Item 1 - Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston - DM/0353/24/ REM



# **Humberston Village Council**

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 22<sup>nd</sup> May 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 21st May 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0353/24/REM

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM


**Location: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston** 

No objections to development of the remainder of the site as it has outline permission for development already, but members were disappointed to see the green 'quadrangle' settings of the original master plan design changed and would prefer more green corridors internally on the site rather than just around the boundaries.

Yours faithfully,

KU Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council



# **Humberston Village Council**

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 7<sup>th</sup> August 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> August 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0353/24/REM

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and house type plans July 2024.

**Location: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston** 

No objections but the Village Council note the objections of residents and the residents' petition submitted and would hope that the Planning Committee takes full consideration of the proposed traffic movements which would impact on residents as a result of further development.

Yours faithfully,

KU Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council

-1 AUG 2024

Dear Mr. Limmer,
With regard to your reference
DM/0353/24/REM Planning application
variations.

As residents of Carrington Gardens over 55s complex, we both strongly object to the proposed new road which has been suggested, the reasons for our objections are listed in Messrs. Sparling and Sleights letter sent to you on the 04/07/2024 and since then the site had over 50 vehicles dumped by parents visiting an event by Humberston school on Saturday 13th July Some parked on pavements and blocking ramps, this proposed road shall make the site totally unsafe for our disabled and those suffering with sight and hearing problems, we are struggling to stop speeding, parking, noise and other problems without having a road running through the site which will allow families access and other vehicles on site which are not wanted!

I have contacted friends family and customers who visit regularly and can obtain signatures of objection to the proposal if required.

A letter of reply would be appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Michael and Kristina Plant 4 Sycamore Way Humberston Grimsby DN364ZU

27/07/2024

From: john sparling

Date: Tue, Jun 25, 2024 at 10:56 AM

Subject: DM0353/24/REM Master Plan rear of existing Carrington Gardens

To: <richard.limmer@nelincs.gov.uk>

Dear Richard Limmer,

We are residents of Carrington Gardens with some serious safety concerns about planned further residential building to the rear of this development.

These concerns have been raised in writing to Longhurst Managers (see attached) but to date we have had no response. There is a Longhurst Service Managers Meeting on Thursday 18th July when maybe they are planning to announce their proposals to remedy the situation? However, seeing as the Council's date for making its decision is the very next day and our Site Manager is now off on annual leave, the general feeling is that we must address the issues ourselves 'to be on the safe side'.

First, can you confirm that there will be 30 bungalows or alternative suitable accommodation for over 55s that will be in addition to the current housing stock of Carrington Gardens please?

Secondly, the major safety concern that we have is the prospect of additional traffic arising from 30 'over 55' residences plus 179 family houses and then more family traffic from the existing Westleigh Estate choosing to exit along Blackthorn Avenue to the junction of Humberston Ave. Please also note that we already have parents blocking that junction to drop off and pick up and we have additional vehicular traffic entering/exiting Humberston House.

In Grimsby Telegraph June 20th it was announced that there is a 'host' of new double yellow lines to be introduced in the borough. Oh no! Just what is needed! - 'Humberston Ave/B1219 including near Tetney Rd This location is related to school parking Issues there. On the HumberstonAve location Cllr Swinburn said 'The safety of children around these areas is paramount for this authority' Where will the parents now try to get close to the school? Why not - Carrington Gardens? Humberston House?

Our proposal, which will divert traffic from all new-build Westleigh homes back through that development to its existing main entry/exit further along Humberston Avenue and not out through Carrington Gardens which looks likely to become a potentially hazardous pinch point. Ideally the over 55s area could be fenced around as it is at present but we have researched other areas and have seen bollards used in similar situations eg MarionWay/Woodhall Drive, Waltham, Rennaissance, New Waltham and Becklands, Toll Bar However these would prevent vehicular access only not electric scooters etc.. We also have an informal 'OK' from the fire brigade. We shall be very grateful for your help and advice so that we can pass on accurate information to other residents as required.

Would it be possible for us to meet you? Thank you in anticipation for your attention to this matter. Yours sincerely,

John and Susan Sparling ,Walter and Dianne Sleight

Carrington Gardens Residents' Meeting with Longhurst Home Ownership Managers AS (Snr Manager and LM Line Manager of SN) 9/5/24

1A Questions about future purchase and development of next phase of bungalows seeing as we weren't informed before Humberston House transactions were imminent

### Final Plans/decisions?

- 1. What is happening? Very worrying:-Land is up for sale. Rumours abound!
- 2. If the intention for Blackthorn Ave is that the road continues thru the gates to the rear of the existing Carrington Gdns bungalows and joins thru to the Family Housing on Westleigh it will cause serious problems for Carrington Gardens as it is now!
- 3. Increased traffic vehicular, including HGVs, motor bikes, pedal bikes, scooters and pedestrians. .
- 4. Less safe and secure for residents! Potential for kids and teens to be playing or hanging around, speeding, noise, damage and disturbance
- 5. Carrington Gardens has residents who are partially sighted, deaf or hard of hearing, lacking mobility, unsteady. many who live alone. Now they can confidently walk around the development at their own pace for exercise, leisure and to socialise and walk their little dogs. These pleasures will be threatened by a busy road cutting through. Not forgetting that we already have additional comings and goings around Humberston House.
- 6. Need traffic 'GIVEWAY SIGN/ROAD MARKINGS at the junction of Humberston House and Blackthorn Ave?
- 7. Proposal That Blackthorn Ave should continue round the proposed bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from the family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or similar In that way the special features of the over 55s community, Carrington Gardens WHICH WE ALL BOUGHT INTO CAN BE PRESERVED
- 8. See attached plan

32 Hawthorne Road, Humberston, DN36 4ZA.

29th July, 2024.



Dear Sirs.

# Planning Application Ref: DM/0353/24/REM

All of the residents at the location of Carrington Gardens are required to be a minimum of 55 years of age. In effect, we are all retired, some of whom are over 90 years of age. There are numerous people who require walking aids and wheelchairs. There are also some residents who have dogs. Many of them would find stopping in an emergency to avoid a vehicle virtually impossible. Numerous hard of hearing residents would also have difficulty hearing approaching traffic.

There are very few footpaths on the Carrington Gardens development. Residents have to use whatever surface is available to them to move about the development. The site is totally unsuitable for the proposed increase in vehicular traffic. We can make a reasonable assumption that many of the proposed new properties will be 2 car families.

I believe Hawthorne Road and Sycamore Way should be no entry roads to the proposed new residents, as they are barely two way carriageways.

The site already receives visitors', commercial and NHS vehicles on a regular basis. The care home has added their visitors', commercial, ambulances, etc. to the only other road on the site.

We also have to contend with the numerous vehicles of the parents dropping off and picking up their children during the morning, lunch and end of school day hours. They already make driving life dangerous and very annoying while we try to exit and enter where we live. Imagine how this will be exacerbated by the increase in traffic from the proposed development. Parents are already using the car parking at the care home as there is inadequate street parking space available.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs. E. Wallis

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Jonathan Col

Address: 35 HAWTHORNE ROAD HUMBERSTON DN36 4ZA

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I wish to state that I am against the proposed plans in their present form. I also wish to make the following comments and requests for conditions to be placed on the planning application by the officers and planning committee before it is given approval by NELC.

- Traffic Generation
- o Presently the traffic flow on Blackthorne avenue at any period during the day is minimum. Approximately 40 cars held by residents which may not move/ travel daily, Adding 179 family homes in the proposed development will add a minimum 350 cars-assuming two car families. It is assumed that the majority will use Blackthorne Avenue as their route of choice to get to Humberston Avenue, thus increasing the flow not to mention service and delivery vehicle that will add to the number- extra vehicle journeys per day 400-500 +minimum
- Highway Safety
- o The road is presently viable for the limited number of vehicles that use it but the estimated increased level of use is reached the road layout (which is very deceiving visually, especially at the main 90-degree corner outside 3 Blackthorne Avenue) will lead a distinct possibility of a high % of increase in RTC's. There is also the impact of the entrance to Humberston House Care Home that at present does not have a stop or give way signs or markings that will increase that possibility even more.
- o The impact of parents picking up, dropping off their children from school will have a detrimental impact on the traffic flow, instigating a severe pinch point and traffic hold ups as well as reducing the ability for traffic to get out onto Humberston Avenue.

- o Using Blackthorn Avenue as an artery for construction traffic, deliveries etc will cause major problems for the developers due to parking on both sides of the road and at times there will not be enough space for the heavy vehicles to pass through.
- Nature Conservation
- o At present there are a pair of barn owls that are nesting and breeding in the trees are the in the south end of the hedgerow between phases 7 & 8 of the proposed development. The tree line should be kept untouched and allowed to flourish. Mature hedgerows are protected by law during the main breeding season for nesting birds, which runs throughout March August each year. It is an offence under Section 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act of 1981 to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while it is in use or being built, or to intentionally kill, injure or take chicks or adults.
- Environmental Impact---conditions.
- o Due to being close to an already developed residential development-Carrington Gardens conditions of acceptance of planning should be that---The developers to adhere to----no demolition or building work shall be carried out before 8.00 or after 18.00 Monday to Friday inclusive, before 8.00 or after 13.00 on a Saturday and at any time Sundays or Bank Holidays. To protect the nearby amenities of nearby residents and in accordance with policy 5 of the NELC local plan20123-32 adopted in 2018.
- Public/Pedestrian safety.
- o Carrington Gardens is home to many residents who are partially sighted, deaf, hard of hearing or have limited mobility. Increased traffic flow and their need to cross roads to get to the bus stop or post box or shops would be an accident waiting to happen without the relevant input and required measures from the highways department and having the decision to have traffic flow west wards through the major development and not via Blackthorn Avenue.
- Conditions required for the approval of the planning application.
- o If Blackthorne Avenue is to be used for construction vehicles, personnel. sub-contractors and materials to the said site the developers should be made to provide signage as has been done for phase 6- this being as follows to stop traffic using the block paved road of Carrington Gardens that are not designed for heavy usage---signage as follows: -

To be placed at the north and southerly entrances to Hawthorne Road and the easterly entrance to Sycamore Way

- o No access for HGV construction traffic
- o No contractor parking
- o 10mph limit signs and construction traffic directions
- No deliveries to be before 8.00am
- Any road cleaning that is required to be carried out before 18.00

I would support the suggestion from others that have commented ref this planning application that traffic from all the new-built two storey properties be diverted back through Humberston Meadows and the Westleigh development to the existing exit/entry point further west on Humberston Avenue, rather than using Blackthorn Avenue and not cut through Carrington Gardens. This could

be achieved ideally by fencing off the over 55's development with the use of bollards, as in Marion Way/Woodhall Drive etc. This whole concept would negate the pinch point and major problems that will occur, if all traffic is allowed to use Blackthorn Avenue, and will improve traffic safety and above all pedestrian safety.

Other factors for the planning officer, planning committee and ward councillors to note

- 1. No notice in letters etc has been given to residents in the Over 55 development. There has been no consultation. The legally required timeline of application/notification has been ignored by the developers as of this date 16/07/2024
- 2. There have been no documents posted on lampposts, as is the norm.
- 3. Apparently the Longhurst Management have given the impression that maps and plans of the development (which anyway have been changed) were displayed in communal areas by Longhurst showing they are keen to have input with the residents---this is far from actualities---not at all. In fact, these plans were obtained by two residents who alerted residents and laid the maps out for us all to see. As I said, they are not the current plans. They have only been obtained very recently.

Some larger groundworks have already been accomplished by the builder-applicants, even before any permissions have been given.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr JONATHAN COLE

Address: 35 HAWTHORNE ROAD HUMBERSTON DN36 4ZA

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I wish to state that I am against the proposed plans in their present form. I also wish to make the following comments and requests for conditions to be placed on the planning application by the officers and planning committee before it is given approval by NELC.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Paula Graves

Address: 10 Alder Avenue Humberston Grimsby DN36 4ZE

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The main issue is the high volume of large vehicles e.g. lorries as well as site staff that enter Alder Avenue to access building site. They have no care that this is a family area and come through the estate at inappropriate speeds, putting the safety of residents at risk. They also mount grass verges that are now a health and safety issue.

More control measures of traffic needs to be considered, especially as the volume has increased just with additional residents accessing their homes, never mind the constant flow of commercial traffic.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Dianne Sleight

Address: 31 BLACKTHORN AVENUE, CARRINGTON GARDENS, Off Humberston Avenue

Humberston DN36 4ZB

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish to object to the road coming from Humberston Meadows development through Carrington Gardens via Blackthorn Avenue. Various people have made comments regarding the residents on Carrington Gardens ie elderly, partially blind, deaf and severe mobility issues. Blackthorn Avenue is totally unsuitable due to the influx of traffic which would cause havoc at the tight bend at the club house and entrance to Humberston care home. We have bought in good faith that this would be a safe and secure place to live, unfortunately that doesn't seem to be the case.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Walter Sleight

Address: 31 Blackthorn Avenue Carrington Gardens Humberston DN36 4ZB

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I wish to register my objection to the proposed link between Humberston Meadows and

Carrington and via Blackthorn Avenue.

Carrington Gardens was sold to the residents on the understanding that a further 30 to 40 bungalows would be built were Humberston House now stands. This was we were told to be a safe and secure environment for our over 55s. Many of the resident's have issues with mobility, hearing and sight. The prospect of a huge increase of traffic would be a significant risk to their safety.

This is perhaps the only over 55s development in the area to have through traffic. I make the comparison with the following similar developments

- \* Vicarage Lawns and Gardens
- \* The Gatherums
- \* Meadow View
- \* Wynn Court
- \* Cambridge Park

Should the road come through bollards should be installed to prevent vehicular traffic continuing. This has been done at Humberston where Mellor Way (Grange Farm Lane) meets Whimbrel Way (Trafalgar Park)

and at Waltham where Woodhall Drive meets Marion Way.

I implore you to take account of the resident's concerns and reject the proposal.

Walter Sleight

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr James Hudson

Address: 3 Blackthorne Ave Apartment 2 Humberston DN36 4ZB

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This development will be on an extension of my road, and some of it is an extension of the Over 55 development I live in. While I do not object to further building, which is inevitable, I want the following to be noted:

- 1. No notice in letters etc has been given to residents in the Over 55 development. There has been no consultation. The legally required timeline of application/notification has been ignored by the developers.
- 2. There have been no documents posted on lampposts, as is the norm.
- 3. Apparently the Longhurst Manager has given the impression that maps and plans of the development (which anyway have been changed) were displayed in communal areas by Longhurst. In fact these plans were obtained by two residents who alerted residents, and laid the maps out for us all to see. As I said, they are not the current plans. They have only been obtained very recently.
- 4. There is a nesting pair of owls in the trees on the West side of this proposed development.
- 5. Some larger groundworks have already been accomplished by the builder-applicants, even before any permissions have been given.
- 6. There will be very heavy lorry traffic etc on Blackthorn Ave. Our Over 55 development has quite a few people who are vulnerable. They bought here because they thought it would be safe and quiet. Heavy vehicle traffic will, of course be dangerous for them and the opposite of what they planned in their retirement. Longhurst sold them a lie; one of many.

I believe a proper consultation period should be in place, with proper notifications to residents in the area, letters sent out and plans published. I strongly object to the developers ignoring legal



## Megan Green (EQUANS)

**From:** Planning - IGE (Equans) **Subject:** FW: DM/0353/24/REM

As I cannot seem to access the regular comments on planning page for NE Lincs, I am writing to add my thoughts to the application DM/0353/24/REM.

I live within 100 meters of the proposed development at Blackthorn Ave, Humberston.

In principle I do not object to development in the field beyond. However I have a few points that raise concern:

- 1. Blackthorn Ave is a poor choice of entrance and egress for the site. The avenue is narrow and passes through an area which is completely 55+. Residents bought their property from Longhurst Group as a Luxury retirement and safe area. Therefore the heavy traffic of construction lorries etc will present a risk to the frailer members, of which there are a number. A better entrance should be considered and built.
- 2. As in a previous application, I wrote that there are nesting owls in the trees of this field.
- 3.Longhurst and Equans have informed local residents at the very last minute about this development. In fact, their consultation with residents has been delayed and re-set by them to be on 09.08.2024, which is the day after the closing comments date of 8th August 2024.
- 4. The area set aside by the developers has too small an open grass area for children of families buying houses. I would also propose that the 'play' area is set away from the bungalows of retirees who bought recently from Longhurst Group.
- 6. Originally it was promised by Longhurst Group that future housing in the area would be for Over 55 years. Longhurst has already reneged on that with the adjacent retirement Home. They have done so again with this new development. At the very least there should be more thought and planning into making sure the children's play area is away from retirement properties and due care is given to the increased heavy goods traffic past the Over 55 housing.
- 7. Longhurst has a troubled history as regards underground utilities. In the last 6 years they have had to repeatedly dig up the road and grounds of this development, because they had no idea where they had placed drainage, sewage, other utilities, which had caused, and continue to cause multiple problems for residents of the Over 55 development. I strongly suspect this disorganisation and lack of responsible planning, diligence and record keeping will continue in the new development.

Throughout its history in this area, Longhurst and its partners have repeatedly shown they are a bad faith partner with homeowners/buyers/residents. They do not follow through on assurances and promises and deliberately hold back information. I do not trust them at all to safeguard the existing owners.

Reduce your environmental footprint, please do not print this email unless you really need to.

North East Lincolnshire Council - This e-mail and any files transmitted with it contains information from North East Lincolnshire Council which may be privileged or confidential. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient be aware that any processing of this email and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please send it back to us immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment. The North East Lincolnshire Council email system, including emails and their content, may be monitored for security reasons and to ensure compliance with council policy. Emails and attachments may be recorded for the effective operation of the organisation and for other lawful business purposes. We cannot guarantee that this email or its attachments are virus free or has not been intercepted and amended. We therefore recommend you carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any email or attachments. North East Lincolnshire Council will not accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this email or its attachments, or any damage or loss caused by computer viruses coming from this email or its attachments.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0353/24/REM

Address: Land South Side Of Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) to amend site layout and house types, and Variation of Conditions 2 (Materials), 3 (Public Right Of Way), 4 (EV Charging Points) and 5 (Highways) to reword as compliance conditions following DM/1005/16/REM - amended site and

house type plans July 2024. Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Dianne Bonner

Address: Greystones Station Road North Thoresby DN365QS

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:To the replanning department. Objection to the road system from the new estate joining

Carrington Gardens road system.

I write to you as a niece- carer for an aunt, who we encouraged to move to the Carrington Gardens development for a secure, peaceful life out of town, and with like minded people around. I visit regularly and am fully aware of the pace of life in the retirement area, also the areas used for walking, meeting and general movement around the small, secure estate.

My main concern about the proposed development is the fact that the road system appears to be linked to and coming through the retirement complex road system.

The roads are not wide, not lane marked or suitable for the amount of traffic that might come from such a number of homes. The turn out onto Humberston Avenue is already challenged when it is school pick up time with school cars parking where they shouldn't and hold ups from the traffic entering and leaving the school site.

Should the new estate Road join to the retirement ones, there will be queue forming static traffic at the junction to Humberston Avenue, increasing noise and pollution.

There is now a care home alongside the properties, of which the entry in and out, comes off Blackthorn Avenue on a tight bend with no road marking. It is already a tight squeeze without any additional volume of cars.

The whole ambience of the estate will change with cars passing through never mind possibly speeding. Unless there is to be an enforceable 10mph limit.

I read the planning amendment includes EV charging points for electric cars, which will mean quieter cars and less pollution but this can be deemed a hazard to the elderly as you cannot always hear them approaching.

The map I referred to in the planning documents, in order to view the proposal, had not got the new care home on it, nor had it got an accurate plan of the retirement properties.

There will be a degree of loss of privacy as the communal garden area and bungalow personal gardens are 'open' to the passing vehicles and people who may in the future walk past or through. The privacy currently enjoys and the security will both be compromised.

The planned houses may be 'needed' but the idea of the new estate traffic coming through the retirement complex is dangerous, ludicrous and totally unfair to the existing residents.

Careful thought should be given to

- -whether this estate is in the right position
- -whether the cars should be allowed to come through the link road at all to Blackthorn Rd -whether Carrington Gardens should remain cut off from the new estate to preserve its ambience and identity as a retirement village -whether traffic from the new estate should go out through the other estate roads already in place.

Socio economic models or people who plan with these in mind, sometimes like the thought of different generations cohabitating areas, but the residents of Carrington gardens chose their homes and a more segregated model for various and obvious reasons. Their decision for their chosen dwelling place did not include children, families, cars and pedestrians all passing close by .

Regards
Dianne Bonner

Niece carer for a resident in Sycamore Way Carrington Gardens.



Submitted by J &S Sparling, and W&D Sleight Representing residents of CarringtonGardens.

Humberston

NE Lincs.

4/7/24

FAO Mr R Limmer, Planning Officer, NE Lincs

# Regarding DM0353/24/REM

<u>Proposed Development to the rear of existing Carrington Gardens over 55's Development.</u>

Please find enclosed Protest Petition Returns comprising 54 signatures, one from every household on site. (2 properties vacant and unfortunately 1 resident couldn't sign because of illness).

Total properties 57

In addition there are some signatures from concerned family and friends who are alarmed and fear that their elderly relatives will suffer a loss of confidence and enjoyment in and around their homes and gardens should the new-build Westleigh family housing use Blackthorn Ave to routinely enter and exit the development.

Our 55+residents would then have to dodge fast, frequent disturbing noisy traffic when just going to the bus stop or post box. Through traffic on Blackthorn Ave from an additional thirty 55+ residences and 179 family homes (2 car families?) cycles, motorbikes, delivery vehicles in a hurry, carers, ambulances and the assortment of electric scooters, skate boards, segways etc. available nowadays. Children/teens on the move alongside our elderly residents is a recipe for an absolute disaster. Now, most residents are indoors by 10pm ish but family traffic, judging by the current trend for late night opening, means passing **traffic noise**, **disturbance and fumes** here on site more or less 24 hrs /day - at least till we have all bought electric vehicles!

The road doesn't appear to be overly narrow but looks are deceiving! On the section alongside the Club House where it meets the entrance to Humberston House, there are sharp bends. It's often luck rather than judgement that vehicles can pass one another without extreme caution at that point. Building contractors' trucks, vans and plant transporters for cranes, diggers etc. are a nightmare. There are points on site now where paving slabs have been cracked from when these giants needed to manoeuvre. Trip hazards!

'Give Way' signage is urgently needed at the entrance to Humberston House where it joins Blackthorn Ave.

It's important to consider the profile of our Residents and the fact that in the case of a 55+ Development – That's just what you get! – a concentration of people who just feet like slowing down a bit after retirement and who want to relax a bit, and steady down. Some people have been dealt various health issues, some of which are life-changing but all are still determined to live a meaningful happy, safe and secure life – hopefully in a pleasant, relaxing environment! – which we all deserve after a lifetime of work.

We have all been young once! However, what happens is that bits of us don't always work as well now we're older. Not everybody – but most!

Carrington Gardens is home to residents who are partially sighted and even registered blind, residents who are profoundly deaf or just hard of hearing. We don't all move around so easily or speedily. Some residents are in constant pain and discomfort. Knees and hips pack up! Many residents use the relatively traffic free site roads for exercise, socialising and walking their dogs. Not all the site has continuous pavements, however, and drivers who are unfamiliar with the site are likely to speed regardless- most likely they are delivery drivers under pressure. Dangerous for residents!

The Council has announced that they will be putting double yellow lines along Humberston Ave towards Tetney Rd. Carrington Gardens is already plagued by parents dropping off and picking up their children on site. They are already blocking Carrington's entrance, endangering residents' efforts to walk or drive in/out safely Please don't add more Westleigh family members to the mix if they are heading to work or back home along Blackthorn Ave!

<u>Proposal</u> That Blackthorn Ave should continue around the proposed 55+ bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from Westleigh family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or by simply diverting the feeder roads. In this way the special features of the over 55s community, Carrington Gardens, which we all bought into can be preserved.

The development's proposed road system cannot support an increased level of traffic without dangerously compromising the safety of residents, pedestrians and road users

Bottom Line! Nature Conservation! Build the bungalows only! Leave us in peace! Does this area really need more family homes? Many are still not sold on Westleigh as it is!

There is so much wild life on the fields. We see various birds and animals, including barn owls, rabbits, foxes, ducks, and beautiful deer sadly we shall have lost if building goes ahead as planned.

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

# 28/6/24



Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal	Head they have not spiritude paths and that their test that they had been been been been been been been bee
9, WANTHORNE (D) —We shall collect your completed slip	a couple of days -Thank you-

### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/W support	the proposal 3 SM CAT MORE WAY
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	MARIK STEVEN MONEY
I AM	LEGISTERED BUNDS GO RO BUS STOP AT 8115
EVER	1 MORNING FOR WOLL DROPUFF FOR CHILDREN AT
ARBIN LTOI	V IS DAN LEROUS

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

time support the promont					
₩e support the proposal – SUC	+3	042	COLE	a digita many digita, qisa sigap, mang aman akali "mana daka "mana" Mana daga mana digita mang aman akali "mana daka "mana" daka "mana" daka "mana" daka "mana" daka "mana" daka "m	
35 MAW (AV)	enc	25AD	12 CUMIZ	LASOV	
	collect your c	completed slip a o	couple of days -Th	ank you-	34
				4	26

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal		
7 TO Support the proposas	15 Haw Chorne Road	
The state of the s	Carrington gardens.	
	We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you	J=-

# TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/₩e support the proposal
1/We support the proposal 1649WTHORNERORD

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

4/We support the proposal
BOB DE LA CRUZ 1 HANGHOONE PA
the second secon
MARILYN DE LA CRUZ, 17 HANTHORNE RD.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
1/4 support the proposal 18 Hawthorne Road.

### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal
19 HAWTHORNE RU.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED) PREASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
3/ Haw thoin Ld, Hampeiston

# IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

(I/We support the proposal	(444-00 = 3444 mast qu.
26 140:11140	
20 C JANAM D MON AN	RO. CARRIETON-CHARDENTS
We shall colle	ect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We suppo	ort the proposal ————————————————————————————————————	INE Y	1 A LLIS	
	HAWTHORNE	and how have thin i Mr Gard you was now may had had you had him him had now had had had beet about how how the		n de la companya de l
		llect your complete		áys -Thank you-

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/Mesunnort the prop	osal MRS JANICE MOSS	
in the support the prop	2 SYLAMORE WAY	
	CARRINGTON GARDENS,	HUMBERSTON

### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the p	roposal ,
park Mysterge was seen and an analysis against the see an analysis and	PISMITH
	33 HAWTHOR UF RD
	CARVING TO W WARDENS
يمو هذه الله الله الله الله أنها أنها الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ا	

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

	22/0/0
A.	40,0
KINLAY	
	FINZAT

#### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

in the second			
10	Harthan	no Pord	
	TOTAL TROP	ra suad	

IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24 b Kawthoone
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
We support the proposal  MICK AND HAS PANT
4 SUCALIDEE WAY, CARRINGTON GOS. HULBERS

### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW  I/We support the proposal Alaska Advantage and Walter Solight  31 3laska Harra Aug.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
1/We support the proposal- 29 HAWTHORNERD DN36 4ZA

#### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

( toria	1 a -	Alma	Hill		
				ted slip a couple of da	ys -Thank you-
	28	HAWTHORNE	RD		
	TO A	LL RESIDENTS OF	FCARRINGTON	GARDENS	
		IMPORTANT	INFORMATIO	N	
		28	3/6/24		
bungalows and fan copy of an email se	nily houses int by Joh ouncil Plan	on land to the n and Sue Sparli ning Officer wh	rear of our exing and Walte	he proposed construisting development. Ir and Dianne Sleight Ible for overseeing a	One page is a to Mr Richard
passed to Longhurs Service Manager N	t Manage leeting on	rs on 9/5/24 (no 18/7/24?) IF Yo	o reply to date OU AGREE W	t the proposed build but possibly on the ITH PROPOSAL, ITEI I YOUR SUPPORT BE	e agenda of the M 7

4 HAWTHERNE RD We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

i/We support the proposal
MRS. S. HARRISON
1 SYCAMORE WAY
LARRINGTOR GARDENS. HUMBERSTON
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as wet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the
Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
mo en sila

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

-------We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal
7, SYCAMORE WAY
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
10 Sycamore Way

# IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal				****	
-1-750 	<u> 38</u>	HAWTE	IORNE	ROAD	
CARRINGTON	) GA	RAEUS	HUM	BERSTON	£
ひいるん エフト					days -Thank you-

## TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal	
	,
Wirely (tol)	
**************************************	33 T3 LACKTHORN AUF
	PN36 42B
We sha	all collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED) PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal	
6 Sucamore Was	
	ouple of days -Thank you-

https://systmonline.tpp-uk.com/2/RequestMedication

Medication Order Confirmation

#### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/)We support the proposal	•	
Due Havey.	•	
1 am very new hore	(2 wks) but value the que	2/
(37 Hawkan) We shall co	ollect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-	

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal - Mary Korshaw 34 Howthome Rd,
Fully supports above

#### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

support the proposal	C-A-	Cort	1200	J	0.70 GB GB GB HE HE HE HE HE
219 d au M	ronder	Com	Shis	DN36	1222
V	Ve shall collec	ct your complete	d slip a coupl	e of days -Tha	nk you-

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

/We support	the p	roposa		
in case and they are subject and was not call that her has her and her are the	T THE RE LET THE RES LES AND LESS		Romaine (gibern)	
APT	1	3	Blackthorn AUE	is not helpful had help
	)		Yumperstoin	
		of the first jobs and day, was pain body, one as		k you-

## TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

We support the proposal		
A-SLOAW	J. SLOAN	

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

I/We support the proposal

3	Blackthorn	Ave	Apt Z	Humbersten
	T	M364	28	
o		-We shall colle	ct your completed	I slip a couple of days -Thank you

#### TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support th	ne proposa	al			
	MIKE	AYRE			
	FLA	74	E MANAGEMENT SECRETARISM SERVICES SECRETARISM SECRETAR		MONTH STORY AND AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND TH
		We shall	collect your com	npleted slip a couple	of days -Thank you-

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-
8 HAWTHORNE RD.
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED / BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED). PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
33 HAWANDRIE 1(1). CARRINGION GARDENS

## **IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
We support the proposal TOHN " SUE SPARLINGE  11 SYCAMOLE WAY
11 SYCAMOKE WAT
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELines Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
support the proposal
MRS. S GOODWIN.

1, HANTHORNE

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

	Margarek Lumby No. 25
	We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-
TO AI	L RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
	IMPORTANT INFORMATION
	28/6/24
bungalows and family houses copy of an email sent by John	es of information regarding the proposed construction of on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard ning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated se from him as yet.
passed to Longhurst Managers Service Manager Meeting on 1	equest for information about the proposed build which was son 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the L8/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7
I/We support the proposal	
Dennis and 25. HAWTHO	LINDA GELFFIN

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal
Jacks Smith
We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days - Thank you-
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal TRACTY GENNEY
23, HAUTHORNE ROAD. HUMBERSTON.

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED). PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW		
I/We support the proposal		
22 THAWTHORNE		
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS		
IMPORTANT INFORMATION		
28/6/24		
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.		
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SURPORT BELOW		
//We support the proposal		

-------We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

#### 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW

l/w support the	e proposal			
5	Sycamore	Way	Barringto	n golns.
	( )		eted slip a couple of o	V

## TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

I/We support the proposal	BLACKTHORN	
	e shall collect your completed slip	a couple of days -Thank you-

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal -
11 Hauthore
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
I/We support the proposal
12. Hankorne. Pd.

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

## 28/6/24

Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.

The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the

Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
We support the proposal SUZATING & JAN SMALLHOOD.
14 HAWTHORNE
TO ALL RESIDENTS OF CARRINGTON GARDENS
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
28/6/24
Please find attached two pages of information regarding the proposed construction of bungalows and family houses on land to the rear of our existing development. One page is a copy of an email sent by John and Sue Sparling and Walter and Dianne Sleight to Mr Richard Limmer, NELincs Council Planning Officer who is responsible for overseeing associated issues on the site. No response from him as yet.
The other page comprises a request for information about the proposed build which was passed to Longhurst Managers on 9/5/24 (no reply to date but possibly on the agenda of the Service Manager Meeting on 18/7/24?) IF YOU AGREE WITH PROPOSAL, ITEM 7 (UNDERLINED /BOLD PRINT/HIGHLIGHTED), PLEASE SIGN YOUR SUPPORT BELOW
1/4 support the proposal /3 HALDTOOK ORRING TON GARDELS

---We shall collect your completed slip a couple of days -Thank you-

As (a)concerned relative(s) / Resident(s), I/we support the	friend (s) of (a)Carrington Gdns.
Name of resident—Betty	Scott 19 HAWTHORNE RD-
Signed:	(Daughter)
507070650000000000000000000000000000000	

Proposal - That Blackthorn Ave should continue round the proposed bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from the family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or similar In that way the special features of the over 55s community. Carrington Gardens WHICH WE ALL BOUGHT INTO CAN BE PRESERVED

As (a)concerned relative(s) / friend (s) of (a)Carrington Gdns
Resident(s), I/we support the proposal as highlighted above
Name of resident Anne Szwechlowicz (confidential)
signed: - Daughter
Son in law
- Grand Son
- Cranddaughter

7. Proposal - That Blackthorn Ave should continue round the proposed bungalows but open access to Carrington Gardens from the family homes should be prevented with fencing/gates/bollards or similar In that way the special features of the over 55s community. Carrington Gardens WHICH WE ALL BOUGHT INTO CAN BE PRESERVED

As (a)concerned relative(s) Resident(s), M/we support t		
Name of resident-MRS		
Signed:	DAUGHTER	



EXAMPE OF BOLLARDS
'DIVIDING' AREAS OF
RENNAISANCE DEVELOPMENT
NEW WALTHAM HUMBERSION



JUNCTION BLACKTHORN

AVE AND HUMBERSTON AVE

MACH 3:00 pm - 3:20 pm
ONWARDS

THURS 26TH SEPT 124

WITHIN PERIMETER OF

CARRINGTON GARDENS



HUMBERSION AUE
OPPOSITE THE END OF
BLACKTHORN AVE
APPROX 3:00 pm - 3:20 pm
ONWARDS
THURS 26M SEPT / 24



JUNCTION OF BLACK THORN

ANE (INSIDE PERIMETER

OF CARRINGTON CHARDENS

AND PARKED IN VISITORS

PLACES TO RICHT OF PHOTO

THURS 26M SEPT 24.

APPROX 3.00 pm - 3.20 pm

ON WARDS



JUNCTION BLACKTHORN

AVE AND HUMBERSYON

AVE 3pm - 3.20pm

ONWARDS

THURS, 26m SEPT, "24

WITHIN PERMETER OF

CARRINGYON CHARDENS

Item 2 - Land Off Louth Road New Waltham - DM/0761/23/ FUL

## Ellie Mitchell (EQUANS) (Planning)

**From:** clerk@newwalthamparishcouncil.com

**Sent:** 07 September 2023 13:22 **To:** Planning - IGE (Equans)

Cc: Dinsdale Shaw

**Subject:** New Waltham Parish - Planning comments

**Importance:** High

#### **New Waltham Parish Council planning comments:**

## DM/0761/23/FUL - Land at Louth Road, NW

## **Council OBJECT – With the following reasons:**

- 1. Already traffic light congestion in the area; fuel refilling, ques for petrol station (in and out)
- 2. Current construction traffic in the area
- 3. Bad commutes between us/Holton le clay/Louth already a very busy road
- 4. At least 500 more cars on the road (which is already congested)
- 5. School runs roads extremely busy, more dangerous for children
- 6. Pollution to local area schools and nursing homes in close proximity
- 7. Current plans re parking per household isn't a true representation, many houses have more than one car
- 8. Wildlife clear evidence of many species within that field. Animals are being driven from their homes and coming into the housing areas, a deer was knocked over on Humberston Avenue.
- 9. Emotional distress to residents, their views will be forever changed
- 10. Affordable housing houses aren't moving at present, who is this affordable for?
- 11. Infrastructure roads unable to cope as it is, schools busting, one pub unable to cope with capacity at present. Local doctors surgery cannot accommodate anymore patients.
- 12. Joining up of villages many people move to villages like ours to be 'outside' of the centre of town. However, we seem to be getting closer to neighbouring villages. This isn't why people live in areas like New Waltham. Our boundaries are disappearing. Where does it stop?

**DM/0784/23/FULA** – Vardo Close – No objections

#### DM/0808/23/FULA - Peaks Lane - No objections



## Anneka Ottewell-Barrett

Clerk & Resp.Financial Officer

New Waltham Parish Council

Contact: (01472) 822821

New Waltham Pavilion St Clements Way, NW

DN**36 4**GU

www.newwalthamparishcouncil.com

Office Opening: **9.30-2**pm Mon-Fri

This email expresses the opinion of the author and is not necessarily the view of the Council. Please be aware that anything included in an email may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act and cannot be regarded as confidential. This communication is intended for the addressee(s) only. Please notify the sender if received in error.

## Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)

**Subject:** FW: New Waltham Parish Comments

Importance: High

From: clerk@newwalthamparishcouncil.com <clerk@newwalthamparishcouncil.com>

Sent: Friday, February 9, 2024 10:35 AM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

**Subject:** New Waltham Parish Comments

Importance: High

Good Morning,

Pls find below comments from New Waltham:

## DM/0761/23/FUL - Land off Louth Road

**Objection** on the following grounds:

- Questions/concerns exactly where bungalows are going on the site?
- Traffic onto the A16 busy area already, this will only get worse.
- A lot of properties on the site map/each property could potentially have 2 cars each, causing many parking issues/congestion in the area.
- Local infrastructure is a major concern for the village/schools at maximum capacity huge /GP's and Dentists struggling for room.



#### Anneka Ottewell-Barrett

Clerk & Resp.Financial Officer New Waltham Parish Council

Contact: (01472) 822821

New Waltham Pavilion St Clements Way, NW

DN36 4GU

www.newwalthamparishcouncil.com

Office Opening:

9.30-2pm Mon-Thurs & 10-12 Fridays

This email expresses the opinion of the author and is not necessarily the view of the Council. Please be aware that anything included in an email may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act and cannot be regarded as confidential. This communication is intended for the addressee(s) only. Please notify the sender if received in error.

Reference: DM/0761/23/FUL

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Location: Land At Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Waltham Parish Council recommends refusal of this application the grounds of over intensification of that area, impact on infrastructure and wildlife.

Planning Application Reference: DM/0761/23/FUL Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received January 2024 Location: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Waltham Parish Council recommends refusal of this application the grounds of overintensification of that area and the detrimental impact on infrastructure and wildlife.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Emma Portas

Address: Holton Le Clay Parish Council, Pinfold Lane, Holton Le Clay DN36 5DL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Parish Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Holton Le Clay Parish Council has given this application careful consideration and would like to raise the following points:

- The single point of access from the A16 is of great concern. With the proposed new dwellings on this site alone, it could potentially add 500+ vehicles to an already congested road. Added to the new developments planned for the surrounding villages of Holton le Clay and North Thoresby - the potential for extra vehicles on this road could reach around 2000 - all trying to get in and out of Grimsby at peak travel times.

Alternative routes into Grimsby such as Cheapside and Holton Road will undoubtedly become rat runs for traffic caused by people looking for ways to avoid the congestion on their commute to work causing more pollution due to increased travel distance, negating any savings outlined within the travel plan.

- The extra vehicles on the A16 pose a significant danger to the children for a variety of reasons: o Firstly their travel to school will become more perilous as they try to navigate their way through the traffic to get to school. It is only a matter of time before a fatality occurs with this combination
- o Secondly, the air pollution caused by the standing traffic and exhaust fume emissions will surely be a health hazard as they breathe in the polluted air both during their walk to school and also when they are in lessons with the windows open.
- o When the school children are using the Pelican Crossing in the morning and afternoon, the traffic lights will cause further congestion rather than alleviate it.

of school children and car drivers using the road at the same time.

- The Parish Council is deeply concerned about the wildlife that will be displaced if this plan is given the go-ahead. The ecology survey that was carried out in 2021 showed populations of barn owls, rabbits, red deer, foxes, greater crested newts and potential nesting spots for bats and water

voles. The destruction of natural habitats for these animals will not only impact the wildlife that are already living on the site but will negatively impact future wildlife settlements. Since the production of the Ecology Report, several major hedgerows and trees have been removed from the site and we believe that a more current survey and report is necessary and should be requested.

- Will NELC be requesting a report from Stagecoach to enquire how the current provision of bus services will cope with the additional travellers? Surely further provision will be needed in order to cope with the extra demand? The Travel Plan indicates that new bus stops will be installed but there is no mention of additional buses to cater for the additional travellers. Unless there is a significant increase in the number of buses, car usage will not be reduced.
- The Travel Plan provided by Cyden Homes suggests that the new site will be within a 2km walking/cycling distance to access local shops in New Waltham. This assumes that residents are able-bodied but how are different and lesser-abled residents going to cope with this and what provisions have been made to cater for them?
- The Travel Plan was written during a time of national lockdown and therefore does not accurately reflect the number of vehicles that are using the A16 on a daily basis.
- How are the local schools and doctors' surgeries going to cope with the additional pressure from service users in an already strained and over-subscribed area? The quality of life for many residents will be greatly impacted as many people already have considerable difficulty accessing a GP service due to the high demand placed on the already stretched medical facilities in the area.
- In addition to the extra demands on schools and GP surgeries, how will NELC cope with the extra refuse collections? Refuse and recycling collections are already struggling to deal with the waste generated by existing homes with bin collections not being made so it is a concern that the council will be unable to support the additional collections needed for more new homes.
- Within the Grimsby area, there are a large number of Brown Field Sites that could be used for building new developments. Why then are developers so keen to create a Doughnut Effect by constantly building on green spaces in the outer areas of towns and cities?

North East Lincolnshire is already hugely over-subscribed in terms of its new homes quota.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Emma Portas

Address: Village Hall Pinfold Lane Holton le Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Parish Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Holton le Clay Parish Council Planning Committee discussed the amendments to this application in great depth and reiterated comments previously made as well as raising additional points:

The point of access from Louth Road, A16 is of great concern. With the proposed new dwellings on this site alone, it could potentially add 500+ vehicles to an already congested road. Added to the new developments planned for the surrounding villages of Holton le Clay and North Thoresby the potential for extra vehicles on this road could reach around 2000 - all trying to get in and out of Grimsby at peak travel times.

Alternative routes into Grimsby such as Cheapside and Holton Road will undoubtedly become rat runs for traffic caused by people looking for ways to avoid the congestion on their commute to work.

The extra vehicles on the A16 pose a great danger to the children for a variety of reasons: Firstly - their travel to school will become more perilous as they try to navigate their way through the traffic to get to school. Secondly, the air pollution caused by the standing traffic and exhaust fume emissions will surely be a health hazard as they breathe in the polluted air both during their walk to school and also when they are in lessons with the windows open. Furthermore, the planned nursing home is set to face the traffic along Louth Road directly, thereby exposing its residents to the hazards of polluted air. This poses a significant threat to the health of those individuals with pre-existing respiratory issues which will no doubt be exacerbated by the prolonged exposure to exhaust fumes from stationary traffic.

When the school children are using the Pelican Crossing in the morning and afternoon, the traffic lights will cause further congestion rather than alleviate it.

This development contradicts the essence of the draft strategic policy 11 outlined in the Local Plan, which addresses Biodiversity and Geodiversity. Preserving the Green Infrastructure corridor between New Waltham and Holton le Clay is essential in order to prevent the coalescence of the two villages.

There is no cycling infrastructure on the Western side of the A16 which will force cyclists and children to use the heavily congested A16 to reach the cycle path and ride alongside standing traffic whilst breathing in the emissions from vehicles. Cyclists are known for weaving in and out of traffic and it is feared that this is an accident waiting to happen when the children are travelling to school.

The Parish Council is deeply concerned about the wildlife that will be displaced if this plan is given the go-ahead. The ecology survey that was carried out in 2021 showed populations of barn owls, rabbits, red deer, foxes, greater crested newts and potential nesting spots for bats and water voles. The destruction of natural habitats for these animals will not only impact the wildlife that are already living on the site but will negatively impact future wildlife settlements. Since the production of the Ecology Report, several major hedgerows and trees have been removed from the site and it is felt that a more current survey and report should be requested.

Will NELC be requesting a report from Stagecoach to enquire how the current provision of bus services will cope with the additional travellers? Surely further provision will be needed in order to cope with the extra demand? The Travel Plan indicates that new bus stops will be installed but there is no mention of additional buses to cater for the additional travellers.

The Travel Plan provided by Cyden Homes suggests that the new site will be within a 2km walking/cycling distance to access local shops in New Waltham. This is okay if you are able bodied but how are the disabled residents going to cope with this?

How are the local schools and doctors' surgeries going to cope with the additional pressure from service users in an already strained and over-subscribed area? The quality of life for many residents will be greatly impacted as many people already have difficulty accessing a GP service due to the high demand placed on medical facilities in the area.

In addition to the extra demands on schools and GP surgeries, how will NELC cope with the extra refuse collections? Refuse and recycling collections are already struggling to deal with the waste generated by existing homes so it is a concern that the council will be unable to support the additional collections needed for more new homes.

Within the Grimsby area, there are a large number of Brown Field Sites that could be used for building new developments. Why then are developers so keen to create a Doughnut Effect by constantly building on green spaces in the outer areas of towns and cities?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Heather Foxon

Address: 1 Anningson Lane New Waltham

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Have some respect and leave the wildlifes homes alone

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Petra Hodge

Address: 1 Augusta street Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Even though I don't live in New Waltham...I did as a teenager,I object to the building of houses on land where there is plenty of wildlife there. There are too many houses being built at the moment especially on Toll Bar, it would be a danger on the road there, traffic all directions and so close to Tollbar roundabout, children leaving school especially, it will cause accidents..

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Bethaney Nicolson

Address: 1 Baatsfjord Close New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Traffic and congestion is already too great at Toll Bar roundabout, Humberston Avenue and Peaks Parkway. Congestion is causing more road traffic incidents as well. Ruining the village status it has when a further two developments in new waltham are going ahead in addition to the Cyden development that I live on. Humberston and New Waltham are already expanding with the number of new dwellings being erected between the two "villages." No new schools being built with neighbouring schools already over subscribed (I had to put my children in a school nowhere near where they live due to over subscribing). Cyden already have existing homes with existing problems which they are not rectifying whilst still building new homes. House resale prices in the area are losing value too due to the election of new developments. Houses near Tollbar are already struggling to sell due to the ongoing issues. Cost of living is greater with people already struggling to afford mortgages. Antisocial behaviour in the village is on the increase also as it is being over populated.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

## **Customer Details**

Name: Miss pam graves

Address: 1 Charles Ave NEW WALTHAM Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We at New Waltham are already surrounded by new builds.

2 estates off Humberston Ave,

1 past Pennell's

1 opposite Tesco

1 at the top of Louth Rd

1 opposite Toll Bar School

1 at Holton Le Clay

The doctors and the Schools are already full to capacity.

These New Builds have already destroyed much of the natural habitat of all wild animals and creatures that we are supposed to be protecting and are important to our Ecosystem helping to reduce Global warming and prevent flooding.

So please no more New Builds enough is enough.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Malcolm Perkins

Address: 1 Charles Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Once more I see no reason why this should be allowed, it's just a developer wanting to earn money, for something that is going to cause even more traffic problems on the A16; and despite the air quality nonsense report, it will add to pollution; and is it something needed anyway.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Malcolm Perkins

Address: 1 Charles Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object to this application.

New Waltham is absolutely saturated with people already.

The schools are full, the doctors are full, there is only one public house, due to councillors not from the area allowing the change of use for the other, the roads are snarled up at certain times; and there are already cases of serious pollution and air quality, in nearby houses and roads.

Getting from the south on the A16, particularly from Holton-le-Clay can be a slow and torturous journey at peak times, which will be made even worse by yet another hold up.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Paul Wain

Address: 1 countess close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This amount of housing is too much for new Waltham and will be damaging to the area.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Elizabeth Emms

Address: 1 Garden Drive New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There's barely any green land in a walkable distance as it is, more houses on what's left will ruin any hint of suburban living that we have left. People live in a village because it's a small and close-knit community. If we'd wanted to live in the town we would have moved to the town. The traffic is already unbearable, a 10 minute journey to the Institute tales over 20 minutes during the rush hour. The road infrastructure can't handle more houses with the ones already being built nearby. Not to mention the commercial and social infrastructure such as schools, health and leisure. We don't need anymore houses here.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rachael Astbury

Address: 1 Hangar Lane Holton-Le-Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I cannot believe yet another housing development is even been considered in this area! If this goes ahead there will be roughly a 1000 new homes gone up in this area in the last year alone! The A16 cannot physically take any more traffic, doctor/hospital appts can't see us as it is this will be even worse! School, infrastructure etc the list goes it cannot take anymore. When will these developers and more importantly our CONCILLORS stand up for our area and decline these houses! You should all be ashamed of yourselves!!! Enough is enough!!!!!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lisa Mccarthy

Address: 1 harewood grove Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Roads and traffic cant cope as it is in the village. The schools are at capacity and cannot take on more. This will push people like myself on country park who had been in the hunberston academy catchment area since they were built 30 yrs ago out of catchment due to all new builds in area. We moved to our house for this school!! The infrastructure just is noth there to cope with all additional people.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lisa Lowe

Address: 1 Joseph Ogle Close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I object to this development.

I don't see that any provision has been made to mitigate the impact of the developments already underway in the town/village in terms of road congestion, schooling, doctors, dentists and healthcare services - all of which are already beyond breaking point.

This aggressive house building policy must be better thought through. Prioritise infrastructure improvements before approving any more developments. Expansion is inevitable due to population growth and the need for housing but this must be done in a managed and sustainable way without compromising and negatively impacting the lives of those already living in the village.

This development around the school, on top of another development across the road (with houses struggling to sell), will further exacerbate congestion and cause noise pollution and a deterioration in air quality affecting the children walking to and from school as well as the surrounding neighbours.

