by to make all parties aware when entering dangerous areas.

For example you would not want someone with a heart pacemaker going or living near a transmitter emitting a harmful energy wave.

Over many years the installers and operators have negated their responsibility. There can be many reasons, we can speculate like additional cost, sloppy regulations, raising the alarm and shining a spot light when pointing out the truth .

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regulatory_agency

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/ionising/index.htm>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_national_legal_systems

List of UK network providers.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_mobile_network_operators_of_Europe#United_Kingdom

Consultee Comments for Planning Application

DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Consultee Details

Name: Miss Louise Jennings

Address: New Oxford House, 2 George Street, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN31 1HB

Email: Not Available

On Behalf Of: Heritage Officer

Comments

No heritage input required.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property

values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property

values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property

values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property

values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property

values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property

values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There is faulty logic with increasing EMF's with 5G when all the facts show there is a huge risk to our health and the environment. Adding infrastructure, be it masts, small cells and satellites to support the internet of things consumes enormous amounts of energy and has important security and surveillance consequences. Environmental impact is increased, health impacts are increased, a precautionary approach must be adopted

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Gayle Aisthorpe

Address: 21 Neville Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Please could we see a signed safety report for the safety of 5g

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for the installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Darren Enright

Address: 80 CLEE ROAD CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Yet another one to be placed around children & vulnerable people ? Independent studies must be carried out on health & well-being due to this large increase in EMF! Very concerning !

Comments for Planning Application DM/0598/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0598/23/PAT

Address: Land At Cromwell Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Proposed installation of 15m high Phase 8 monopole, 6no. antennae, 2no. ground-based equipment cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object.

Look at pages 10 & 11 of this News Letter.

SCIENCE against FICTION or NON-THERMAL against THERMAL-ONLY

<https://www.es-uk.info/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/ES-UK-Newsletter-Summer-2022-vol.20-no.1.pdf>

Adverse non-thermal effects have been known since 1733, including EHS from 1746. It is extraordinary that, nearly 300 years later, a small clique of wireless advocates, including the ICNIRP, still denies the established mainstream scientific evidence of adverse non-thermal effects, such as EHS and cancers, and still invalidly claims only thermal effects. In promoting this fiction against the mainstream science, they have been allowed to destroy the lives and livelihoods of millions of people, a process perhaps unique in human history both in scale and in duration. In 1733 non-thermal adverse effects were shown from EMFs. In 1746 non-thermal EHS was shown from EMFs. In 1893 non-thermal adverse effects were shown from RFR. In 1930 the primary adverse effects of RFR in nervous tissue were shown as non-thermal. Internal heating was a secondary by-product of RFR. In 1932 cases of non-thermal EHS were described in Germany. In 1935 the USSR adopted non thermal guidelines. In 1945, radar at non-thermal levels was shown to cause cataracts and cancer. In 1957 parts of the US military rejected this non-thermal scientific evidence. They imposed Schwan's 1953 guidelines based on Schwan's heating myth, described by other parts of the military as 'arbitrary' and unscientific. In 2002 the ICNIRP recognised the need for non-thermal guidelines but in 2020 still proposed only thermal ones. In 2021 US Appeal Judges used the same word 'arbitrary' to describe the FCC's similar failure to assess the non-thermal effects, established since 1733.

More factual newsletters can be print off here: on WWW.ES-UK & [https://www.es-](https://www.es-uk.info)

FURTHER PROGRESS ON NON-THERMAL ADVERSE EFFECTS

- In November 2021, the UK High Court in a landmark case overturned approval for a 5G mast since in part the council failed to address the non-thermal health impacts.
- In February 2022, Prof. Hardell et al. published the first definitive health study on 5G. It showed non-thermal EHS symptoms in residents living below a 5G mast on a block of flats.
- In April 2022 Pittsfield's Board of Health in the USA issued a cease and desist notice against a 5G mast after nearby residents developed EHS symptoms from its non-thermal radiation.
- In May 2022 Prof. Belpomme et al. published a study confirming non-thermal EHS: there are "sufficient data for EHS to be acknowledged as a distinctly well-defined and objectively characterized neurologic pathological disorder".
- In May 2022, the Action Against 5G campaign under Michael Mansfield QC was allowed to resume its challenge over the government's failure to provide adequate information about 5G's non-thermal risks, under the Human Rights Act 1998 protecting life, health and dignity.
- In May 2022, Dömötör Z et al confirmed non-thermal EHS in an ecological study.
- In June 2022, a French court ordered a non-thermal 4G mast to be switched off because of the deaths of 20% of a herd of cows after the mast was activated.
- In June 2022, a German court confirmed that landowners and telecoms are liable for nonthermal harm from masts.

