Item 1 - 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough - DM/0319/24/ FULA North East Lincolnshire Planning Municipal Offices Town Hall Square Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 1HU



Tel: 01472 326289 Option 1

Contact Details: -

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
DM/0319/24/FULA	Acting on behalf of the applicant, I wish to call the application (which has been refused – as per email correspondence from Lauren Birkwood on 31 st July) Stating roof height would be an overdevelopment and dominance to neighbouring properties. The house at 20 Sth Marsh Road has recently been built and stands over 10m high against this property at #22 Sth Marsh Rd. 24 Sth Marsh Rd is built approximately 5m behind the applicants property, therefore no dominance or overshadowing shall occur. With the recent build on 'The Shires' #20 standing over 10m high and to the forwards position of #22 already causes an issue of overdevelopment and overshadowing that must have been accepted when passed previously. This has set the precedence and therefore application DM/0319/24/FULA should be returned as accepted.

contact betains.
Signature <i>T Crofts.</i> Date05/08/2024
NameCllr Trevor Crofts
Address:2 Station Rd, Stallingborough









STALLINGBOROUGH PARISH COUNCIL

Clerk to the Council – Kathy Peers Telephone 07494 577661 e-mail 'clerk@stallingboroughparishcouncil.com

11th April 2024

To: planning@nelincs.gov.uk

Dear Sirs,

Further to your letter, the Parish Council discussed the applications below at a meeting held on Wednesday10th April 2024 and submits the following comments:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0319/24/FULA
Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary wall with pillars and gates to front with assoc

No objections.

Yours faithfully,

XI Peers

KJ Peers Clerk to the Council

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Rick Stemp

Address: Ivanhoe, 12 South Marsh Road, Stallingborough Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN41

8AN

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I'm concerned that some of the building work has been started before the planning application has been decided, also the proposed alterations to aesthetics of the house would not suit the surrounding houses, this type of alterations to the houses in Stallingborough need to stop.

From: rick stemp

Sent: Saturday, August 31, 2024 10:19 AM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: DM/0319/24/FULA

Dear Planning committee.

Please can I put in some further comments around the objecting to the planning application Ref DM/0319/24/FULA

Some of the comment I have seen on the application for the approval are from people who do not live in the vaccinate of the location.

I further object to the development due to the detrimental impact upon the amenities the neighbouring properties currently enjoy and have a right to expect to continue to enjoy such as outlook.

Also Local Plan 2013 to 2032 (Adopted 2018)

Policy 5

Development boundaries

- 1. Development boundaries are identified on the Policies Map. All development proposals located within or outside of the defined boundaries will be considered with regard to suitability and sustainability, having regard to:
- A. the size, scale, and density of the proposed development;
- B. access and traffic generation;
- C. provision of services (education, healthcare, community, retail and recreation);
- D. impact upon neighbouring land uses by reason of noise, air quality, disturbance or visual intrusion;
- E. advice from the Health and Safety Executive;
- F. flood risk;
- G. the quality of agricultural land;

Policy 42

Landscape

1. Landscape character should be given due consideration in the nature, location, design and implementation of development proposals. Developers should:

A. have regard to the landscape context and type within which the development is to be located, (as identified in the Landscape Character Assessment); considering the landscape guidelines and management strategies relevant to the prevalent landscape type. Priority will be given to the protection and enhancement of the landscape character and natural beauty, and setting of the Lincolnshire Wolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB);

B. complete a site specific landscape appraisal, proportionate to the anticipated scale and impact of a proposal, and submit a landscaping scheme for all development where this is appropriate, which complements the character and appearance of the site, responds to landscape character, climate change and flood alleviation where appropriate, and improves local biodiversity and levels of amenity;

C. seek opportunities, when incorporating landscape buffers to offset development impacts, to enhance landscape quality including opportunities to incorporate suitable landscape planting;

D. retain and protect trees and hedgerows which offer value for amenity, biodiversity and landscape;

E. Take opportunities where appropriate, to retain, protect and restore elements that contribute to historic landscape character.

One of the comments also we regarding the bungalow is in need of modernization due to its age and condition.

The sales brochure from 9th February 2024 quoted

- EXTENSIVE REAR GARDEN
- DETACHED BUNGALOW
- FABULOUS DINING KITCHEN
- SPACIOUS LOUNGE
- TWO DOUBLE BEDROOMS
- BATHROOM
- GAS CENTRAL HEATING SYSTEM
- DOUBLE GLAZING
- AMPLE OFF STREET PARKING
- GARAGE

It didn't need modernisation



Regards Rick Stemp

Ivanhoe 12 South Marsh Road Stallingborough Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Vanessa Wiseman

Address: 24 South Marsh Road Stallingborough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We own the property next door to the bungalow that is applying for planning permission to extend dramatically. I feel that I need to object to these plans due for numerous reasons, which are in short below:-

- 1. The wall that is mentioned in the plans has already been completed, the gates are installed and the bricks have been rendered, this has been done before planning permission has been granted, in fact, the wall was actually in process BEFORE the planning application was dated (26/03/24), so the person who signed the form wasn't telling the truth when they answered 'NO' to the question ' Has the work already been started'
- 2. We share a water main with the bungalow, so this massive extension with affect our water pressure.
- 3. On the plans, there are windows to side aspect of the property, this would definitely affect our property, as they are right next to our pathway to our front door. when we extended, numerous years ago, we had to take into account the privacy of our neighbour, so weren't permitted to have a window on our side aspect.
- 4. The height of the property, if given the go ahead for this extension, would put our property in a shadow, blocking the sunlight from the front of our home, as we are set back further from the road.
- 5. The upstairs windows of the building would also affect the privacy of our living room and the

privacy of our bathroom, due to the closeness.

We bought our home, over 20 years ago because we weren't overlooked and had our privacy, knowing this was due to our neighbours home being a bungalow. There are a number of brand new 4-5 bedroom houses available in the village and feel that another 1 isn't needed, if this is what was wanted, why look at a small 2 bedroomed bungalow.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish

existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ian Wiseman

Address: 24 South Marsh Road Stallingborough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Our home is the house next door to the building in the application. I disapprove of the

development due to the following

The incoming water main for my home (number 24) and the bungalow (number 22) comes into my garden, then branches off to number 22. It is only half inch copper pipe, will adversely affect my water pressure if this small hotel gets approved.

The side wall will nearly be doubled in size, but existing brickwork is in poor condition and there is no way these bricks will be matched up to new ones. I will be looking out of my front window at an ugly multi coloured wall as my home is set further back from the road, making it impossible not to see.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ian Wiseman

Address: 24 South Marsh Road Stallingborough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:My home to the property next door to the building in question. I need to object to the plans which are under review, these are due to :-

The incoming water main for my home (24) and (22) comes into my garden, it then branches off to number 22. It is only a half inch copper pipe and this would adversely affect my water pressure if this small hotel gets approved.

The side wall will be nearly doubled in size, but the existing brickwork isn't in the best of condition, there is no way these bricks will be matched up to any new ones. This means that we will be looking out of our front windows at an ugly multi coloured wall, which will constantly be in view, due to our property being set back further from the road than the bungalow.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ian Wiseman

Address: 24 South Marsh Road Stallingborough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:My home is the property directly next door to number 22 and I am objecting to the massive extension because:-

The incoming water main for my home (24) and the bungalow (22) comes into my garden, then it branches off to No22. It is only a half inch copper pipe, so it will adversely affect my water supply if this small hotel gets approved.

The side wall will be nearly doubled in size, the existing brickwork is in poor condition and there is no way these bricks will be matched up to new ones. This means we will be looking out of our front window at an ugly wall which is permanently in sight due to our home being set back further from the road than number 22

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ian Wiseman

Address: 24 South Marsh Road Stallingborough GRIMSBY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I am concerned that the proposed extension next door will adversely affect the amount of light my house receives and think it will be cast in a near permanent shadow as the proposed increase in height will obscure any afternoon sunlight to our property. The proposed bedroom windows would impact immensely on our privacy also.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Michael Howson

Address: 134 Station Road, Stallingborough, North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AW

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Hi i see the new property owners have already started works within the planning application even tho you have not given concent

That absolutely terrible front wall

Nothing like anything else in village

The planning allowed those £500,000 houses to be built on stilts next to the beautifull bungalow that you are considering letting the new owners basically demolish and make into a house I knew the old lady who died their

Audrey Laceby her and her husband had it built for them and now you are concidering letting someone demolish it and build what they want

If you want a house buy a house

If you want a bungalow buy a bungalow

You buy a bungalow for privacy as no.one can look into each others houses

Not buy a bungalow then expect the council to allow neighbours to build a 2 storey extension so you loose all of the privacy you put all you investment care into

As above buy a house if thats what you want

I totally object to this application but buy the speed of which the new owners are building already then it looks like they know they have the planning from yourselfs already !!! I would suggest you go take a look of what has been built up to now

Kind Regards

Michael Howson

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Joanne Howson

Address: 134 Station Road Stallingborough Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I object to this application due to the aesthetic impact it will have on the surrounding homes in the village.

The owners of the property have already taken it upon themselves to errect the requested front wall prior to planning applications approval. This needs to be removed obviously as permission has not been granted. The owners of the property clearly do not understand the need and purpose of planning applications.

The owners of the property intend to massively change the facade of the property and enlarge it which will encroach on neighboring homes.

People have lived there for years and now may have their outlook and homes overshadowed by what will be a towering blot on their outlook.

I oppose this planning application in solidarity with the people whome it will have a direct impact upon. There is no need to make all of these changes upon the property.

A house that offers what the owners need can be found elsewhere.

It is not fair to push people that have lived in the village on South Marsh Road for many, many years out, for the sake of new people moving in that are not happy with what they originally purchased.

