

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Lisa Riley

Address: 3 Beacon Avenue Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We do not want this. There's not enough documentation or evidence to prove to myself that these structures are safe. There has been no safety or health impact assessment conducted for this. There has been no environmental impact analysis completed for this.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Miss Farren Hutcheon

Address: 9 Beacon Avenue Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We don't yet know the long term effects of the "radiowaves" that 5G produces, I have young children and my concern is for them and their future health. A 15m monopole will be unsightly and unnecessary, my current phone coverage is more than enough as is without the need for that.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Ms Teresa Clark

Address: 24 beacon court Grimsby

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Do not wish to see a massive ugly pole when look out windows and drive out the street. Also devalue my property and unsafe for myself and grandchildren

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed 5G mast installation, as detailed in planning application. While I understand the need for advancements in telecommunications infrastructure, I believe it is essential to thoroughly evaluate the potential risks and hazards associated with the deployment of 5G technology.

Firstly, I would like to highlight several concerns related to the health effects that have been associated with exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) emitted by 5G infrastructure. While numerous studies have been conducted, there is ongoing debate and uncertainty surrounding the long-term health effects of prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs. It is imperative that we prioritise the safety and well-being of the community before deploying such technology.

Moreover, the limited available research suggests that prolonged exposure to RF-EMFs emitted by 5G infrastructure can lead to adverse health effects, including but not limited to increased cancer risk, neurological disorders, reproductive harm, and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. As responsible citizens, it is crucial that we consider these potential dangers and thoroughly evaluate the cumulative effect of 5G infrastructure on public health.

In addition to health concerns, I would like to draw attention to the potential environmental impact of 5G mast installation. The deployment of additional masts necessitates an increase in energy consumption and the use of non-renewable resources, contributing to carbon emissions and further exacerbating climate change. As our society moves toward a more sustainable future, it is essential to explore alternative approaches that prioritise energy efficiency and minimise the environmental footprint of our technological advancements.

Furthermore, the visual impact of 5G masts on the surrounding landscape should not be disregarded. The installation of tall structures, often accompanied by additional equipment

cabinets, may negatively affect the aesthetic appeal of the area, thereby diminishing property values and potentially discouraging tourism and economic growth. It is crucial to strike a balance between technological progress and preserving the integrity and beauty of our community. Given the aforementioned concerns and uncertainties surrounding the potential dangers of 5G infrastructure, I respectfully request that the planning application be subjected to a comprehensive and independent review. This review should consider the cumulative effects of existing and proposed 5G installations, ensuring that the health, environmental, and visual impacts are thoroughly assessed.

Furthermore, I encourage the involvement of local residents, community groups, and independent experts in the review process to foster transparency, collaboration, and trust. Public consultation and engagement are essential to address the concerns of those directly affected by the installation of 5G masts.

In conclusion, I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast installation outlined in application due to the potential dangers it poses to public health, the environment, and the aesthetic appeal of our community. I respectfully urge you to carefully consider the concerns raised in this letter and take them into account during the decision-making process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will prioritise the well-being and interests of the community when evaluating the proposed 5G mast installation.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Stringer

Address: 20 College Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There is faulty logic with increasing EMF's with 5G when all the facts show there is a huge risk to our health and the environment. Adding infrastructure, be it masts, small cells and satellites to support the internet of things consumes enormous amounts of energy and has important security and surveillance consequences. Environmental impact is increased, health impacts are increased, a precautionary approach must be adopted.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Enright

Address: 80 CLEE ROAD CLEETHORPES

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: No were near enough long term health & safety assessments. Needs an open debate with independent experts in this technology, that are not associated to the phone companies that are erecting these monopoles. Several people I know have ailments since these things have been erected near their homes. As far as concerned the 4th generation of technology is more than adequate for phones & laptops etc? So what are these really for? Very concerned resident!

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Lauraine Chilvers

Address: 80 Clee Roaf Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Not really needed, 4th generation is sufficient & fast enough for household use. Long term health assessments haven't been carried out & the dangers are unknown. This is totally different form of radiation & really concerning on health & well being. Who will be held liable for any serious harm caused by this technology? Very concerned resident.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Edward Anderson

Address: 90 clee road Grimsby

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Firstly i wish to address the time length we have been given to process the information about the planning application reference DM/0597/23/PAT

The letter we received was typed up 27/06/23. The cut off date for any objections or concerns is 21/07/23. That is given residents and general public less than one month to decide.