Cyden Homes have a terrible reputation amongst house owners on the Becklands estate for poor build quality, the council need to do some due diligence before handing out more contracts to this company.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Tracey Bridge

Address: 1 Newlyn Close Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I think it is an unnecessary development. There are already too many houses in this area for the amenities available including schools. There are two small junior schools in the area and two comprehensive schools nearby, just not enough. Stop building in all the open land!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Mary and Paul Shephard

Address: 1 Nursery Gardens Holton le Clay Nr Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Too many houses and no extra infrastructure...enough is enough. Why not use the spare land in town where housing is needed. That would save the environment from all those car fumes. THINK before you sell anymore land.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Anne Taylor-Rose

Address: 1 Rutland Drive New Waltham, Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We are practically surrounded by huge new build estates. This is the only gap left. Enough is enough. Diverse wildlife will disappear. Skylarks, quite rare now in other parts of the country, sing profusely all year round. Deer are being moved to smaller and smaller areas of countryside. The countryside around our village acts as the lungs for the population. There is nowhere for all the proposed increase in traffic to go. The surrounding roads are not capable of moving traffic through quickly particularly at rush hour times. Cars are polluting the air around the TollBar roundabout. Not good for children walking and cycling to school. During the years of building on the Millennium Farm site there has been disruption, noise and mess from building materials packaging such as polystyrene and a huge amount of plastics that gets blown all over without a care from the builders to keep the sites tidy.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Beverley Burgess

Address: 1 Station Cottages New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My previous objection still stands of today 28th February 2024 even after alternative amendments to the original planning application. It always seems the builders will only change the original plans to try benefit themselves not the environment, infrastructure on land that is thriving with animals, bees, bats and an environment bursting with nature not forgetting the building works that is already underway from every angel you look. These houses are definitely not needed. So I again I wholeheartedly object as a long standing (30+years) resident of New Waltham

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Beverley Burgess

Address: 1 station cottages Station Ave Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I fiercely object to this proposal firstly to the ridiculous amount of building work happening in and around New Waltham. Enough is enough the impact on the environment will be devastating for not only pollution, schools, hospitals etc, and yet again the wild life are they supposed to find a habitat where they can survive without being shifted time and time again.

Building work needs to stop. Money talks it that true, in this case definitely not. The railway line is definitely not unused as I walk it every day with my dog as do many many other local residents from all the local villages, plus it obviously provide wildlife with food, shelter, water, it's a nature reserve for the whole community.

The congestion on Station Road is ridiculous at times. It's difficult to get out of all the side streets along this road at all times of the day not just at peak times.

Holton le clay was without power yesterday due to the Wigmore housing development too. No more housing, thank you, we have enough.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Kallum Sutcliffe

Address: 1 Vardo Close Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Several developments have been approved in the last few years in this direct area. Traffic has grown with no concern or consideration for the local amenities, schools, shops, doctors, dentists, road traffic due to an increased level of cars in the immediate area.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sophie Kidals

Address: 1 whisby court Holton-le-clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:- too close to Holton-le-clay, it will become another suburb of grimsby

- access on louth Road will cause problems with congestion
- is this not supposed to be a green area?
- doctors surgery in new waltham too small to accommodate more people
- local schools already at capacity
- redevelopment needs to happen in grimsby itself, not outside if it, you are draining the town and leaving it in a worse state than ever

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr alan wood

Address: 1a Priors Close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I have just received the letter re this application.

I would like to re affirm my position as stated previously.

I am all for progress, and the employment it creates etc etc, but, seriously, have any of you guys in planning actually been anywhere near this site?..especially between 8-9 am and 2.30-6.30 pm this road is chaos, and yet you are looking at adding more traffic to this area ...and this is before the adjacent site(s) are completed ...

I also see you have done an air quality assessment along with the revised application ...but ,can i point out...and im sure you might agree...the assessment cannot fully address the future pollution levels, once all sites are completed, and fully occupied ...its bad enough now, and there are only a fraction of houses occupied...

The letter also suggest there have been changes to the infrastructure... Well, unless this amendment involves moving the access from Louth Road, I cannot see how anything will improve, and digging a few more holes to hold water just doesnt cut it.

I appreciate NELC is under the cosh financially, and approving more houses (guessing band D upwards) adds a few quid into the kitty ..and please dont hide behind this "directive" of needing to build more homes...maybe its true in certain areas, but seriously, how many sites do we need in this town...and dont get me started on the other viable, in town, land that appears to be left to waste that could provide affordable homes in the town centre...

cant imaging my opinion is worth anything, but how about this idea ...stop all applications until the derelict sites are completed first ....just a thought

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr alan wood

Address: 1A Priors Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Guys, I am not against development and moving things forward, and capitalisim rules...but, seriously at what point will anyone actually look at the infrastucture of an area before rubber stamping these big developments ... yes i get it ... more council tax into the coffers, and "meeting a development numbers plan" but Christ on a bike, there ar eother areas in our fine town that could be used and regenerated with new housing first ...what has happened to Western School field etc or the add on bit at Sorell Road...As folk will no doubt mention....within half a mile of this new proposal, you have Becklands, Wigmore Park, (plus phase two), and whilst not in the border strictly, the corner of Louth Road Holton Le Clay...oh an dpotentailly the other one there that Gleeson had a board up at Clay Lane..SO where are the kids going to go to school, doctors, dentist etc etc and the environ (hot topic) impact of additional cars in the area...its already ballsed up Humberston Ave with the two new big estates......Thi sjust looks like greed on a grand scale....lets get some balance and perspective..cant imagine many affordables on there ...and i mean affordable based on the local average wage for NE Lincs...thanks fo rreading, pretty sure nothing will come from my comments, but hey, here they are. AND yes, my house is built on what used to be a farmers field back in the day, so a bit hypocritical i concede, but that was 20 years ago, and we didnt have a gazzillion new builds each year as we appear to have now.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Caroline Lawton

Address: 2 adelphi court New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Ridiculous idea we already have horrendous traffic jams in New waltham absolute joke

not needed plenty of new house developments going up

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Chantelle Fuller

Address: 2 Bude Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This is our sixth year living in New Waltham, having moved from Scartho. In that time there have been two big developments in New Waltham; two on Humberston Avenue; one on Station Road and one opposite Tesco. This is not to mention the land being sold off by the big houses for 5 or 6 houses to be built each time. All of our lovely villages are being crammed full and are losing their village feel. Who knows where one begins and the other ends when they are all so tightly packed with sub par housing. We need to draw the line, enough is enough. I cannot understand the need for even more houses in this area.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Craig Flarty

Address: 2 Bude Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham has already become over populated causing havoc to traffic in this area. This villages overcrowded schools can not take anymore children, they are not big enough to accommodate extra, and if they were, the quality of education would only reduce further. I honestly don't understand how this is even being considered, the amount of housing gone up round here is beyond a joke already.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Jona Branson

Address: 2 Garden Drive Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I object to the proposal

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Annabel Johnson

Address: 2 kaymile close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I strongly object. The A16/Tollbar road is already buckling under the number of cars. This in turn makes it unsafe for my child when trying to access his secondary school (Tollbar) The New Waltham primary schools are already over subscribed too. Wildlife will be heavily affected. We have a number of foxes, badgers, pheasants, squirrels, that have already lost so many fields and habitats in the immediate vicinity.

I'm worried about the security of the elderly people residing in Pretyman cresent, if the rear of their property becomes accessible from the proposed pathway.

I'm worried about the added air pollution, the could aggravate my sons

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Kayleigh James

Address: 2 Newlyn Close Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Many new build houses have already been built in recent years in the area. These are putting a huge strain on local amenities, especially schools. My children are at one of the schools in the village and are being taught in classes of 35/36, which is not in their best interests in terms of their education. The schools in the area cannot accommodate the number of children requiring places.

Traffic at key times within the village is already heavy and I understand that a huge variety of wildlife can be found in the fields where the proposed development will be. It is not okay to destroy their habitat for houses that are ultimately not needed.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Robert Burkitt

Address: 2 Piccadilly New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to the further development of housing in New Waltham for the following reasons .

New Waltham is overwhelmed by traffic noise & pollution, it is already difficult to navigate into or out of the village particularly at peak times, with ease, due to standing traffic.

We regularly walk the village and we find the fumes and smell at pinch points such as Toll Bar Roundabout choking and do not represent an ambient air quality.

The addition of these 249 homes with the many more associated vehicles they will bring to the area can only exacerbate this already saturated situation.

When we moved to New Waltham 30 years ago it was for its village feel and community spirit. We accepted that development would take place over time, but this has outpaced any expectation and all sense of community / village life is now being eroded.

Where once we were afforded open and green space surrounding the village with an abundance of wildlife this has been allowed to be lost.

The definition of a village is " Housing in a rural setting, around a central point, smaller than a town" - New Waltham no longer sadly meets this criteria!

Little consideration has been afforded to the existing residents, wildlife & ambience of the area with characterless development having been approved already and of which has hitherto overstretched the existing infrastructure.

It would appear that there is an acceptance that New Waltham can easily absorb all this additional

demand, at the expense of the welfare, health, happiness and security of the existing residents and this impact should now be seriously considered.

This and any future proposed developments should be stopped allowing this available land to be used in a sympathetic manner that will benefit the wildlife and existing community to go some way to redressing the balance.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr ANDREW RENDALL

Address: 2 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Following on from my previous comments on 4th September 2023 again I am writing to object to this proposed housing estate. A new plan has been submitted, but I still strongly object for the previous and these reasons.

The main discussion on the layout revolved around the access point on Louth Road. LA Highways preferred option was to utilize "to be constructed" proposed Traffic Light junction that connects the approved development across the road but, as we have seen in the past any additional traffic lights on this road causes the traffic to build up back to both Holton le Clay and the Toll bar roundabouts and beyond

The traffic volume on the A16 at peak time is beyond capacity and the new proposed development will only add to the congestion and pollution along this stretch of road.

The new proposed traffic lights will also give access from the West side of the A16 as well as the new proposed development of 250 dwellings on the east side.

The Design, Access, and Planning Statement suggests that Highways stipulated they will only accept 250 dwelling from a single access point, this however is not policy but an historic opinion that they have never accepted more Dwellings off a single Access.

For me this new "crossroad junction" is still a single point of access from either side of the main A16, both new roads enter one point.

Additionally the proposed new right turn filter lanes at the new traffic lights for both sides also have a potential to cause additional traffic issues. When the filter lane is full the traffic wanting to turn right will back up and block the main road bringing the main A16 to a standstill I still strongly object for these reasons and reasons previously stated.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr ANDREW RENDALL

Address: 2 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I am writing to formally register my strong objection to the proposed planning application on Louth road.

I acknowledge the importance of development and growth but New Waltham and the surrounding area has been subject to far too much.

The impact of the proposed new junction on Louth Road to access the new development can already be seen today as the temporary road works currently in place with 2 way lights are in a similar place to those proposed. Traffic regularly backs up to the Tollbar and Louth Road roundabouts and blocks traffic trying to leave or enter New Waltham.

Most of the new proposed properties within the new development will have multi car ownership so the potential for further cars exiting the new development onto Louth Road will only add to the chaos on these roundabouts and beyond. The increase in vehicular emissions, noise pollution and the deterioration of air quality will have detrimental effects on the health and well-being of all.

New Waltham is often at a standstill and its becoming heart in mouth time to pull out of the junctions (mine is Pretymen Crescent) on Station Road while traffic is at a standstill or when traffic is moving. This proposed development will naturally create more cars adding to the already over crowed roads and dangers for both children and adults alike.

The development will certainly impact the residents of Pretymen Crescent and Maple Grove especially the bungalows which back onto the field. With the additional planting of trees and walkway I am sure this will affect their privacy and light as the new plans show houses & not

bungalows.

This field for the proposed new housing development has frequent visits from deer, badgers, foxes, hedgehogs plus numerous smaller animals and birds.

The Doctors surgeries and schools in the area can't cope with any more people, where will they go?

In view of the above comments I object to the development, to save our wildlife, health and wellbeing of our residents and our village

I am in no doubt that this will fall on deaf ears, however, the wildlife or children cannot speak for themselves

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Andrea Lamyman

Address: 2 Riby Court Holton Le Clay GRIMSBY

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The increased volume of traffic on the A16 will be too much, causing delays at peak

times. The infrastructure of the surrounding villages will be severely impacted.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss gemma coupland

Address: 2 Romsey Court Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:X

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rosemarie Booth

Address: 2 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Where are the amenities for these houses coming from? Schools, doctors, dentists etc NEL Council cant keep up with the existing streets and road repairs, our roads and paths are disgusting and dangerous in many places and current repairs are a joke. As for bin collections, again NEL Council cant cope with what they have already. New Waltham is already a busy community, traffic is a nightmare on the roads at times. There are plenty of properties being built that haven't been completed already, we dont need half finished projects, finish the ones already started. What was a lovely village is turning into nothing but a huge housing estate. From all the new houses bordering Toll Bar School to all of the new developments off Humberston Avenue. Also on the impact this is taking on nature, we are losing all of our green land. The only people to gain from this is the builders and the council, plenty of cash going their way.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rosemarie Booth

Address: 2 Station Ave New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham already has so many new properties being built but not sold. There are not enough amenities to support more properties. Roads are chocka already and in a terrible state regardless of the resurfacing recently done, that was a joke. Where are the children going to go to schools? The existing ones are full already. There are not enough doctors/hospital /dentists to cope with the demand we currently have. The water pressure is at a permanent low so surely this could only get worse with greater demand in the area. There are plenty of run down properties in Grimsby and Cleethorpes that should be renovated for use before building on new land. Also disruption to wildlife is at an all-time high in the area due to so many properties being built on Greenland sites. Flooding will become a regular thing as there will be no land for rainfall to drain on.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rosemarie Booth

Address: 2 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Where are the amenities for these houses coming from? Schools, doctors, dentists etc NEL Council cant keep up with the existing streets and road repairs, our roads and paths are disgusting and dangerous in many places and current repairs are a joke. As for bin collections, again NEL Council cant cope with what they have already. New Waltham is already a busy community, traffic is a nightmare on the roads at times. There are plenty of properties being built that haven't been completed already, we dont need half finished projects, finish the ones already started. What was a lovely village is turning into nothing but a huge housing estate. From all the new houses bordering Toll Bar School to all of the new developments off Humberston Avenue. Also on the impact this is taking on nature, we are losing all of our green land. The only people to gain from this is the builders and the council, plenty of cash going their way.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Karen Marriott

Address: 2 woodland walk Humberston

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: There's too many concrete structures taking away our beautiful green land.

# Reference: Planning Application Reference DM/0761/23/FUL Proposed building of 250 houses on land next to to Louth Road/ A16 by Cyden Homes.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to the planning office in regard to the proposal to build 250 new homes on land opposite Maple Grove, New Waltham and alongside the A16 Grimsby to Louth road. I have looked at the plans provided and would like to make my objections known.

The first reason for my objection is my concern surrounding the impact this development will have on the air quality in the area. It is a know fact that higher levels of air pollution around the vulnerable, be they young or old has an impact upon their health. There is a secondary school not far from the proposed development, the pupil at which will be at higher risk of air quality related health issues. The same is true of the more elderly population, many of whom live in close proximity to this suggested site of development, with several also living directly opposite the site in question. It is of concern that it is considered acceptable to increase the levels of air pollution that would be caused both through the clearing and construction that would take place to develop this site as well as the pollution from the vehicles of would be residents.

Another objection I wish to share is the apparent lack of regard surround the impact this development will have on the local wildlife. Many bird species have been regularly observed on this site as have a population of deer, all of whom are reliant upon this site and it the resources it provides. It seems unnecessary to build upon yet more land used by wildlife when they are several other housing developments going on in a 5 mile radius of this site.

My final point I wish for you to understand is regarding the capacity of the local road network. There is one route into and out of this new housing development, with a proposed roundabout with traffic lights as the only means of traffic control. The A16 is already a major road with an average of 1300 cars using it per hour, according to several surveys carried out by concerned local residents. It seems impractical to add additional traffic to a road that many locals believe to be over capacity already especially when you take into account the impact that has on the mobility of the residents living nearby. Many already struggle to remain mobile due to the struggles they face in accessing the road network and indeed footpaths in the vicinity of these suggested works, all due to the seemingly excessive amount of traffic being funnelled down a single carriageway road that is carrying far more traffic than seems reasonable.

I have relatives living within the affected area who have expressed concerns about the impact these works will have on my ability to visit regularly to ensure their health and well-being. I have to admit that this is a valid concern and one I too share, considering their frailty as it is now and the detrimental impact the loss of mobility will have on their lives. This is especially the case when considering the sheer volume of traffic that already uses the A16, and my relatives reliance upon the road network to ensure access to medical appointments, medicine retrieval from a pharmacy and grocery shopping, all of which are essential needs for them. One relative already has a condition affecting their ability to breathe which again is another factor I am concerned about with the development. With the additional pressures on the road network there will be an increase in air pollution levels, which could seriously impact their ability to breathe and their overall quality of life.

Thank you for taking the time to register my objections to this proposed development.

Miss S. King,

Concerned relative of residents on Maple Grove. 3 Appletree Court, Healing, Grimsby, DN417JQ

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Kirsty Jeakins

Address: 3 Humberston Avenue Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The area to be built on is home to a lot of wildlife,

New Waltham was known for being a village surrounded by beautiful countryside that is now

rapidly becoming concrete city with more and more housing estates.

Louth road is already a bottle neck at Tollbar, adding another housing estate opposite another one is going to further this.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julie Green

Address: 3 Kaymile Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I object to this planning application.

The Ecology report was dated March 2023, what isn't mentioned is the bird scarer guns that residents have been hearing continuously in the proposed planning area for months before the report, scaring away all of the native wildlife. Who knows how many creatures did not return and are subsequently not counted in the report. Since the application the bird scarer has mysteriously stopped!

The Highway is already struggling with the amount of vehicles traversing it, queues at peak times are causing miles of tail backs from the proposed site all the way back to Hewitts Circus and Scartho Road and through Waltham in the other direction. Another 250 dwellings with the possibility of 2 vehicles each all wanting to exit at peak times would make the single lane highway unsustainable.

The infrastructure for Doctors, Schools and local shops is also not sustainable. All are over subscribed.

I feel that this proposed application will create 'urban sprawl' destroying the nature of our local villages by ultimately leaving no boundries and greenery between them.

We do not need further housing developments in our area.

Planning permission should be refused.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Debbie Featherstone

Address: 3 Langton Road Holton-le-Clay Nr Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Too many houses being built outside of town and in town. The roads can't cope with it.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Luke Osborne

Address: 3 nursery gardens Holton le clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: That area is already too busy and congested with traffic. What about all the extra

pollution. Plus the houses opposite aren't selling!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Frieda Hodgson

Address: 3 Oderin Drive New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There will be no green spaces left for people and animals. We'll live in concrete jungles with all the social problems that'll bring.

Not enough schools, medical centres or undeveloped areas to enjoy for the people that live in the area.

More pollution, traffic, quality of live diminishes constantly.

Enough is enough, developers are not for the people only for their profits.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Jack Browning

Address: 3 Top Farm Gardens New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Local schools and services are already over subscribed. Considering 3 developments off Humberston Avenue, the Becklands Wigmore Park, are there really a need for another 250 houses in this area. Would it not be a better proposal to rejuvenate existing areas within Grimsby and Cleethorpes.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Jack Browning

Address: 3 Top Farm Gardens New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Impact on local school places. Increase of traffic on an already very busy commuter

route.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Billy King

Address: Wellington Court Holton-le-Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Unreasonable amount of houses in such a small, rural area. TollBar roundabout is mayhem every day now and we're expected to believe it can take another 500+ cars? I left Holton-le-Clay earlier today (midday on a Friday) and the traffic was backed up from the roundabout past the lights in the village. I have to drive out my way to get home at peak times because it takes that long to get through. The schools must be at breaking point, where are all these children going? The local shops can't cope, it's getting harder and harder to buy everyday items and there's already a Gleeson development on Louth Road that's sat there doing nothing. Seems ludicrous to build even more when there isn't even buyers for the ones waiting to be built.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Emma Wright

Address: 4 Bevers Way Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Congestion, natural habitats for wild animals, environmental issues and infrastructure are all reasons for this to be a preposterous idea.

Already built up and destroyed all the nature rich green areas in this once lovely place to live Please consider the damage your causing my this, plenty of areas close by that need regeneration. Make that your legacy and not polluting and destroying

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Dinsdale Shaw

Address: 4 Countess Close New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I must disclose.. I am a Cllr. And Chair of NWPC.. but the following comments are mine as a resident only.

I object to the number of properties being built. The local infrastructure can't cope already.. without further development. The local Dr. Surgery is full. For the past few years.. I have tried to be added.. but not a chance. The local schools... full. New Waltham Primary Academy had planning accepted to extend into their own playground/land.. but NELC has withdrawn the funding. So no spaces left.. and current builds are putting a strain on their resources! Let alone 250 new builds. Enfield Academy has built extra... just to cope with current demand! Tollbar has no more room. The roads can not cope. I waited ten minutes to travel a few metres on a visit to Louth... on the Tollbar approach/Station Road. The pollution my car gave off in this time is worrying... and the other 30 odd cars with me.

There is no way we have provision for more houses. The roads can't cope now!!!! Just visit at peak times. Houses are not selling in our area. Many are left empty. Some no kitchens or bathrooms to avoid taxes. There is no call for them.

Our village.. yes village.. can not cope with even more builds. The infrastructure is just not there. I am considering moving due to the over development of the area. I do not support any more builds at all.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Dinsdale Shaw

Address: 4 Countess Close New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The detriment to the village and surrounding villages MUST be taken into consideration. This area is full of wildlife... including badgers. The planned houses are far too many for the plot too. Not enough parking spaces. Due to greed of more houses but not enough spaces for vehicles. Other new builds have ASB and other issues over this.. backed up by the police. The pollution levels will greatly increase. Already our village is suffering from pollution on window ledges. Over night they go from clean to dirty! With schools and care homes nearby... this will affect them.

The infrastructure is not possible. Roads can not cope now let alone with all the 500 + cars leaving the development! The doctor's practice is full. It has been for ages. Schools are full. They have no provision of staff or buildings too.

The emergency services are already stretched to cover our village. Hundreds of New residents will increase this drain on resources.

Our sewer systems are not great. They will not cope with the extra from this site.

We have enough houses already. Many new builds are not being finished as no one is buying them. Existing properties in the area can not sell either. There isn't a need or demand for a further 250 homes.

Commutes using Louth Road will be a nightmare... they already are for most... but this will make ot far worse. Introducing a 4 way traffic light will also create further congestion and therefore increased pollution with idling engines.

We do not want this build. We do not need this build. We do not want to be a village that is totally gridlocked and unable to cope.

The greed of developers has to be stopped. Enough is enough. I strongly object to this development.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Dawn Castle

Address: 4 Kaymile Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My previous comments are still applicable to this application and I make my objection to more houses being built.

Pollution, noise, environmental habitat destruction, clogged traffic at certain times of the day along Louth Road, no infra structure in an already over subscribed area are still concerns even with the latest alterations put forward by the builders. Extra cars on the road, safety of school children going to school, lack of medical centres and school places are all points I have raised in my previous objection and these still stand.

It is absolutely appalling that permission has already been given for all the uninspiring developments we are seeing in this area. This new development adds insult to injury. Grimsby centre has now become a ring donut and New Waltham village is fast disappearing. Please have some vision and stop this. These extra homes are not needed in New Waltham. Is it wrong to want to protect what we value against money making land developers?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Dawn Castle

Address: 4 Kaymile Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:DM/0761/23/FUL

I am very disturbed about the proposed 250 houses that may be built on Louth Road.

The Highway is already struggling with the traffic the recent developments added to it. At least 250 plus more cars on this road will cause even greater tailbacks.

The infrastructure is not in place for the housing we already have - schools, doctors, shops etc. This year the bangs we were subject to very close together to scare birds were obviously part of the plan to scare ALL the wild life. My walks over the field by this proposed development were always pleasant as there were deers and lots of other wild life in the area. The gun sounding noises have now stopped and I wonder why!

The new development will be yet another attempt to produce urban sprawl and the boundaries of our local villages are constantly being eroded. I therefore ask for my comments to be taken as an objection to this development.

Dawn Castle resident of New Waltham

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Michael Edwards

Address: 4 Kenford Court New Waltham North East Lincs

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Building more houses in this area will only add to the traffic chaos at peak times even if traffic management is installed. At a previous council planning meeting held in New Waltham about Toll Bar roundabout it was said by a planning officer that the traffic situation in and around Peaks Parkway has already surpassed its capacity so adding more houses will only add more traffic to the problem. There is already a shortage of school places for children, doctors and dentists are full to capacity. Building more houses in New Waltham will add to an already over stretched community.

I have worked alongside house builders in the past and I know they will get their own way through their legal system. It is overdue for the council to say no for once.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Maureen Edwards

Address: 4 Kenford Court New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:How much more developments and housing can New Waltham take?? We are hemmed in all ways. The roads are not designed for further traffic congestion and the land to develop on has important wildlife that are being pushed further into housing estates with little or nowhere else to go. We need new schools, doctors and new traffic infrastructure Green fields and spaces are being used up at a fast pace. Let's have our wildlife and habitat protected We have enough housing estates. Enough is enough!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Maureen Edwards

Address: 4 Kenford Court New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham and the area cannot sustain any more housing developments We have no green areas anymore and the wildlife are getting pushed further and further into the once called village (it isn't anymore) the infrastructure cannot cope - roads/ schools/ doctors etc. The whole planning application will massively affect the area and the pollution levels will be unacceptable We have no green areas or natural spaces left. All the council want is extra income from the residents in council tax and yet the whole system regarding council services will be stretched to a maximum We do not want anymore buildings clogging up our once lovely village

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Maddison Ingram

Address: 4 kristiansand close New waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:-

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Aimee McHugh

Address: 4 Marylebone Walk Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Nee Waltham village is just that - a village. 300 more houses would remove the quaint village feel, increase traffic and fuel a demand for more schools to be built in the area as local schools have waiting lists already. There have already been so many houses built within and around this village, and noticeable traffic issues have arisen for the residents here. This village used to have such a community feel and now there are too many people, not enough amenities to support such rapid growth, and a sense of safety and security has been compromised. Antisocial behaviour has increased dramatically in recent years and I urge you to reconsider this decision.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julie Wilkins

Address: 4 Mount Pleasant Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: There are far too many new homes being built around new Waltham and Holton clay at

the moment.

Toll Bar roundabout is already an absolute nightmare and the traffic backing up to Holton Le Clay is bad enough already without all of the new builds.