UNSCIENTIFIC DENIALS OF NON-THERMAL ADVERSE EFFECTS

- In May 2022 an anonymous author denied that ICNIRP was unscientific without mentioning the adverse non-thermal effects, known since 1733, which ICNIRP unscientifically still denies.
- In May 2022, in an attack on Prof. Frank's criticism of 5G and the need for protection against established non-thermal effects, an anonymous ICNIRP author claimed that "the lowest exposure levels that have been found to cause harm, cause that harm via heating" and thus "all adverse health effects are protected against" if ICNIRP continues to ignore established nonthermal effects.
- In June 2022, Nordhagen EK et al. showed that ICNIRP's circularity of authorship in trying to support its rejection of non-thermal effects appears very close to fraud.
- Throughout 2022, governments have recklessly deployed 5G radiation without scientific evidence proving its non-thermal safety, although the only live study on 5G's health impacts shows that 5G at non-thermal levels can cause EHS symptoms.
- In mid 2022, the UKHSA, which is "responsible for protecting every member of every community from the impact of ... radiological incidents and other health threats", has failed to protect the 3.6% of the population disabled by the extraordinary high levels of RFR/EMFs which the UKHSA allows in the UK by adopting the obsolete ICNIRP 2020 heating guidelines. These fail to protect against non-thermal effects such as ES and EHS.
- In 2022, by still refusing to accept non-thermal effects, UKHSA is failing "to make the nation's health secure", its primary aim. Instead, the UKHSA is victimising and harassing the 3.6% of the population whose health is ruined by the UKHSA's refusal to accept non-thermal effects

Item 5 - 109 Carr Lane
Grimsby - DM/0224/23/
FUL

North East Lincolnshire Development
Management Services
New Oxford House
2 George Street
Grimsby
North East Lincolnshire
DN31 1HB

Telephone: 01472 326289 – Option 1

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
: DM/0224/23/FUL	Request to have this heard at committee as I have representations in favour of the application

Contact Details: -

M smith

Signature **Date09/07/2023.....**

Mark Smith

Name

94 Grove Crescent Grimsby

Address:

1st April 2023

Planning Application Ref: DM/0224/23/FUL

Description: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works.

Dear Bethany Loring,

I write to object to the above planning application for the following reasons:

1. Overshadowing – Loss of light
2. Loss of privacy – Overlooking into my property
3. Not in keeping with the character of the area
4. Over bearing, dominant, massing
5. Close proximity to my property boundary.
6. The view from the rear of my property will be unpleasant to look at.

I therefore oppose the planning of the above.

Yours Sincerely,

Mrs Ann Cox
107 Carr Lane
Grimsby
North East Lincolnshire
DN32 8JR

5th June 2023

Planning Application Ref: DM/0224/23/FUL

Description: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works (Amended Plans received 19th May 2023 to revise design in relation to window openings and roof type)

Dear Bethany Loring,

I write to object to the above planning application due to the following concerns:

1. I have had 2 large insurance companies reject my own plans previously as the area is at risk from flooding
2. Overshadowing – Loss of light
2. Loss of privacy – Overlooking into my property
3. Not in keeping with the character of the area
4. Over bearing, dominant, massing
5. Close proximity to my property boundary.
6. The view from the rear of my property will be unpleasant to look at.
7. My property value will decrease due to all the above.

I therefore oppose the planning of the above.

Yours Sincerely,

Mrs Ann Cox
107 Carr Lane
Grimsby
North East Lincolnshire
DN32 8JR

Comments for Planning Application DM/0224/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0224/23/FUL

Address: 109 Carr Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JR

Proposal: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Miss Molly Fletcher

Address: 2 Hamont Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have significant concerns regarding the development that I need to raise as an objection to the proposed work.

I am the owner of 2 Hamont Road.

The proposed dwelling of a 2 storey house would massively overlook my garden and would also overlook house numbers 4 and 6.

This will hugely impact the privacy of my property and I am very concerned of the impact this would have to the value of my property.

It will also completely block out all natural sunlight in my rear garden and the rear gardens of numbers 4 and 6. Particularly evening times. This was one of the main attractions when purchasing my house last year, if a house is built where proposed this will completely block out all afternoon/evening light which will again devalue my property which I find to be unacceptable.

Hamont Road is already a flood risk area, therefore any additional works will add to the current pressures of our sewage/water systems.

The proposed work mentions the building will be set back from the other houses on Hamont Road, this mis-aligns with the other properties and again overlooks the back of numbers 2/4/6 Hamont Road.

The parking issues throughout Hamont Road will be impacted further with the addition of another property, which is a concern for the access of emergency services.

Due to the above mentioned comments I have no other option than to object.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0224/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0224/23/FUL

Address: 109 Carr Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JR

Proposal: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Ms Caroline Borrell (nee Whelpton)

Address: 4 Hamont Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Thank you for sending details regarding the proposed development.