After doing some research about the G Mass i am even more worried about the following concerns found

-The proposed placing of G MASS in clee road has a history of road side accidents.

The telegraph pole the G Mass will replace has been hit by a vehicle and knocked down in to the road with wires in our drives.

Post box knocked over.

Taxi driven straight on to path going in to the fence and knocking down wall at LINDSEY HALL CARE HOME.

All this happened between trinity road and the post box on clee road.

- Radiation omitted ( Carcinogen)

- How many other things will be added to these cabinets attached to the mass.

( Will each item require planning permission) or just be the box is up, lets put in what we like.

- House prices will fall from 15% to 50% subject to location of mass.

- Everyday hundreds of school children ranging from teenagers to infants and nursery, general public and holiday makers will pass under this mass. Most days doing the trip atleast twice.

- Within the catchment area of the G MASS there are doctors surgery and anti natal clinics, mothers and babys walking past the mass to and from appointments.

- Lindsey Hall Care home is located directly behind where the mass is planning to go.  
Every day there numerous deliveries, ambulances and numerous other NHS key workers visiting the building 24hours.

Has each residents family members been made aware of this planning application?

-Who will be responsible for any price loss in selling of property on approval of this application?

-who will be responsible for any health issues caused by agreement of this application.

-Who in the council meeting will have all the answers to all public concerns?

What qualifications do they have to provide the correct information on the G Mass.

- Will all objectors concerns be answered and replied to with the name of the person and department for their responsibility.

- In the planning for this G Mass, there are plans for the large tree on Lindsey Hall Care Home to come down. Not only will this be a devastating loss to the area, we will be getting rid of a carbon remover to be replaced by a carbon maker.

From a beautiful street veiw to an eyesore on a main road in to cleethorpes.

In conclusions of these concerns, if there is any shadow of a doubt this application must be **CANCELLED, DEFERRED or DENIED**

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Lorraine Carrie

Address: 92 clee road Grimsby

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to the planning proposal of 15m monopole on Clee road. Not only does this monstrosity not come with enough information, it will be placed in front of my property.

The beautiful tree in Lindsey hall care home will also be taken down to accommodate wires for pole. This is unacceptable and defacing the look to one of the main roads in to Cleethorpes.

Doing my own research I have found the monopole can be very dangerous and harmful to health.

The monopole should not be placed in front of a care home, also popular path way for school children and public.

Why do we need 5G? Will there be a separate planning proposal for 6G that are in the works currently?

I am very concerned about the radiation coming from the system also.

This proposal should be denied and relocated to an area that is far away from homes and doesn't cause harm.

**From:** gary nelson  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 30, 2023 10:57 AM  
**To:** Planning - IGE (Equans)  
**Subject:** DM/0597/23/PAT Telecommunication tower, Clee road

F.A.O. OWEN TOOP.

I am writing to let you know I do not want to have this tower erected on Clee road.  
My concerns are about "radiation coming from the equipment" and all the children that pass this spot going to the local schools.  
Not to mention its HUGE and would be an eyesore. There must be a more suitable site to locate it where it does not cause these problems.

Mr G. Nelson,  
96 Clee Road  
Grimsby  
DN32 8NX

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Jaz Colbrook

Address: 99 Clee Road Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I live next door to Lindsey Hall and have a young family, I am concerned with the health implications of this mast in such close proximity to our home and also I am concerned over the noise that it will produce, on carrying out research I am concerned by the amount of ppl that live near a 5G mast complaining about the constant loud humming noise coming from them, I previously lived near a mobile mast (approx 10 years ago) and I always put my poor sleeping pastels down to its proximity as after moving away from the area I found I slept a lot better, surely this mast could be located further away from the homes?