There is very little green space left now and the wildlife has nowhere to go. The infrastructure is unsupportive.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Jennie Walsh

Address: 4 south view Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment: Reasons: Comment: I object!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Amanda Barnard

Address: 4 The Green Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Having watched Wigmore Park expand opposite Toll Bar, I find it hard to believe more houses are needed in and around New Waltham.

In addition, the facilities surrounding this area will be unable to support Wigmore Park, nevermind an additional housing estate.

There 3 small grocery shops, 1 pub and 2 small junior schools in the village, already busy and stretched to capacity. The surrounding villages have also doubled in recent years and villages, increasing traffic and making everyday living difficult for locals. The A16 cannot cope with additional traffic and will, without doubt, become a huge issue for commuters (already a nightmare with the addition of traffic lights at Tollbar roundabout placed too close to the roundabout itself). Almost joining Holton-le-Clay would vastly reduce the green belt and create a small town rather than 2 villages, taking away the 'village' community and feeling of the area that people move there for.

Whilst I appreciate the need for new houses, this will also move people out of the town, an area desperately in need of rejuvenation and updating and redeveloping for young professionals and families. How this continuous building of bog standard houses makes sense, I do not know. In addition, the houses being built around this town are uninspiring and lacking in originality. Isn't it about time you, as planners, injected some design and sustainability in to our housing developments? Almost all new builds look the same, are badly built and are built to benefit developer's bank accounts, not our local community.

The last point I would like to make is the affect on local wildlife, especially the deer that live around the area which I see regularly. No amount of 'green' space in the centre of the development will make up for the destruction of the countryside.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Neil Hedge

Address: 4 Toll Bar Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Does anyone ever do a car count survey or even just try driving between Peakes Park way & Holton le Clay between 8 & 9am 0r 4 & 6-30pm,,,, It takes an hour ,,, We certainly don't need more houses & cars in this area, The roads are at saturation point,,,,

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Matthew Bloomfield

Address: 4 Vardo Close New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The infrastructure and road networks of New Waltham and the surrounding villages simply cannot cope with the proposed additional housing. New Waltham is supposed to be a village, yet with this proposed scale of development, it can no longer be classed as one.

The A16 and Station Road is now extremely congested as a result of the current development Wigmore Park. You have to consider, more people and cars in and around New Waltham, the traffic noise and air pollution that comes with it. This alone has a detrimental effect on air quality and the wellbeing of residents, already living in and around New Waltham.

The increase in traffic would also put in to question highway safety. Toll bar roundabout is an extremely busy road network. School children cross at Toll bar roundabout from various points. New Waltham and the surrounding areas are also popular starting points for cyclists and walkers/ramblers wanting to sample the natural beauty of lincolnshire and the wolds. I would argue that the appearance of the proposed development would tarnish the natural beauty of the village setting. Not to mention the adverse impact of the wildlife currently occupying the proposed site and surrounding fields.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Ken Weller

Address: 5 Albery Way New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Too many new houses on that stretch of the A16 causing traffic congestion, extra CO2 emissions, infrastructure is just not there for all these houses. Schools overcrowded already, losing more farmland, wildlife and ecosystem. This Council needs to concentrate on regenerating the run down areas with building companies not turning North Eadt Lincolnshire into a concrete jungle!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr K Weller

Address: 5 Albery Way New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:More Greenbelt being lost, hedgerows and trees for wildlife, roads already at max capacity, shops and healthcare at max already. Village feeling is disappearing fast, improve housing in Grimsby instead of just destroying more countryside

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs judith lingard

Address: 5 Beech Grove Holton-le-Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Wild life will be killed of which will be such a big blow to us they have been cleared of the field across the road we teach children about wild life and environment but are reducing this at a alarming rate.

I feel we have built enough as the houses across the road are still up for sale our roads are conjested enough down the A16 without more traffic, I worry about schools, areas for children to play, also the infanstucture how will it cope.

Leave the field as a field.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Judith Lingard

Address: 5BEECH GROVE HOLTON LE CLAY Grimsby N E LINCS

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Parish Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Too much taking over of the natural habitat of wild animals, they have lost the fields on Louth Road and your planning to take the rest away, pollution plays a big part in this as we are pushing more traffic into the area causing fumes, traffic jams and creating mess.

School not enough room so that will need to be extended.

We don't live in a village anymore it's going to merge with either Waltham or Tetney, bringing more anti social behaviour into Holton Le Clay which we don't want thank you.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Michael Hammond

Address: 5 beechwood Avenue Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Genoveffa Swinburne Address: 5 brambling way Scunthorpe

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Far too much land is now being taken up for housing. There are plenty of houses that have been left deregister can be fixed to help prevent the so called housing crisis.

These animals are here long before the houses. They are part of the eco system and they have a party to play. To many animals are becoming endangered of being made exstinct. Hedgehog, badgers and foxes have declined over the years. Foxes have had to go into towns in order to found food.

We do not need more houses we need more green spaces.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Jane Mills

Address: 5 Gleneagles Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Further development on green land or farm land is becoming too intrusive on our local spaces. Our wildlife is being persecuted again and again. Local traffic is excessive causing pollution and noise to our environment. Our schools are not able to cope with the demand, our hospitals and GP surgery are already struggling to keep patients safe and treat them appointments are hard to get. Building work is not yet finished on other large developments and they seem to be slow at selling. Affordable housing is not that affordable. The type of housing planned is not in keeping with the villages having small gardens no vegetation just bricks. Fire services are stretched as well as policing to provide a safe environment. The main roads and minor roads are already at capacity causing major tailbacks.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Jane Mills

Address: 5 Gleneagles Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I strongly object for the same reasons as my previous objection. The new plans have not changed my mind at all. Too many new builds are appearing in our area turning green farmland to concrete. The effect on wildlife will be devastating already deer are being pushed onto the main roads being hit causing major damage to vehicles as well as death to themselves in most cases. The amount of traffic building up is causing pollution and noise with constant tail backs around Toll Bar, New Waltham, Scartho etc etc. Grid lock is already occurring. Local amenities are already full and the pressure on the NHS, GP appointments, Dentist appointments are already at breaking point. Where are the kids going to go to school? Fire and Police are already stretched. The affordable housing is not affordable to the majority of first time buyers. Please let the developments which are already in progress eg. Tesco, Toll Bar, Scartho Top be finished before allowing any further building to consume our green land. Our roads cannot deal with the amount of traffic that is already using the surrounding area..

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Jane Mills

Address: 5 Gleneagles Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Further development on green land or farm land is becoming too intrusive on our local spaces. Our wildlife is being persecuted again and again. Local traffic is excessive causing pollution and noise to our environment. Our schools are not able to cope with the demand, our hospitals and GP surgery are already struggling to keep patients safe and treat them appointments are hard to get. Building work is not yet finished on other large developments and they seem to be slow at selling. Affordable housing is not that affordable. The type of housing planned is not in keeping with the villages having small gardens no vegetation just bricks. Fire services are stretched as well as policing to provide a safe environment. The main roads and minor roads are already at capacity causing major tailbacks.

From: Wendy Gibson

Sent: Friday, September 15, 2023 10:55 AM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) < planning@nelincs.gov.uk >

Subject: Ref proposed building on field opposite Maple Grove, New Waltham

We are strongly objecting to plans to build on this land which is across the road from our houses which will presumably drop in value.

We have objected on the grounds of the wildlife using this land but now are told the deer who use it regularly have been shot as have the badgers. I would like this clarified. Also are you going to take aim at the buzzards?

We are also objecting to the lack of infrastructure on all three building sites in the area.

How are the existing schools going to cope?

How on earth is a road that gets congested enough now going to cope with at least another 200 cars ?

Noise and pollution from vehicles needs attending to. People will drive to local shops, schools and pub thus exacerbating that problem.

Lastly where are the buyers coming from ? There is no town centre, no businesses to employ workers any more.

Don't fob us off with "Government requirements" either.

Tony and Wendy Gibson

5 Maple Grove, New Waltham DN36 4PU

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Steven Manders

Address: 5 Osborne Drive Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We already have to many new houses going up and the roads and utilities cannot cope . This would just add to the problem. It would be a big shame to destroy more wildlife habitats when there are much better places in Grimsby that could be redeveloped to provide new housing. Why go on a green field site when we have so many brown field areas crying out for redevelopment.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Rachel Graves

Address: 5 Pendeen Close Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:
Comment:Protest!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Wendy Lashbrook

Address: 6 Albery Way New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lorraine Olley

Address: 6 Carisbrooke Close New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I have lived in this village since 1987, I believe it has reached its capacity. Traffic is horrendous, cars parked on pavements, parking outside schools is beyond dangerous. A further 250 homes would just add to the problems already here. Our schools will not cope. Our wildlife will be affected. Pollution will increase. Any piece of land, not matter the size, is being built on. It must stop now.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Heather Johnston

Address: 6 Colonsay Court New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Our VILLAGE can't take any more new houses, nor more cars on our roads & kids at the local schools. Our VILLAGE is losing it status to become an addition to town. Villages are supposed to be quiet & rural where green areas & wildlife thrive. There will be no green areas or safe places for our wildlife. I strongly oppose these builds.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Jane Hill

Address: 6 Farmhouse Mews Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The roads won't cope, it's not fair to wildlife. Please listen to the existing residents for

once, do not allow this to go ahead!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Catherine Hughes

Address: 6 Farmhouse Mews Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Roads can't cope with the traffic as it is! We haven't even finished the development opposite tollbar and the roads already struggle it will cause no end of trouble and devalue New Waltham.

Also the wildlife will have absolutely nowhere else to go it's not fair! Let green land be green land for once! Please!!!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr AW Clarkson

Address: 6 Grange Farm La Humberston

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The immediate area has already been subject to the development of mass housing estate developments.

There is no provision for community or youth centres

We will be losing more countryside, which can not be reversed when the estates are built, ie lost forever.

There are deer, and bats on the land of the latest proposed estate.

There are major brownfield sites in the centre of Grimsby which can be redeveloped and use existing infrastructure, there is no need to build on Virgin countryside.

Toll bar roundabout is already a major bottleneck and is overloaded at peak times causing long queues and significant pollution.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Alan Bryan

Address: 6 Green Hill Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I am writing to object to this development on several points.

Firstly the negative impact on a number of wildlife species already displaced by the Tollbar development. It seems no consideration has been given to what will happen to the few remaining deer in the area.

Secondly the already overloaded traffic infrastructure simply cannot cope with a further increase, let alone the potentially hundreds of additional vehicles at rush hour.

My third objection also relates to traffic, and having seen first hand how logjammed the Tollbar roundabout can become and how emergency vehicles are affected, I believe further adding to this would show that money is the motivating factor above the well being of local residents.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sally Flynn

Address: 6 Holme Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: New Waltham has become a building site. It is no longer a pleasant place to live due to the disproportionate amount of housing being crammed into every available plot of land. There are simply not the amenities to support all these houses. Residents have the pleasure of seeing deer, badgers, bats, foxes, rabbits and many species of birds in that area. They will disappear. Already we have queues of traffic to contend with every afternoon as it struggles to navigate the two main roundabouts. It backs up almost to the traffic lights at Hewitt's Avenue and crawls from there to Tollbar frequently. Another housing estate will add to this. Our small but excellent doctor's surgery has been full for a long time. They do not have the capacity to take more patients and there isn't a surgery at all in Waltham. Therefore new residents must sign up with one of the large practices in town where they will battle with the phone system and receptionist to try to see a doctor. Tollbar Academy has ridiculously large year groups. Whilst the education there is excellent, many people are concerned about their child being one of several hundred within their year group. Each year group is larger than a primary school!! The school is rapidly reaching the point where they could not possibly expand further. Crime is becoming a real issue largely due to bored teenagers with no facilities to enjoy. There have been numerous incidents at Greenlands and the community churchyard is regularly used for smoking drugs, throwing stones and cavorting about on mopeds. Gangs of teens can also be seen gathering near the village hall. Our once safe and peaceful village has been ruined by overdevelopment. There simply aren't the facilities here. I strongly object to anymore housing as it is destroying wildlife and our community.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Rebecca Flynn

Address: 6, Holme Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We have enough houses in the villages already. The amount of fields and green spaces in the area are becoming very minimal. It is making me want to move house to an actual village as I feel like I live in a town which was not the intention when we moved.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Laura Ratchford

Address: 6 Huntsmans Chase New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I am very concerned about more housing developments being built in the area. They are still building on the becklands which has been built behind my house and ruined the view. There are also all the houses being built opposite toll bar school. I don't understand why more houses are needed. I am very concerned about my children's education and the amount of pressure this will put on the schools. Education standards will slip when there are too many children in each class. I am also concerned for the wildlife who's habit will now be ruined. The NHS is already struggling and we just don't have enough facilities for all the extra homes. I don't think any thought has been given by these building firms to the volume of traffic and pollution this will cause.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Christine Brooks

Address: 6 Lime Grove Holton le Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Too many proposed housing developments being put forward. Local amenities already struggling and it will cause even more traffic chaos on the A16 and leading into Grimsby. It can already take me 40 minutes some days to get home from work in Grimsby to Holton le Clay.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kayleigh Gibbins

Address: 6 Maple Grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Dear Sir/Madam

As a resident of Maple Grove, New Waltham I would like to register my deep concerns and strong objection to the proposed development.

A recent letter I received stated the following 'Matters which are not relevant, and cannot be taken into account, include development's impact on a private view'. Well I would like to take a moment to discuss that 'private view' a little further. From the moment we look out of our window we see a beautiful view that's teeming with wildlife. Deer galloping through the fields, the Common Buzzard, Sparrow-Hawk and Kestrel, and by night Barn & Tawny owls, Badgers and Foxes. Water voles have been spotted by local residents which I believe are listed as one of Britain's most endangered species and afforded full legal protection under section 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981). Wildlife has already been driven from their homes by surrounding building projects (Barrett Homes and David Wilson Homes). If more houses are built where is the wildlife going to go? Or have we stopped caring?

The local air quality is another deep concern of mine and I'm sure of many other local residents. As stated in North East Lincolnshire Councils Air Quality Annual Status Report 'Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts and is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children, the elderly, and those with existing heart and lung conditions'. Louth Rd is a popular route for children walking home from Tollbar School towards Holton Le Clay. Or have we stopped caring about the children too?

The lack of infrastructure to support yet another increase in the population i.e. schooling, medical GP services, police services and local bus route stops is also a big concern. Not to mention the impact another potentially 500 more cars will have on the already busy A16.

I could go on but I'm aware many people have objected to this proposal and all concerns have been voiced.

I urge you to consider whether this proposed project is really in the best interest of the local area. If the answer is no, then how can it go ahead?

We need to start caring more about our community!

Regards,

Kayleigh & Darren Gibbins

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Daisy Garrod

Address: 6 Station Ave New Waltham Grinsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object because I am very concerned about the families moving into the area and what facilities they are going to use. Doctors, dentist, schools, leisure facilities etc There is a serious lack of facilities in the area already.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs E Robertson

Address: 7 Alder Avenue Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We can't fill the houses we already have in the area and yet schools, doctors, roads etc are already full. Traffic management is poor and a council obsessed with traffic lights doesn't bode well for commuters and hectic lives across Grimsby alone. It would be a shame to have another village being subjected to an increase of homes, bringing with it more pollution, along with the detrimental effect on the wildlife that is already being diminished as we lose more and more greenland.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julia Mathews

Address: 7 ASPHODEL CLOSE NEW WALTHAM GRIMSBY

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I feel very strongly that developing the proposed site would result in major congestion to a village that is already under siege to traffic especially if another set of traffic lights is installed at the access to the site. At peak times traffic is backed up in all directions. We have two new developments already under construction which will put massive pressure on the local schools. Another major concern is the gradual loss of open space between Holton Le Clay, New Waltham and Waltham. We have already merged into Humberston so what next? Just one massive conurbation? Shouldn't we be finishing off sites like Ladysmith Road development and looking at brown field sites before destroying our countryside?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lesley Cawthen

Address: 7 Charles Abenue New Waltham Grimdby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I object to the proposal on the following grounds

loss of natural habitat for wild animals

Increased volume of traffic at the already busy Toll Bar roundabout

Too many patients for the local doctor

Too many pupils for the local infants/junior/senior schools.

At the current rate of using open countryside for housing developments the villages of Humberston, New Waltham, Waltham and Holton-le-Clay will soon become joined and will be one giant housing estate with no amenities or infrastructure to support it.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Anne Cullen

Address: 7 Cupis Orchard Humberston Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Already far too much traffic in that area to cope with any more houses.

Also disgusting that wild life is being killed to make way for yet another housing development

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Heather Ellis

Address: 7 Edinburgh Drive Holton-le-Clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We queue on A16 to Grimsby most days. We cannot see a Doctor in HLC. The area does not have the infrastructure to support additional housing, Bribing the Council and public with promises of financial assistance to Education is just not on. There are plenty of empty properties in Grimsby now without building unaffordable properties...even the Wigmore Park sales are stood still. PLEASE, leave us some green fields for our future generations!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kim Auckland

Address: 7 Hawthorne Avenue New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I whole heartily object to another 250 properties being built in New Waltham.

Our local schools are ALL currently oversubscribed which is already proving difficult for existing local residents. My children both attend Toll Bar Academy and class sizes are rising month on month making learning and support harder and harder. They are also having more and more stand in teachers as they just can't get enough teachers for all the current kids.

Not only will it effect schools but also the local doctors surgeries. They too are over subscribed and no longer taking more patients (I know this from working in one of them). It is difficult enough getting appointments and with another 250 plus residents where do we stand then?

Also the roads leading through new waltham especially toll bar area are already creating tailbacks making it harder and harder to get through it effects all the smaller streets leading from these road and residents getting in and out is worsening by the day. It can currently take 10 to 15 mins to get out my street at certain times as it is. Again where are another 250 plus car going to go.

I feel for not only the local residents but children and how thud will effect their education and safety going forward. This should not even be considered

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Nichalos Flegg

Address: 7 Holton mount Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Absolute disgrace what your turning the villages into . You go on about emissions and the ozone , drainage etc etc . Your cutting the trees down and filling the the fields with concrete. Money talks , just greed . Not affordable housing , But you will still go ahead whatever.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Karen Fisher

Address: 7 Kaymile Close New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: When is it going to stop??? Continual building on every bit of land, regardless of what impact the building will have. Do these planners not care about the wildlife that they are threatening? Since I moved to New Waltham, originally in 1983, then again in 2003, our village is unrecognisable. Where deer once regularly roamed, their homes have been bulldozed and built upon. I am aware that people need homes, but what of animals: deer, badgers, hedgehogs, foxes? Their territory has been destroyed, they have nowhere left! Every bit of land in New Waltham has been taken over by this abundance of building!!! And for every house built, there will be at least 2 cars per property, that is a minimum of another 500 cars in our village, on already busy roads. It is a nightmare trying to get in and out of our village at peak times. The schools are bursting at the seams, for every family home, there will be children going to our schools, making the class sizes bigger. Our village is big enough! We are just about joined up to our neighbouring villages of Humberston, Scartho, Waltham and Holton-le-Clay - we have no land left. Extra building in our village impacts the traffic to our neighbouring villages, who have also been bombarded with hundreds of new homes. We do not need more houses in our village! It is not fair on our residents. It is not fair on our wildlife. It is not fair on our neighbouring villages. Please put a stop to this now.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Sophie Wallace

Address: 7 Maple Grove Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to this monstrosity to be built, this isn't because it ruins the lovely view that swayed us to buy our home.

- we do not need more homes being built there is 2 building sites just across the road.

All the natural greenery we have which is very little these days will be destroyed by multiple boring same looking properties.

All the wildlife that will be homeless and killed during this time is disgusting I watch deer every morning in that field.

The roads can not cope with the extra cars, going into Grimsby is chaotic enough. 250 homes and say an average of 2 cars per house that's a possible 500 cars, the pollution alone but then we have to think about the grid lock from holton le clay all the way into Grimsby.

We don't have enough doctors and nurses to care for these people, our hospital is over stretched and usually on a red alert due to waiting times as we can not cope as it is.

The schools around here will then be forced to have more students which means more children to teachers raitio which will result in a poorer grade results.

I do not get how the council can allow this they are taking a brown envelope for greed but ignoring the state of this town, they are massive sell outs.

Use this money to develop the run down and abandoned houses that are littering nearly most Grimsby streets. All this will do is create a ghost town in the streets of Gilby road and hainton avenue and no one will touch them and we will just keep building new ones and soon it will be a ghost town and all we did was destroy the environment and kill animals for NOTHING

Crime will rise. My street is unnoticed currently and I don't really worry about crime. That will soon change:

You can not tell me that in all those houses that everyone that will live there will be a law abiding citizen when there will always be a minority in every community.

The noise that it will create.

The only day I will support any housing development like this is the day when they build hospital doctors surgery's and schools oh these site and get them staffed out of their own pockets. We struggle to see a doctor these days. The nhs is at breaking point, the roads can't stand the volume of cars and the council don't seem to fix any of the potholes and pavements

When we viewed our property we asked about potential housing developments and was told this planning was rejected years ago and that there was so many years before they could reapply.

Also on the revised plans there seems to be no ponds opposite maple grove anymore.

I'm so upset I don't want to live in my home if this goes ahead. Our bit of quiet and nice views will be once again destroyed by a big brown envelope.

It seems this object support it's just a formality as flagging and movement is already happening in the field, we don't stand a chance!

The thought of raising my son on a nice street is now gone.

Watch all the homes on maple grove go up for sale.

I object and so upset and angry that the council will allow this to happen, they can't even keep the bins emptied let alone provide a service for another 250 homes.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Darren Briggs

Address: 7 Martin Way Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Congestion on Louth Road already ridiculous. Traffic lights here will make EVEN worse.

If buildings go ahead LARGE roundabouts are the solution!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Norman Dunwell

Address: 7 Savoy Court New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This development is absolute madness. The roundabout now is jammed and more traffic lights and development will cause more traffic jams extreme pollution with cars standing still. We have not yet had the results of the other development across the road by Barratt and others. At the moment there is traffic lights on the road to holton le clay which has caused chaos and is a pre runner of the problems more traffic lights would cause. A long traffic jam. It is too busy and dangerous now especially at school times

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Ellie Hill

Address: 7 Weelsby View New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Object due to concerns about wildlife, schooling and traffic.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Tracey Simpson

Address: 7 Worsley Close Holton-le-Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I object to the proposed development.

What are the property developers doing for the New Waltham schools in the area, Doctors, Sports, Village Hall and childrens play areas etc are they going to make the area of New Waltham any better for the existing residence. I imagine not! Can't see anything on the plans.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Amy Mumby

Address: 7a Peaks Avenue New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Document download error coming through (unavailable at this time) can not form an

opinion when the documentation can not be opened

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kiri Sykes

Address: 8 Beech Grove Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Will destroy wildlife habitats, already far too much development happening in that area.

Traffic congestion is ridiculous already without the extra traffic, that will be added from the 2 existing developments going up and then with this a 3rd one proposed onto an already identified red route road.

Developments locally have had to slow building due to lack of sales and then there's all the shoddily built houses/estates within a couple of miles away where the developers are trying to wash their hands of it!!!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Carol Beck

Address: 8 Elm Lane Laceby Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Another housing development? the towns roads are already chaotic and travelling around the town (especially in this area) at peak times is futile as well as extremely frustrating. Was this development included in the 'local plan' and the amount of building already existing and currently ongoing in this area is unnatural. How is the local infrastructure able to cope? Schools are at capacity, as well as doctors and dentists. The area is a well known habitat for wildlife including deer, foxes, hedgehogs and most importantly badgers.

Can we please use common sense and let us see if all the houses that are already being built are sold and lived in, before we embark on another council catastrophe.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stephen Johnson

Address: 8 maple grove new waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:/Users/stephenjohnson/Desktop/Planning application objection Ref:

DM:0761:23:FUL.pages 4th September 2023

To: Planning @nelincs.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam

Subject: Planning Application Ref: DM/0761/23/FUL (OBJECTION)

Erect 250 dwellings and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

As a resident of Maple Grove, New Waltham for approximately 26 years, I would like to register my deep concerns and 'objection' to the proposed development in the strongest terms due to its' impending detrimental impact on our home and surroundings on the following grounds:

As both a resident of Maple Grove and also a 'New Waltham Parish Councillor' I was at the Parish Council monthly meeting on Wednesday evening 02.08.23 at which: Mr Steven Ibbotson (Architect) presented his 'CYDEN' proposal to build 240 properties. (Drawing No.175/ IDL 03A dated Aug 2022). Having myself, worked in Design offices as a draughtsman, a designer and Project Engineer I am well aware of design drawing protocols and, it has to be queried why a

'professional' (such as the Architect) would present us with a proposal for the Louth Rd / Maple Grove plot, knowing full well, as the architect of this scheme, that this was an outdated drawing. He surely would have known it to be superseded (for example) by Drawing No. at Rev C drawing showing a date of May 2023 at the latest. It deviates from the drawing he had previously presented to the New Waltham Parish Council on Wednesday 2nd August 2023 in that an additional 10 properties had been added by (May 2023) and the drawing was now showing a total of 250 properties. The latest drawing also now shows a relocated and much smaller 'attenuation pond'. No explanation has so far been given on this matter or why they have squeezed another 10 properties in amongst other alterations.

We were told by Mr Stevenson at the meeting (2.08.23) that he 'appreciates that residents of Maple Grove would have bought their properties primarily for the 'open field aspect'. 'Therefore the 'attenuation pond' situated running parallel with length of Maple Grove would hopefully create a pleasing feature for both his 'buyers' and the Maple Grove homeowners'. Importantly, it also created a feeling of space between it and Maple Grove as the 'Grove' itself is not much wider than a single lane and residents will be directly facing the new estate.

Having canvassed opinion this would have provided some 'very limited comfort' to residents against the 'loss of the 'open countryside aspect' we have hitherto enjoyed.