I believe this application should not be allowed and the wall that has already been erected be removed. Also any other building works that have been started prior to permission be halted.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Michael Howson

Address: 134 Station Road Stallingborough Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Hi i knew the Lady who lived their Audrey Laceby we where great friends

Not only did the council allow those £500,000 houses on stilts to be built next to property which
where bungalows but now you are looking to allow the same bungalow to be basically pulled down
and built into a house this will destroy the look of the area even more the council needs to STOP
this if a person buys a house then purchase a house and if you want a bungalow buy a bungalow

the house is of perfect structure as it is and within keeping

AND i see they have already started work on the EXTRA LARGE front wall which also is totally not within keeping of the surrounding properties so they are STARTING the works outlined in the planning application without even getting the council's concent !!!!

I Totally OBJECT to this application and it seems that the way it is going and the pace they are building that you have already given them the GO AHEAD

Kind Regards

Michael Howson

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear to include additional rooms in roof space and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and new boundary

wall with pillars and gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Kyle Hewis

Address: 12 Manor Court Stallingborough Stallingborough DN41 8TQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Upon personal inspection of the property and speaking to neighbours, I can confirm that construction of the front wall and gate was completed before any planning permission had been granted and the proposed first floor would infringe on the neighbours privacy. I would recommend planning permission not be granted for this application.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0319/24/FULA

Address: 22 South Marsh Road Stallingborough North East Lincolnshire DN41 8AN

Proposal: Raise roof height to create first floor accommodation, erect extensions to front and rear and installation of front and rear dormers, demolish existing garage and front boundary wall, erect new detached garage to rear and retrospective permission for new boundary wall with pillars and

gates to front with associated internal and external alterations

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Les Les Bonner

Address: 67 The Ridgeway Grimsby DN34 5PH

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish express my support for Mr. Sandhu's request for planning permission to make

improvements to his property located at 22 South Marsh Lane

Reasons for Support

Modernization Needs:

Mr. Sandhu's bungalow is in need of modernization due to its age and condition.

Surrounding Properties:

The bungalow is surrounded on three sides by two-story houses, of a larger scale. In my opinion the proposed changes would enhance the overall appearance and integration of the property within the neighbourhood.

Precedent for Approval:

It should be noted that the neighbouring buildings to the side and rear of number 22 have all recently received planning permission for two storey developments.

Comparative Context:

The positioning of 22 South Marsh Lane in relation to the adjacent two-story property, number 24, is similar to the relationship between 20 South Marsh Lane and 22 South Marsh Lane. This establishes a precedent, suggesting that the same considerations that warranted approval for the

development at 24 should equally apply to 22.

Thank you for considering this matter. I believe acceptance of this development will not only benefit Mr. Sandhu but also improve the overall appearance of this part of the street.

Item 2 - Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston - DM/0353/24/ REM



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 22nd May 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 21st May 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0353/24/REM

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM

Location: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston

No objections to development of the remainder of the site as it has outline permission for development already, but members were disappointed to see the green 'quadrangle' settings of the original master plan design changed and would prefer more green corridors internally on the site rather than just around the boundaries.

Yours faithfully,

KU Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 7th August 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 6th August 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0353/24/REM

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and house type plans July 2024.

Location: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston

No objections but the Village Council note the objections of residents and the residents' petition submitted and would hope that the Planning Committee takes full consideration of the proposed traffic movements which would impact on residents as a result of further development.

Yours faithfully,

KU Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council

RECEIVE - 1 AUG 2024

Dear Mr. Limmer,
With regard to your reference
DM/0353/24/REM Planning application
variations.

As residents of Carrington Gardens over 55s complex, we both strongly object to the proposed new road which has been suggested, the reasons for our objections are listed in Messrs. Sparling and Sleights letter sent to you on the 04/07/2024 and since then the site had over 50 vehicles dumped by parents visiting an event by Humberston school on Saturday 13th July Some parked on pavements and blocking ramps, this proposed road shall make the site totally unsafe for our disabled and those suffering with sight and hearing problems, we are struggling to stop speeding, parking, noise and other problems without having a road running through the site which will allow families access and other vehicles on site which are not wanted!

I have contacted friends family and customers who visit regularly and can obtain signatures of objection to the proposal if required.

A letter of reply would be appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Michael and Kristina Plant 4 Sycamore Way Humberston Grimsby DN364ZU

27/07/2024

From: john sparling

Date: Tue, Jun 25, 2024 at 10:56 AM

Subject: DM0353/24/REM Master Plan rear of existing Carrington Gardens

To: <richard.limmer@nelincs.gov.uk>

Dear Richard Limmer,

We are residents of Carrington Gardens with some serious safety concerns about planned further residential building to the rear of this development.

These concerns have been raised in writing to Longhurst Managers (see attached) but to date we have had no response. There is a Longhurst Service Managers Meeting on Thursday 18th July when maybe they are planning to announce their proposals to remedy the situation? However, seeing as the Council's date for making its decision is the very next day and our Site Manager is now off on annual leave, the general feeling is that we must address the issues ourselves 'to be on the safe side'.

First, can you confirm that there will be 30 bungalows or alternative suitable accommodation for over 55s that will be in addition to the current housing stock of Carrington Gardens please?

Secondly, the major safety concern that we have is the prospect of additional traffic arising from 30 'over 55' residences plus 179 family houses and then more family traffic from the existing Westleigh Estate choosing to exit along Blackthorn Avenue to the junction of Humberston Ave. Please also note that we already have parents blocking that junction to drop off and pick up and we have additional vehicular traffic entering/exiting Humberston House.

In Grimsby Telegraph June 20th it was announced that there is a 'host' of new double yellow lines to be introduced in the borough. Oh no! Just what is needed! - 'Humberston Ave/B1219 including near Tetney Rd This location is related to school parking Issues there. On the HumberstonAve location Cllr Swinburn said 'The safety of children around these areas is paramount for this authority' Where will the parents now try to get close to the school? Why not - Carrington Gardens? Humberston House?

Our proposal, which will divert traffic from all new-build Westleigh homes back through that development to its existing main entry/exit further along Humberston Avenue and not out through Carrington Gardens which looks likely to become a potentially hazardous pinch point. Ideally the over 55s area could be fenced around as it is at present but we have researched other areas and have seen bollards used in similar situations eg MarionWay/Woodhall Drive, Waltham, Rennaissance, New Waltham and Becklands, Toll Bar However these would prevent vehicular access only not electric scooters etc.. We also have an informal 'OK' from the fire brigade. We shall be very grateful for your help and advice so that we can pass on accurate information to other residents as required.

Would it be possible for us to meet you? Thank you in anticipation for your attention to this matter. Yours sincerely,

John and Susan Sparling ,Walter and Dianne Sleight

Carrington Gardens Residents' Meeting with Longhurst Home Ownership Managers AS (Snr Manager and LM Line Manager of SN) 9/5/24

1A Questions about future purchase and development of next phase of bungalows seeing as we weren't informed before Humberston House transactions were imminent

Final Plans/decisions?

- 1. What is happening? Very worrying:-Land is up for sale. Rumours abound!
- 2. If the intention for Blackthorn Ave is that the road continues thru the gates to the rear of the existing Carrington Gdns bungalows and joins thru to the Family Housing on Westleigh it will cause serious problems for Carrington Gardens as it is now!
- 3. Increased traffic vehicular, including HGVs, motor bikes, pedal bikes, scooters and pedestrians. .
- 4. Less safe and secure for residents! Potential for kids and teens to be playing or hanging around, speeding, noise, damage and disturbance
- 5. Carrington Gardens has residents who are partially sighted, deaf or hard of hearing, lacking mobility, unsteady. many who live alone. Now they can confidently walk around the development at their own pace for exercise, leisure and to socialise and walk their little dogs. These pleasures will be threatened by a busy road cutting through. Not forgetting that we already have additional comings and goings around Humberston House.
- 6. Need traffic 'GIVEWAY SIGN/ROAD MARKINGS at the junction of Humberston House and Blackthorn Ave?
- 7. Proposal That Blackthorn Ave should continue round the proposed bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from the family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or similar In that way the special features of the over 55s community, Carrington Gardens WHICH WE ALL BOUGHT INTO CAN BE PRESERVED
- 8. See attached plan

32 Hawthorne Road, Humberston, DN36 4ZA.

29th July, 2024.



Dear Sirs.

Planning Application Ref: DM/0353/24/REM

All of the residents at the location of Carrington Gardens are required to be a minimum of 55 years of age. In effect, we are all retired, some of whom are over 90 years of age. There are numerous people who require walking aids and wheelchairs. There are also some residents who have dogs. Many of them would find stopping in an emergency to avoid a vehicle virtually impossible. Numerous hard of hearing residents would also have difficulty hearing approaching traffic.

There are very few footpaths on the Carrington Gardens development. Residents have to use whatever surface is available to them to move about the development. The site is totally unsuitable for the proposed increase in vehicular traffic. We can make a reasonable assumption that many of the proposed new properties will be 2 car families.

I believe Hawthorne Road and Sycamore Way should be no entry roads to the proposed new residents, as they are barely two way carriageways.

The site already receives visitors', commercial and NHS vehicles on a regular basis. The care home has added their visitors', commercial, ambulances, etc. to the only other road on the site.

We also have to contend with the numerous vehicles of the parents dropping off and picking up their children during the morning, lunch and end of school day hours. They already make driving life dangerous and very annoying while we try to exit and enter where we live. Imagine how this will be exacerbated by the increase in traffic from the proposed development. Parents are already using the car parking at the care home as there is inadequate street parking space available.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs. E. Wallis

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Jonathan Col

Address: 35 HAWTHORNE ROAD HUMBERSTON DN36 4ZA

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish to state that I am against the proposed plans in their present form. I also wish to make the following comments and requests for conditions to be placed on the planning application by the officers and planning committee before it is given approval by NELC.