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mrs Susan Anne Kidd

Address: 67 Elliston Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Well yet again another 5g tower been selected to be put up around the town like many more, Haven't the government research about how unhealthy the Towers are the health risks to nearby residents especially at care home it's not about fast wi-fi it's about the money.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Jamie Kidd

Address: 67 Elliston Street Cleethorpes

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I would like to strongly object to this mast being erected at this location. For anyone who has a general knowledge of these masts knows the 5g technology poses a damaging effect on the health of the community. And the research I have looked in to suggests 5g is weapons grade technology and is used to disperse crowds using the frequency of the waves it gives out. Hardly a great choice of location outside a nursing home with vulnerable people. Do you not think our town has enough of these ugly looking things. With no real consideration for the general public. You are putting these up at an alarming rates, we can hardly keep up! The person reading this, I am certain you can't provide me with a detailed overview of how this technology works and a list of the adverse effects 5g technology causes. Please be mindful of any harmful effects in the future on the public, (from brain fog to cancer) the buck will stop with you because we are warning you right now. Kind Regards, Paul

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Can you please tell me the contact details of who is dealing with this planning application plus acknowledge and address my concerns and objections.

These facts should raise further concerns in all planning applications concerning 5G, 6G telecommunication not just this application. There are grave errors that need addressing in local and government policy, now what will you do with this new factual data covered by my emails?.

5G Planning Applications for telecommunications in the North East Lincolnshire area follows this Government publication. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88>

shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022

"5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health

<https://ehtrust.org/science/whoarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

[https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208\\_E.pdf](https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf)

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have a detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.

3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Section 4. Decision-making

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/4-decision-making#para56>

45. Local planning authorities should consult the appropriate bodies when considering Applications for the siting of, or changes to, major hazard sites, installations or Pipelines, or for development around them.

43. The right information is crucial to good decision-making, particularly where formal Assessments are required (such as Environmental Impact Assessment, Habitats Regulations assessment and flood risk assessment). To avoid delay, applicants Should discuss what information is needed with the local planning authority and Expert bodies as early as possible

#### Section 5. Determining applications

47. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in Accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate Otherwise. Decisions on applications should be made as quickly as possible, and Within statutory timescales unless a longer period has been agreed by the applicant In writing

---

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

#### Section 10. Supporting high quality communications

114. Advanced, high quality and reliable communications infrastructure is essential for Economic growth and social well-being. Planning policies and decisions should Support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including next Generation mobile technology (such as 5G) and full fibre broadband connections. Policies should set out how high quality digital infrastructure, providing access to services from a range of providers, is expected to be delivered and upgraded over time; and should prioritise full fibre connections to existing and new developments (as these connections will, in almost all cases, provide the optimum solution).

115. The number of radio and electronic communications masts, and the sites for such installations, should be kept to a minimum consistent with the needs of consumers, the efficient operation of the network and providing reasonable capacity for future expansion. Use of existing masts, buildings and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such as for new 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate.

116. Local planning authorities should not impose a ban on new electronic communications development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or a wide range of electronic communications development, or insist on minimum distances between new electronic communications development and existing development. They should ensure that:

a) they have evidence to demonstrate that electronic communications infrastructure is not expected to cause significant and irremediable interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation operated in the national interest; and

b) they have considered the possibility of the construction of new buildings or other structures interfering with broadcast and electronic communications services.

117. Applications for electronic communications development (including applications for prior approval under the General Permitted Development Order) should be supported by the necessary evidence to justify the proposed development. This should include:

a) the outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development, in particular with the relevant body where a mast is to be installed near a school or college, or within a statutory safeguarding zone surrounding an aerodrome, technical site or military explosives storage area; and

b) for an addition to an existing mast or base station, a statement that self-certifies that the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission guidelines on non-ionising radiation protection; or

c) for a new mast or base station, evidence that the applicant has explored the Possibility of erecting antennas on an existing building, mast or other structure 34 and a statement that self-certifies that, when operational, International Commission guidelines will be met.

118. Local planning authorities must determine applications on planning grounds only. They should not seek to prevent competition between different operators, question the need for an electronic communications system, or set health safeguards Different from the International Commission guidelines for public exposure

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I Object.

These facts should raise further concerns in all planning applications concerning 5G, 6G telecommunication not just this application.

There are grave errors that need addressing in local and government policy, now what will you do with this new factual data covered by my emails?.