'Lo and behold' as stated above, this has been relocated and is smaller than shown on the drawing issue submitted to the council planning dept ???

To add 'insult' to injury' A 'cycle path and footpath' has been added since the meeting, running the full length of the new estate immediately opposite our homes and takes the place of the previous 'attenuation' pond we were shown. This means, given the close proximity' that we will be sat in our homes looking at pedestrians and school children and cyclists as they go about their daily activities instead of the much of the wildlife we have seen to date.

Wildlife will be faced with a very real and serious detrimental impact in the immediate area (including some, of which), being listed as being amongst Britain's most endangered species). In Maple Grove we have been lucky enough to see: Roe deer, Muntjac, Foxes, Hedgehogs, Weasels and Badgers etc and a variety of birds including Barn Owls, Tawny Owls and a variety of Bats. (The ecologists report was a reasonable assessment in the timeframe afforded them) but they failed to mention the deer or perhaps had insufficient time available to see what, hitherto, we residents have been fortunate enough to share our lives with. We know that Badgers etc have been dislodged by building sites along Humberston Avenue and by the impact of the "Barrett Homes" Toll Bar housing estate etc, the building work taking place further along the road i.e. David Wilson Homes 'High Forest', New Waltham estate approx 120 new homes. just up the road from Holton le Clay "Gleeson" 'Holton Croft estate'). The latest sighting of Badger in Maple Grove was Monday 11th September. Sightings have become more frequent since all the building work down Humberstone Avenue, in New Waltham and Holton le Clay.

A possible 'Contractual issue' that I believe may still need resolving by the Council is that this proposal as far as I can see does nothing to resolve the issue of whom might now be responsible for

maintaining the mature hedgerow, grass verge and trees in Maple Grove. This maintenance had always been carried out by the council. However over the last 2/3 years, attention has been very poor, and Cllr Steve Harness has been involved in ensuring this work gets done. However it has become 'very hit & miss' if/when it gets done. The last team that carried out the work arrived without the correct equipment to carry out the work properly. i.e. they cut the grass verge but didn't trim the very tall hedges.

At last months 'Parish Council Meeting' attended by (amongst others) 'Cllr Steve Harness' and the 'Architect Steven Ibbotson' during which Cllr Harness advised Mr Ibbotson that CYDEN will be held responsible for maintaining both the grass verge and the mature hedgerow in Maple Grove as it was owned by the farmer ??? It is very much hoped by all Maple Grove residents that the continued maintenance of this hedge and grass verge is a 'seamless process' as it is home to a variety of nesting birds including amongst others - Greenfinches, Goldfinches, Hedge Sparrow and bats. All of which rely very much on these mature growths for food and more importantly nesting. It also provides a natural break and gives some cover from the proposed housing estate.

### Impact on traffic in the surrounding area

The A16 between Holton le Clay and Toll Bar roundabout and New Waltham and Grimsby has long been renowned for being busy sections of highway, particularly so, in and around the A16 New Waltham, Station Road/Toll Bar (school) roundabouts. But I would suggest the impact from this latest proposal will compound the issue with potentially another circa.500 cars to be taken into consideration?

Particularly concerning is the prospect of pedestrians from the new estate being marshalled to the N.W. corner of the estate at the junction with 'Maple Grove' which brings the new residents / school pupils into contact with traffic flowing in/out of both Maple Grove and the Petrol station/ general store. Maple Grove is an incredibly narrow road feeding directly onto a very busy A16. Parents often use Maple Grove as a 'dropping-off' and 'Pick-up point' for Toll Bar school. Some of these parents speed up and down our street to turn their vehicles around at the end of the road. I believe there to be an increased risk to residents ( and especially school children). As a consequence I suggest a study be done before any future 'Puffin crossing' is installed at the end of Maple Grove (as shown on the proposal drawing). I believe the road or pedestrian paving on the estate should funnel pedestrians (especially school children) too a more central position on and along Louth Rd utilising something like a 'traffic-island' to give adequate sight to both pedestrians and drivers?

Modification to the Toll Bar roundabout has led to an increase to traffic 'flow-rate' of vehicles in and around the immediate area, particularly between the Toll Bar roundabout and the junction/entrance with the new estates. This will be further compounded if an additional set of

traffic-lights is installed. Consent to above proposal would lead to an increased risk of a road traffic accident due to access/egress from the proposed new-build being on the A16 An additional/planned increase of 500 dwellings close to Toll Bar roundabout will undoubtedly exacerbate an already increasing traffic problem.

#### The local wildlife

Local WildlifeWildlife will be faced with a very real and serious detrimental impact in the immediate area (including some, of which), being listed as being amongst Britain's most endangered species. We have been lucky enough to see Roe deer, Muntjac, Foxes, Hedgehogs, Weasels and Badgers etc and a variety of birds including Barn Owls, Tawny Owls and a variety of Bats. (The ecologists report was a reasonable assessment in the timeframe afforded them) but they failed to mention the deer or perhaps had insufficient time available to see what, hitherto, we residents have been fortunate enough to share our lives with. We know that Badgers etc have been dislodged by building sites along Humberston Avenue and the impact of the "Barrett Homes" at Toll Bar (Louth Rd) housing estate plus new housing across the field and Holton le Clay. The latest sighting of Badger in Maple Grove was Tuesday 12.09.23.

Resident species I have spotted are listed below for reference:

Water voles - There is a healthy population in the area (this species being listed as one of Britain's most endangered species and afforded full legal protection under section 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981).

Fox

Badger

Weasel

Little Egret

Moorhen

Roe Deer

Muntjac

Common Buzzard

Sparrow-hawk

Kestrel

Barn & Tawny Owls

Regards,

Cllr Stephen Johnson (Maple Grove Resident & Parish Councillor)

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr stephen Johnson

Address: 8 maple grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:/Users/stephenjohnson/Desktop/Planning application objection Ref:

DM:0761:23:FUL.pages 4th September 2023

To: Planning @nelincs.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam

Subject: Planning Application Ref: DM/0761/23/FUL

As a resident of Maple Grove, New Waltham for approximately 26 years, I would like to register my deep concerns and 'objection' to the proposed development in the strongest terms due to its' impending detrimental impact on our home and surroundings on the following grounds:

As both a resident of Maple Grove and also a 'New Waltham Parish Councillor' I was at the Parish Council monthly meeting on Wednesday evening 02.08.23 at which: Mr Steven Ibbotson (Architect) presented his 'CYDEN' proposal to build 240 properties. (Drawing No.175/ IDL 03A dated Aug 2022). Having myself, worked in Design offices as a draughtsman, a designer and Project Engineer I am well aware of design drawing protocols and, it has to be queried why a 'professional' (such as the Architect) would present us with a proposal for the Louth Rd / Maple Grove plot, knowing full well, as the architect of this scheme, that this was an outdated drawing.

He surely would have known it to be superseded (for example) by Drawing No. at Rev C drawing showing a date of May 2023 at the latest. It deviates from the drawing he had previously presented to the New Waltham Parish Council on Wednesday 2nd August 2023 in that an additional 10 properties had been added by (May 2023) and the drawing was now showing a total of 250 properties. The latest drawing also now shows a relocated and much smaller 'attenuation pond'. No explanation has so far been given on this matter or why they have squeezed another 10 properties in amongst other alterations.

We were told by Mr Stevenson at the meeting (2.08.23) that he 'appreciates that residents of Maple Grove would have bought their properties primarily for the 'open field aspect'. 'Therefore the 'attenuation pond' situated running parallel with length of Maple Grove would hopefully create a pleasing feature for both his 'buyers' and the Maple Grove homeowners'. Importantly, it also created a feeling of space between it and Maple Grove as the 'Grove' itself is not much wider than a single lane and residents will be directly facing the new estate.

Having canvassed opinion this would have provided some 'very limited comfort' to residents against the 'loss of the 'open countryside aspect' we have hitherto enjoyed.

'Lo and behold' as stated above, this has been relocated and is smaller than shown on the drawing issue submitted to the council planning dept ???

To add 'insult' to injury' A 'cycle path and footpath' has been added since the meeting, running the full length of the new estate immediately opposite our homes and takes the place of the previous 'attenuation' pond we were shown. This means, given the close proximity' that we will be sat in our homes looking at pedestrians and school children and cyclists as they go about their daily activities instead of the much of the wildlife we have seen to date.

Wildlife will be faced with a very real and serious detrimental impact in the immediate area (including some, of which), being listed as being amongst Britain's most endangered species). In Maple Grove we have been lucky enough to see: Roe deer, Muntjac, Foxes, Hedgehogs, Weasels and Badgers etc and a variety of birds including Barn Owls, Tawny Owls and a variety of Bats. (The ecologists report was a reasonable assessment in the timeframe afforded them) but they failed to mention the deer or perhaps had insufficient time available to see what, hitherto, we residents have been fortunate enough to share our lives with. We know that Badgers etc have been dislodged by building sites along Humberston Avenue and by the impact of the "Barrett Homes" Toll Bar housing estate etc, the building work taking place further along the road i.e. David Wilson Homes 'High Forest', New Waltham estate approx 120 new homes. just up the road from Holton le Clay "Gleeson" 'Holton Croft estate'). The latest sighting of Badger in Maple Grove was Monday 11th September. Sightings have become more frequent since all the building work down Humberstone Avenue, in New Waltham and Holton le Clay.

A possible 'Contractual issue' that I believe may still need resolving by the Council is that this proposal as far as I can see does nothing to resolve the issue of whom might now be responsible

for

maintaining the mature hedgerow, grass verge and trees in Maple Grove. This maintenance had always been carried out by the council. However over the last 2/3 years, attention has been very poor, and Cllr Steve Harness has been involved in ensuring this work gets done. However it has become 'very hit & miss' if/when it gets done. The last team that carried out the work arrived without the correct equipment to carry out the work properly. i.e. they cut the grass verge but didn't trim the very tall hedges.

At last months 'Parish Council Meeting

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Johnson

Address: 8 Maple Grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Once again I am writing to object to this proposed housing estate. A new plan has been submitted, but I still strongly object for these reasons. Traffic volume on Station road and the A16 from the roundabout down to Holton le Clay is already at ridiculous levels. I myself, using a count clicker, at 3 different hours, Monday to Friday for 2 weeks, counted, on average 1,300 vehicles an hour !!!.

Our local schools are already at breaking point.

There is only 1 GP surgery and no dentists.

Also note, this land is the last area of green belt in New Waltham, and the other side of the field comes under East Lindsey district council, is the rule about Strategic Gaps not in place? I also strongly object to the huge loss of wildlife and birds we will no doubt lose. We have watched deer wandering in the field, enjoyed feeding resident foxes, there are badgers living in the field, that feed on a regular basis at a house at the back of Pretymen crescent, and is often caught on a spy cam, so we know they are still around.

Goldfinches, Goldcrests, grey wagtail, pied wagtails, Great tits, Blue tits, long tailed tits, greenfinches, chaffinches are among the many birds that regularly come and feed in our gardens. What a shameful act if we lose all these beautiful creatures.

Can the council please come to the right decision and refuse this planning application and look at the benefits to our community of leaving at least one wild space for us and the creatures that we share it with to enjoy.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stephen Johnson

Address: 8 Maple Grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Please refer to my objections that were put on this portal on the 12/09/23.

I still strongly object to this planning application, on the following grounds.

Increased volume of traffic this WILL create.

The houses would be built on the last field/ green belt of land left in New Waltham. What happened to strategic gaps that need to be in place between different councils, Holton le Clay coming under East Lindsey District council.

Our local infrastructure will not cope with all these new builds, we're already at breaking point. Great Crested Newts and water voles have now been shown to occupy all the dykes and ditches surrounding this field, both of which are protected species.

Can we please have it confirmed as to what dwellings may be built behind Pretymen Crescent. On one plan it shows houses and on another it shows a mixture of houses and bungalows. We'd prefer none.

Last but not least, please take into account the decimation of our local wildlife already being squeezed into smaller and smaller areas. Badgers have already been displaced from the field where the other local housing developments are taking place, ie Wigmore Park and High Forest. Regards Steve johnson, (resident and Parish councillor).

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Johnson

Address: 8 Maple Grove New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I strongly object to this development. Having lived on this street for the past 26 years I have had the joy of watching all the wildlife the field opposite has attracted, deer, badgers, pheasants and many different species of birds that use the hedgerows. 2 stagnant ponds are not going to attract these creatures.

Our local infrastructure can not cope with the many cars, people, children that all these new housing developments are going to bring into the area. Doctors, schools and council bin collections are all ready at breaking point.

The Government and media are always telling us we should look after our mental health and well being, which is why we moved to a more rural setting, I'm already becoming distressed about this development and what it might bring. What about my rights and those who also totally object to what will be an eyesore !!!

From:

Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 2:02 PM
To: Planning - IGE (Equans) < planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: New Waltham development

Dear sir

Please find my objections to the preposed 250 house development off Louth road New Waltham. Having had problems with the on line portal I have sent you this email.

Regards

George Lill

8 Margaret Place New Waltham Grimsby DN35 4NR.

As a resident of over 50 years in New Waltham I have seen many changes in the village. We are now surrounded by new developments in various states of construction, some sites have been in operation for a good number of years and are still incomplete, further new developments if progressed in the same time frame, we can expect to live in the centre of a large building site for the foreseeable future, with all the associated disruptions, with temporary traffic lights popping up like mushrooms and only working between 8-30 and 4pm

5 days a week causing traffic nightmares.

In the planning rules, dead lines for completion in particular the facilities, Shops, schools, pubs, doctors etc. should be implemented in readiness for further development. Too many time facilities are in the plans and never get completed, our schools and lesure facilities are well over saturated. The Harvest moon was closed and council approved change of use for the Co-op to open, when there were buyers for the Moon and to keep it as a local pub and community hub, no thought for all the additional new houses and all the extra people who will move in to the area. Waltham has an abundance of social places to gather and is not the same size as New Waltham has become. Its time to stop allowing more houses to be built in New Waltham before all the other developments are complete and facilities built to house the bludgeoning population of New Waltham is choked with pollution and gridlocked with traffic.

The original plans for this proposed expansion put forwards to the Parish council is wildly different to the one submitted to the NE Lincs council showing more houses than the original. Can this be allowed? stop allowing every square foot of land in New Waltham becoming a building plot. I do not wish to stop improving the lives of people and I am not against developing our community but it must be supported with the correct infrastructure, Listen to the people a little more and lets make life tolerable for all Young and old.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr George Lill

Address: 8 Margaret Place New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I write to you to register my objections to the Planning Application DM/0761/23/FUL

As a resident of New Waltham for over 50 years we have seen the gradual expansion of the village and the massive increase of traffic this has brought to the community. We have seen all the new developments with promised new benefits to the community, we have seen only a reduction in social outlets and massive increases of traffic, and living in a permanent building site.

Further development's will loose us green spaces and all the nature which will disappear for ever, more pollution, overcrowding of our schools and the risk of road accidents with no safe way to cross the road apart from the two crossings, one light controlled at Tollbar for the school, and one adjacent to the Spar shop which is just waiting for yet another fatality.

The proposed development has been back and forwards to planning with so called improvements, none, I can see will benefit the residents who boarder Land off louth Road.

My other concern is the outlet onto Louth Road, which at peak times is a nightmare to access. Further to this if the developer gets permission, who knows they may purchase houses in Prettyman crescent knock them down for access as an alternative outlet to this planned development.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr George Lill

Address: 8 Margaret Place Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:As a resident of over 50 years in New Waltham I have seen many changes in the village. We are now surrounded by new developments in various states of construction, some sites have been in operation for a good number of years and are still incomplete, further new developments if progressed in the same time frame, we can expect to live in the centre of a large building site for the foreseeable future, with all the associated disruptions, with temporary traffic lights popping up like mushrooms and only working between 8-30 and 4pm 5 days a week causing traffic nightmares.

In the planning rules, dead lines for completion in particular the facilities, Shops, schools, pubs, doctors etc. should be implemented in readiness for further development. Too many time facilities are in the plans and never get completed, our schools and lesure facilities are well over saturated. The Harvest moon was closed and council approved change of use for the Co-op to open, when there were buyers for the Moon and to keep it as a local pub and community hub, no thought for all the additional new houses and all the extra people who will move in to the area. Waltham has an abundance of social places to gather and is not the same size as New Waltham has become. Its time to stop allowing more houses to be built in New Waltham before all the other developments are complete and facilities built to house the bludgeoning population of New Waltham is choked with pollution and gridlocked with traffic.

The original plans for this proposed expansion put forwards to the Parish council is wildly different to the one submitted to the NE Lincs council showing more houses than the original. Can this be allowed? stop allowing every square foot of land in New Waltham becoming a building plot. I do not wish to stop improving the lives of people and I am not against developing our community but it must be supported with the correct infrastructure, Listen to the people a little more and lets make life tolerable for all Young and old.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Emma Neil

Address: 8 Pemberton Drive Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish to object the planned proposal, this is unnecessary building which is not needed. The additional traffic problems this will cause to the area will be terrible it is already chaotic in a morning, schools in the area are already full to bursting, the amount of green fields and wildlife habitats are becoming less as less in a once rural area. If housing is required fill the voids that already exists in the town by regenerating the area not building new houses in green fields in different parts of the town.

Last time a Cyden homes built in the area they failed to communicate the piling and how this would cause noise disturbance during the day with a lot of houses also suffering from cracks in the walls, they then failed to accept any responsibility, leaving neighbouring residents having to foot the bill for repairs needed as a result of their piling.

This is a completely unnecessary proposal, the only people benefitting with be those that reap the profits at cyden homes.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Scott Parnham

Address: 8 Pinfold Iane Holton le Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Already far too many houses being built. The roads are congested enough already. More houses will mean gridlock everyday with no plans to improve infrastructure. Less and less green land, soon there will be none left, damaging local ecosystems and wildlife. All for the big wigs to take a pay packet.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Olivia Hicks

Address: 8 Pinfold Lane GRIMSBY

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Already too many house

More traffic will be coming to the area, the roads already cannot handle the capacity

It takes half an hour to do a 6 mile journey every evening

More house / more cars

The school also will not be able to handle the increase in children

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Maureen Surfleet

Address: 8 Wold View Holton le Clay Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I am worried about pollution on the A16; where children are walking or cycling to Waltham Toll Bar school. The amount of traffic now is very concerning which is going to increase substantially when the new estate is completed near Toll bar roundabout and extra housing planned in Holton le Clay. I strongly object to all the extra housing. Enough is enough, we are putting our children's health at risk with extra pollution as well as putting extra strain on schools and doctors in the area.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sian Goodwin

Address: 8a worlaby rd Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:On behalf of my mother who lives in new Waltham, who's drains are always overflowing

due to over capasity.

She also pays village rates as part of council tax. Surely new Waltham is already no longer a village with its ever increasing population.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Christopher Lyons

Address: 9 Carmen Crescent Holton-Le-Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This is directly opposite where they are currently building a housing estate with access onto the A16 at the same point. If this goes ahead it will create a massive bottleneck just before the present bottleneck of Toll Bar roundabout. Recent and current roadworks have shown just how easily traffic on the A16 can grind to a halt and create tailbacks back to the far end of Holton-Le-Clay. The local public services in the area are already at bursting point with Toll Bar Academy already one of the largest secondary schools in the country. The local doctors and dentists can not sensibly take any more patients. All the money the developers could throw at it cannot conjure up more public services for the area without taking up even more land.

As for the land that the proposed development would cover, this is an important and valuable area for wildlife, especially since the land on the other side of the A16 has been taken for development. Anyway you look at it this proposed development is sheer madness.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr trevor creese

Address: 9 drury lane new waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The traffic in new waltham is already beyond the maximum that makes it bearable to live here. The facilities are not sufficient for the number of people that already live in New Waltham. New Waltham should remain a village and not a choked small town. Enough houses are already situated in new waltham

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Patrick Capps

Address: 9 Imperial Avenue Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Our school, doctors and dentists are all currently oversubscribed and with huge waiting lists that cause more harm due to local people already not being able to easily access them. How will this then be affected by building an additional 250+ houses to an area that already seem to be overpopulated due to the lack of local facilities based on it being a village? Pressing on from this, there also seems to be a high level of pollution that already occurs from areas surrounding Tollbar roundabout, including Station Road and the A16. The A16 has been deemed the fourth most dangerous road in Lincolnshire, from this it has seen an increasing number of fatalities due to car incidents. How is it logical to then make an estate with only one access point that enters onto such a dangerous road, where they suspected potentially 500 cars will use daily from this development as well as the already existing high volume of vehicles that use that road...... Is it not foreseeable that other fatalities could occur due to this?

Traffic issues and pollution levels are at an all-time high as cars seem to be at a standstill even when in off peak times, this then increases health risks and potential injuries to other drivers or even cyclists (potentially Tollbar school children). These health issues may then be delayed in treatment due to the oversubscription of doctors as previously mentioned. This can only get worse as more areas and land are being built on. This development does not allow for sustained living!

From: Roger Breed

Sent: Friday, February 16, 2024 1:37 PM

**To:** Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk> **Subject:** FW: COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION

Subject: FW: COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION

Sir/Madam

I wish to comment on DM/0761/23/FUL LAND off MAPLE GROVE/ A16 NEW WALTHAM

Apart from the usual comments there is one aspect of this application that like most of the planning applications around the Toll Bar and A16, has, and is continuing to be ignored is the high rate of traffic pollution caused by the high rate of increase in vehicles which is reaching unmanageable proportions at the peak times

The rate of traffic pollution which I have been campaigning about for the last 5 years is as far as I am concerned is exceeding limits set out by the World Health Organisation.

The planning officers and the Air Strategy Officer of NELC seem oblivious to the concerns and the ASO refuse to furnish me with the air pollution readings for the peak times on weekday mornings Academy term time when 2500 pupils at Toll Bar Academy have to "mingle" with the highest volume of vehicles passing through the Toll Bar

During the hours of 7-30am to 9am and then 3 pm to 4-30pm the pupils of Toll Bar Academy are subjected to unacceptable levels of traffic pollution

The air quality report ALL 81 pages of it, submitted with the plans is too complicated and It does not appear to mention the fact that the traffic pollution from the extra cars from the new development will push the pollution readings even higher at the peak times

I am convinced that the Planning Officers are unaware of the problem, even more surprising is the lack of concern shown by the Academy I might ask "What has happened to duty of care"

You are dealing with young childrens health. Not forgetting the residents who live around the TollBar who have been complaining for years. At peak times and overcast days you can smell, taste and see the evidence of pollution, this invisible killer has the potential to damage young lungs permantly with life long health implications.

My objections are still aimed at the houses and the loss of green fields, wildlife, woodlands etc So please stop this and any more developments around the Toll Bar until the problem of traffic pollution is resolved, do not ignore the traffic pollution.

ROGER BREED 9 JANTON COURT NEW WALTHAM

Could you acknowledge this e-mail many thanks

Regards

Roger

From: Roger Breed < rogerbreed 1@hotmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 16, 2024 1:37 PM

**To:** Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk> **Subject:** FW: COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION

You don't often get email from rogerbreed1@hotmail.com. Learn why this is important

Subject: FW: COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION

Sir/Madam

I wish to comment on DM/0761/23/FUL LAND off MAPLE GROVE/ A16 NEW WALTHAM

Apart from the usual comments there is one aspect of this application that like most of the planning applications around the Toll Bar and A16, has, and is continuing to be ignored is the high rate of traffic pollution caused by the high rate of increase in vehicles which is reaching unmanageable proportions at the peak times

The rate of traffic pollution which I have been campaigning about for the last 5 years is as far as I am concerned is exceeding limits set out by the World Health Organisation.

The planning officers and the Air Strategy Officer of NELC seem oblivious to the concerns and the ASO refuse to furnish me with the air pollution readings for the peak times on weekday mornings Academy term time when 2500 pupils at Toll Bar Academy have to "mingle" with the highest volume of vehicles passing through the Toll Bar

During the hours of 7-30am to 9am and then 3 pm to 4-30pm the pupils of Toll Bar Academy are subjected to unacceptable levels of traffic pollution

The air quality report ALL 81 pages of it, submitted with the plans is too complicated and it does not appear to mention the fact that the traffic pollution from the extra cars from the new development will push the pollution readings even higher at the peak times

I am convinced that the Planning Officers are unaware of the problem, even more surprising is the lack of concern shown by the Academy I might ask "What has happened to duty of care"

You are dealing with young childrens health. Not forgetting the residents who live around the TollBar who have been complaining for years. At peak times and overcast days you can smell, taste and see the evidence of pollution, this invisible killer has the potential to damage young lungs permantly with life long health implications.

My objections are still aimed at the houses and the loss of green fields, wildlife, woodlands etc So please stop this and any more developments around the Toll Bar until the problem of traffic pollution is resolved, do not ignore the traffic pollution.

ROGER BREED 9 JANTON COURT NEW WALTHAM 01472813797

Could you acknowledge this e-mail many thanks

Regards

Roger

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Chris Allen

Address: 9 Mayfair Crescent Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:In current times when we have climate crisis we shouldn't be destroying more land, wildlife habitat for housing but keeping and looking after it for jow and future generations. More houses equates to more cars, unsustainable traffic for small villages..., and an increase in pollution.