- Traffic Generation
- o Presently the traffic flow on Blackthorne avenue at any period during the day is minimum. Approximately 40 cars held by residents which may not move/ travel daily, Adding 179 family homes in the proposed development will add a minimum 350 cars-assuming two car families. It is assumed that the majority will use Blackthorne Avenue as their route of choice to get to Humberston Avenue, thus increasing the flow not to mention service and delivery vehicle that will add to the number- extra vehicle journeys per day 400-500 +minimum
- Highway Safety
- o The road is presently viable for the limited number of vehicles that use it but the estimated increased level of use is reached the road layout (which is very deceiving visually, especially at the main 90-degree corner outside 3 Blackthorne Avenue) will lead a distinct possibility of a high % of increase in RTC's. There is also the impact of the entrance to Humberston House Care Home that at present does not have a stop or give way signs or markings that will increase that possibility even more.
- o The impact of parents picking up, dropping off their children from school will have a detrimental impact on the traffic flow, instigating a severe pinch point and traffic hold ups as well as reducing the ability for traffic to get out onto Humberston Avenue.

- o Using Blackthorn Avenue as an artery for construction traffic, deliveries etc will cause major problems for the developers due to parking on both sides of the road and at times there will not be enough space for the heavy vehicles to pass through.
- Nature Conservation
- o At present there are a pair of barn owls that are nesting and breeding in the trees are the in the south end of the hedgerow between phases 7 & 8 of the proposed development. The tree line should be kept untouched and allowed to flourish. Mature hedgerows are protected by law during the main breeding season for nesting birds, which runs throughout March August each year. It is an offence under Section 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act of 1981 to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while it is in use or being built, or to intentionally kill, injure or take chicks or adults.
- Environmental Impact---conditions.
- o Due to being close to an already developed residential development-Carrington Gardens conditions of acceptance of planning should be that---The developers to adhere to----no demolition or building work shall be carried out before 8.00 or after 18.00 Monday to Friday inclusive, before 8.00 or after 13.00 on a Saturday and at any time Sundays or Bank Holidays. To protect the nearby amenities of nearby residents and in accordance with policy 5 of the NELC local plan20123-32 adopted in 2018.
- Public/Pedestrian safety.
- o Carrington Gardens is home to many residents who are partially sighted, deaf, hard of hearing or have limited mobility. Increased traffic flow and their need to cross roads to get to the bus stop or post box or shops would be an accident waiting to happen without the relevant input and required measures from the highways department and having the decision to have traffic flow west wards through the major development and not via Blackthorn Avenue.
- Conditions required for the approval of the planning application.
- o If Blackthorne Avenue is to be used for construction vehicles, personnel. sub-contractors and materials to the said site the developers should be made to provide signage as has been done for phase 6- this being as follows to stop traffic using the block paved road of Carrington Gardens that are not designed for heavy usage---signage as follows: -

To be placed at the north and southerly entrances to Hawthorne Road and the easterly entrance to Sycamore Way

- o No access for HGV construction traffic
- o No contractor parking
- o 10mph limit signs and construction traffic directions
- No deliveries to be before 8.00am
- Any road cleaning that is required to be carried out before 18.00

I would support the suggestion from others that have commented ref this planning application that traffic from all the new-built two storey properties be diverted back through Humberston Meadows and the Westleigh development to the existing exit/entry point further west on Humberston Avenue, rather than using Blackthorn Avenue and not cut through Carrington Gardens. This could

be achieved ideally by fencing off the over 55's development with the use of bollards, as in Marion Way/Woodhall Drive etc. This whole concept would negate the pinch point and major problems that will occur, if all traffic is allowed to use Blackthorn Avenue, and will improve traffic safety and above all pedestrian safety.

Other factors for the planning officer, planning committee and ward councillors to note

- 1. No notice in letters etc has been given to residents in the Over 55 development. There has been no consultation. The legally required timeline of application/notification has been ignored by the developers as of this date 16/07/2024
- 2. There have been no documents posted on lampposts, as is the norm.
- 3. Apparently the Longhurst Management have given the impression that maps and plans of the development (which anyway have been changed) were displayed in communal areas by Longhurst showing they are keen to have input with the residents---this is far from actualities---not at all. In fact, these plans were obtained by two residents who alerted residents and laid the maps out for us all to see. As I said, they are not the current plans. They have only been obtained very recently.

Some larger groundworks have already been accomplished by the builder-applicants, even before any permissions have been given.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr JONATHAN COLE

Address: 35 HAWTHORNE ROAD HUMBERSTON DN36 4ZA

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I wish to state that I am against the proposed plans in their present form. I also wish to make the following comments and requests for conditions to be placed on the planning application by the officers and planning committee before it is given approval by NELC.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Paula Graves

Address: 10 Alder Avenue Humberston Grimsby DN36 4ZE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:The main issue is the high volume of large vehicles e.g. lorries as well as site staff that enter Alder Avenue to access building site. They have no care that this is a family area and come through the estate at inappropriate speeds, putting the safety of residents at risk. They also mount grass verges that are now a health and safety issue.

More control measures of traffic needs to be considered, especially as the volume has increased just with additional residents accessing their homes, never mind the constant flow of commercial traffic.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Dianne Sleight

Address: 31 BLACKTHORN AVENUE, CARRINGTON GARDENS, Off Humberston Avenue

Humberston DN36 4ZB

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish to object to the road coming from Humberston Meadows development through Carrington Gardens via Blackthorn Avenue. Various people have made comments regarding the residents on Carrington Gardens ie elderly, partially blind, deaf and severe mobility issues. Blackthorn Avenue is totally unsuitable due to the influx of traffic which would cause havoc at the tight bend at the club house and entrance to Humberston care home. We have bought in good faith that this would be a safe and secure place to live, unfortunately that doesn't seem to be the case.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr Walter Sleight

Address: 31 Blackthorn Avenue Carrington Gardens Humberston DN36 4ZB

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish to register my objection to the proposed link between Humberston Meadows and

Carrington and via Blackthorn Avenue.

Carrington Gardens was sold to the residents on the understanding that a further 30 to 40 bungalows would be built were Humberston House now stands. This was we were told to be a safe and secure environment for our over 55s. Many of the resident's have issues with mobility, hearing and sight. The prospect of a huge increase of traffic would be a significant risk to their safety.

This is perhaps the only over 55s development in the area to have through traffic. I make the comparison with the following similar developments

- * Vicarage Lawns and Gardens
- * The Gatherums
- * Meadow View
- * Wynn Court
- * Cambridge Park

Should the road come through bollards should be installed to prevent vehicular traffic continuing. This has been done at Humberston where Mellor Way (Grange Farm Lane) meets Whimbrel Way (Trafalgar Park)

and at Waltham where Woodhall Drive meets Marion Way.

I implore you to take account of the resident's concerns and reject the proposal.

Walter Sleight

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mr James Hudson

Address: 3 Blackthorne Ave Apartment 2 Humberston DN36 4ZB

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This development will be on an extension of my road, and some of it is an extension of the Over 55 development I live in. While I do not object to further building, which is inevitable, I want the following to be noted:

- 1. No notice in letters etc has been given to residents in the Over 55 development. There has been no consultation. The legally required timeline of application/notification has been ignored by the developers.
- 2. There have been no documents posted on lampposts, as is the norm.
- 3. Apparently the Longhurst Manager has given the impression that maps and plans of the development (which anyway have been changed) were displayed in communal areas by Longhurst. In fact these plans were obtained by two residents who alerted residents, and laid the maps out for us all to see. As I said, they are not the current plans. They have only been obtained very recently.
- 4. There is a nesting pair of owls in the trees on the West side of this proposed development.
- 5. Some larger groundworks have already been accomplished by the builder-applicants, even before any permissions have been given.
- 6. There will be very heavy lorry traffic etc on Blackthorn Ave. Our Over 55 development has quite a few people who are vulnerable. They bought here because they thought it would be safe and quiet. Heavy vehicle traffic will, of course be dangerous for them and the opposite of what they planned in their retirement. Longhurst sold them a lie; one of many.

I believe a proper consultation period should be in place, with proper notifications to residents in the area, letters sent out and plans published. I strongly object to the developers ignoring legal



Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans) **Subject:** FW: DM/0353/24/REM

As I cannot seem to access the regular comments on planning page for NE Lincs, I am writing to add my thoughts to the application DM/0353/24/REM.

I live within 100 meters of the proposed development at Blackthorn Ave, Humberston.

In principle I do not object to development in the field beyond. However I have a few points that raise concern:

- 1. Blackthorn Ave is a poor choice of entrance and egress for the site. The avenue is narrow and passes through an area which is completely 55+. Residents bought their property from Longhurst Group as a Luxury retirement and safe area. Therefore the heavy traffic of construction lorries etc will present a risk to the frailer members, of which there are a number. A better entrance should be considered and built.
- 2. As in a previous application, I wrote that there are nesting owls in the trees of this field.
- 3.Longhurst and Equans have informed local residents at the very last minute about this development. In fact, their consultation with residents has been delayed and re-set by them to be on 09.08.2024, which is the day after the closing comments date of 8th August 2024.
- 4. The area set aside by the developers has too small an open grass area for children of families buying houses. I would also propose that the 'play' area is set away from the bungalows of retirees who bought recently from Longhurst Group.
- 6. Originally it was promised by Longhurst Group that future housing in the area would be for Over 55 years. Longhurst has already reneged on that with the adjacent retirement Home. They have done so again with this new development. At the very least there should be more thought and planning into making sure the children's play area is away from retirement properties and due care is given to the increased heavy goods traffic past the Over 55 housing.
- 7. Longhurst has a troubled history as regards underground utilities. In the last 6 years they have had to repeatedly dig up the road and grounds of this development, because they had no idea where they had placed drainage, sewage, other utilities, which had caused, and continue to cause multiple problems for residents of the Over 55 development. I strongly suspect this disorganisation and lack of responsible planning, diligence and record keeping will continue in the new development.

Throughout its history in this area, Longhurst and its partners have repeatedly shown they are a bad faith partner with homeowners/buyers/residents. They do not follow through on assurances and promises and deliberately hold back information. I do not trust them at all to safeguard the existing owners.