5G Planning Applications for telecommunications in the North East Lincolnshire area follows this Government publication. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper [https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88)

[H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88) shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the

impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022 "5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health. <https://ehtrust.org/science/whoiarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS. [https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208\\_E.pdf](https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf)

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have:

1. A detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.
2. The dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clew Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I believe residents and visitors within NE Lincs are being exposed to adverse health effects of 5G.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.
- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Act of Settlement (1700)

The Laws of England are the Birth right of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2/section/IV>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7. All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against

any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

[http://nations-united.org/Universal\\_Declaration\\_Of\\_Human\\_Rights/Articles\\_Human\\_Rights/Articles\\_7\\_Human\\_Rights\\_Universal\\_Declaration\\_Nations\\_United\\_Seven.htm](http://nations-united.org/Universal_Declaration_Of_Human_Rights/Articles_Human_Rights/Articles_7_Human_Rights_Universal_Declaration_Nations_United_Seven.htm)

Responsibilities & liabilities.

No.1.The North Lincolnshire Council Constitution sets out how the council operates and how decisions are made. <https://www.northlincs.gov.uk/your-council/the-councils-constitution/>

No 2. Responsibilities-of-civil <https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/written-answers/1985/feb/26/the-duties-and-responsibilities-of-civil>

No 3. "The Civil Service code - GOV.UK" <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/civil-service-code/the-civil-service-code>

No 4. The Nolan 7 Principles of Public Life. <https://leadinggovernance.com/blog/resources/the-nolan-principles/>

No 5. Local Authorities Responsibilities.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/23/part/1/crossheading/general-responsibilities-of-local-authorities/enacted>

No 6. Highways Act 1980. Compensation, damages for negligence.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1980/66/section/97>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clew Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Mark Steele, a 5G campaigner, has been highlighting the dangers of a secret 5G rollout by Gateshead Council. The court's decision will be regarded as a landmark case for other people to start using this Court's ruling to challenge their Councils. Recorder Nolan said Steele had put a "tremendous amount of research" into the 5G debate and that his voice should be allowed to be heard.

"It is an area which I can accept there is an area of public concern," he added.

"And the public have a right to know - this is a free country."

Video 1. <https://www.bitchute.com/video/IFFxLwsPCt6i/>

Video 2. Mark Steele explains the court case involving Gateshead Council, back ground facts and evidence. <https://youtu.be/JaOZP-yhXFA>

Video 3. Mark Steele 5G presentation, weapon & surveillance?. [https://youtu.be/MRYZh\\_pvARI](https://youtu.be/MRYZh_pvARI)

Video 4. Graphene oxide reacts on radio waves. <https://youtu.be/-jPEpmYHkRo>

Video 5. Graphene oxide reacting to mobile phone frequency. <https://youtu.be/mZWgnnuIXr8>

Video 6. Any kind of wifi, RF, EMF will damage your blood cells. <https://youtu.be/oHxxNgEoJwk>

Video 7. 5G IS A WAR ON OXYGEN, A WAR ON IODINE, A WAR ON IRON, A WAR ON HEMOGLOBIN AND A WAR ON THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM.

<https://youtu.be/oY5SReQ2Kqc>

Video 8. Links to various other videos.

<https://m.youtube.com/@SmombieGate/videos?itct=CBoQ8JMBGAlEwj8iob428L7AhUHHwYAHWJpA6M%3D>

Article 1. <https://smombiegate.org/britains-first-5g-court-case-and-the-people-won/>

Article 2. <https://smombiegate.org/gateshead-council-new-attempt-to-jail-mark-steele-over-5g-claims/>

Article 3. <https://principia-scientific.com/uks-first-5g-court-case-and-the-people-won/>

Article 4. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem has discovered in a recent investigation that human skin acts as a type of receptor for 5G radiation, drawing it in like an antenna.

No fewer than 26,000 Scientists Oppose 5G Roll Out. <https://martialartstoronto.ca/petition-26000-scientists-oppose-5g-roll-out-1/>

Article 5. 11,000 Pages of Evidence Filed in Landmark 5G Case Against the FCC, Hearing Set for Jan. 25. <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/landmark-5g-case-against-fcc-hearing-set-jan-25/>

Article 6. Patent: The present disclosure provides methods of producing lipid nanoparticle (LNP) formulations and the produced LNP formulations thereof. The present disclosure also provides therapeutic and diagnostic uses related to the produced LNP formulations.

<https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2020160397A1/en>

Article 7. CIA DOCUMENT ON MILLIMETER WAVE AND MICROWAVE EFFECTS ON HUMAN HEALTH.

<https://thefullertoninformer.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/cia-millimeter-waves-1.pdf>

Article 8. French court orders 4G antenna switch-off over cow health concerns

A farmer in central-east France said that milk production had dropped by 15-20% in the days following the antenna installation, and 40 of his 200 cows had died.