There isn't the infrastructure to sustain further village new builds,ie hospital, doctor's surgeries. Better to use brown sites.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Adele Murray

Address: 9 Newlyn Close New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: There is just enough infrastructure to support more houses! Not enough schools, pubs!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Daniel Holmes

Address: 9 Spitfire Lane Holton Le Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There are already too many houses being built on Louth road. The traffic is already horrendous at all exits of tollbar roundabout. This will only make this worse. With the three sites already located here it will be constant building for an excessive amount of years that has caused disruption for too long.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Dale Turner

Address: 9 Tetney Lane Holton Le Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:The current infrastructure in this area does not support an additional 250 homes, on top of 2 other large housing estates on the A16. The increase in traffic and pollution levels will be significant to the area and it's environmental and health impacts. On top of this I would also have concerns that the general strain on doctors surgeries, supermarkets and other local utilities would be further negatively affected in the area.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stuart Willoughby

Address: 10 Charles Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The continued development of green areas around New Waltham instead of other areas crying out for development within Grimsby makes little sense to me; there are vast areas derelict/abandoned houses desperately needing redevelopment, yet development continues in these green areas.

I moved to here because it wasn't built up and the easy access to the A16. Building more houses without any significant upgrades to the infrastructure shows a lack of thought for the future and only concerning the here and now. Traffic will increase, pollution will increase, crime will increase and local services are already over saturated.

These houses are being built for financial gain which, although is to be expected, cannot come at the detriment of local residents.

I want to raise my daughter in the area as it is not the built-up, polluted area it is fast becoming.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr matthew mumby

Address: 10 hangar lane holton le clay grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:estate being built will de value my property and increase the amount of cars going in/out

the village

it will also damage wildlife

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs L:inda Watson

Address: 10 Maple Grove Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I have lived here over 20 years and yes had the pleasure of seeing the wildlife in this area. Only last week my brother had the excitement of seeing his first badger at the end of Maple Grove, what will happen to all of those lovely creatures in our surrounding area they soon won't have anywhere to move to if all of our fields are built on.

We have enough development as it is going on around the town soon there will be no villages left. There are not enough doctors, school places as it is.

We have had enough disruption since the building works have started on the other side of the Louth Road, blocked roads, partly closed roads, electricity going off for hours.

We have enough problems getting out of Maple Grove if this goes ahead there will be the lights at Holten-le-Clay more lights further down then a crossing point and that is before we even get to Toll Bar roundabout.....MADNESS. AND GUESS WHAT ALL THAT COMES WITH THE FUMES!!!!!

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Susan Sargent

Address: 10 Margaret Place New Waltham GRIMSBY

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:There are too many building developements in this area. If this goes ahead, the only entrance and exit will be on the A16. This road is already congested. No doubt there will be more traffic lights. There are already temporary lights near Hawthorne Ave off the A16. This is already causing major problems and hold ups. The A16 is one of the few roads into N.E.LINCS due to the position of N E LINCS. We have already had problems due to the Toll Bar roundabout. What about air pollution due to the standing traffic at the lights? Also there are the students at Tollbar School to consider and the effect of air pollution due to the standing traffic. The A16 is not a very wide road and struggles to cope at peak times as it is. This plan of 250 houses must not go ahead.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Neil Carr

Address: 10 Oderin Drive New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I object to the proposed housing development by Cyden homes behind prettyman crescent as it will have a detrimental effect on the wildlife that live there.

There will be increased traffic congestion at peak times as the toll bar roundabout is badly designed i.e one lane into it from the peaks parkway and two lanes exiting onto the A16 which means more traffic diverts through New Waltham which then leads to a pollution issue.

The village has two small primary schools and one comprehensive which are already full so there will be extra pressure on these with more demand for places.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Christopher Kirby

Address: 10 Pemberton Drive New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:More green space being destroyed for another large housing estate, with one way in and out which will lead to more traffic along what's already a badly congested road opposite a school which is already full. These houses will be connected to an already strained electric grid system which has failed numerous times the past couple of years, none of these houses seem to have any type of energy self sufficiency eg PV or heat recovery. With more surface water diverted through what's now a drain system that can't cope with the weather we now get will lead to properties being flooded. Finally the cost of these new builds comparison to the quality of build is disgracefull.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Martyn Williams

Address: 10 sarge close Holten le clay

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My concerns for this build are the following, traffic pollution which n

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Yvette Hannay

Address: 10 The Glade New Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Too many new houses are being built using the homes of the wildlife, the deer, foxes and badgers etc need their homes protecting! Where are they to live? Soon we won't have any green areas left. Too many animals are being killed on the road sides! The village is becoming a town! I agree we need to build more new houses why not use the derelict ones in town and regenerate those areas?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Karen Keningale

Address: 10 Whisby Court Holton Le Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Builders should be encouraged to use brown site land before giving over our beautiful green belt spaces to building more homes that people won't be able to afford. There are a number of under developed or undeveloped sites in NE Lincs that should be completed before starting more. Ladysmith Road and the Old Western school site to name two.

Deer, badgers and a variety of other animals live in and around the site proposed and these animals will be displaced by the building of more urban sprawl.

The volume of traffic on the A16 is already causing congestion, particularly around peak times especially school start and end of day. The current roadworks show how a junction at the point of entry/exit to the site will clog up the flow of the road between Holton le Clay and Toll Bar roundabout. The housing development across the road from this one is already destined to affect the flow. The addition of another 250 houses and their associated cars is only going to make it worse.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lyn Sterriker

Address: 11 Brunton Way New Wtham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This 'village' has seen numerous houses built but no infrastructure to deal with the drains which need cleaning every couple of months in winter due to limited pumping stations Increase in power cuts

No new Schools - not able to cope with increase in students

Only one drs surgery

Only one public house

No where for wildlife which are being constantly moved from their environment

Road chaos on a daily basis

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Richard Amos

Address: 11 Charles avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham is at bursting point as it is the local schools are all full the traffic situation is beyond a joke virtually gridlock at rush hour on toll bar roundabout, the infrastructure just simply cannot cope with anymore traffic

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Debbie Taylor

Address: 11 heron way Holton le clay Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This is ridiculous building more houses where the roads cannot cope with the extra traffic. Not enough schools, doctors, dentists etc the infrastructure cannot cope. Pollution will be off the scale. Raw sewerage into the sea again as the water company will find it an easy option. Wildlife will be killed because they will have no where to stay safe. It will be at a cost to us all apart from the builders making huge profits at the environment's expense. When are you going to stop all this and start listening to the people's voice that put most of you in these jobs.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Wayne Smith

Address: 11 Kirmington Gardens Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There are already two housing developments in that area. Traffic is already a nightmare any time of the day. Hey have stopped building on the site near toolbar school so why build more which won't get sold

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Graham Plant

Address: 11 Maple Grove New Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:How can anyone think of another development in a village the size of New Waltham? rural views from our road and seeing wildlife around is what brought us here nine years ago, and envied by family and friends on every visit. Another aspect of the application is the possibility of at least another 300 + vehicles coming onto already congested roads, and last but not least the need for extra medical practitioners and schooling already stretched with no thought and consideration been given to current residents this should not be allowed to go ahead

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Sophie Harrison

Address: 11 the glade New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:No more houses needed we need schools and nurserys doctors and dentists before we have more housing it's getting ridiculous around here there's no nursery places locally until 2026

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Chris Marsh

Address: 11 Tintagel Way New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I cannot see any reason for this project other than profiteering. It is not needed, particularly when there are hundreds of affordable properties in North East Lincolnshire that just need renovating. Traffic in New Waltham is already an issue, especially around Toll Bar roundabout, and this new estate will only heighten that. We also do not have the amenities. Two small, over subscribed, schools, one GP practice, one pub, It is also of great concern to me that at a time when we are increasingly being told to consider the environment our council seems determined to build on any green field sites we have left. Once we lose these natural habitats, and the wildlife that lives there, there is no going back.

This is a money first project. It is not needed or wanted. Please do not let it go ahead.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Andrea Wilson

Address: 11 whisby court Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The roads and school can not take any more housing development on this main route. The current developments of wigmore are not even complete yet but planning further. If further housing we need further investigations in roads school and greesnspaces. But we keep throwing up more housing without correct infrastructure. The pollution from the building and extra traffic is an issue we loosing all out green space.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Sam Smith

Address: 12 Dunbar Avenue New Waltham GRIMSBY

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Already a very busy village and the traffic coming from every direction makes it difficult to reach our homes....We often spend 20 plus minutes getting out or entering the village at peak times....The local school infrastructure will not cope with yet another increase of new homes with more families.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Nicola Cragg

Address: 12 Landings Lane Holton le Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Please do not build on this land, it will destroy the wildlife we have and are trying to keep not only for our children but our grandchildren. It was cause further congestion on an already busy Louth Road, resulting in future accidents. I most definitely oppose.

# **Ellie Mitchell (EQUANS) (Planning)**

New Waltham

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Raymond Townsend 08 September 2023 12:02 Planning - IGE (Equans) Observation
TO: Richard Limmer	
Senior Development Officer	
Grimsby	
RE: PLANNING APPLI	CATION CONSULTATION
Reference: DM/0761/23/FUI	
Grove, New Waltham – altho-	ted was for <b>240</b> dwellings on the said parcel on Land adjacent to Maple ugh in my opinion using Agricultural Land and replacing it with an additional any would suggest it must be seen as merely progress to support a growing
This has been changed to <b>250</b> detrimental to ALL but the de	Dwellings hence the whole area is to be congested and thus will be eveloper.
	the revision all about additional MONEY for the developer and frankly Current and future residents of Louth Road / New Waltham.
These views although mine an	re shared by numerous other residents currently living in this area.
Ray Townsend	
12, Maple Grove	

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Corinne Bloomfield

Address: 12 Margaret place New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Too many houses on Louth road as it is

Access arrangements will cause further congestion and emmisions it is total grid lock we struggle to get in and out of OUR VILLAGE

The school places are limited so dies that mean more portable cabins for our children andless playground space when we are encouraging more activity

Insufficiency not only secondary places but primary

We don't have the facilities nor amenities or infra structure to Cope with another estate ie chemist doctors

Wigmore was bad enough then another next to it now one opposite enough is enough

Why are t houses being built in town to regenerate

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Lynsey Fletcher

Address: 12 Pendeen close New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The congestion in the village is already bad just as we are. It now can take 20 minutes to navigate through the village at rush hour.

There are not enough places at schools as it it. There are too many houses in the village that are not selling why would you want to build more and more. We are a village. At this rate it will be a town. Tollbar has more house built and even they are on hold due to not selling so what makes builder think this is a good idea. Builder for the greed of the pockets are ruining our green belt. Making animals disappear. We used to pride the village on its luscious green fields now there are fields of bricks and mortar

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr John Wilson

Address: 12 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We are concerned about the likelihood of increased traffic congestion on the A16, especially around Toll Bar roundabout. There is limited access to the A16, and limited access to New Waltham. The developing estates of Wigmore Park and High Forest will undoubtedly add to the problem as they are sold off. It is already very difficult to drive anywhere in New Waltham at morning and evening rush hours. Yesterday the traffic warning already spoke of "serious congestion" between 4pm and 6pm.

There is going to be more pressure on sewerage services.

Schools in the area are already oversubscribed, leading to bigger class numbers. We see no school provision in the application.

Once again there appears to be no provision for shops, or community services such as doctors and dentists, or community meeting places.

13 Maple Grove, New Waltham, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN36 4PU

North East Lincolnshire Planning
New Oxford House,
2 George Street,
Grimsby,
North East Lincolnshire,
DN31 1HB
For the attention of Mr R. Limmer

# Reference: Planning Application Reference DM/0761/23/FUL Proposed erection of 250 houses on land adjacent to Louth Road/ A16 by Cyden Homes.

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am writing in connection to the above planning application. I have looked at the plans and know the proposed site well. I wish to object to the planned development of these houses in this location.

- 1. Density The proposed dwellings are extremely closely packed and the density of the dwellings seems impractical to ensure the privacy for existing Maple Grove residents. There has been no mention of the measures taken to ensure the privacy of existing residents in the area beyond a few vague claims regarding vegetation planting and green spaces.
- 2. Infrastructure- There has been no mention of how the existing road infrastructure is supposed to cope with the additional traffic flow. It is impractical to have an additional 250 homes adding to the already congested A16. It is already a challenge for residents to exit the street onto the A16 at peak times with it taking 15 minutes or more in order to find a suitable gap in the traffic. Another concern regarding the road infrastructure is the volume of traffic and the impact it will have upon the road surface.

I therefore consider that the proposal is not suitable for this site as it is proposed to residents at this time and wish to lodge my objections to these proposed plans. It is my understanding that the New Waltham Parish Council and other local residents also share these concerns.

Thank you for your time,

From: anthony fleming

Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 3:07 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: DM/0761/23/FUL

My original comments have not changed.

We moved into the village of New Waltham in 1966 when it was just a lovely small village, but the amount of house's now being built is ridiculous the village is being turned into a town, we do not have the facilities to cope, and the amount of traffic is overwhelming now, with more houses it will be gridlocked.

Please think very carefully about our village.

Thank You

Kind Regards A.F.

13 Margaret Place New Waltham

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Anthony & Ann Fleming

Address: 13 Margaret Place New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We moved into the New Waltham village in 1966 when it was a lovely small village, but the amount of house's now being built is ridiculous the village is being turned into a town, we do not have the facilities to cope, and the amount of traffic is overwhelming now, with more houses it will be grid locked. Please think very carefully about our village.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Alison Gillman

Address: 13 Mount Pleasant Holton le Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Why does N.E. lincs council constantly allow for housing developments in the most ridiculous of places.

This particular part of the A16 is gridlocked daily, and yet they have approved one side of it to be built on already and here we go with the East side too.

In the era of trying to cause less pollution maybe they should measure the levels in that area as the cars sit with their engines running whilst stuck in traffic.

The wildlife is constantly being pushed from its own habitat and getting less areas to live in, while houses are being marketed as affordable housing, which then go up for sale at non affordable prices.

With the recent heavy rainfall we have experienced, and with apparent global warming likely to increase this, how are they going to ensure there is sufficient drainage, as this field along with the neighbouring fields have been waterlogged for many weeks and get constantly topped up by fresh rainfall.

We cannot and should not keep exhausting all the green belt along the fringes of our villages, as the definition in the dictionary states " a village is a group of houses and other buildings that is smaller than a town, usually in the countryside" which New Waltham is now not.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kirsten Hepworth

Address: 13 Pendeen Close, New Waltham, Grimsby Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Application DM/0761/23/FUL

New Waltham cannot support anymore housing developments,. The village is at capacity, insufficient amenities including schooling - the developer states they will provide money for schooling but this is completely inadequate and a pittance. Traffic is already intolerable in the village at both rush hours and with the housing development opposite Tollbar School, neither Louth Road, Scartho Road or Peaks Parkway can tolerate any further traffic. The land behind Maple Grove is home to numerous different wildlife including deer and badgers that have already been moved on from the developments in Humberston Avenue.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Alana Mcardle

Address: 13 stavanger road New waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons: Comment:Traffic

Traffic Traffic

The roads were not built for this. Roads need to be 2 lanes.

You will fill up town to a standstill at this point

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Mel Dent

Address: 13 TOP FARM GARDENS, NEW WALTHAM NEW WALTHAM Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Objected- due to strain this will have on, our schools, wildlife, bin collections and traffic around the tolbsr roundabout. All of these factors, already have a strain due to both the new builds opposite Tolbar school. We need our Emergency services to be able to access this area easily, without the current difficulties we are facing now.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kim Wilson

Address: 13 Whimbrel Way New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We moved into New Waltham because it was a village and surrounded by wildlife.

Developers are ruining our village and where is the wildlife supposed to go?

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Victoria Parish

Address: 14 Arran Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:No no no - no more. The infrastructure can't take this. There's new builds sites everywhere surrounding all the villages. The traffic is ridiculous most times of the day just getting around town. There's a half finished Cyden site, the site opposite Tollbar is massive and ugly, no trees or greenery. Scartho top is massive and has only just got a supermarket in recent years.

This is crazy!

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Angela Wagstaff

Address: 14 Cambrian way Holton Le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object due to these houses Taking up green space we're there is deers and lots of wildlife already struggling to find places to live. We don't need these homes we have enough that are not selling already due to large amounts being built in the area. Doctors, schools and traffic is already suffering. Just greedy developers and councils wanting more money. I thought we was meant to be helping global warming tearing down trees and fields is only making it worse the government is to blame for it all.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Ashley Edmondson

Address: 14 Chandlers Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:too much traffic

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Nicholas Wilkinson

Address: 14 church lane Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Fill the empty houses first and stop building new houses the area will not cope with

extra traffic, school

Places and doctors surgeries.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Abbey Wilkinson

Address: 14 Church Lane Holton Le Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Absolutely no need for new houses when the new builds on wigmore park are not being

sold. You are contesting our small villages and ruining community.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Susan Davies

Address: 14 church lane Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We do not need more houses in this area in an already over saturated new build development. There are so many house standing empty in Grimsby along with the current wigmore new builds having trouble selling!

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Marshall

Address: 14 clubhouse way Humberston Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The wildlife we have left in our area need to keep what is left of their home

We have already taken too much green space

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Marshall

Address: 14 clubhouse way Humberston Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We currently have too many houses within the new Waltham village

Added to that the amount of wildlife that live on the back fields

Thanks to all the new builds we are loosing all our deer and other wildlife

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Marshall

Address: 14 clubhouse way Humberston Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to more houses being built. We don't have much Greenland space left in the area. There will be no where for the wildlife to go. In my opinion we have taken too much of the wildlife home already

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Gillian Hallam

Address: 14 David Place New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I would like to submit my objections for the above proposal. We don't need any more houses. There isn't the need or demand. Tollbar roundabout has regularly been in the media over concerns of how busy this important intersection is with increased traffic, pollution levels and safety issues and this can only get worse with more new builds. Tollbar roundabout is constantly busy and is a nightmare at peak times with traffic sometimes backing up to Holten Le Clay in the A16 Waltham fire station, co-op in new Waltham ans beyond Becklands roundabout. The number of roundabouts, crossings and traffic lights currently in such close proximity to this proposed development reduces traffic flow with car engines idling which creates more pollution which is not only detrimental to the environment but also our health and especially that of children walking to school. The addition of extra card will only exacerbate these issues. Cyden homes state that this development will enhance the ecological and biodiversity on the site for plants and wildlife. How can this be achieved with increased light pollution, noise pollution and traffic pollution and a concrete jungle instead of wide open spaces. Small areas of greenery won't compensate for the negative impact of the development. On a daily/weekly basis we are deer, foxes, pheasants, hedgehogs, bats and birds of prev circling over the field along with other birds, animals and wildlife. A lot of thus will be destroyed as their habitat is being invaded. When the various ecology surveys were carried out there were birds scares in operation in the field therefore deterring wildlife so this is not a true reflection. NELC plan that travel will be safer and greener with less congestion and increases accessibility, I believe that this proposed development conflicts with the council plan. As there are not the facilities, amenities, schools and infrastructure in New Waltham(never mind the surrounding area) to support the current community extra builds with potentially over 500 cars and over 650 people, roads will be much busier, car use will be greater,

pollution will increase and health may well deteriorate.		

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Mary Hallam

Address: 14 David Place New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We object to the current proposal for these reasons

There would be major issues with pollution from extra traffic, only a minority can afford electric vehicles. The potential of 3/4 way traffic lights creating excessive congestion on the A16 which is almost already at gridlock at rush hour and at the start and end of the school day. Additional congestion at the petrol station will increase accidents/incidents. With the economy as it is people cannot afford new homes which these will just be left empty and open to vandalism and deterioration. This will also result in yet more important green belt land being lost, all brown field land must be used first for the good of the community, VILLAGES ARE VILLAGES, not a huge suburb of Grimsby, that is why we moved out here in the first place and you are taking that right away from us. even more importantly most wildlife will be wiped out including badgers, deer, foxes to name just a few. Additional strain wil be placed on already over stretched emergency services. There is only one doctor's surgery in the village, which already at full capacity, schools are already full and their waiting lists are extensive. Potential drainage issues due to climate chain, yet again a knock on effect from air pollution!!

So I consider this a very strong and forceful objection against this new development, which covers and considerable grounds for refusal.

The land would be better as a woodland, the trees would be vital to combat the already bad pollution due to the traffic congestion created from other developments close-by.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Frances Reed

Address: 14 Maple Grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Plan for the development of the field off Louth road, (front of Maple Grove and back of

Pretymen Crescent).

There has been a large increase in deer, fox and badger activity in this field, partly due to its displacement from the Wigmore park development, now where are they supposed to go?

I live on Maple Grove, and i struggle to get out onto the A16 with all these heavy traffic.. Local transport projects in their report suggest access/exit of this development of 250 dwellings and the Barrett homes 400 dwellings to the west of Louth road is to be by traffic lighted junction. Each dwelling comes with two off street parking spaces so they are assuming most family homes have two cars. That's potentially 1000+ cars attempting to spill onto Louth road at peak times, massively increasing the already heavily congested roads around the village. Anyone else regularly get stuck trying to get back into the village (either end of the village) at peak times? I know it's not just me as I hear it morning and evening on the local radio traffic reports.

The massive increase in traffic is going to have a huge impact on the pollution levels which are already at an unacceptable level during peak times. The lack of distance between the junctions of Holton le Clay, this new development, Toll bar roundabout, the roundabout at the still expanding Becklands development and Peaks parkway lead to hours of idling cars on a daily basis. The pollution centring around a school and the journeys of our children to school, the potential impact on the general health and lungs of our children & grandchildren is frightening. We may as well be supplying them with a pack of twenty a day.

The path suggested by Highways & Transport, running behind Pretymen Crescent and accessed from the disused railway line will be a magnet for anti social behaviour, we have already



# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Alan Green

Address: 15 Edinburgh Drive Holton-le-Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:With the size of the new developments on the opposite side of the A16, there are already issues with school places, doctors and congestion. The proposed entry/exit onto the A16 will add additional load to a road that is already struggling to cope.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Zoe Chapman

Address: 15 Belmont Close Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Once again, I strongly object to this completely unnecessary proposal. Whether dwellings are houses or bungalows does not change the fact that the wild life will be permanently destroyed, the roads and infrastructure will not support the increase in traffic.

Are schools, doctors surgeries and dentists going to be built to accommodate more residents? There are more than enough new homes being built at the edge of the village at Toll Bar - which should serve as justification to KEEP this green space for the benefit of the community and the wild life.

Please reject this absurd and greedy proposal.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Zoe Chapman

Address: 15 Belmont Close Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Further to my previous comments I wish to make it absolutely clear that I completely object to this development. The so called amended plans are nothing more than a feeble box ticking exercise to facilitate greed and monetary gain at the expense of the wildlife and the peace of mind of the current residents.

This land should be a protected natural landscape and not for sale to the highest bidder for more unnecessary houses blighting the village.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Zoe Chapman

Address: 15 Belmont Close Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I strongly object to this development. It will negatively impact the nature and wildlife, traffic congestion, school spaces and supporting infrastructure. Until these issues are comprehensively addressed and mitigated this cannot go ahead.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Emma Gladding

Address: 15 clay lane Holton le clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:If this goes ahead ,toll bar roundabout and the road network surrounding it will be grid locked as it is already a problem before the opening of Wigmore Park fully ,high forest ,Holton mews.

At present it takes approximately 15 mins to get through at 8am.

Not to mention the school children going to and from school are at risk also .

We were once a rural village .now made into a town without extra amenities and a substantial road network.

What about the wildlife that pass through ,and the valuation of the existing properties that did have rural views and peace and quiet.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Alan Green

Address: 15 Edinburgh Drive Holton-le-Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:As per my previous objection, this development will create additional traffic and pollution on an already over capacity road. Your own assessment states that Tollbar roundabout will be unable to cope without the development, although how a conclusion can therefore be reached that it will not make the problem worse is illogical. The suggestion of making pedestrian crossing only activate every other cycle will cause a danger to the hundreds of children who walk down the A16 on a daily bases. There seems to be a drive for profit over common sense in an area where building has been paused on one development already due to lack of sales. It will be the sixth large development in a small area in the past 5 years with no increased capacity for schools, doctors etc. Wildlife is increasingly coming into conflict with vehicles due to loss of habitat and that doesn't take into account the species lost on the development area. There is widespread objections to this development including from the local MP, both nearby Parish Councils and numerous local residents. When will the planning department actually listen.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Brenda Dickinson

Address: 15 Hawthorne Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I wish to lodge my strong objection to this planning application. We have already seen a large increase in new houses but no increase in new schools, doctors, dentists and other supporting facilities. The surrounding roads are already unable to cope with the current traffic and this additional development will increase this issue further. I am unable to get across the A16 when trying to get out of Hawthorne Avenue into Grimsby and there are no plans in place to alleviate this.

This development will also have an adverse affect on the local wildlife and greenbelt and will remove the home of many of our natural neighbours. Whilst this is only a small piece of land, its removal and the added impact of new houses and cars, will have an adverse affect on our green planet and global warming.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Brenda Dickinson

Address: 15 Hawthorne Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I wish to register my strong objection to this development. My reasons for this are as follows:

- 1. Toll Bar roundabout and the A16 is already heavily congested and the addition of another 300 houses with 300+ cars will only add to this problem. The road congestion is regularly reported on national and local traffic news and this will only get worse. There appears to no plans to widen the A16 and at peak times, when Toll Bar school opens/closes it will be impossible to get through.
- 2. As a resident of Hawthorne Ave. I already have difficulty getting out of the Avenue and there does not appear to be any plans to help with this. This is also the same for the residents of Maple Grove. During peak times it can take up to 10 minutes to turn right towards the town centre. We seem to have been totally forgotten and our situation not even considered.
- 3. The housing market is already slowing and is predicted to get worse. It is likely that these houses will not sell.
- 4. There will be a huge detrimental affect on the wildlife and loss of green belt.
- 5. New Waltham will lose its identity as a village and will eventually merge with Holton Le Clay to just become a suburb of Grimsby. This will mean that there is a loss of community.
- 6. There is a distinct lack of supporting infrastructure for the additional houses such as schools, doctors, dentists, bin collections etc. Its already impossible to get a doctor's appointment and this will get worse.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Leanne Cawthen

Address: 15 Maple grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We object to the housing development across the road from our home . We have lived down Maple grove for 18 years and see many different species of wildlife on a daily basis . The Greenland in our village is getting smaller and smaller , having an awful affect on our wildlife . The traffic pollution is already horrendous and the traffic itself is terrible the majority of the time with school hours and rush hour making it even worse . There are not enough schools for more children , the doctors in Our area can't fit in any more patients , when will it end !