Reduce your environmental footprint, please do not print this email unless you really need to.

North East Lincolnshire Council - This e-mail and any files transmitted with it contains information from North East Lincolnshire Council which may be privileged or confidential. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient be aware that any processing of this email and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please send it back to us immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment. The North East Lincolnshire Council email system, including emails and their content, may be monitored for security reasons and to ensure compliance with council policy. Emails and attachments may be recorded for the effective operation of the organisation and for other lawful business purposes. We cannot guarantee that this email or its attachments are virus free or has not been intercepted and amended. We therefore recommend you carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any email or attachments. North East Lincolnshire Council will not accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this email or its attachments, or any damage or loss caused by computer viruses coming from this email or its attachments.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0353/24/REM

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Dianne Bonner

Address: Greystones Station Road North Thoresby DN365QS

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:To the replanning department. Objection to the road system from the new estate joining

Carrington Gardens road system.

I write to you as a niece- carer for an aunt, who we encouraged to move to the Carrington Gardens development for a secure, peaceful life out of town, and with like minded people around. I visit regularly and am fully aware of the pace of life in the retirement area, also the areas used for walking, meeting and general movement around the small, secure estate.

My main concern about the proposed development is the fact that the road system appears to be linked to and coming through the retirement complex road system.

The roads are not wide, not lane marked or suitable for the amount of traffic that might come from such a number of homes. The turn out onto Humberston Avenue is already challenged when it is school pick up time with school cars parking where they shouldn't and hold ups from the traffic entering and leaving the school site.

Should the new estate Road join to the retirement ones, there will be queue forming static traffic at the junction to Humberston Avenue, increasing noise and pollution.

There is now a care home alongside the properties, of which the entry in and out, comes off Blackthorn Avenue on a tight bend with no road marking. It is already a tight squeeze without any additional volume of cars.

The whole ambience of the estate will change with cars passing through never mind possibly speeding. Unless there is to be an enforceable 10mph limit.

I read the planning amendment includes EV charging points for electric cars, which will mean quieter cars and less pollution but this can be deemed a hazard to the elderly as you cannot always hear them approaching.

The map I referred to in the planning documents, in order to view the proposal, had not got the new care home on it, nor had it got an accurate plan of the retirement properties.

There will be a degree of loss of privacy as the communal garden area and bungalow personal gardens are 'open' to the passing vehicles and people who may in the future walk past or through. The privacy currently enjoys and the security will both be compromised.

The planned houses may be 'needed' but the idea of the new estate traffic coming through the retirement complex is dangerous, ludicrous and totally unfair to the existing residents.

Careful thought should be given to

- -whether this estate is in the right position
- -whether the cars should be allowed to come through the link road at all to Blackthorn Rd -whether Carrington Gardens should remain cut off from the new estate to preserve its ambience and identity as a retirement village -whether traffic from the new estate should go out through the other estate roads already in place.

Socio economic models or people who plan with these in mind, sometimes like the thought of different generations cohabitating areas, but the residents of Carrington gardens chose their homes and a more segregated model for various and obvious reasons. Their decision for their chosen dwelling place did not include children, families, cars and pedestrians all passing close by .

Regards
Dianne Bonner

Niece carer for a resident in Sycamore Way Carrington Gardens.



Submitted by J &S Sparling, and W&D Sleight Representing residents of CarringtonGardens.

Humberston

NE Lincs.

4/7/24

FAO Mr R Limmer, Planning Officer, NE Lincs

Regarding DM0353/24/REM

<u>Proposed Development to the rear of existing Carrington Gardens</u> <u>over 55's Development.</u>

Please find enclosed Protest Petition Returns comprising 54 signatures, one from every household on site. (2 properties vacant and unfortunately 1 resident couldn't sign because of illness).

Total properties 57

In addition there are some signatures from concerned family and friends who are alarmed and fear that their elderly relatives will suffer a loss of confidence and enjoyment in and around their homes and gardens should the new-build Westleigh family housing use Blackthorn Ave to routinely enter and exit the development.

Our 55+residents would then have to dodge fast, frequent disturbing noisy traffic when just going to the bus stop or post box. Through traffic on Blackthorn Ave from an additional thirty 55+ residences and 179 family homes (2 car families?) cycles, motorbikes, delivery vehicles in a hurry, carers, ambulances and the assortment of electric scooters, skate boards, segways etc. available nowadays. Children/teens on the move alongside our elderly residents is a recipe for an absolute disaster. Now, most residents are indoors by 10pm ish but family traffic, judging by the current trend for late night opening, means passing **traffic noise, disturbance and fumes** here on site more or less 24 hrs /day - at least till we have all bought electric vehicles!

The road doesn't appear to be overly narrow but looks are deceiving! On the section alongside the Club House where it meets the entrance to Humberston House, there are sharp bends. It's often luck rather than judgement that vehicles can pass one another without extreme caution at that point. Building contractors' trucks, vans and plant transporters for cranes, diggers etc. are a nightmare. There are points on site now where paving slabs have been cracked from when these giants needed to manoeuvre. Trip hazards!

'Give Way' signage is urgently needed at the entrance to Humberston House where it joins Blackthorn Ave.

It's important to consider the profile of our Residents and the fact that in the case of a 55+ Development – That's just what you get! – a concentration of people who just feet like slowing down a bit after retirement and who want to relax a bit, and steady down. Some people have been dealt various health issues, some of which are life-changing but all are still determined to live a meaningful happy, safe and secure life – hopefully in a pleasant, relaxing environment! – which we all deserve after a lifetime of work.

We have all been young once! However, what happens is that bits of us don't always work as well now we're older. Not everybody – but most!

Carrington Gardens is home to residents who are partially sighted and even registered blind, residents who are profoundly deaf or just hard of hearing. We don't all move around so easily or speedily. Some residents are in constant pain and discomfort. Knees and hips pack up! Many residents use the relatively traffic free site roads for exercise, socialising and walking their dogs. Not all the site has continuous pavements, however, and drivers who are unfamiliar with the site are likely to speed regardless- most likely they are delivery drivers under pressure. Dangerous for residents!

The Council has announced that they will be putting double yellow lines along Humberston Ave towards Tetney Rd. Carrington Gardens is already plagued by parents dropping off and picking up their children on site. They are already blocking Carrington's entrance, endangering residents' efforts to walk or drive in/out safely Please don't add more Westleigh family members to the mix if they are heading to work or back home along Blackthorn Ave!

<u>Proposal</u> That Blackthorn Ave should continue around the proposed 55+ bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from Westleigh family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or by simply diverting the feeder roads. In this way the special features of the over 55s community, Carrington Gardens, which we all bought into can be preserved.

The development's proposed road system cannot support an increased level of traffic without dangerously compromising the safety of residents, pedestrians and road users

Bottom Line! Nature Conservation! Build the bungalows only! Leave us in peace! Does this area really need more family homes? Many are still not sold on Westleigh as it is!

There is so much wild life on the fields. We see various birds and animals, including barn owls, rabbits, foxes, ducks, and beautiful deer sadly we shall have lost if building goes ahead as planned.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24



Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal	Head they have not spiritude paths and that their test that they had been been been been been been been bee
9, WANTHORNE (D) —We shall collect your completed slip	a couple of days -Thank you-

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/W support	the proposal 3 SM CAT MORE WAY
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	MARIK STEVEN MONEY
I AM	LEGISTERED BUNDS GO RO BUS STOP AT 8115
EVER	1 MORNING FOR WOLL DROPUFF FOR CHILDREN AT
ARBIN LTOI	V IS DAN LEROUS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

time support the promont					
₩e support the proposal – SUC	+3	042	COLE	a digita many digita, qisa sigap, many sama akadi "aman daka "ama akadi many digita, qisa sigap, many sama akadi "aman daka "akadi "ama	
35 MAW (AV)	enc	25AD	12 CUMIZ	LASOV	
	collect your c	completed slip a o	couple of days -Th	ank you-	34
				4	26

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal		
7 TO Support the proposas	15 Haw Chorne Road	
The state of the s	Carrington gardens.	
	We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you	J=-

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/₩e support the proposal
1/We support the proposal 1649WTHORNERORD

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

4/We support the proposal
BOB DE LA CRUZ 1 HANGHOONE PA
the second secon
MARILYN DE LA CRUZ, 17 HANTHORNE RD.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
1/4 support the proposal 18 Hawthorne Road.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal
19 HAWTHORNE RU.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED) PREASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
3/ Haw thoin Ld, Hampeiston

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

(I/We support the proposal	(444-00 = 3444 mast qu.
26 140:11140	
20 C JANAM D MON AN	RO. CARRIETON-CHARDENTS
We shall colle	ect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We suppo	ort the proposal ————————————————————————————————————	INE Y	1 A LLIS	
	HAWTHORNE	and how have thin i Mr Gard you was now may had had you had him him had now had had had had been do		n de la companya de l
		llect your complete		áys -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/Mesunnort the prop	osal MRS JANICE MOSS	
in the support the prop	2 SYLAMORE WAY	
	CARRINGTON GARDENS,	HUMBERSTON

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the p	roposal ,
park Mysterge was seen and an analysis against the see an analysis and	PISMITH
	33 HAWTHOR UF RD
	CARVING TO W WARDENS
يمو هذه الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

	22/0/0
T.	40,0
KINLAY	
	FINZAT

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

in the second			
10	Harthan	no Pord	
	TOTAL TROP	ra suad	

IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24 b lawthrope
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
We support the proposal MICK AND HOLES PANT
4 SUCALIDE WAY, CARRINGTON GIS. HULBERS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW I/We support the proposal Alaska Advantage and Walter Solight 31 3laska Harra Aug.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
1/We support the proposal- 29 HAWTHORNERD DN36 4ZA