<https://radiationdangers.com/2022/05/27/french-court-orders-cell-tower-shut-off-after-farmer-complains-that-the-radiation-is-damaging-his-cows-health/>

Article 9. 5G - 60GHz Wireless Network Oxygen Absorption Unable to Breathe.

<https://stop5g.cz/us/5g-60ghz-wireless-network-oxygen-absorption-unable-to-breathe/>

Article 10. List of States, Cities, Towns, Councils and Countries that have Banned 5G.

<https://smombiegate.org/list-of-cities-towns-councils-and-countries-that-have-banned-5g/>

Article 11. LED Street lighting & public health.

<https://www.localgov.co.uk/LED-street-lighting-and-public-health/45627>

lloyds insurers refuse to cover 5g wi-fi illnesses

Article 1. <https://principia-scientific.com/lloyds-insurers-refuse-to-cover-5g-wi-fi-illnesses/>

Article 2. <https://www.rfsafe.com/lloyds-of-london-insurance-wont-cover-smartphones-wifi-smart-meters-cell-phone-towers-by-excluding-all-wireless-radiation-hazards/>

Safety Standards & guidance.

No.1. 5G pre installation Legal requirements.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>

No.2. This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

No.3. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

No.4 Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

No.5. IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic,

and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz. <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/C95.1/4940/>  
No.6, A complete list of 5G projects involved in the 5G Testbeds and Trials Programme  
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/5g-testbeds-and-trials-programme-complete-list-of-5g-projects>  
No 7, Telegram channel: TOP 5G IT IS A WEAPON SYSTEM [www.saveusnow.org.uk](http://www.saveusnow.org.uk)  
..<https://t.me/marksteele5g>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clew Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I object due to harmful frequencies.

5G Frequencies.

- Low Band: below 1 GHz
- Mid Band: 1 - 6 GHz
- High Band: 24 - 48 GHz.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G  
Wireless communication,

Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimetre exposure.

[https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed\\_pubmed&from\\_uid=31540320](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320)

LETTER FROM CORNERSTONE, Ref: HEALTH AND MOBILE PHONE BASE STATIONS

[http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM\\_1053\\_22\\_PAT-LETTER\\_FROM\\_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

Has used out dated research reviews ref hyperlink. Radiation: Electromagnetic fields, link seems to be. 4 August 2016 | Q&A.

W.H.O Link: (<http://www.who.int/peh-emf/about/WhatisEMF/en/index1.html>)



# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: All quoted reference material in this document including the government links were carried out on or before 2020 so we need to challenge and question if it is out dated.

[http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM\\_1053\\_22\\_PAT-LETTER\\_FROM\\_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

People have lost trust in Public Health England (PHE), government reports and guideline after the flawed lockdowns and covid-19.

Who are the sponsors of all these peer review guidelines and guidance papers? We no longer trust the government and the W.H.O nor can we trust the bias funded research.

In this publication it asks. What are the differences between 5G and 3G and 4G? There is nothing fundamentally different about the physical characteristics of the radio signals that will be produced by 5G compared to previous technologies like 3G and 4G.

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/953342/5G\\_mobile\\_technology\\_-\\_a\\_guide\\_\\_1.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/953342/5G_mobile_technology_-_a_guide__1.pdf)

In this publication it clearly states: Characteristics of the application and its use.

There are a number of differences between 5G and previous wireless standards. One of these is that, in addition to the EMF frequencies that are used for 3G and 4G standards, some 5G communication technologies utilise higher EMF frequencies.

<https://www.icnirp.org/en/applications/5g/5g.html>.

5G Frequencies.

- Low Band: below 1 GHz
- Mid Band: 1 - 6 GHz
- High Band: 24 - 48 GHz.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure. [https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed\\_pubmed&from\\_uid=31540320](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320)

Where are the relevant updated ref links or documents to support these out dated report?

[http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM\\_1053\\_22\\_PAT-LETTER\\_FROM\\_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

The Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects. The final report from this programme was published in February 2014. The report noted that the research conducted found no evidence of adverse health effects from the radio waves produced by mobile phones or their base stations.