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Mollie Perrin

Address: 15 Newstead Avenue Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Stop building on every piece of land you can find! the areas are overpopulated as it is look at Tollbar traffic every day it's gridlocked at certain times, building more and more houses is adding too the traffic and pollution! The area is popular because it is out the way of central town adding more and more houses will make it a less desirable area putting unnecessary strain on local schools and GP surgery's (which everyone knows are overpopulated as it is!). Keep the land as it is a field and wildlife is a much nicer view than hundreds of new build box houses that are not needed and adding to the amount of empty homes in the area

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Barry Kendall

Address: 15 The Orchard New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The building of houses on this land will greatly disturb wildlife. As a regular walker along the disused railway line I am familiar with the animals that live there and it is wanton vandalism to remove this habitat

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Paul Denny

Address: 16 Barbican Way New waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object to this development Due to the impact on wildlife and green areas

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kerry Wall

Address: 16 Newlyn Close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We do not need this development. We have just had Becklands completed, Humberston par 3 and beyond there. There are two new developments with Wigmore park and the other beyond there nearer to Holton le Clay. And then just out of area the development at Hewitts Circus and further down the road past Pennell's. There is no new infrastructure for these developments. The traffic at rush hours in particular is too congested. Why don't buildings use this money to redevelop the deprived areas closer to town and do some good and get people back in the area and take more pride in where they live, The schools are already full. It is already difficult to see a GP and our hospital is always struggling with capacity. Stop being greedy and stop all this unnecessary building. And don't get me started on the poor wildlife whose homes we keep taking!!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kerry Wall

Address: 16 Newlyn Close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There is no need for any further developments in the VILLAGE of New Waltham. It is having a negative effect on the local wildlife they are losing all their habitat. The traffic in the area is already becoming too connected and the local primary and secondary schools are at capacity. The idea is absolutely ridiculous.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lynn Peart

Address: 16 Pelham Road Holton Le-Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:There are too many new builds in this area. Getting out of Holton le Clay is hard enough now with the traffic congestion at Toll Bar round about. Schools are not large enough for the extra pupils. Infrastructure is not in place the roads going to the site are too narrow for the increase in traffic. There are lots of houses in the top price bracket that are not being sold, so why build more. The loss of habitat for wildlife and people to go for a walk.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Emily Asher

Address: 16 Queen Elizabeth Road Humberston Grinsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Humberston has lots of wildlife that will be greatly affected by the additional homes you plan. Not to mention the current infrastructure is struggling with the amount of people and vehicles, you cannot keep adding more and more.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Yvonne Fleming

Address: 16 Station Avenue Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:The congestion on the roads are already bad it's a nightmare now to get out of the villages. There just isn't the infrastructure isn't there for any more houses. Where will they be schooled . The 4schools in the area are full. The doctors are full you can't even get to see one now . The houses are not been sold opposite Toll bar school . Which will build a road to out on Loth road opposite where they want to build 250 more houses . why build any more . No thought has been taken to the extra chaos that it will cause

19 SEP 2023

16A STATION AVENUE MEW WALTHAM GRIMSTRY DN 36 4QS 13 SEPTEMBER

Dear Sils

REFERENCE PLANNING APPLICATION

DM OT61/23 | FUL

Which I WOUD IMPCINE IS A FORECOME

1 CANNOT UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR A
FURTHER 250 NOUSES IN THE VILLAGE WITH
ALL THOSE BEING DEVELOPED OF TOLL BAR.
THE VILLAGE IS BEING OVERDEVELOPED.
WHERE IS THE INFASTRUCTURE TO COPE
WITH ALL THE NEW RESIDENTS, SCHOOLS

DUCTORS ETC.

THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY BEHIND PROPERTIES

15 ALREADY A NUISANCE FOR RESPENTS AT

Yours sincerely

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Hitch

Address: 17 David Place New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I strongly object to this new development. Surely there are enough houses in New Waltham already and the infrastructure is at breaking point now, particularly the traffic on the roads.

Another concern is that the encroaching development around Toll Bar and Buck Beck have already reduced the run off area for rainwater and this new development would reduce it even further, increasing the risk of flooding.

We also need green spaces for wildlife to thrive and not to be driven out by human activity. If this goes ahead New Waltham and Holton le Clay will nearly be joined together so neither would have proper village status any more.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ann Hitch

Address: 17 David Place New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I strongly object to this new development. Surely there are enough houses in New Waltham already and the infrastructure is at breaking point now, particularly the traffic on the roads.

Another concern is that the encroaching development around Toll Bar and Buck Beck have already reduced the run off area for rainwater and this new development would reduce it even further, increasing the risk of flooding.

We also need green spaces for wildlife to thrive and not to be driven out by human activity. If this goes ahead New Waltham and Holton le Clay will nearly be joined together so neither would have proper village status any more.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Laura Waters

Address: 17 Drury Lane New Walthan

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Traffic congestion (8.15 am and 5.30 pm every week day the roads are already at a

stand-still) With 300 homes comes 300+ cars!

Schooling (where are the children going to go to school as plans for new schools in the area have been thrown out?) Therefore this will affect my sons education when he is due to start primary school September 2024, bigger classes means much lower standards of education!!

Wildlife - what happens to them?

Dustbin collections (council hasn't provided a service as of lately collecting recycling bins and so on) So how can they account for another 250-300)

NHS is already massively failing locally both Doctors, hospitals, including dentists. Week / month long waiting lists. An extra 250-300 homes with families in them will not be beneficial to the NHS or local GP practices, dental services.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Robert De La Cruz

Address: 17, Hawthorne Road Humberston Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The decimation of the habitat that was once prevalent on Louth Road has been achieved, you have destroyed the very thing local people admire about their surroundings and continue to do so,

Notwithstanding the above, your desire to build unaffordable housing in an area that desperately requires affordable properties is astonishing.

So, as a consequence I am totally and unreservedly against this development.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr David Hartley

Address: 17 Maple Grove New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Unable to locate current plan. Where is this located please?

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr David Hartley

Address: 17 Maple Grove New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Both my wife and I object to this planning application.

- 1) We bought our house in December 2022 having checked with the local planning department as to whether there were any plans to build on the site opposite, we were informed there were none.
- 2) We purchased because of the open aspect of the property. This proposal, we are convinced, will render a reduction in value of our property.
- 3) There is insufficient infrastructure in the area now without taking into account the building of more houses on the opposite side of Louth Road which is well underway. Now, another 250 homes are proposed with this application. Schools are already over-subscribed as are doctors.
- 4) Louth Road already is constantly busy. With this proposal it would have the only access/egress point to the site opposite the one on Louth Road. My understanding is any development should have two exit points for emergencies.
- 5) Changes to the original plan have already happened in that the first had ponds directly opposite Maple Grove. In the most recent, only two weeks later, another 10 houses have been sited where the ponds were originally planned.
- 6) To give us so little time to object is totally unfair.
- 7) Lastly, and most importantly with today's concentration on ecology. Wildlife who frequent the site seem to have been forgotten. Foxes, deer and badgers, plus the ditch which contained crested newts (so I am reliably informed) has been cleared this last week. Why has this happened just before the arrival of your letter?

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Jeanette Heinzman Address: 17 The Birches Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:To many buildings area can't cope with congestion

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sally Appleyard

Address: 17 Trafalgar Park New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This area is already over populated cutting into the natural environment for wildlife and causing major pollution issues. This plan is diabolical with no thought to what is right for the

environment!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Richard Nicholls

Address: 17A station Avenue New waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Youve destroyed all of the nature surroundings with houses, where are the deers, foxes, and other animals going to be homed. Youve ripped up everylast piece of Grassland around the surrounding villages, but not thinking about car pollution, Doctors surgerys, schools. The traffic has trippled in my 7 years of living here. I only have to look back pictures to see how bad its got. Louth Road is a nightmare at the best of times. I work on cheapside and its awful to get out of the junction, to be met with piles of traffic waiting to go through to surrounding villages.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rebekha Nicholls

Address: 17A station avenue New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:1st Nature. Taking away the last part we have around the surrounding villages.

2nd Traffic. Already the roads cant cope with the volume of traffic through the surrounding villages.

3rd Lack of Schooling, Doctors,

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Suzanna Suzannahodgson

Address: 18 Arran Close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We are a village traffic is bad enough as it is facilities are stretched we like our green space deer roam around the green are you will be destroying the wildlife habitat their is a big development already underway near toll bar school. Stop destroying the village life we have. Foxes have cubs that roam this field,

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Jayne Fytche

Address: 18 Charles ave New waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I strongly disagree to the building of more homes off Maple Grove New Waltham.....we have so much traffic already its terrible trying to get out of Pretymen Cres to go left...its already lost its village feel as estates are thrown up here there and everywhere causing a thoroughfare feel to the place....the estates on Humberston Ave..Toll Bar roundabout and the one near the Peakes Parkway(can't remember it's name) are already causing chaos ...then a 64 bed dementia facility going up on Wigmore Park ...how much more land grabbing can we take ...we.ll all be joined soon...new Waltham ..Waltham..Humberston...no green areas for wildlife...not good for the eco system with high levels of pollution ...isn't about time someone said no to all the greed ...all its about is ££££££...

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Patrick Keenan

Address: 18 David place New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this plan .

I've lived in NEW WALTHAM 40 YEARS and sorry to say it is becoming a small town not a village ,how can 250 houses be built on arable land, if they are built them ,does that mean we become part of next village with there 200 new built. What about schools ,doctor's surgery.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lynn Raper

Address: 18 Denby Drive Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The road network in & out of New Waltham hardly copes as it is. Station Road, with Toll Bar Roundabout at one end & Humberston Avenue mini roundabout at the other is constantly choked with vehicles already. Figure in access via Prettymen Crescent & Maple Grove - it is a rat run nightmare. It's not just additional home owners vehicles, it's emergency services, household services, HGV's, parcel deliveries, shopping deliveries, non local school runs......the list is endless! New Waltham residents struggle to reach their homes by car & pedestrians/cyclists have to deal with engine emissions from this traffic overload as well as a further 2 mini roundabouts & a dangerous crossing near Prettymen junction.

Not just a traffic nightmare but people need services - schools, doctors, hospitals, clinics, dentists, public transport ideally in the village. Over supply of new builds on green belt land is not the way forward for future generations of this area!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rachel Vaughan

Address: 18 Maple Grove, New Waltham, North East Lincolnshire DN36 4PU

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My husband and I have lived down Maple Grove for 15 years, our narrow cul-de-sac is adjacent to the proposed development. Below are our objections, comments and questions to the proposed planning application.

The infrastructure, amenities and services are already overstretched and at times failing.

Traffic Volume:

The A16 Louth Road, Toll Bar Roundabout, Station Road and the surrounding areas are already heavily congested with traffic and not just at peak hours.

The proposed application will have a significant impact on reducing traffic flow both the vast increase in volume of vehicles and the road layout. From Louth Road roundabout traveling to Holton-le -Clay there would be:-

- The current pedestrian crossing
- Toll Bar Roundabout
- A proposed new Puffin crossing
- A proposed Traffic light 4 way junction
- The current Traffic lights as you approach Holton-le-Clay

Emergency vehicles already struggle to get through.

People are already held up and late for work, appointments etc due to the heavy traffic congestion.

The impact of the proposed new houses, on top of the new builds already taking place, will significantly worsen this congestion and create more hold ups, delays and has potential to be life threatening when emergency services have no way through.

Air Pollution:

Pollution levels are already high in the area. This proposal is going to have a significant negative affect on this from both the construction site and the ongoing vast volume of additional vehicles.

Light and Noise Pollution:

The additional lighting and noise that this proposed development would bring will have a detrimental impact on various aspects including existing properties, the bats, birds and badgers that are currently using that area of land.

Schools, GP's, Hospitals, Services, Social Care, Refuse and Recycling Collections:

All of these are already overstretched and not able to cope with current demand. How will these cope with the current development of 470 houses and then this application of 250 houses in the area of land on both sides of Louth Road at Toll Bar Roundabout. Plus all of the other numerous new builds in the surrounding area. The simple answer is they will not cope.

North East Lincolnshire (NEL) does not need more houses:

There have been a vast number of new houses built within NEL in recent times, in our opinion this more than adequately meets demand and future requirements.

Water Supply, Pressure and Sewerage:

How will the water supply, water pressure and sewerage system cope with such a vast increase in demand?

Drainage - surface water:

The original proposed plan had a large attenuation pond the length of Maple Grove, as well as a second large pond the other side of the proposed layout. The pond near Maple Grove has since been removed from the proposed plans and replaced with a significantly smaller pond in a different location. Where will all the surface water go? This will significantly increase the flood risk in the area.

### Pump Station:

Where are the proposed plans and elevations for the Pump Station? I can only find documents for houses on the NELC planning portal.

What is the Pump Station for?

What noise level will there be from the Pump Station?

What times of day will the Pump Station be running?

#### Nature and Wildlife:

This proposal will have a significant detrimental impact on our local nature and wildlife as well as migrating species.

Has the eDNA test been carried out for Great Crested Newts as requested in the Ecology comments? And where are the results? I cannot see the results of this on the planning portal.

Bats are frequently seen flying the length of Maple Grove and over the land that this proposal is on. As are Tawny Owls and Barn Owls.

Skylark's are often seen and nest on the land of this proposal, as well as many other species.

On the land and within the immediate area of this planning application, there are Badgers, Bats, Deer, Newts, Frogs, over 40 species of birds, plus numerous other animals and insects. This in itself shows the vital importance of countryside and farmland in supporting nature and wildlife in this area.

#### Village life:

With such a large increase in new builds village life will be lost.

The proposal will have a detrimental impact on the character and visual appearance of the area.

Existing properties overlooking the proposed site, a lot of which are bungalows, will lose their privacy.

It will not be long before there is no green space and countryside between Grimsby and Holton-le-Clay.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rachel Vaughan

Address: 18 Maple Grove, New Waltham, North East Lincolnshire DN36 4PU

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Since loading our objections on the Planning Portal we have noticed that the Ecology Reports have had the information on Badgers redacted. We would like to ask the reason for this?

Wording posted on planning portal on 20 Feb 24

We have lived down Maple Grove for 15 years, our narrow cul-de-sac is adjacent to the proposed development.

Below are our objections, questions and comments to the revised proposed planning application.

Summary of our objections:-

Traffic Volume and Pollution – the surrounding roads and junctions will not cope with the increase of vehicles from an additional 249 properties.

The lack of places and availability of GP's, Dentists, Hospitals, Schools, Services, Social Care, Refuse and Recycling Collections.

Environment, Nature and Wildlife – the land of this proposal is home and used by various endangered and protected species.

This proposal would have a significant detrimental impact on these.

Water Supply, Pressure, Sewerage and Drainage will not cope with the increase of an additional 249 properties.

Village life will be lost with the volume of new builds in New Waltham.

This is the last piece of green land within North East Lincolnshire before the border with East Lindsey District of Lincolnshire.

Existing properties overlooking the proposed site will lose privacy, a lot of which are bungalows.

Our questions that remain unanswered since raising them on 29/09/23:-

What noise level will there be from the Pump Station?

What times of day will the Pump Station be running?

Further detail

The infrastructure, amenities and services are already overstretched and at times failing. Across the road from this proposal 470 houses are in the process of being built as well as multiple other developments within a short distance. The area, amenities and services cannot support an additional 249 properties.

The surrounding roads and junctions are already heavily congested with traffic, and not just at peak hours. Emergency vehicles already struggle to get through.

People are already held up and late for work, appointments etc due to the heavy traffic congestion.

The proposal will have a significant impact on reducing traffic flow due to both the vast increase in volume of vehicles and the proposed new road layout.

Schools, GP's, Hospitals, Services, Social Care, Refuse and Recycling Collections all of these are already overstretched and not able to cope with current demand. How will these cope with the current development of 470 houses and then this application of 249 houses in the area of land on both sides of Louth Road at Toll Bar Roundabout. Plus all of the other numerous new builds in the surrounding area. The simple answer is they will not cope.

This proposal would have a significant detrimental impact on a vast number of wildlife species including several endangered and protected species. Some of these have already been disturbed due to the building of properties on the other side of Louth Road opposite to this proposal.

The eDNA test has been carried out and confirms what residents have said from the beginning that there are Great Crested Newts within the land of the application.

Confirmation has also now been published of a healthy water vole population in the ditches on the land of the application.

Bats and owls are frequently seen flying the length of Maple Grove and over the land that this proposal is on.

Skylark's are often seen and nest on the land of this proposal.

Within the immediate area of this planning application, there are Badgers, Bats, Owls, Deer, Great Crested Newts, Frogs to name just a few and over 40 species of birds. This in itself shows the vital importance of countryside and farmland in supporting nature and wildlife.

There are times the sewers already become blocked. With these additional 249 houses, as well as the 470 new properties being built across the road the sewers will more frequently have blockages.

If these planning applications continue to be approved it will not be long before there is no green space and countryside between New Waltham and Holton-le-Clay.

North East Lincolnshire does not need more houses.

There have been a vast number of new houses built within NEL in recent times, and currently being built. This more than adequately meets demand, along with meeting future requirements.

All of our previous comments still stand following the revised plans.

Rachel and Anthony Vaughan

18 Maple Grove

**New Waltham** 

### Ellie Mitchell (EQUANS) (Planning)

From: VAUGHAN, Rachel (FOCUS)

Sent: 08 September 2023 11:57

To: Planning - IGE (Equans)


**Subject:** Questions regarding planning application DM/0761/23/FUL


Dear Planning Team,

Regarding planning application reference DM/0761/23/FUL Cyden Homes - erect 250 houses on land off Louth Road.

Please would you help me with the following questions:-

- 1/ The Drainage Statement was completed based on the original proposed site layout that had a large attenuation pond the length of Maple Grove. This has since been removed and replaced with a significantly smaller pond in a different location. Will the developer have to request a revised Drainage Statement?
- 1/ a) Where will all the surface water go now that attenuation pond has been removed from the proposed layout?
- 2/ Where are the are the proposed plans and elevations for the Pump Station? I can only find documents for houses on the planning portal.
- 3/ What is the Pump Station for?
- 4/ What noise level will there be from the Pump Station?
- 5/ What times of day will the Pump Station be running?
- 6/ Where will the future Puffin Crossing be located referred to on the proposed site layout? (screen shot below)
- 7/ Has the eDNA test been carried out for Great Crested Newts as requested in the Ecology comments? I cannot see the results of this on the portal.
- 8/ Where can recent pollution level statistics be found for Toll Bar Roundabout, Louth Road and Station Road?





Many thanks in anticipation and kind regards,

### Rachel

Mrs Rachel Vaughan 18 Maple Grove New Waltham DN36 4PU



### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr John Armstrong

Address: 18 Pendeen close New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham has been subject to a significant number of residential developments recently. The effects on the local environment have been detrimental in terms of a significant increase in traffic that has increased pollution and the local wild life has also been effected with deers being moved from their local habitat. The quality of these developments has been very poor and are not future proofed, I.e. they are still reliant upon burning fossil fuels, there is no heat source pumps or solar panels as part of the build.

My concerns continue with this proposed development. As it currently stands the A16 around toll bar roundabout is already overloaded with vehicles for periods of the day with people using New Waltham as a cut through from Hewitts avenue. By developing another residential estate the increase in traffic will add to what is already a busy road. When vehicles are sat idling they are not running efficiently and are producing additional pollution to the environment. In turn this effects the health of local residents. Further more there is significant disruption with the existing developments, adding further traffic regulating equipment (further traffic lights) will cause further congestion.

The schools in the area are significantly over subscribed, the recent developments have already added to this issue and additional homes will cause further problems. This is also a similar issue to local health care providers such as doctors surgery's which are also at breaking point and over subscribed.

The houses proposed do not take in to account that most families have more than one car, this intern results in people parking all over the place.

Further development will dislodge the wild life which has moved to this location after being dislodged from their habitat at the rear of Humberston avenue.

There are significant areas within Grimsby where residential areas have been cleared E.g. Freeman street. These brownfield sites would be a better location for the development of low cost

housing rather than building on green belt areas that provide a separation between villages E.g. Holten le clay.

New Waltham is at capacity now for its population, our facilities and infrastructure is stretched as it is.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr John Armstrong

Address: 18 Pendeen close New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My previous comments still stand, new Waltham does not have the capacity to take any more properties. The road network is currently overloaded and struggling, we do not need any more houses in this area. This will disrupt wildlife. The community it currently over stretched and we do not need anymore houses in this area. Build on brown field sites, low cost houses for Freeman street where the former flats used to be.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Tina Weldrake

Address: 19 David Place Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This will be the fourth new development within a 1 mile radius of New Waltham. East Lindsey Council will be building 400 new homes and a cricket club which will reach to the edge of this new development meaning there will be no distance between Holton le Clay and New Waltham.

This development will mean over a 1000 homes are being built in a 1 mile area all using the same road (Louth Road). The congestion is already bad this will just add to it. Louth Road is the main artery for anyone travelling to Grimsby from this direction.

As for supporting local amenities, where are local primary schools going to increase their buildings, they have no room now there are waiting lists. Toll Bar Academy is already the biggest school in the area, where will they expand too, to take on children from these 1000 homes.

I object to the distance between the houses shown on the plan and the long road of bungalows, there will be little privacy with the houses being two storey and able to easily see over our fences.

As for affordable housing, affordable for who, we have over 800 houses all being built now all in excess of £150,000, most young people cannot afford this in Grimsby and with the mortgage rates going up, the Toll Bar project has stalled as no one can get a mortgage, which merely means the work will start but probably stop for months if not years until the houses can be sold.

Why are so many houses being built on this side of Grimsby anyway, who has decided this is a designated area and why is West Grimsby not getting as many planning applications as here.

I strongly object to this development for the above reasons and for the environmental reasons that these fields are home to a multitude of wild animals, from deer to foxes and removing yet more green belt will have an impact on everyone's health.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Tony Lally

Address: Campions Close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There is not the infrastructure to yet again build more houses. The strain it will put on the already sub optimal utilities will be disastrous and it is already impossible to get registered with any of the local medical practitioners or indeed the non existent dentists! There are not enough schools or local amenities to support this additional build, the only people who will benefit are the builders who do not care about the over stretched infrastructure all they care about is making more money. The impact on the environment and wildlife is unacceptable, their habitat is already dwindling and I can guarantee that this will further endanger our wildlife destroying areas where they live and forage driving them into populated areas with additional roadkill. This build must not happen! If the builders want to invest into property then why not redevelop those areas of Grimsby and Cleethorpes that need it and make affordable housing for all and not just the wealthy. The government should be ashamed of the way people have to live and under very poor conditions, damp and mould lead to many health issues in both children and adults, get the fat cat builders to put money into bringing existing houses up to an acceptable standard.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Dave Johnson

Address: 19 Drury Lane New wallpaper Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Too many house. Loads of wildlife in that area. Congestion, pollution

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Allison Johnson

Address: 19 DRURY LANE NEW WALTHAM GRIMSBY

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Far too many houses in new Waltham. This is going to push congestion up and places

for schools. Absolute joke you are even considering this

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stuart Ashton

Address: 19 Evendine court Holton le clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The area around the toll bar roundabout is now gridlocked during rush hours pumping more fumes into the air right on top of a large school where our children walk and cycle to school each day breathing it all in. Because it is gridlocked around the toll bar island traffic is now using HLC as a alternative route most times driving above the speed limit as the traffic awareness camera in the village proofs This is a small village which is unable to cope with this amount of traffic and is a accident waiting to happen Also causing more congestion/ emissions to the local residents. There is only a small waiting list of people who need houseing in HLC so it must be for people who don't live in this county Why not regenerate the large rundown areas around Grimsby/Lincolnshire instead of building on more and more rural. growing land which the UK need to feed it's population.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Karen Ashton

Address: 19 Evendine Court Holton le clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The traffic is appalling already on that road. The areas are merging into one urbal sprawl. So sad, why do the council not encourage regeneration of decaying areas rather than building ugly orange boxes on beautiful green land.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Karen Ashton

Address: 19 Evendine Court Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:In other locations older, run down areas are being redeveloped and these areas are then vastly improved. Why build on beautiful rural agricultural land, whilst at the same time leaving deprived areas to further decline? Redevelopment of such areas has been extremely successful elsewhere. The lazy option is to build on empty land, wirh no regard for Dr's, dentist, traffic, public travel, employment and overall quality of life for existing residents. Can I ask, where will these residents be working? Holton-le-Clay:, no they will be traveling into work areas in cars because the buses won't take you. Please respond to why are these houses are being built away from any employment opportunities?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Josephine Pett

Address: 19 lindsey drive Holton le clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There are already multiple new build sites around the area of waltham toll bar roundabout. Heavy pressure is being placed on the surrounding villages infrastructure, schools doctors and fumes from standing traffic at said roundabout.

More loss of land to wildlife, more destruction to mature hedges and trees which never get replaced despite it being promised.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Christina Tabor

Address: 19 Pemberton drive New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:1. Lass than half of the houses are affordable housing.