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

(toria	1 a	Alma	Hill	* ************************************	
				ted slip a couple of da	ys -Thank you-
	28	HAWTHORNE	RD		
	TO A	LL RESIDENTS OF	FCARRINGTON	GARDENS	
		IMPORTANT	INFORMATIO	N	
		28	3/6/24		
bungalows and fan copy of an email se	nily houses int by Joh ouncil Plan	on land to the n and Sue Sparl ning Officer wh	rear of our exing and Walte	he proposed construisting development. Ir and Dianne Sleight In overseeing a	One page is a to Mr Richard
passed to Longhurs Service Manager N	t Manage leeting on	rs on 9/5/24 (no 18/7/24?) IF Yo	o reply to date OU AGREE W	t the proposed build but possibly on the ITH PROPOSAL, ITEI I YOUR SUPPORT BE	agenda of the

4 HAWTHERNE RD We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

i/We support the proposal
MRS. S. HARRISON
1 SYCAMORE WAY
LARRINGTOR GARDENS. HUMBERSTON
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on $9/5/24$ (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the
Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
mo en sila
9. Sycamore way

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

-------We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal
7, SYCAMORE WAY
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
10 Sycamore Way

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal				****	
**************************************	<u> 38</u>	HAWTE	IORNE	ROAD	
CARRINGTON) GA	RAEUS	HUM	BERSTON	£
ひいるん エフト					days -Thank you-

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal	
	,
Wirely (tol)	
**************************************	33 T3 LACKTHORN AUF
	PN36 42B
We sha	all collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED) PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal	
6 Sucamore Was	
	ouple of days -Thank you-

https://systmonline.tpp-uk.com/2/RequestMedication

Medication Order Confirmation

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/)We support the proposal	•	
Due Havey.	•	
1 am very new hore	(2 wks) but value the que	2/
(37 Hawkan) We shall co	ollect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-	

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal - Mary Korshaw 34 Howthome Rd,
Fully supports above

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

support the proposal	C-A-	Cort	1200	J	0.70 GB GB GB HE HE HE HE HE
219 d au M	ronder	Com	Shis	DN36	1222
V	Ve shall collec	ct your complete	d slip a coupl	e of days -Tha	nk you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support	the p	roposa	1		1			
and the second s			Komo	une	1 gr	berr	1	
APT	1	3	Black	thorn	A	10	A THE ARE NOT THE PER COLUMN THE ARE NOT THE AREA OF T	a sector leading.
)		Y	Lumber	Stor	1		
	TO SO AND		We shall	collect your	completed	slip a couple	of days -Thank	you-

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

We support the proposal		
A-SLOAW	J. SLOAN	

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal

3	Blackthorn	Ave	Apt Z	Humbersten
	T	M364	28	
o		-We shall colle	ct your completed	I slip a couple of days -Thank you

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support th	ne proposa	al			
	MIKE	AYRE			
	FLA	74	E MANAGEMENT SECRETARISM SERVICES SECRETARISM SECRETAR		MONTH STORY AND AND THE SERVICE AND
		We shall	collect your com	npleted slip a couple	of days -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW				
I/We support the proposal				
We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-				
8 HAWTHORNE RD.				
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS				
IMPORTANT INFORMATION				
28/6/24				
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.				
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED). PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW				
I/We support the proposal				
33 HAWANDRIE 1(1). CARRINGION GARDENS				

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
We support the proposal TOHN " SUE SPARLING II SYCAMOLE WAY
11 SYCAMOKE WAT
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
support the proposal
MRS. S GOODWIN.

1, HANTHORNE

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

	Margarek Lumby No. 25
	We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-
TO AI	L RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
	IMPORTANT INFORMATION
	28/6/24
bungalows and family houses copy of an email sent by John	es of information regarding the proposed construction of on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard ning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated se from him as yet.
passed to Longhurst Managers Service Manager Meeting on 1	equest for information about the proposed build which was son 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the L8/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7
I/We support the proposal	
Dennis and 25. HAWTHO	LINDA GELFFIN

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal
Jacks Smith
We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days - Thank you-
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal TRACTY GENNEY
23, HAUTHORNE ROAD. HUMBERSTON.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED). PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
22 THAWTHORNE
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SURPORT BELOW
//We support the proposal

-------We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

l/w support the	e proposal			
5	Sycamore	Way	Barringto	n golns.
	()		eted slip a couple of o	V

TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal	BLACKTHORN	
	e shall collect your completed slip	a couple of days -Thank you-

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal -
11 Hauthore
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
12. Hankorne. Pd.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the

Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
We support the proposal SUZATING & IAN SMALLHOOD.
14 HAWTHORNE
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
1/We support the proposal 13 HAWATORN D CARRING TON CARDENS

---We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

As (a)concerned relative(s) / Resident(s), I/we support the	friend (s) of (a)Carrington Gdns.
Name of resident—Betty	Scott 19 HAWTHORNE RD-
Signed:	(Daughter)

Proposal - That Blackthorn Ave should continue round the proposed bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from the family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or similar In that way the special features of the over 55s community. Carrington Gardens WHICH WE ALL BOUGHT INTO CAN BE PRESERVED

As (a)concerned relative(s) / friend (s) of (a)Carrington Gdns
Resident(s), I/we support the proposal as highlighted above
Name of resident Anne Szwechlowicz (confidential)
signed: - Daughter
Son in Iaa
- Grand Son
- Cranddaughter

7. Proposal - That Blackthorn Ave should continue round the proposed bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from the family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or similar In that way the special features of the over 55s community. Carrington Gardens WHICH WE ALL BOUGHT INTO CAN BE PRESERVED

As (a)concerned relative(s) Resident(s), #/we support t		
Name of resident-		
Signed:	DAUGHTER	

Item 3 - Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston - DM/0495/24/ FUL



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 19th June 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 18th June 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0495/24/FUL

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external

works including the creation of parking spaces

Location: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston

No objections and the Village Council would support the comments and recommendations of conditions from the Heritage Officer.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Miss Linda Hague

Address: 113 Humberston Fitties Grimsby DN36 4EZ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:On reading the support statement from Tingdene there are a few inaccuracies. The COMMUNITY room which was converted from public toilets by way of a Lottery grant was used continuously by the Neighbourhood Watch and FORAB. NW used it as a command centre and FORAB as a meeting place for meetings etc.

After Tingdene took over they stated that we (NW & FORAB) would be able to continue to use the facility but we would have to pay the Ground Rent and service charge, which in the past we did not have to do. Therefore because we could not afford it we had to decline.

Tingdene then took over the building and turned it into an office. For these purposes an extension is not required as the 'public' or residents do not use it for a meeting place anymore. Building on the land it sits on will also take away the green space which is one of the main reasons for a conservation area.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jacqueline Nixon

Address: 148 Humberston Fitties Humberston Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This is on an area that has an abundance of wildlife and these people have no respect for the wildlife that was here long before they were. The building that is there is quite adequate for what they use it for so I cannot see any reason why it needs to be bigger.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Alex Hamilton

Address: 3 First Main Road Humberston Fitties Chalet Park Humberston, Cleethorpes DN36 4EU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This building was transformed from a toilet block to a community centre using lottery grant funding by the community for the community. It was created for tenants to meet each other socially and do things socially such as have book clubs, coffee mornings, meetings etc.

It has been used by HFTA, holding open events for tenants to socialise and share experiences.

More recently the Neighbourhood Watch has used it as a command centre, conducting flood watch activities and a focal point to help vulnerable owners in times of utilities outages - planned or unexpected.

When the Parish Council withdrew its funding, the tenants' group could not afford to finance the cost of taking over the lease itself out of its limited members fees. The locks were changed, existing users were excluded.

The current plans show that the space was reconfigured internally to a commercial office space, but I am not aware of any planning application for change of use. This must be addressed before planning considers expansion of this sole use to avoid endorsing a change of use accidentally by default.

Matters relating to owners' enquiries and the viewing of service charge information are an office administrative function, not a community gathering.

An area created on the side of the building, surrounded by high metal fences, appears to be used as general storage for building materials, plant hire equipment, skips etc, all of which is unsightly

and detracts from the conservation area. I am not clear where this storage has been incorporated into the plans.

I am not privy to the reasons why a site office was deemed necessary over and above the existing area facilities at Mablethorpe, but I do know that I have not seen any information in the application which helps me to understand why the applicant now also needs to add two further offices and dramatically increase the number of staff employed to use them.

If it is no longer a community centre, true to the purpose for which it was brought into being, let's just be honest and rename it an office, considering all that goes with it.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Bright

Address: 30 Humberston Fitties Humberston DN36 4EU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The design, access and heritage statement from architects Ross Davey accompanying this application states in its introduction. "The proposal is for alterations and extensions to the Humberston Fitties Community Room with associated external works".

This building is no longer a community room and had a change of use some years ago when it was converted to a company site office. The change of use included dividing the building into office space and office equipment has been added. It is a place of work for Tingdene employees and the community no longer has access to this building unless Tingdene employees are on site. It's use is now class E commercial, business and service from class F2b community halls and meeting places under the current planning use classes. This change of use was carried out without planning permission and must be addressed before this planning application can be considered.

The proposed plans and elevations submitted with this application show two new offices being added which reinforces the fact that this is now class E use. The Tingdene supporting statement submitted makes the claim that this building is a "meeting place for holiday homeowners to attend should they wish to discuss any matters relating to their holiday home or the lease" and "the facility has also been utilised by members of the FOTA and the CIC to view the service charge". This is completely disingenuous, the principle use is an office related to Tingdene's business, and these two items above are entirely related to that business. The statement goes on to say, "The application relating to reconfiguration of the community office space is primarily due to us providing an office space that is in keeping with modern workplace demands", this clearly identifies this office as a workplace and the primary use is an office.