In April 2012 the Health Protection Agency's independent Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation (AGNIR) published a report entitled "Health Effects from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields". This report concluded that there is no convincing evidence that mobile phone technologies cause adverse effects on human health.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) noted that "A large number of studies have been performed over the last two decades to assess whether mobile phones pose a potential health risk. To date, no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use" WHO factsheet 193: Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile telephones, 2014.

In 2019 Public Health England (PHE) noted that "Exposure to radio waves is not new and health-related research has been conducted on this topic over several decades. In particular, a large amount of new scientific evidence has emerged since the year 2000 through dedicated national and international research programmes" <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5gtechnologies-radio-waves-and-health>

**ICNIRP GUIDELINES** The radiofrequency public exposure limits for EMF fields were developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org> following evaluation of all the peer-reviewed scientific literature, including thermal and non-thermal effects. ICNIRP is a non-governmental organisation formally recognised by WHO. Established biological and health effects have been used as the basis for the ICNIRP exposure restrictions. These guidelines have been adopted for use in the European Union and the UK. In 2017 ICNIRP reaffirmed that their safety guidelines provide protection against all known health effects of radiofrequency signals. **COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL EXPOSURE GUIDELINES** All Cornerstone installations are designed and constructed in compliance with the precautionary

ICNIRP public exposure guidelines as adopted in EU Council Recommendation of 12 July 1999 on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz). These guidelines have been set following a thorough review of the science and take into consideration both thermal and non-thermal effects. They protect all members of the public 24 hours a day. In addition, precautionary measures have been taken into account when setting relevant guideline limits for the public (i.e. in the UK a safety factor of 50 times is applied to the public exposure guideline). When measured, field strengths are many times lower than the precautionary ICNIRP general public guidelines. An ICNIRP certificate is provided with every planning application and this verifies that the mobile phone base station, when operational, will meet the precautionary ICNIRP guidelines. We also provide further documentation to clarify that the ICNIRP certificate addresses emissions from all mobile phone network operators' equipment at the proposed site.

1981 - 2012

Further Information: World Health Organisation EMF Project <http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/>

International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org/>

Public Health England (formally HPA) <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

My links

Gov. UK Guidance: 5G technologies: radio waves and health. Summary seems to be extremely misleading.

It is possible that there may be a small increase in overall exposure to radio waves when 5G is added to an existing network or in a new area. However, the overall exposure is expected to remain low relative to guidelines and, as such, there should be no consequences for public health. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health>

In this presentation the 2020 RF Guidelines are referred to as ICNIRP 2019.

<https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/radiofrequency/index.html>

ICNIRP has published a set of new guidelines in this frequency range. The ICNIRP 2020 RF EMF guidelines (<https://www.icnirp.org/cms/upload/publications/ICNIRPrfgdl2020.pdf>) protect against all potential adverse health effects relating to exposure to RF EMF, including from 5G technologies. The ICNIRP (2020) guidelines has made a number of improvements to health protection and provides more-detailed guidance for the application of its health protection system. These include the addition of whole body average restrictions for EMF frequencies above 6 GHz, restrictions for brief (< 6 minutes) exposures for EMF frequencies above 400 MHz, and the reduction of the averaging area for EMF frequencies above 6 GHz.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G.

This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88>

shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022

"5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health <https://ehtrust.org/science/whoiarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

[https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208\\_E.pdf](https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf)

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have a detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.

3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

10. There is a 5G full two day judicial hearing. Court Hearing will take place at The Royal Courts of Justice on Strand, London WC2A 2LL. The case by Michael Mansfield KC will be heard on 7th and 8th Feb. <https://actionagainst5g.org/> The legal team have completed the preparation of the Exhibits, Statements and Reports and are now ready for the case to be heard. The work on the

Court bundles has been enormous, the commitment of our legal team has been outstanding and the preparation of documentation quite astonishing. Their dedication is unrivalled and for their 32 months of diligent work we are enormously grateful.

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Has NE Lincs Planning re-evaluated its Policies and procedures to take into account the new Impacts and dangers 5G and 6G will have on its population?

Some publications or policy documents that may require review are listed below.

Guidance on plan-making.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

NEL Council Planning for growth.

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/assets/uploads/2018/05/20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018-WEB.pdf>

Authority monitoring report (AMR)

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/monitoring/>

The authority monitoring report provides information that shows the progress of the local plan preparation, any activity relating to the duty to cooperate, indicators in the plan and any policies not been implemented.