I have significant concerns regarding the development that I need to raise as an objection to the proposal (I am the owner of 4 Hamont Road, and have been since purchased in around 1996)

I will bullet point for ease

* The proposed dwelling at 2 stories would overlook rear properties 2, 4 , 6 Hamont Road - if the building is situated on the current `garage grounds of 109 Carr Lanes development`. This significantly impacts on privacy and could therefore `devalue` my property

* Whilst I have read the proposal of the build is to retain similar features to other properties on Hamont Road , the very notion that it will be `set back` - mis-aligns with the rest of the properties on Hamont Road (front), and again overlooks the back of 2/4/6 Hamont Road. Aesthetically this impacts the characters of the existing 1930's builds.

* Natural light to the rear of homes on Hamont Road, specifically 2/4/6 Hamont will be impacted (particular `sunset`)

* Hamont Road is a flood risk area, therefore, any additional works will `add` to the current pressures of our sewage/water systems

* Currently, there are parking issues throughout Hamont Road, therefore at times impacts the

ability for emergency services to `quickly` access the following areas (Hamont, Helene, The Grove), as poor parking is a concern. Residents of Carr Lane already use Hamont as overflow parking, therefore adding to this further will impact.

* The disruption to parking/maintenance facilities during the build process (ie: electric/water/gas) will impact on all homes that currently live nearby.

The above are my current objections, I am sure there are more areas that will impact - however, whilst I have a good relationship with the lady of 109 Carr Lane, I have no option but to object to the proposed development

Kind Regards

Comments for Planning Application DM/0224/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0224/23/FUL

Address: 109 Carr Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JR

Proposal: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works (Amended Plans received 19th May 2023 to revise design in relation to window openings and roof type)

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Miss Caroline Borrell (nee Whelpton)

Address: 4 Hamont Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I would like to continue to object, as rear properties 2/4/6 Hamont Rd will be affected by the following:

1. reduced natural light
2. works inconvenience to public pathways and road
3. impact on existing sewage works given history of area in terms of blockages
4. access to the alleys have already been restricted due to land being used by carr lane properties which I believe was previously lane for Hamont properties to access their back gardens (this needs further exploration)
5. all points raised in previous objection

If the proposed property is built in exact alignment with existing Hamont property's (front and rear) as a two story this would seem the most suitable option

Kind Regards

Comments for Planning Application DM/0224/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0224/23/FUL

Address: 109 Carr Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JR

Proposal: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works (Amended Plans received 20th June 2023 to raise floor levels and Flood Risk Assessment)

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: T Evans

Address: 6 Hamont Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to the above planning application due to the following reasons:

Overshadowing - loss of light

Loss of privacy - overlooking back garden

Not in keeping with character of the area

Over bearing, dominant

View from the rear of my property overbearing and unpleasant

Drainage issues, the drainage system runs through my garden and regularly have blocking issues

Parking issues, already have parking issues with people from Carr Lane using our street as 'overflow' car park.

I therefore oppose the planning of the above.

Yours

Mr N & Mrs T Evans

6 Hamont Road

Comments for Planning Application DM/0224/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0224/23/FUL

Address: 109 Carr Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JR

Proposal: Demolish existing garage and outbuildings. Erect two storey dwelling with driveway, landscaping and associated external works (Amended Plans received 19th May 2023 to revise design in relation to window openings and roof type)

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mr Michael Thundercliffe

Address: 8 Hamont Road Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am concerned about the drainage being connected to the current drains. The present drains run through my property and regularly back up mainly costing me time and money to unblock. Another dwelling would simply compound the problem and the sewers would not cope. For this reason I object to the new dwelling

Item 6 - 6 Frobisher
Avenue Grimsby -
DM/0163/23/FUL

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Mark Crowder

Address: 4 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Mr Mark Crowder

4 Frobisher Avenue

Grimsby

North East Lincolnshire

DN32 8JA

Dear Mr Toop

Re Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

I am very concerned about the affect of the proposed development outlined in the above planning application and wish to object to planning permission being granted .

Whilst there are existing examples of side extensions with car ports in the Old Clee area, they are all very much shorter than the full length of the house usually with parking for only one car.

This would be a very large scale development which would be running for the whole length of the house and with towering brickwork coming right up to the party wall. It is very domineering and oppressive. It will be very detrimental to the character and amenity of number 4. My property is built at an angle to number 6, so I will be looking at this brickwork both when I am in my kitchen and also when I am sitting in my back lounge. There will be significant loss of natural light to my property when the sunlight is coming from the direction of the Frobisher Avenue road in the daytime and more loss of natural light to the rear of my property when the sun moves around the back of number 6 in the evening. There will be overshadowing of a large part of my drive. My rear garden is very small and is the only area of number 4 where there is any privacy to be obtained when sitting outside. The new development will render it unusable for this purpose as it will take

on the feel of a sunless courtyard.