Considering the application itself, this former toilet block does nothing to preserve or enhance the conservation area and the compound at the side of this is used to house a skip and other equipment which further detracts from the conservation area. Extending this building would cause further harm to the conservation area and Policy 39 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013 to 2032 (Conserving and enhancing the historic environment) states that proposals will be permitted where they would sustain the cultural distinctiveness and significance of the North East Lincolnshire historic, urban, rural and coastal environment by preserving and, where appropriate, enhancing the character, appearance, significance and historic value of designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings. Extending a former toilet block clearly does not meet this requirement.

The importance of considering the impact of new development on the significance of designated assets is in section 16 of the 2023 National Planning Policy Framework and It states, "when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the assets conservation (and the more important the asset, the great the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm. Any harm to, or loss to, the significance of a designated heritage asset (from its alteration or destruction, or from development within its setting), should require clear and convincing justification. There is no clear and convincing justification for this office extension.

The National Planning Policy Framework goes on to state, "where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal. There are no public benefits from this proposal, this application is for the benefit of a private company and as stated by the applicant in its supporting documentation is "is primarily due to us providing an office space that is in keeping with modern workplace demands".

For these reasons, this planning application should be refused and the change of use without planning permission, further investigated.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Lesley Parry

Address: 311A Humberston Fitties Humberston Grimsbyl DN36 4HB

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I object to this application for the following reasons.

Firstly I would like the change of use from a community room to a Tingdene office, which is surely a change of use requiring planning permission, to be investigated. There would appear to be no permission applied for or granted to this effect.

This building is an old toilet block and as such is not in keeping with the chalets around it and the conservation area. Nothing can disguise it's former use and I feel extending this unsightly building will only reinforce this. The yard surrounding this block resembles a scrap yard with a permanent yellow skip in situ. Very unappealing for visitors and owners to view. The building at present seems adequate for the amount of staff using it, do I would question why the need to extend exists.

Finally, I would like to question who would actually pay for this as I fear it would fall on the chalet owners.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Andrew Townsend

Address: 315 Humberston Fitties Humberston DN36 4HB

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Porch - this extends beyond the existing building line to the front of the building and the purpose of this is not clear as there is already a reception. We specifically object to that element as is in plain sight of our property

Materials - it is not clear what materials will be used and there are often stated restrictions on what can and can't be used on the site

Commercial property - how it came to be is before our time on the Fitties but our concern is that this now looks to be a commercial property and this may open up the opportunity of change in use and one of the reasons we bought this property was that it is not on the main road and is quiet Timing of works - we back directly onto the building and this is our holiday home. If permission was granted, we would request that that work is completed in January and February when over night stays on the site are not permitted to lessen the impact of the inevitable noise, dust and disruption through the summer months when we will be on holiday there

Parking places - if permission was granted, we would not object to that element as would prevent random parking outside of our property

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Miss Katherine Teakle

Address: 85 Main Road Humberston Fitties DN36 4EU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Response to Tingdene Statement...clarifications and factual accuracies.

Apologies for the misleading word of 'taken'

On receipt of the 125 year Lease, Sarah Newson asked Neighbourhood Watch if she could borrow the key to the Community Room.

This was a permanent borrow as the keys were never returned.

'Community Room' is a misnomer.

It is a Tingdene commercial business office only..there is no community activity based there.

Was Planning Permission applied for, for change of use?

There is a serious Public Health issue that needs resolving.

Humberston Fitties is a 60 acre site with no Public Conveniences.

This area is a destination point.

RSPB Tetney Marsh

The Humber Mouth Yacht Club

The Public rights of way, Bridleways and Cycleways to Tetney Humberston Cleethorpes

The Beach and SaltMarsh

The Fitties Chalets and our very own network of open space, paths and tracks.

Thousands of visitors, with no provision for human waste.

The Landlord and Superior Landlord have a responsibility to address this.

We have a brick built 'municiple' Toilet Block, that was altered (with significant funding) early 2000 to become a Community Room, that from 2017/18 Tingdene chose to use as their Office.

The building would provide bodily relief and comfort as was originally intended when first constructed.

My point is that this building should revert to that first original function.

My statements are neither false nor misleading.

FOR INFORMATION

The Covid Virus in faeces.

During the acute Coronavirus episodes, there was a surge, an influx of visitors for recreation.

Owners experienced people poohing and peeing all over the site; the beach, vacant plots, the dunes and private gardens.

The behaviour continues, with the volume of visitors only increasing.

A health hazard.

Feces of people with mild COVID can harbor viral genetic ...Stanford Medicinehttps://med.stanford.edu news all-news 2022/04

Can SARS-CoV-2 be transmitted via faeces? - PMC - NCBINational Institutes of Health (NIH) (.gov)https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov articles PMC8654121

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Change of use from a community room to a mixed community and office use, erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works including the creation of parking spaces (amended plans July 2024 and revised description August 2024)

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Miss Katherine Teakle

Address: 85 Main Road Humberston Fitties DN36 4EZ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The Planning Department need to be reminded, yet again that this building has not been used as a Community Room since Tingdene took over in 2017.

No activities, no meetings, no functions, no events, no gatherings generated from within, for communal use.

So to even call it a community room is disingenuous and untruthful.

It is their business office.

I suggest you familiarise yourselves with the Tingdene postal address for our site for the past 7 years.....

Humberston Fitties, 1st Main Road, Humberston, DN36 4EZ...

.....it does not exist.

A serious Data Protection issue ??

Mrs Harwood, who lived at 1, 1st Main Road constantly had mail delivered there, addressed to Tingdene, some from residents/owners, which she refused to accept.

UNDELIVERED

Association with Tingdene is kept to a minimum by the majority of owners; the choice of using their office for recreational amenity purposes, would be balked at now.

Over 40 chalets are for sale...an indicator of deep disquiet; the small vestige of 'community' is constantly chipped away at, through their pernicious business modus.....

.....the latest being threats to remove our Community Notice Board.

Yours in honesty and good faith

Katie Teakle

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Miss Katherine Teakle

Address: 85 Main Road HUMBERSTON FITTIES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Belonged to Humberston Parish Council...peppercorn rent paid by Fitties Owners and Residents. Lottery Grants awarded. Taken by Tingdene. Nothing to do with Community now whatsoever. Their Office.

Need Public Loos urgently.....this was were they were originally sited! Now have visitors defaecating/urinating, using dunes, bushes private gardens. Please return to original use. THANK YOU.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs pat burton

Address: 4 Clayfield Avenue mexborough south yorkshire S64 0HY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

we own 313 fitties and have done for many years, its quite a peaceful place for us and we would really love to keep it that way, we strongly object to this proposal as we believe it will take away all that peace and tranquility, tingdene have no respect or thought to anyone but themselves and pound notes, why would they need to put the office there? normally respectable landlords would place it nearer entrance where people could check in and

.out,apart from the footfall it will bring where are customers going to park their cars? how many parking places are there going to be? people already block our drive when they come up to walk their dogs or go to beach its bad enough as it is,they cant be trusted to stick to plans so why should we expect this to be any different, not a prayer,they have already sold off the 2 plots behind us where there havent been any chalets on for years which we strongly disagree with,we feel this is just a bit too far now why dont they just move in and have done,when we confronted jessica about these so called plots we asked her how we would access our drive she curtly replied all nonchalant and without a care said well youll have to use another entrance, no bloody way we were her first, im so very sick to the back teeth of tingdenes bullying and the council being complicit in their actions,we have paid our dues and demands in full for many years now surely we and many others are the ones council should be looking after we are TOTALLY DIGUSTED WITH THIS BEHAVIOUR BEING ALLOWED TO HAPPEN

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs pat burton

Address: 4 Clayfield Avenue mexborough south yorkshire S64 0HY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

we own 313 fitties and have done for many years, its quite a peaceful place for us and we would really love to keep it that way, we strongly object to this proposal as we believe it will take away all that peace and tranquility, tingdene have no respect or thought to anyone but themselves and pound notes, why would they need to put the office there? normally respectable landlords would place it nearer entrance where people could check in and

.out,apart from the footfall it will bring where are customers going to park their cars? how many parking places are there going to be? people already block our drive when they come up to walk their dogs or go to beach its bad enough as it is,they cant be trusted to stick to plans so why should we expect this to be any different, not a prayer,they have already sold off the 2 plots behind us where there havent been any chalets on for years which we strongly disagree with,we feel this is just a bit too far now why dont they just move in and have done,when we confronted jessica about these so called plots we asked her how we would access our drive she curtly replied all nonchalant and without a care said well youll have to use another entrance, no bloody way we were her first, im so very sick to the back teeth of tingdenes bullying and the council being complicit in their actions,we have paid our dues and demands in full for many years now surely we and many others are the ones council should be looking after we are TOTALLY DIGUSTED WITH THIS BEHAVIOUR BEING ALLOWED TO HAPPEN

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mr Tom Cannon

Address: 9 Spall Close Scartho Top Grimsby DN33 3GL

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Relating to the ongoing use of the host building, statements made by the applicant stand out, in as much as they are inaccurate and misleading. It refers to the building's use as a 'Community Room'. It has not been used as this for a number of years, and is in fact used as an office for the Fitties site's management company. As such it is being used as a commercial premises, for which no Change of Use was ever sought. Any planning irregularities should be addressed before this planning application is processed.

Should this building still be deemed by the decision makers to be a commercial office, the following items should be considered:-

1 Parking - currently, three parking spaces are indicated on the site plan. Given that the proposed building provides 3 offices and 1 reception, even this parking provision is likely to fall short by one parking space. Where do chalet owners who are being invited to attend to discuss matters relating to their holiday home or the lease park their cars? Parking on roadsides and verges is not permitted. In addition, no accessible parking is indicated.

2 Green transport - what secure cycle parking is proposed, where will it be positioned, and what will it look like?

3 Access - being a commercial building open to the public, the main entrance should be fully accessible and as such should be 'level access'. External ramps and handrails will therefore be required, which should be shown on the drawings so that their visual impact can be assessed. Currently they are not.

4 EV Charging - none is indicated. In order to eventually comply with the Building Regulations, at least one EVCP should be provided (App Doc S).