The AMR can also provide information to indicate whether there is a need to undertake a partial or full update of the adopted Local Plan.

The latest version of the AMR is the 2017/2018 Authority Monitoring Report (PDF) which was published in June 2019.

Reviewing and monitoring performance is an essential part of the planning system. As the local planning authority for North East Lincolnshire the Council currently publishes two monitoring documents.

I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their

children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G.

This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Will this development breach or be in contrary to policies 5 and 35 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032 (Adopted 2018) and section 10 of the NPPF 2021 in that the proposed mast and ancillary equipment, by reason of height, position and appearance would represent a visual intrusion to the detriment of the visual character of the area and due to dominance and intrusion be detrimental to local residential amenity. Furthermore, the position of the mast would block pedestrian and driver visibility to the detriment of highway safety.

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/the-local-plan/>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to this harmful communications technology and its ugly infrastructure in our community. If there are any doubts as to any hazards or harm it may cause then work needs to cease, serious reviews are required then address the issues, mitigate, isolate, de-energise the equipment then draft plans to remove the hazards.

5G, 6G is not a legal requirement. I cannot find any law stating we must have 5G or 6G.

Government policy, plans, guidance, Strategy or targets is not the Law. So how is law created?

<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/>

Within the law each word is carefully defined and has specific meaning.

<https://alegaldictionary.com/color-of-law/>

The difference between Law and Policy.

<https://www.differencebetween.com/difference-between-law-and-vs-policy/>

Government requires the consent of the People.

<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/>

The Distribution of Power in UK Government

<https://www.lawteacher.net/free-law-essays/constitutional-law/distribution-of-power-uk-government.php>

## Government 5G policy

The Government has a target that the majority of the population will be covered by a 5G signal by 2027. The Government's strategy for future digital infrastructure - full-fibre and 5G - is set out in DCMS's Future Telecoms Infrastructure Review (FTIR), published on 23 July 2018.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/future-telecoms-infrastructure-review-call-for-evidence>

When The government is working in collaboration with corporate businesses it can not harm the people it is meant to serve and protect.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/telecommunications-security-bill-factsheets/factsheet-6-5g>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-laws-to-wipe-out-rural-mobile-not-spots-and-speed-up-rollout-of-next-generation-5g-technology>

<https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-7883/>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/134/the-security-of-5g/>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/134/the-security-of-5g/>

<https://cms.law/en/int/expert-guides/cms-expert-guide-to-5g-regulation-and-law/united-kingdom>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clew Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I Object.

The Control of Electromagnetic Fields at Work Regulations 2016

I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Are all employees within any nearby businesses including domestic residents/occupants and visitors who may be affected by 5G/6G Mast, Tower, Monopole, Antenna or transmitter within the coverage been given knowledge, training and regular medical screening for harmful side effects like cancer?

Have this group of people been given additional PPE or protective barriers/shielding?

Are there any on looking direct line of sight office, cafe, restaurant, care home, Hotel, B&B or domestic dwelling house windows that may allow the occupants more exposure to harmful emf waves been taken into consideration, if so what are the individual findings and how will this be addressed?.

Very few people are correctly informed on the hazards within their immediate working or living area. Most folks trust they will not be placed in danger. EMF waves are a hidden layer which often exceeds safe levels of exposure.

How will new employees of any nearby businesses and domestic residents/occupants and visitors

who may be affected by 5G/6G be informed after the installation?.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G/6G.

To highlight my point here are some regulations and legislation's to help in protecting the uneducated and vulnerable.

The Control of Electromagnetic Fields at Work Regulations 2016

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/nonionising/emf-regulations.htm>

Electromagnetic fields. Advice on exposure to electromagnetic fields in the everyday environment, including electrical appliances in the home and mobile phones.

This covers radio waves used to broadcast radio and TV and by telecommunication equipment such as mobile phones and base stations.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

Research and analysis in. Residential magnetic field exposures in the UK: sources

This report (HPA-RPD-005) describes the investigation and identification of sources of residential magnetic field exposures in the UKCCS.

The Residential Sources Study was set up to investigate the sources of power frequency magnetic fields that contributed to average residential exposure estimates of 0.2 microtesla and above in the UK Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS). The work was carried out as part of an extension of the UKCCS EMF Hypothesis - that exposure to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs), specifically power frequency magnetic fields, may play a role in the aetiology of childhood cancer.