Whilst it is not unreasonable to enhance the facilities and amenity of number 6, it should not be at the expense of destroying the desirability, ambience and amenity of my property at number 4.

As far as I can see, this application is identical in every respect to application DM/0675/21/FUL which was made on 04/07/2021 and for which planning permission was refused for the following reason:

"Due to its size location, proximity to and resulting relationship with the adjacent neighbour 4 Frobisher Avenue , the development would present significant detrimental impacts on the neighbour's residential property contrary to Policy 5 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032(adopted 2018)

Mark Crowder

14/03/2023

From: Martin Ballans

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2023 8:15 AM

To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: Down stairs toilet

Morning Owen

This is a photo showing the sunlight shining through my toilet window at 8,20 am this morning, if the extension is to be built next door I will not have any sunlight shining through until at least 9,30 am

Regards

8 Frobisher Avenue



Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - amended plans received April 2023 to show relocation of extension to west elevation

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Martin Ballans

Address: 8 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: With reference to the proposed planning application, No. DM/0163/23/FUL for a two storey side extension at the address of 6 Frobisher Avenue, North East Lincolnshire, DN32 8JA.

We oppose the erection of this two storey side extension due to the fact this will block out any sunlight into the right hand side of our property i.e. kitchen, down stairs toilet, driveway and garden area. Photos of the sunlight taken at 9am have been forwarded to the appropriate persons showing the extent of sunlight coming through our window and this extension will fully block out any light and it will cause us to turn on lights into our kitchen area instead the natural sunlight coming through.

Regards

M. Ballans



Photo from 8 Frobisher 26th July 2023







Photos from 8th Frobisher Received 25th April 2023

-----Original Message-----

From: Martin Ballans

Sent: Friday, August 11, 2023 3:53 PM

To: Owen Toop (EQUANS)

Subject: Re: Drawings 6 Frobisher Avenue

Good afternoon Owen

I Martin ballans still objects to this new two storey extension as previously stated in my last email that I sent through some weeks ago. Also in relation to the new drawings the below email also objects to the new two storey extension.

With ref to number 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby new extension and car port as follows.

(1)- drw number 23/14/06 block plan this is showing the new extension width at 3276mm Dwr

number 23/14/05 proposed 1st floor plan this is showing the new extension width at 3375mm.

Can you up date the dwrs to show exactly the right width the proposed new extension is going to be built at.

(2)- dwg number 23/14/07 shadow test.

This drawing still shows the same 25 degree angle on both drawings, once the new extension has been built this must change in the amount of sunlight my property will get in a morning once the sunrise rises on to my property.

(3)- no drawings showing the internal opening size of the new carport width. The proposal for the planning is to erect two storey side extension to include (carport) at ground level.

(4)- there are no drawings showing works to the drop down curb works if this is to be built as states new carport then there should be a drawing showing the alterations to the curb. This also should include the alterations to the front wall to allow the car that is to be parked underneath the new extension to drive up to.

(5)- if this is going to be a carport as stated for a car to be parked underneath it then why have number 6 who have just bought a new electric car had the power supply for charging the car fitted to the RHS of there property and not on the LHS we're the new two storey side extension to include (carport) at ground level should be.

Regards

Martin Ballans

8 Frobisher Avenue

-----Original Message-----

From: Martin Ballans

Sent: Saturday, August 12, 2023 3:57 PM

To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: New extension/ carport 6 Frobisher Avenue

Hi Owen

One more thing I have noticed in the drawings is that it still shows the chimney breast been in place within the new carport construction?? With this in place if its staying this will have an impact on any cars been parked up under the new extension.

Regards

Martin Ballans

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - (amended plans and details received on 18th July 2023, showing additional rear window, existing and proposed shadowing tests, cctv positioning, revised site plan).

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr michael bennett

Address: 22 hawkins grove grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:invasion of privacy overlooking back way

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - (amended plans and details received on 18th July 2023, showing additional rear window, existing and proposed shadowing tests, cctv positioning, revised site plan).

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs wendy bennett

Address: 22 hawkins grove grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:invasion of privacy overlooking back way

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - (amended plans and details received on 18th July 2023, showing additional rear window, existing and proposed shadowing tests, cctv positioning, revised site plan).

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Pat Sorensen

Address: 23 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We have been in our present property for 44yrs & feel that the proposed extension is not in keeping for this area.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - amended plans received April 2023 to show relocation of extension to west elevation

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Pat Sorensen

Address: 23 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The extension is going to take away privacy from all the adjacent gardens,

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - (amended plans and details received on 18th July 2023, showing additional rear window, existing and proposed shadowing tests, cctv positioning, revised site plan).