5 Heating, cooling, venting - what means are proposed, and will this bring about the need for externally mounted plant. What noise will this emit?

6 Refuse - where will the bins be located, and how many will there be ? Will they be screened from view by a sympathetically designed secure structure ? Can the existing refuse skip be categorically got rid of, never to return ?

Regarding the general appearance of the proposals, a 'to match existing' approach has been taken. This does not accord with the approved design guidance document, The Fitties Chalet Design Guide. Regarding external works, all surfaces should again follow the above guidance, but no materials are specified within the submitted information. The opportunity has therefore not been taken to 'preserve and enhance', and harm is caused to the Fitties Conservation Area.

On the subject of ecology - with any new development the opportunity should be taken to improve biodiversity. No such measures are being proposed here. Species-rich planting, green roofs, bird/bat boxes, could all be provided, but are not being.

Due to all of the above Planning irregularities, design short fallings, and lack of compliance with approved planning guidance, I must express my objection to these proposals.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jayne Rendall

Address: 175 185 Humberston Road Humberston DN36 4HD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I do not think the applicant has submitted enough information for a decision to be made. This is clearly set out by Louise Jennings the NELC Conservation Officer in her comment. Any development of this former toilet, now office should be in keeping with the Chalet Design Guide and use appropriate materials. Car parking should not be large slabs of concrete. The application does not make a clear enough argument as to why further office space is needed. Please see below:

It is noted that this building is constructed differently to the majority of the chalets on this site, however this is a sensitive conservation area and any alterations to this building need to be sensitive to entire site and this means that any changes should follow the design guide. The proposed materials are not included in the plans, but they are listed in the Heritage Assessment/Design and access statement. As previously stated, the alterations should be in materials that are sensitive to the entire fitties site rather than just to match this building. To this end, all fenestration should be timber, the extension should be finished in timber with no brick on view. The roof should not be concrete tile but felt or metal and any barge boards or soffits should also be timber.

The new parking spaces should not include any further hardstanding or other material such as gravel. Grasscrete could be used to support additional parking without further eroding the valuable open space that is currently here

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0495/24/FUL

Address: Community Office Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect single storey extensions to front and side with associated internal/external works

including the creation of parking spaces

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Denise Stoker

Address: 114 First Main Road Humberston Fitties Cleethorpes DN36 4EZ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I would like to support this application. It may be that more offices would mean more privacy when wanting to discuss problems, without people walking in on your discussions. I'm sure people benefit from having an office on site to speak face to face, rather than via phone to staff in Mablethorpe.

I can't see how extending this place will affect anyone.

Item 4 - 166 Weelsby Road Grimsby - DM/0245/24/FUL

North East Lincolnshire Planning Municipal Offices Town Hall Square Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 1HU



Tel: 01472 326289 Option 1

Contact Details: -

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
DM/0245/24/FUL	For reconsideration following many changes and amendments to meet the needs of planning

contact Details.	
SignatureEL Clough	20.08.24
NameEmma Clough	
Address:Town Hall Grimsby	

North East Lincolnshire Planning Municipal Offices, Town Hall Square, Grimsby, N E Lincolnshire, DN31 1HU (01472) 313131 W www.nelincs.gov.uk





Item 5 - Freshney Cottage Post Office Lane Ashby Cum Fenby - DM/0323/24/FUL From: clerk@ashbycumfenbypc.com <clerk@ashbycumfenbypc.com>

Sent: Sunday, May 19, 2024 5:56 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning Comment - Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council

Good afternoon,

Please may I submit the following comment on behalf of Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council.

Planning Application Reference: DM/0323/24/FUL

Proposal: Erect single storey extension to side and rear to provide additional stores area. Location: Freshney Cottage Post Office Lane Ashby Cum Fenby North East Lincolnshire Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council recommends refusal of this application due to lack of information on the application as it appears on the NELC Planning Portal.

Kind regards

Tanya Kuzemczak Clerk to Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council

https://ashbycumfenbypc.com

The information in this message including any attachments may be confidential or privileged and is for the use of the named recipient only. If you are not the named or intended recipient you may not copy, distribute, or deliver this message to anyone or take any action in reliance on it. If you receive this message in error please contact Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council immediately by email and delete it from your system. Scanned by Anti Virus Software.

Planning - IGE (Equans)

From: clerk@ashbycumfenbypc.com

Sent: 05 August 2024 21:20 **To:** Planning - IGE (Equans)

Subject: Ashby cum Fenby Planning Comments

Good morning,

Please may I submit the following comment on behalf of Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council.

Planning Application Reference: DM/0323/24/FUL

Proposal: Erect single storey extension to side and rear to provide additional stores area - AMENDED PLANS (EXTENSION MOVED AWAY FROM NEIGHBOUR BOUNDARY) Location: Freshney Cottage Post Office Lane Ashby Cum Fenby North East Lincolnshire

Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council recommends refusal of the application as it stands on grounds that there is insufficient information available on both the dimensions and the use.

Kind regards

Tanya Kuzemczak Clerk to Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council

https://ashbycumfenbypc.com

The information in this message including any attachments may be confidential or privileged and is for the use of the named recipient only. If you are not the named or intended recipient you may not copy, distribute, or deliver this message to anyone or take any action in reliance on it. If you receive this message in error please contact Ashby cum Fenby Parish Council immediately by email and delete it from your system. Scanned by Anti Virus Software.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0323/24/FUL

Address: Freshney Cottage Post Office Lane Ashby Cum Fenby North East Lincolnshire DN37

0QS

Proposal: Erect single storey extension to side and rear to provide additional stores area - AMENDED PLANS (EXTENSION MOVED AWAY FROM NEIGHBOUR BOUNDARY)

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stephen Dunk

Address: The Cottage, Post Office Lane. Ashby-Cum-Fenby N.E. Lincolnshire DN37 0QS

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: As previously stated there are concerns regarding this planning application.

I am unsure what the measurement of 335 on drawing A001 on the plan insert is. The boundary is 1500mm from The Cottage wall so 335mm is a measurement onto my land and irrelevant to this application.

Also with the boundary being 1500mm from The Cottage wall an extension/store wall cannot be 1500mm from my wall as footings would put an extension/store wall at least 150mm away from the boundary. As previously stated It would better suited if the new store was kept in line with the existing garage which would also aid in general maintenance around the store.

The height of the new store is now shown as over a metre higher than the garage it is attached to. This will certainly block daylight from my property. I would request the height be reduced to in line with what is already in place with it being so close to the boundary.

There are still a lot of measurements missing from this application which I believe should be provided to better judge the scale of this additional store area.

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0323/24/FUL

Address: Freshney Cottage Post Office Lane Ashby Cum Fenby North East Lincolnshire DN37

0QS

Proposal: Erect single storey extension to side and rear to provide additional stores area

Case Officer: Lauren Birkwood

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stephen Dunk

Address: The Cottage, Post Office Lane. Ashby-Cum-Fenby N.E. Lincolnshire

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: As discussed on the phone call I have several concerns and factors why I believe the application (DM/0323/24/FUL) should not be granted in its current state.

I believe the boundary line shown on the application to the east of the conifer hedgerow is incorrect.

The notes on drawing A001 states that, ALL NECESSARY DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORK IS PUT TO HAND. DO NOT SCALE

There are no dimensions on drawing (A001) and it cannot be scaled so it is difficult to determine how far Mr Griffin wishes to build past the boundary.

Planning approval was granted in 2011 (DC/1039/09/WAB) which amongst other things gave approval for the area to the west of "The Cottage" to be landscaped (Landscaping plan 2157-300 Rev B). If the conifers are removed and the new building was erected up to where Mr Griffin says the new boundary could be, then this would encroach onto my land with the potential of the footings and/or guttering overhanging further. This application in its current state contradicts the previous approved planning application by "The Cottage".

Please see attached picture of the access I have around my house at the moment. My path and drainage access extends to 1500mm from my house wall to the conifers and the 2nd picture shows my drainage access further north of my property past my chimney which is 1500mm from my house wall. Without dimensions on drawing A001 it isn't possible to determine where My Griffin wishes to build, but closer than 1500mm from my house would interfere with my pathways and my drainage.

There are no dimensions so it is difficult to judge but the roof of the new building looks a lot higher than the original garage and I am concerned this would block day light from entering the single story part of my house. The conifers have been maintained to allow sunlight in. Could the height of the roof be reduced in line with what is already in place to reduce the impact of daylight to my

property?

I would remove any objection if the application was revised so the new building was kept in line with the existing garage or the footings didn't come closer than 1500mm from my house and the hight reduced.

Item 6 -142 -144 Grimsby Road Cleethorpes -DM/0852/23/FUL North East Lincolnshire Planning Municipal Offices Town Hall Square Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 1HU



Tel: 01472 326289 Option 1

Contact Details: -

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
The planning officer that is dealing with my planning application DM/0852/23/FULL is Owen Toop	The applicant would like to purchase this property to return this to housing. The applicant has contacted me, to discuss her concerns around the application process. I feel as a ward councillor in Sidney Sussex ward that this applicant should be given the opportunity to present her case and show her plans to our planning panel, for further consideration.

contact Betains.	
Signature	Date07.08.2024
NameSophia Farren	
Address: DN31 IHX	







Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0852/23/FUL

Address: 142 - 144 Grimsby Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 7DL

Proposal: Change of use from Doctors surgery to two residential dwellings to include removal of

external rear stairs with associated internal and external works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Peter Gladwin

Address: 158 Grimsby Road Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have seen a number of potential buyers view this property and each one openly discussed they had plans to convert it to HMOs. Because of the flood risk issues with the area this would be most likely be refused.

A lot of these developers try to gain permission for C3 dwellings and the change them to C4 use under permitted development to get around this.