There were two stages to the project; in Stage 1 the main objective was to identify power frequency magnetic field sources in the neighbourhood of the homes that might explain the UKCCS residential exposures. When there was no obvious explanation for the high exposure, the home and its corresponding matched case control were nominated for Stage 2 of the study, involving an internal inspection.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/residential-magnetic-field-exposures-in-the-uk-sources>

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.  
[https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed\\_pubmed&from\\_uid=31540320](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320)

Is this Telecommunications mast, monopole, aerial or antenna abiding by all the rules within:  
The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015  
. UK Statutory Instruments 2015 No. 596 SCHEDULE 2 PART 16.  
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2015/596/schedule/2/part/16/made>

Development not permitted: apparatus near a highway

(7) Development is not permitted by Class A(a) if, in the case of the installation of a mast, on a building or structure which is less than 15 metres in height, such a mast would be within 20 metres of a highway.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to 5G & 6G technology because I've researched the dangers it poses to our health and the environment.

Carry out your own due diligence or check out the wealth of information in these links.

Dr. Sharon Goldberg Testifies at Michigan's 5G Small Cell Tower Legislation Hearing October 4, 2018

<https://youtu.be/CK0AliMe-KA>

Protecting Against EMF Radiation with Jason Bawden-Smith

<https://library.solari.com/protecting-against-emf-radiation-with-jason-bawden-smith/>

BEST 5G DOCUMENTARY

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/RYGOCjKRC52c/>

Helpful Resources.

<https://ehtrust.org/>

<https://bioinitiative.org/updated-research-summaries/>

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<https://stop5g-resistance.whynotnews.eu/>

<https://www.emf-portal.org/en>

<https://www.powerwatch.org.uk/>

<https://wearetheevidence.org/>

<http://wifiinschools.com/index.html>

<http://www.parentsforsafetechnology.org/>

<http://www.parentsforsafetechnology.org/the-telecommunications-act-of-1996.html>

<https://www.wirelesseducation.org/>

<https://www.takebackyourpower.net/>

<https://www.inpowermovement.com/>

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<https://www.fcc.gov/general/telecommunications-act-1996>

<https://home.solari.com/live-solari-report-protecting-against-emf-radiation-what-5g-means-to-you-with-jason-bawden-smith/feed/>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15910543/>

[https://www.foodsmatter.com/asd\\_autism/autism\\_causes/articles/es\\_autism\\_goldsworthy.html](https://www.foodsmatter.com/asd_autism/autism_causes/articles/es_autism_goldsworthy.html)

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24095003/>

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/nonionising/electro.htm>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20798635/>

<https://home.solari.com/live-solari-report-protecting-against-emf-radiation-what-5g-means-to-you-with-jason-bawden-smith/#com>

Some of the Laws and legislations to protect us from harm are:

Act of Settlement (1700)

the Laws of England are the Birthright of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection.

ARTICLES SEVEN 7 UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS 1948 NATIONS

UNITED (nations-united.org)

Misconduct In Public Office

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/misconduct-public-office>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

# Comments for Planning Application DM/0597/23/PAT

## Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0597/23/PAT

Address: Land Outside Of Lindsey Hall Nursing Home Clee Road Cleethorpes North East  
Lincolnshire DN35 8AF

Proposal: Prior approval for 15m monopole, 2no. equipment cabinets with associated meter cabinet and ancillary development

Case Officer: Owen Toop

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN37 9SE

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: If this application is approved and the installation has a negative effect on the surrounding property prices or someone suffers any loss injury or harm who is liable?

Equity law. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equity\\_\(law\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equity_(law))

What regulations protect and cover this eventuality?

Will there be a Clause or covenant placed upon the land registry deeds or building owners to be held liable and to carry the correct levels of insurance?

Are third parties protected or covered within any Acts, Statutes or Regulations for suffering loss, harm or injury?

Landscape Character Assessment, Sensitivity and Capacity Study (2015)

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/the-local-plan/local-plan-background-information/landscape-character-assessment-sensitivity-and-capacity-study-2015/>

Gov. UK Guidance on plan-making.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

Statutory duty and the role of plans.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/3-plan-making#para17>

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

UK Public General Acts 2004 c. 5 Part 2 Documents Section 19

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/section/19>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>