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Claire Allen

Address: 24 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to the new plans for exactly the same reason as the previous ones. Even more so now. Complete invasion of privacy. These plans are even worse than the previous as now there is an additional window and 2 added security cameras. At present I'm not overlooked. As stated before this was one of the reasons I purchased my property. I loved the space and privacy. This extension will deplete all of that. The size of it is ridiculous and will certainly not be in line with all the other houses in the area.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - amended plans received April 2023 to show relocation of extension to west elevation

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Claire Allen

Address: 24 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I was told this was originally going to other side of the house. To find out it is now going to the left of the property I'm really not happy. This will completely take all privacy from the rear of my property as the window will directly look into my garden, kitchen and conservatory. Also this will impact the light I get into my garden. I chose my property as it is south facing and had lovely views of the church and nice space from other neighbors houses. This will completely take all that away.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - (amended plans and details received on 18th July 2023, showing additional rear window, existing and proposed shadowing tests, cctv positioning, revised site plan).

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Gavin Reynolds

Address: 25 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We bought our house because it's a nice area and our property is presently directly not overlooked in the rear garden and it's fairly open which gives us a view of the trees and the church. This extension would totally change our privacy and outlook and we feel it won't be in keeping with the rest of the area.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - amended plans received April 2023 to show relocation of extension to west elevation

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Gavin Reynolds

Address: 25 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We object to the proposed planning application as we feel it will adversely effect the view from our rear elevation and pose us a privacy issue. Thank you

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations - amended plans received April 2023 to show relocation of extension to west elevation

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Unis Stiff

Address: 26 Hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I feel this erection will invade my privacy. I'm not happy about this as it will overlook the gardens.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Evans

Address: 30hawkins Grove Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This would impact on the the amount of daylight that normally be in my back garden anytime of the day I understand that this is the second application as the first one was blocked

Comments for Planning Application DM/0163/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0163/23/FUL

Address: 6 Frobisher Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 8JA

Proposal: Erect two storey side extension to include car port at ground floor and additional accommodation at first floor with associated alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Rachael Dobson

Address: 57 Robert Pearson Mews Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Myself and my husband are soon to be the new owners of 4 Frobisher Avenue, Grimsby. We are awaiting a completion date to move in.

We are worried the affect this development will have on our property with the measurements taking it right up the the party wall. This will leave us with a stark and overwhelming view of the wall from the gardens and kitchen window constantly.

We feel it will overpower our garden and impact the amount of light this will give us in the side garden, rear garden and our back dining room.

We have concerns of us loosing our privacy in the gardens and the kitchen long term and not to mention while the build takes place. That being said we feel the months of building work with dust and debris will cause us problems and the constant noise from work will be detrimental to my husband who has an extensive driving job and needs adequate rest.

We are not prepared to allow access to our property for work reasons such as scaffolding as we will need to use the full length of our driveway constantly for our two vehicles which is along our party wall.

Although we understand the residents of number 6 wanting to extend their property for their own needs we feel it should not be detrimental to the desirability to our property which has attracted us to buy it in the first place.

From looking around the area and seeing other car ports and extensions they do not seem to encroach onto their neighbours gardens as much as we feel this would due to the angle of our property and not being parallel to number 6.

From looking at the previous application in 2021, there doesn't seem to be any alterations in this new application and feel the same denied decision should still be upheld.

Item 7 - 1 Cumberland
Avenue Grimsby -
DM/0477/23/FUL

Owen Toop (EQUANS)

From: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Sent: 04 July 2023 13:39
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Subject: FW: 1 Cumberland Avenue

Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 8:51 AM
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: 1 Cumberland Avenue

Dear Owen ,

Ref 1 Cumberland Avenue .

Application reference DM/0477/23/FUL.

Following our meeting of the 3rd of July 2023 at 1.30 pm in respect of the above application I wish to express my concerns at both the size of the proposed structure and the

proximity of same to both my garden wall and rear garage gable . The plan shows that the new structure is approx. 400mm away from my building which consequently raises issues with

both the foundations and the maintenance of same .

I will look onto the proposed north elevation which in itself is not a problem but I would like to see it at least 1 metre away rather than the 400mm for the reasons I have highlighted

previously .

I would also draw your attention to the party wall act should the new structure be 400mm away and would require reassurance that if a problem were to arise with my property the onus

would clearly fall on the owners of 1 Cumberland Avenue .

I would also question the drainage of such a large structure as Weelsby road and the surrounding areas are deemed to be in a potential flood risk zone .

Finally I feel that the points I have raised and deemed to be constructive but strongly believe that the development is far too large and positioned in the wrong place.