There are already a large number of HMOs in the area so to ensure there are no more, can officers please removed C3 to C4 permitted development rights should they decide to approve the application. This would also ensure no ground floor bedrooms would be placed in a flood risk areas

Item 7 - 159 Humberston Avenue Humberston -DM/0597/24/OUT



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 17th July 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 16th July 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0597/24/OUT

Proposal: Outline application to erect two detached bungalows with associated external works and access with all matters reserved

Location: 159 Humberston Avenue Humberston

Objection – the Village Council has a stated policy that no further infill or 'back-yard' development should be allowed on Humberston Avenue. NELC has been made aware of this policy. The continued permitted development along this road has changed the whole nature and street scene of this historic thoroughfare, impacting on the environment, traffic movements and general infrastructure within Humberston. The Village Council is opposed to any further infill development on Humberston Avenue.

Yours faithfully,

KU Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council

Item 8 - Land At Kings Road Cleethorpes - DM/0215/23/ FUL North East Lincolnshire Planning New Oxford House George Street Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 1HB



Tel: 01472 326289 Option 1

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
DM/0215/23/FUL	If this could be called in to planning committee, there are significant issues for the residents in Haverstoe Ward discuss

Contact Details: -
Cllr K Brookes
Signature Date06/02/2024
NameCllr K Brookes
Address:North East Lincolnshire Council

North East Lincolnshire Planning New Oxford House, George Street, Grimsby, N E Lincolnshire, DN31 1HB (01472) 313131 W www.nelincs.gov.uk







Cleethorpes Light Railway Ltd
Lakeside Station
Kings Road
Cleethorpes
NE Lincolnshire
DN35 0AG
Tel: 01472 604657

Website: www.cclr.co.uk Email: info@cclr.co.uk

Parking Manager - Parking Services
Places & Communities North - NEL

4th May 2023

Dear Ms Bromley,

Planning Application: DM/0215/23/FUL | Change of use to create formalised motor home parking area | Land At Kings Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire

We refer to the North East Lincolnshire Council (Off-Street Parking Places) Order 2022 (Amendment No.3 2023) that contains a proposal to introduce a parking charge and height barrier on Marine Walk Car Park and introduce a designated area for short term motorhome parking on the southern part of Thrunscoe Land Car Park.

Whilst the Marine Walk element of the proposal receives our backing, the proposed motorhome parking at Thrunscoe Land Car Park creates a number of issues for our business detailed below and for these reasons we object to the above planning application and proposal.

Visual Amenity

We have invested tens of thousands of pounds in improving the approach and entrance to our main station at Lakeside since taking over the business in 2014. This has included a complete new frontage, signwriting on every roller shutter and new planted borders. We are in the process in repainting this as we do like to keep the site in good order and make it as attractive as possible to potential visitors. This has been done not only by our staff team and contractors but also by hard working volunteers who have given up their time to make the railway entrance as appealing as possible to passers-by, both on foot and on King's Road.

As far as we can gather, the proposed motorhome parking would negate all our efforts to create a welcoming and attractive frontage and be a visual eyesore. It would obscure our entrance from potential visitors, especially those passing along Kings Road but also those on foot or cycling from town to Meridian.

Access to the Railway

At least 50% of our visitors begin their time with us at Lakeside Station. Many of these are adults with young children or elderly visitors. With the proposal in place these customers would have to park some considerable distance from the station and be forced to share a narrow access road being used by motorhome drivers who are unfamiliar with the location. In addition we attract many patrons who are infirm or disabled to our pub and cafe and they too would have to make the uncertain journey down the length of the proposed motorhome area: the derisory number of disabled spaces included in the plan is quite inadequate for this need.

Throughout the year but especially during the Spring and Summer months, the railway regularly hosts school parties and other groups who arrive by coach from across the country. We are

concerned that the plan makes no provision for such vehicular access nor for coaches and other delivery vehicles to turn; at present they are able to do so in the designated land space.

Antisocial Behaviour

NELC went to great expense and trouble to install signage and implement rules to lock off the car park to avoid antisocial behaviour from boy racers in the evening. Whilst causing access issues for our business at times, there has undoubtedly been an improvement since this was implemented with a reduction in noise pollution and disturbance.

With the motorhome users requiring access to this part of the car park 24 hours a day, does this mean that they will have a barrier key? Or will the barrier revert to being open at all times? If the latter, then surely this means the antisocial behaviour will return?

Policing the Area

In recent years, Thrunscoe Land Car Park has been home to many motorhomes and those from the travelling community breaking the car parks rules. Two years ago, a community set up residence on the car park and on a regular basis, motorhome users have done the same. In both instances, as far as we could tell, the NELC parking wardens have not acted promptly to resolve the issues.

We are concerned as to how the proposed area will be policed to ensure that all users are only on the site for 48 hours? The present situation does not give us confidence that the Council has or will deploy the resources necessary to manage the proposed site effectively and we can anticipate motorhome users capitalising on such inadequacies.

Provisions

There is nothing in the proposal suggesting that any service provision will be made for the users on the site. The intention may be to host 'self contained units' but these are empty words unless the area is effectively managed on a daily basis.

We already have problems with our facilities - especially our toilets - being used by persons who are not our own customers. Fully securing our buildings against such abuse would impose substantial expenditure and would in any case be difficult given the open and expansive nature of the site.

With no obvious proposals for either water provision or sewage disposal we consider the proposal to be inadequately resourced. We can envisage that site users may make use of our outdoor taps for washing and to replenish their own supplies. In addition we fear that their waste may end up in our drains and their garbage be dumped within our boundaries. We are the closest premises to the proposed site and are extremely concerned by the impact of such misuse.

Use of the designated land by the CCLR

We have in the past used the land in question for events and we have quite recently discussed its future use as an area designated for parking by those visiting our railway. The present proposal totally disregards those discussions and negates such future uses. To this end we would welcome an opportunity to reopen our discussions regarding the productive use of the Thrunscoe Land Car Park.

The Council website records that NE Lincolnshire Council and Equans have engaged with local businesses about this proposal. We have no evidence of this in a formal capacity, yet we are the business most immediately impacted by the scheme.

Alternative Sites

We feel that there are ample sites, both privately-owned and on council land where a designated location for motorhome users could be better established. Creating makeshift parking provision 'on the cheap' within an unserviced Council car park falls well short of the expectations of today's motorhome owners - especially those coming from abroad - who are used to better than this. If the Council is determined to trial the possibilities of such provision then it needs to do so on a properly financed basis in a more suitable location.

In conclusion, we must emphasise that as a forward thinking business, the majority of the time we are sympathetic to, and supportive of the Council's proposals, especially if we feel there is a positive benefit to the resort and in consequence a payoff for our own business. However in this instance, we are very worried about the damage this hastily-planned and expedient proposal would cause to our business. We have made, and continue to make major reinvestments in our business, demonstrating our commitment to the town and the community, and we do not wish the success of the developments to be compromised by a plan that could very easily and more appropriately be implemented elsewhere.

We trust that our arguments above will be fully taken into account when the planning proposal is discussed. We are sorry to have to respond so negatively to the scheme. We look forward to hearing your reaction to our views and invite you to contact us to arrange a meeting to discuss the future use of the Thrunscoe Land Car Park.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Bryant & John Kerr

Directors of Cleethorpes Coast Light Railway

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0215/23/FUL

Address: Land At Kings Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Change of use to create formalised motor home parking area (additional supporting

documents)|cr|

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

Customer Details

Name: Teresa Aspinall

Address: 27 Barrow Road Barton Upon Humber

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Good afternoon

I'm in support, most definitely.

For quite a few years I have enjoyed staying on St Anthony's bank car park. Always cleared rubbish mostly left by people in their cars after grabbing a takeaway, so as not to spoil the area that I'd enjoyed by many. I understand a small minority may have contributed to this being stopped.

I will say though, that young adults in their cars soon took over making far far more mess behind and anti social behaviour than we campers ever did. I saw needles, contraceptives, gas canisters everywhere.

Moving on, I definitely support this proposal. Something is needed, especially when, most of the time camp sites in Cleethorpes are either closed (winter) or full.

Also keeping costs down by providing an area for Motorhomers brings significantly money to the area.... I and others eat out and shop regularly when we save on "camp fees". So please, keep the cost down.

Surely with underused land sat waiting it makes perfect sense to pass planning for an area such as this.

Furthermore, England in general could doing with taking a look at countries like France and even ti sone extent Scotland, who even provide picnic tables and fire pits. I am not saying this s necessary, but it goes to show the movement needs to be to allow not deny parking/overnight stops from r the benefit to the whole area.

Lastly, if still doubting whether to pass this? Why not start with a trial? What harm is there in that. Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Kind regards

Teresa

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0215/23/FUL

Address: Land At Kings Road Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Change of use to create formalised motor home parking area (additional supporting

documents)|cr|

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

Customer Details

Name: Ms Teresa Aspinall

Address: 27 Barrow Road Barton Upon Humber

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Good afternoon

I'm in support, most definitely.

For quite a few years I have enjoyed staying on St Anthony's bank car park. Always cleared rubbish mostly left by people in their cars after grabbing a takeaway, so as not to spoil the area that I'd enjoyed by many. I understand a small minority may have contributed to this being stopped.

I will say though, that young adults in their cars soon took over making far far more mess behind and anti social behaviour than we campers ever did. I saw needles, contraceptives, gas canisters everywhere.

Moving on, I definitely support this proposal. Something is needed, especially when, most of the time camp sites in Cleethorpes are either closed (winter) or full.

Also keeping costs down by providing an area for Motorhomers brings significantly money to the area.... I and others eat out and shop regularly when we save on "camp fees". So please, keep the cost down.

Surely with underused land sat waiting it makes perfect sense to pass planning for an area such as this.

Furthermore, England in general could doing with taking a look at countries like France and even ti sone extent Scotland, who even provide picnic tables and fire pits. I am not saying this s necessary, but it goes to show the movement needs to be to allow not deny parking/overnight stops from r the benefit to the whole area.

Lastly, if still doubting whether to pass this? Why not start with a trial? What harm is there in that. Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Kind regards

Teresa