Kind regards ,

Mr R . Greetham

54 Weelsby Road

Grimsby .

Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)
Subject: FW: 1 Cumberland Avenue .

Dear Owen ,

Many thanks for your recent correspondence the contents of which are both noted and acknowledged .

I was pleased to see the revised details in respect of the siting and overall height to which I have no problem but would query the means of coping with the proposed amount of surface

water which I assume will be addressed by installing a sustainable system and not discharged using water butts thus creating potential flooding into my property due to the high flood

risk downstream as highlighted in Mr Andrew Smiths recent comments .

Kind regards ,

Richard Greetham

Owen Toop (EQUANS)

From: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Sent: 04 July 2023 13:59
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Subject: FW: Application Reference DM/0477/23/FUL 1 Cumberland Avenue, Grimsby

Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 1:49 PM
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Application Reference DM/0477/23/FUL 1 Cumberland Avenue, Grimsby

Hi Owen,

My address is 56 Weelsby Road, Grimsby, Dn320pr

Kind Regards
Malcolm Towle

Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 10:53 AM
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>
Subject: Application Reference DM/0477/23/FUL 1 Cumberland Avenue, Grimsby

Dear Owen,

I am writing in connection with the above and following our meeting yesterday, together with Richard and Joanne Greetham at 54 Weelsby Road.

We reviewed the proposals for a new development to the rear of my property which identifies a large, gabled garage and playroom. The plans show a distance thought to be 400mm between the building and my garden fence. The rear of the proposed building has three windows at a height which will overlook the surrounding garden fences. No provision for drainage or other utilities is shown.

My wife and I have the following concerns:

1 there is insufficient distance around the proposed building to allow access or maintenance of either that building or the fences and walls which border the garden of no.1. Increase to 1m would substantially remedy this.

2 the height of the building would not allow access with a ladder at a safe 1 in 4 angle.

3 the considerable size of the building will deliver a substantial volume of drainage especially during heavy rainfall, while a significant amount of potential draining garden surface area will have been displaced by the building. The

area is already in an area of flood risk and without a proper scheme to provide adequate drainage we fear existing facilities will be overwhelmed.

4 the positioning of windows in the plans provides for gardens to the rear and side of it to be overlooked. A slightly lower position would prevent this and the inclusion of frosted glass or similar would also assist.

5 in general terms, this is a surprisingly large development, well beyond the re-provisioning of a garage and introduces a much higher density of building to this comparatively green area of the borough changing the nature of the area. I have heard mention that this would be a prelude to further application to extend into a second domestic residence on the plot of no.1 akin to similar expansion on the other side of Cumberland Avenue.

Yours sincerely

Malcolm Towle

Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)
Subject: FW: 1 Cumberland Avenue

Dear Owen,

Many thanks for bringing the revised plans for the above to my attention.

The changes to location are welcome and although comparatively minor they will address some of our concerns. However, the issues of being overlooked from the proposed rear windows, the sheer size of the construction, even with reduced height, and the considerable issue of no professional solution to surface drainage, do not appear to have been addressed.

Since my original comments I have noticed that another property some ten doors away from this has obtained approval for a change of use to a “granny annexe”. I suspect that a similar intent may lie behind this application, and as I mentioned before these changes will increase building density and reduce the green credentials of the area. A simple garage would not affect the area in the same way.

Kind Regards

Malcolm Towle

From:

Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2023 2:07 PM

To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>

Cc:

Subject: DM/0477/23/FUL

Hi Mr Toop

Thank you for sending details of the revised application.

We still have a number of concerns:

- The digging of footings will still be very close to our boundary and will, we think, damage the roots of bushes and trees on our property.
- What provision is being made for run-off water? We have a summerhouse just our side of the boundary at that point and would not want the risk of it being flooded.
- We would prefer if the gable end windows that overlook our property were lowered to 1800mm.

As a general point, we would prefer that the development were closer to the position of the garage that is to be replaced.

PD

2 Park Avenue,
Grimsby,
DN32 0DQ,

Owen Toop (EQUANS)

From: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Sent: 06 July 2023 10:33
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Subject: FW: Application reference DM/0477/23/FUL

Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 11:43 AM
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>
Subject: Application reference DM/0477/23/FUL

Dear Mr Toop

I am writing to register our concerns about the above application.

The main concern being the height, and proximity to our residence at 2 Park Avenue.

- The pitched roof of the building will be clearly visible.
- There are three windows that will overlook our garden.

The proposed building seems very close to our boundry fence, raising issues of:

- whether digging the footings will damage the roots of our trees and shrubs
- how rain water run-off from the building going to be dealt with
- the proximity to the fence would seem to restrict access to that fence for maintenance purposes. Not from our side, but from the applicants'.

Paul & Lynne Duckworth

Comments for Planning Application DM/0477/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0477/23/FUL

Address: 1 Cumberland Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0BT

Proposal: Demolish existing garage, erect single storey garage, games room & store with solar panels and associated works (amended plans received on 31st July 2023 showing proposal 1 metre from boundaries and reduced height to 4 metres at roof ridge).

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ian McDonald

Address: 4 Park Avenue Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The amended plans are all very well but the cynic in me says that if wanted to play the planning process this is exactly what I would do; submit plans that were clearly unreasonable then replace them with ones that seem OK.

Also I have noticed that on the plans of the existing layout the third tree in the garden of 1 Cumberland is not shown. Is this because the erection of the new building will mean that it is to be removed?

Owen Toop (EQUANS)

From: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Sent: 07 July 2023 09:29
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS)
Subject: FW: planning application 1 Cumberland Avenue

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 5:11 PM
To: Owen Toop (EQUANS) <Owen.Toop@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: planning application 1 Cumberland Avenue

I received notification of this some days ago and thought nothing about it. However, I have now seen the plans and I am concerned that such a large structure is envisaged. It is, in fact, the size of a house and my main fear is that shortly after its completion you will receive a further application for change of use into a separate dwelling.

I am sure you have experience of such "trojan horse" planning applications so please excuse me for raising the issue but I wanted to voice my concerns now as I fear it may be too late once the structure is finished.

Regards,

Ian McDonald (4 Park Avenue)

To: planning@nelincs.gov.uk
Subject: planning application ref. DM/0477/23/FUL 1 Cumberland Avenue, Great Grimsby DN32 0BT

Dear Sir,


I write in relation to the above planning application. We live at 6 Park Avenue, and the end of our garden backs onto 1 Cumberland Avenue's garden. My first interpretation of the planning application was that it implied (erroneously) the existing garage was to be demolished and rebuilt with a games room added. Fortunately a neighbour brought the plans to my attention, illustrating that the floor area (over 4 times the original garage) was sufficient for a simple conversion to a second dwelling at a later date; and situated much further away from the house with the extended drive walled off from the garden, providing a separate access. No doubt another drive (and garage) would duly appear on the other side of the house for their use! I am also concerned with the position of the post box windows on the end elevation. Any one stood inside the proposed building with their back to the garage wall, would have line of sight into the back bedroom windows of Nos 2,4,and 6 Park Avenue. This would be impossible if the new 'garage' was built where the existing garage stands. I am also concerned that the resulting building work would require the removal of at least one of the three trees at the site of the extension, possibly two. Great care and some expense has been taken to build the boundary walls around the trees to insure their health and survival, by ourselves and the previous owners of 1 Cumberland Avenue. I note that we are on the edge of the Wellow preservation area with its TPO's and the aim of preserving the landscape character of the area. Certainly some (if not all) of the trees in Park Avenue have TPO's. I am surprised that EQUANS did not raise this when they drew up the plans, as they are partners in the council's tree strategy. My final concern is for our privacy at No.6. should the proposed dwelling be built where the plans indicate, especially if trees are removed or subsequently die.

Yours Faithfully,

James and Mellanie Starkey

44-200-70
22 APR 2023

Item 8 - 184 Humberston
Avenue (Rear Of 186)
Humberston - DM/0109/23/
FUL



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

Tel:- 07494 577661

Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

8TH March 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 7th March 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0109/23/FUL

Proposal: Erect two storey detached dwelling with balcony, roof lights associated works including driveway parking area and landscaping

Location: 184 Humberston Avenue (Rear Of 186) Humberston

Objections – too large for the plot and would result in an over-dominant structure on the site. Also not enough amenity space on plot which the Council feel is detrimental at this location. The members also felt that drainage issues had not been sufficiently explained as part of the application documentation.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

7th August 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 1st August 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0109/23/FUL

Proposal: Erect two storey detached dwelling with balcony, roof lights associated works including driveway parking area and landscaping

Location: 184 Humberston Avenue (Rear Of 186) Humberston

Objections – whilst acknowledging the site is for individual housing plot development, the Village Council think that this proposal is too large for plot with insufficient amenity space provided as part of the development and would wish to see a proposal for the site smaller than the current design. The size and mass of such a development would be detrimental to the outlook to neighbouring properties and would be an over-dominant feature at this location.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**

Comments for Planning Application DM/0109/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0109/23/FUL

Address: 184 Humberston Avenue (Rear Of 186) Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4TA

Proposal: Erect two storey detached dwelling with balcony, roof lights associated works including driveway parking area and landscaping

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Mark Chester

Address: plot 2 rear of 184 Humberston avenue grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Hello

I have the plot next to DM/0109/23/FUL my plans are DM/0247/22/FULL

ARE YOU ABLE TO ADD MY PLOT/FOOTPRINT PLEASE TO THIS , SO THAT I CAN SEE HOW BOTH PLOTS SIT , I JUST WANT TO SEE THE POSITION OF THERE BALCONY

Thankyou

Mark Chester

Comments for Planning Application DM/0109/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0109/23/FUL

Address: 184 Humberston Avenue (Rear Of 186) Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4TA

Proposal: Erect two storey detached dwelling with balcony, roof lights associated works including driveway parking area and landscaping

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Jonathan Cole

Address: 35,Hawthorne Road HUMBERSTON

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I wish to make the following comments and requests for conditions to be placed on the planning application if it given approval by the council.

- Under the design and access statement it states, 'There are a number of trees and shrubs lining the ditch to eastern boundary, one of the ash trees will be removed, existing hedge will be reduced in site to around 2m,' I question why, if it is a healthy tree should it be cut down and also that the reduction of the hedge will still leave a barrier between the said development and the Carrington Gardens development, without having to erect further fencing.

- It also states 'The proposed surface water drainage will comply with the strategy agreed during the outline application. The surface water runoff from the proposed roofs will discharge into an onsite soakaway which has an overflow into a land drain that has been proposed to run along the eastern boundary which discharges to in the ditch at the southern end of the enlarged site.' This land drain or Swale as it is known is overgrown, and full of detritus both vegetation and builders rubbish-a requirement to have it cleaned/ dredged prior to any building work starting, if this is not done water will sit in the said drain becoming stagnant leading to smells in the summer and stimulation of unwanted insect life-mosquitoes.

- Unless there is a proper hedge screen between the proposed new build and the present properties on Carrington Gardens those properties will suffer from lack of privacy providing visual access into them in a way that was not possible before. Residents should not be expected to make arrangements to protect their own privacy in these situations.

- Conditions of approval of planning

Due to being close to an already developed residential development-Carrington Gardens-conditions should be set regarding hours of work during the building process as in line with other

residential developments.

8am-6pm Monday -Friday

8-1 pm Saturday

No work on Sundays or Bank Holiday

- Loss of trees and nature conservation --We have already seen a section of mature hedgerow ripped out to enable fencing to be erected for another property on the development losing valuable habitat and nesting for the local wildlife. I would hope the council does not let this happen on this or further proposed planning applications on the site. Mature hedgerows are protected by law during the main breeding season for nesting birds, which usually runs throughout March to August each year. It is an offence under Section 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act of 1981 to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while it is in use or being built, or to intentionally kill, injure or take chicks or adults, or intentionally take or destroy any eggs. As we are now coming to the beginning of March and construction of the building has already commenced I would hope no further work will be carried out to trees and hedgerows until at least September 2023 and then if at all.

14 July 2023

RE: Planning ref DM/O 109/23/FUL

For the attention of: Jonathan Cadd

Neighbour Consultation: Mrs ELIZABETH SCOTT

Address: 19 HAWTHORNE RD HUMBERSTON N.E. LINGS DN36 4ZA

My comments are as follows:

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to respond to your letter dated.....

I along with other residents living in Hawthorne Road and directly opposite the application site are concerned about the proposed housing shown and to the impact it is likely to have on the general visual amenity currently enjoyed by the same residents. Whilst it is acknowledged that a principle for residential development on the site has been agreed I consider that it should not be at the cost of specific good mature trees and hedges that have been removed.

I notice already one of the trees (Ash) has been felled during nesting and breeding time.

I note there are no comments from the Councils Trees and Woodland Officer. No doubt these will be sought by the Planning Officer before a Planning Decision is made, with I trust an assurance is undertaken that all existing trees and hedges where necessary are retained and protected to suitable approved heights.

I feel this is significant in terms of visual amenity and is most relevant to all the existing dividing eastern boundary of the new development and existing housing along Hawthorne Road.

In addition may I request that further information is required in relation to this same boundary during any proposed construction process and also landscaping details which could be secured through an appropriate planning condition.

Kind regards

29 Hawthorne Rd


28 HAWTHORNE RD.

32 Hawthorne Road
32 Hawthorne Road
31 Hawthorne Road.

30, Hawthorne Road.

15 Hawthorne Road
20 Hawthorne Road

Item 9 - Land Adjacent To
Blossom Avenue
Humberston -
DM/0484/23/FUL



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:-](tel:07494577661) 07494 577661

Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

21st June 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 20th June 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0484/23/FUL

Proposal: Erect two storey mixed use building for office and B8 industrial use with access, parking and service areas and associated landscaping

Location: Land Adjacent To Blossom Avenue, Humberston

No objections.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council