- 2. The infrastructure on the A16 can't handle more volume, you already have several hundred houses being built on the other side of the A16. Traffic is bad enough in the morning and afternoon. By adding traffic lights at that junction it is going to make the tale back heading to New Waltham on Peaks Parkway even worse than it already is. This can be proven with recent temporary lights on the stretch of road. Toll Bar round about is not fit for purpose for the volume of traffic that goes through it on a daily basis.
- 3. Where are the children going to go to school. Toll Bar is already a nightmare before and after school.
- 4. NO MORE HOUSES IN NEW WALTHAM! Not needed and we don't want them!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Katherine Lang

Address: 19 Runway Lane Holton le clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This plan shows a very small percentage of affordable homes, investment is desperately needed to regenerate areas of Grimsby & Cleethorpes which could provide far more affordable housing.

There has been a large increase in deer, fox and badger activity in this field, partly due to its displacement from the Wigmore park development, now where are they supposed to go? My parents live down Maple Grove, they find it a struggle to get onto the A16 due to very heavy traffic volume...

And local transport projects in their report suggest access/exit of this development of 250 dwellings and the Barrett homes 400 dwellings to the west of Louth road is to be by traffic lighted junction. Each dwelling comes with two off street parking spaces so they are assuming most family homes have two cars. That's potentially 1000+ cars attempting to spill onto Louth road at peak times, massively increasing the already heavily congested roads around the village. Anyone else regularly get stuck trying to get back into the village (either end of the village) at peak times? I know it's not just me as I hear it morning and evening on the local radio traffic reports.

The massive increase in traffic is going to have a huge impact on the pollution levels which are already at an unacceptable level during peak times. The lack of distance between the junctions of Holton le Clay, this new development, Toll bar roundabout, the roundabout at the still expanding Becklands development and Peaks parkway lead to hours of idling cars on a daily basis. The pollution centring around a school and the journeys of our children to school, the potential impact on the general health and lungs of our children & grandchildren is frightening. We may as well be supplying them with a pack of twenty a day.

The path suggested by Highways & Transport, running behind Pretymen Crescent and accessed from the disused railway line will be a magnet for anti social behaviour, we have already experienced this along the railway.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Matthew Hill

Address: 19 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The infrastructure of New Waltham can not support these houses, our schools are full, the doctors can't take new patients. Traffic jams throughout Peaks Lane, Station Road and Louth Road are already a common occurrence with adding to the traffic load.

Would a better suggestion not be for developers to do something with the amount of empty and derelict properties around Grimsby and Cleethorpes before taking away more green space and displacing local wildlife?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Gemma Hill

Address: 19 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Schools are already full, will increase traffic and pollution, local doctors already at

capacity!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Laura Jervis

Address: 19 The Drive Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Poor road network as it is, lack of room in local schools.

Houses are not built to a good quality like surrounding areas.

There's no need for anymore houses to be built on our green land we have no wildlife as it is.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Glen Chidwick

Address: 19b Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham and the surrounding area does not need anymore new housing developments.

New Waltham does not have the social infra structure to support anymore housing developments.

Why does every piece of land have to be built upon?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Annette Chidwick

Address: 19b Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham does not have the social amenities to support anymore housing. Neither

does it have sufficient quality drainage or infra structure.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Kerri Atha

Address: 20 Charles Avenue New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:An investigation needs to be launched into the illegal killing of a male deer by the farmer who owns this land.

People of maple and prettyman have witnessed the farmer shooting a gun in the air on the roads not private land, in a bid to scare out wildlife.

The detrimental impact this proposal will have on our local wildlife is unforgivable. We have evidence of badgers and are gravely concerned that badger traps will have been set to kill them just to allow this planning to go through.

My second concern is for the amount of traffic that is going to be caused on the local roads. Especially with another set of traffic lights.

The area is currently being heavily developed and those who are local to the area are suffering due to this. Getting to to and from work should be an 8 minute drive. It now takes 45 minutes to an hour to get home between 4 and 6pm.

There is more building work planned near the traffic lights at Holton le clay, behind the current new builds. Once these areas are built on where will new waltham end and Holton le clay begin.

I fully believe the development will damage the village. The one pub/restaurant, schools, and local shops are nit enough to provide fir such a large population. It was never meant to be a big place.

We moved here from the town centre to be out of the way of the trouble in the town centre. The larger the village gets, it is inevitable that crime will also grow, not just in numbers, but in severity too. New waltham currently has a low crime rate and higher social status. This will decline

with the development.

In regards to the building plans the plots are too close to the existing houses and their gardens. The proposed public foot path using the old railway line would not be a safe route to be taken as it is enclosed and very small in areas, making it a prime location for antisocial behaviour and muggings etc. This pathway is also very close to the property boundaries of the existing houses.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Chloe McKenna

Address: 20 Charles Avenue New Waltham, Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The idea of living in a suburban village is to be on the outskirts of town, close enough if needed but far enough for it to be quiet and peaceful. With the addition of the housing establishment opposite Toll Bar Sixth Form and the other adjacent to the roundabout at the head of Louth Road means that local residents have already seen an influx of new construction and residents without any changes or improvements to infrastructure to support the ever growing local population. If planning goes ahead for this site we would almost be connecting with the villages behind us, losing touch with the nature and greenery that many of us moved to the village for. Imagine an extra 249 houses with at least another 249 people (possibly touching the thousand mark if you consider for family suitable homes) and vehicles feeding directly into a heavily congested area; which frequently is gridlocked along Humberstone Avenue as back flow from Toll Bar Roundabout during peak times.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Kerri Atha

Address: 20 Charles Avenue, New Waltham, Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The planning application has changed very little, the number of dwellings proposed and lack of solutions to congestion still remains an issues.

The area is already highly congested and unusable at peak times.

The new traffic will cause further detrimental environmental impact.

The number of dwellings proposed is not conducive to the current number of sales of property in the local area.

The development poses a detrimental impact on the local wild life. Proof of badger, deer and curlews amongst others have been previously provided to the council.

The local infrastructure was not intended to support larger communities as they were meant for small villages and therefore cannot support larger numbers of people.

When we moved to New Waltham, we did it, to enjoy the quiet, charm, and close nit community that a village provides. However, since being here, these qualities and the history of the village have been irrevocably damaged by the large number of already existing building developments.

There is a concern amongst residence that the social capital of New Waltham will suffer. It currently has a lower crime rate than the town center, which is on the incline since the new developments have created a larger population.

We are losing our community, the council only have to look at the higher populated areas of Grimsby to see how detrimental it is going to be to the local area to continue adding new developments. The amenities and infrastructure cannot support it

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Dominic Mckenna

Address: 20 Charles Avenue New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:With the current works at Tollbar roundabout for Wigmore Park and the High Forest development it feels like the builders are tearing away the charm of a small village. The roadworks have been an absolute nightmare and everytime they state it will last for 14 days it actually goes on much longer, this will be even worse when they take more access from Louth Road.

The there's the impact on the few amenities we have locally, 1 pub and a small handful of shops, that will have to try and handle hundreds and hundreds of new families.

Finally you can't help but feel for the local wildlife. I walk my dogs along the old train line, which has been classed as pedestrian access for the new builds, and feel like no care or attention has been given for the animals. We see foxes, deer, hedgehogs, all manner of birds and even amphibians and are always careful to not disturb them. Where are all these animals meant to go when building works may commence?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Kerri Atha

Address: 20 Charles Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I am against the planning application proposed.

New Waltham is a village with a close community which continues to result in low crime levels and a high social capital which Hthe local area needs more of. It is evident that the most build up areas of grimsby suffer in this area due to the high population levels.

The fields are a safe haven and habitat to a wide variety if wildlife including nesting birds that visit from tetney marshes. Deer and foxes have already been pushed out with the huge number of building works already happening in a very close proximity to this.

Tollbar roundabout, Station Road, Humberstone ave and peaks parkway etc cannot cope with the existing traffic.

It will make living here really unpleasant. If all the small pockets of land are filled we will lose the beauty of the area and ruin a lovely close nit village.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lucy Bowers

Address: 20 Green Lane Humberston Humberston

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Schools over run, traffic also horrendous already.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Tracy Sellars

Address: 20 kings road Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The area has no infrastructure to accommodate more properties

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Lee Wandsworth

Address: 20 maple grove New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I would like to very STRONGLY OBJECT to the above planning application by Cyden to build over 200 homes just off Louth road. My main objections of which there are many are loosing my own personal privacy and peaceful location as I live at the very end of maple grove tucked away in what I thought was an ideal place to settle. I also object to the amount of new build development in the area too. Within a stone's throw of my property I have two sites up and running and the proposed one by Cyden, which is overdevelopment in anyone's eyes. I have wildlife concerns, water pressure, congestion, strains on local services, etc.

Just to add this objection was placed on a neighbours laptop as I don't have any Wi-Fi at the moment.

Lee Wandsworth

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Melanie Sharp Sharp

Address: 20 Tintagel Way New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There are already too many houses being built with no increase in schools, hospitals, GP and facilities. Traffic is already so congested now so with the addition of more cars- at least 2 cars per household this will worsen which could increase the possibility of accidents. We are ruining our wildlife which is a real concern.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Amy Clayton

Address: 21 david place New waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am completely against the building of 300 homes. The traffic congestion at tollbar round is already a problem and that's with houses still to be built opposite tollbar, let alone more houses. My child is already on a waiting list to get into the local primary school, where will all the extra children attend. There isn't capacity for doctors and dentists in this area for the current residents without adding more. The field is home to deer, foxes hedgehogs and much more wildlife it would be a shame to destroy their habitat. Nothing good will come of building more homes.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Penelope Kershaw

Address: 21 Priors Close New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This development is not needed, we do not have a shortage of this type of property for local people,. We have destroyed so much of the villages natural green space, this is impacting on our wild life in a detrimental way, we are losing the village identity.

The infrastructure to support this development does not exist, we are seeing an ever increasing level of traffic through the village with grid lock occurring daily during peak times, we can not tolerate further congestion which also brings environmental negative impacts whilst also affecting our health, the mental wellbeing of those that live in the village is being impacted.

The strain on services is also already evident with difficulty in dental doctor and hospital provision. Please leave us some nature to enjoy and keep our village identity in tact.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Penny Kershaw

Address: 21 priors Close New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object on all the grounds mentioned by other objectors, the increased traffic congestion, the damage to the wildlife fauna and flora which in turn causes further environmental damage, the saturation levels of what are meant to be villages being ignored, the air pollution from increased idling traffic, peaks parkway was meant to remove traffic from the villages that's no longer happening, this is not affordable housing developments, there are areas within Grimsby and Cleethorpes ripe for development that would not take up green belt land and provide affordable housing, the current developments are being inundated with purchasers from outside our area this causes prices to rise even further and prevents those most in need of housing from purchasing or renting. Amenities and infrastructure just can't cope any more enough is enough.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Laura Saveal

Address: 22 Drury Lane Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to this proposed new development. The local area is already over-saturated with new builds, with no apparent improvements to infrastructure that should go with it. Local services, such as New Waltham schools and the GP surgery, are oversubscribed. NELC refuse collections are already suffering backlogs and delays with the existing houses, never-mind adding more upon more. Roads around Tollbar suffer heavy congestion at peak times, which will only increase with the added cars that will come from another housing development.

My biggest concern is for the destruction of green space and wildlife habitats. There must be 'brownfield' sites across North East Lincolnshire that can be better served for development instead of the constant loss of the green belt. This loss of the natural environment will negatively impact us all in the long run, becoming harder and harder to replace (if at all). The Ecology Officer Comment of 30/08/2023 made me laugh out loud: from what I am led to believe of builder quality for some of the local new builds, homes are barely fit for the people who reside within them; are the builders honestly going to install these wildlife requirements, if given the go ahead?! I highly doubt it. It seems ludicrous to me that this is even being considered.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Caron Dunderdale

Address: 23 David Place New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to this planning application as I feel there is more than enough new homes being built in this area and the village is becoming too big. There is not enough facilities to support the residents that already live here ie: doctors and dentists. Where will the children go to school? The traffic will be significantly increased. I worry for the wildlife as there is deer, foxes and badgers on that land

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Tamsin Baker

Address: 24 Edward Street Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: My objection still stands.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Zack Eckley

Address: 24 Fairway Drive Humberston Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Schooling where are the kids going to go to school?Bigger classes means lower

standards of education.

Wildlife I have seen Deer, Foxes and Hedgehogs in the field what happens to them?

Bin collections can just about manage now let alone more bins to collect.

Roads in New Waltham - Are not really adequate as it is let alone more traffic.

Public footpath leading to Holton-le-clay - is the effected? I use this regularly and feel it needs protecting.

Is there enough shopping i.e supermarkets in walking distance? In short no... Meaning more cars on the road and traffic congestion.

Affordable homes - how many of the homes will actually be affordable?

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Richard Barber

Address: 24 Langton Road Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Increase in traffic and pollution, local amenities all ready over loaded

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Becky Date

Address: 25 Charles Avenue New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Don't like animals loosing their homes or lives! The roads are busy enough without another 250 houses!

Like there aren't enough houses being built around here already!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr James Cartwright

Address: Osbourne Drive 25 Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object based on the lack of affordable housing planned and more importantly

the increased traffic on an already busy road and further impact on wildlife

### 25 PRETYMEN CRESCENT NEW WALTHAM GRIMSBY DN36 4NU

The state of the s

Tel. 01472 825055
Email: patlois.sheard@gmail.com

The Planning Officer N.E.L.C.

Cleethorpes

6th September, 2023

DM 0761/23/FUL

Dear Sir.

Thank you for the notification regarding the proposed building of 250 houses off Louth Road, New Waltham. We wish to record objections to this proposal, along with neighbours in Pretymen Crescent.

We note the Dept . Of Transport count was (a) conducted whilst the country was still under partial Covid shutdown when we were encouraged to work from home – hence less traffic (b) after 'A' level and 'GCSE' examinations were finished – hence fewer pupils at school – hence less traffic. There is no account taken of the traffic using Toll Bar travelling east/west or coming from south and turning east/west. Even before the development of the estate opposite Toll Bar School is finished, the traffic at the roundabout is horrendous. With this further development with the potential of a further 500 plus cars it will be absolute chaos not to mention the air polution, close to a school at that.

We note the area of landscaping near Maple Grove. The residents have the buffer of the road in the front of their properties as well as the proposed buffer of landscaping. Surely the larger buffer should be for the dormer bungalow Pretymen Crescent residents, as on the proposed layout they would have only the footpath to buffer them from the overlooking houses.

We have lived here for 56 years and have been privileged to see much wildlife in this field. There are deer browsing at all times of the year, pheasants nest there in due season as do at least two pairs of skylarks every year; foxes patrol, at times buzzards fall on prey, we have a patroling hedgehog and several neighbours have ponds with visiting newts. We

believe this is the last open ground in New Waltham. Where is all the precious wildlife to go. Isn't this the last of the open space in the village?

Added to this, many years ago an oil pipeline was laid across or very near this field, crossing from Tetney to Killinghome. Surely not to be built over.

In the interest of ALL the residents of New Waltham we ask that this proposed estate be rejected.

Yours faithfully

Pat Steard.

Pat and Peter Sheard

Also on behalf of Karen Sheard – soon to be resident at 31 Pretymen Cr.

Reter Shoom

### 25 PRETYMEN CRESCENT NEW WALTHAM GRIMSBY DN36 4NU

. . . . . . .



The Planning Officer

N.E.L.C.

Cleethorpes

DM 0761/23/F4L

6th September, 2023

Dear Sir.

Thank you for the notification regarding the proposed building of 250 houses off Louth Road, New Waltham. We wish to record objections to this proposal, along with neighbours in Pretymen Crescent.

We note the Dept . Of Transport count was (a) conducted whilst the country was still under partial Covid shutdown when we were encouraged to work from home – hence less traffic (b) after 'A' level and 'GCSE' examinations were finished – hence fewer pupils at school – hence less traffic. There is no account taken of the traffic using Toll Bar travelling east/west or coming from south and turning east/west. Even before the development of the estate opposite Toll Bar School is finished, the traffic at the roundabout is horrendous. With this further development with the potential of a further 500 plus cars it will be absolute chaos not to mention the air polution, close to a school at that.

We note the area of landscaping near Maple Grove. The residents have the buffer of the road in the front of their properties as well as the proposed buffer of landscaping. Surely the larger buffer should be for the dormer bungalow Pretymen Crescent residents, as on the proposed layout they would have only the footpath to buffer them from the overlooking houses.

We have lived here for 56 years and have been privileged to see much wildlife in this field. There are deer browsing at all times of the year, pheasants nest there in due season as do at least two pairs of skylarks every year; foxes patrol, at times buzzards fall on prey, we have a patroling hedgehog and several neighbours have ponds with visiting newts. We

believe this is the last open ground in New Waltham. Where is all the precious wildlife to go. Isn't this the last of the open space in the village?

Added to this, many years ago an oil pipeline was laid across or very near this field, crossing from Tetney to Killinghome. Surely not to be built over.

In the interest of ALL the residents of New Waltham we ask that this proposed estate be rejected.

Yours faithfully

Pat and Peter Sheard

Also on behalf of Karen Sheard – soon to be resident at 31 Pretymen Cr.

### Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)

**Subject:** FW: New Waltham Planning Application DM/0761/23/FUL

#### Dear Sir/Madam

My name is Peter Sheard and I am 93 years old. I was born in New Waltham before it was a 'village', just a postal address. It was a lovely place to be in as a young child. Cars in the village could be counted on one hand and we could safely roller skate along the roads. It supported four farms, most of which I have worked at especially during the war when we were given time off school to help with harvesting – potato picking etc. The wildlife was second to none, especially along Buck Beck and the Meadow where it was quite common to see kingfishers. We even had nightingales singing their hearts out in Popplewell's woods.

We are now left with one last field within the boundary. Please don't let this be built upon. It seems the whole village has been given over to the builders. More houses mean more cars. We have had one schoolboy killed going home after school. No more traffic; no more pollution.

I thought after years of deliberation and after building the By Pass, that the Toll Bar traffic problems would have been resolved but it is now worse. For example, on Friday 22<sup>nd</sup> September at 3.00pm I was travelling home from a hospital appointment. Turning on to Louth Road the traffic was almost at a standstill at the Rose & Crown pub in Scartho. I thought there must have been an accident but, no, the traffic was backing up from the Toll Bar Roundabout would you believe. I have never, ever seen such a back up in the Grimsby area. I noticed as I passed Becklands there are still houses for sale after the builders have finished about two years ago. I am not convinced that all this housebuilding is for the benefit of the village.

May I propose that no more building be approved until ALL the dwellings presently under construction are completed, occupied and up and running. That then another traffic survey be completed and ONLY THEN should further planning applications be considered.

Yours faithfully Peter Sheard

\_\_\_\_\_

Reduce your environmental footprint, please do not print this email unless you really need to.

North East Lincolnshire Council - This e-mail and any files transmitted with it contains information from North East Lincolnshire Council which may be privileged or confidential. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient be aware that any processing of this email and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please send it back to us immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment. The North East Lincolnshire Council email system, including emails and their content, may be monitored for security reasons and to ensure compliance with council policy. Emails and attachments may be recorded for the effective operation of the organisation and for other lawful business purposes. We cannot guarantee that this email or its attachments are virus free or has not been intercepted and amended. We therefore recommend you carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any email or attachments. North East Lincolnshire Council will not accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this email or its attachments, or any damage or loss caused by computer viruses coming from this email or its attachments.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Karen Sheard

Address: 25 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The traffic assessment uses as it's baseline, the level of traffic in June 2021. Since this was a period of time when the pandemic was still at the forefront of people's minds, and lots of people still working from home, this is not an accurate baseline. A new traffic impact assessment is required. As the vast majority of the new houses are four and five bedrooms, they will be lots of two car families. The estimate of a third of journeys being by foot or bicycle is, I believe, an over estimate.

Lots of people have objected on the basis of maintaining habitats for wildlife and I fully support all of those objections.

The proposed pathway along the rear of Pretymen Crescent, poses a considerable security risk. There have been numerous incidents of break ins when access has been from the field (not always reported to the police), and the pathway will exacerbate that risk. I have no doubt it will become a regular route for dog walkers, potentially causing additional disturbance.

I understand there is the potential for further development all the way up to the railway line. If the current plans are approved, being an incremental increase in traffic, and loss of green belt areas, I believe there will be little basis for objection, so the current proposals will, in effect, cover the whole of the area, and make approval of the additional development, a fait accompli.

Should the plans be approved, the number of 'affordable housing' is pitiful, four out of 250 dwellings I believe. How can first time buyers ever get on to the housing ladder when all the developers want to build are four and five bedroom houses, presumably because they make more profit. This is not to say I would approve the development if there were to be more affordable

#### housing.

There appears to have been no consideration made of the noise, disruption and the effects on the local residents, whilst the build is in progress. There will be deliveries, heavy machinery, etc. all having an effect on traffic, and placing additional stress on local residents, some of whom are quite elderly.

Whilst I am aware we are not entitled to 'a view', the building of houses so close to the rear gardens of Pretymen Crescent, constitutes a considerable loss of privacy. I see the houses in Maple Grove, who already have the road to distance them from the proposed new houses, have additional green space so they will not be overlooked. Surely the green spaces would be utilised better maintaining some privacy for the residents of Pretymen Crescent, rather than Maple Grove who already have some distance between them and the proposed new builds.

Have there been any assessments carried out to determine whether there is a need for all these new houses? As others have commented, there have already been extensive developments in the village, which is becoming less and less of a village. The planning department should be encouraging the redevelopment of brown field sites rather than concreting over what green land we have left. Given the current cost of living crisis and the increase in mortgage rates, are there going to be sufficient buyers for these properties? I note others have commented there are already unsold new builds in the village.

Finally, as others have noted, how will local services accommodate the new residents? They will need schools, GP services, hospitals all of which are already stretched to breaking point.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Kayleigh Grant

Address: 25 Tennyson Street Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Considering there are plans in place to 'Rewild Britian.' Everyone in Grimsby and the surrounding areas seem to be doing everything they can to prevent this from happening. Wildlife should have more rights to land than people, who just use it for greed.

What's happened to the greenery and open spaces that England is well known for? We cannot go out anywhere without seeing new constructions being built. Which is also odd when again, the government wants people to go out more.

The homes are not well built. They are too expensive for every day people in the local areas, and it makes it harder and harder for those that don't have cars, to go out for walks and just enjoy the world.

The area in question already suffers from congestion, and this will only add to it.

I truly wish this could be reconsidered, and it is shown that there is still some compassion and humanity that outweighs money. Which to be honest, I know is unlikely to happen.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Joanne Keen

Address: 26 Holme Avenue Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I have lived in this "village" for 33 years of my life, I have watched it grow to the point where It is no longer a village with green space and character, but to what now I can only call a concrete jungle of ugly houses that are thrown together. by allowing this, you are allowing housing developers to rob areas that house nature, more than enough houses have been built. Please look to develop other areas of the town that need investment, this village doesn't need more houses or traffic, we are a village please keep it that way!

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Suzanne Thorpe

Address: 26 Lindsey drive Holton le clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object to this it's over development of both villages and harmful to wildlife.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Penelope Parkinson

Address: 26 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I question the need & rationale for more new housing resulting in overdevelopment of an area (New Waltham) which is essentially a 'village'. Particularly as there is already a huge housing development taking place around Toll Bar.

More housing will increase the pressure on existing resources such as drainage, sewage systems & energy supplies.

It will also cause increase strain on public services such as access to GP's, school places, and council services eg refuse collections, highway maintenance etc.

The increase in pollution will have a detrimental effect on air & water quality. The proposal of an access road onto Louth Road will result in a huge increase of traffic on an already very busy road which is gridlocked at peak times of the day now.

Destruction of wildlife habitat resulting in severe loss of biodiversity.

These are some of the main reasons why I object to this housing planning proposal.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Penelope Parkinson

Address: 26 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I question the need & rationale for more new housing resulting in overdevelopment of an area (New Waltham) which is essentially a 'village'. Particularly as there is already a huge housing development taking place around Toll Bar.

More housing will increase the pressure on existing resources such as drainage, sewage systems & energy supplies.

It will also cause increase strain on public services such as access to GP's, school places, and council services eg refuse collections, highway maintenance etc.

The increase in pollution will have a detrimental effect on air & water quality. The proposal of an access road onto Louth Road will result in a huge increase of traffic on an already very busy road which is gridlocked at peak times of the day now.

Destruction of wildlife habitat resulting in severe loss of biodiversity.

These are some of the main reason why I object to this housing planning proposal.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Emma Porter

Address: 26 station ave New waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Poor wildlife have already got limit space due to the building of houses around this area,

no need for anymore.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Gill Matthews

Address: 27 Edinburgh Drive Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Already so many new houses. Doctors, schools etc cannot cope with any more people.

Roads will be congested

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Leanne Gosling

Address: 27 George Butler Close, Laceby Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:More wildlife affected with nowhere for them to go, not enough school places for

anymore children or any spaces for doctors to take on more patients

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss KARYN DUFFY

Address: 27 Grainsby Avenue Holton le Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:
Comment:No comment

# 27 PRETYMEN CRESCENT NEW WALTHAM GRIMSBY DN36 4NU

The Planning Officer North East Lincolnshire Council Cleethorpes

6<sup>th</sup> September 2023

Dear Sir

I wish to protest most strongly to the proposed development to the rear of Pretymen Crescent, New Waltham.

It would seem no thought has been given to the loss of habitat for all the wildlife using this area. No thought to losing hedgerows for the huge variety of birds, no thought for nesting pheasants and skylarks, no thought for the browsing deer, the hedgehogs, the foxes, the newts, frogs. Where are these all to go when more and more land is taken over for building.

What about the huge rise in air pollution when an extra possible two cars per dwelling are adding to what is already a chaotic traffic area and that is before the estate on the west side of the A16 is finished. What about the children using the playgrounds and sportsfields amongst all this polution as well as the many residents suffering from breathing difficulties.

The Traffic Survey was done in partial lockdown when people worked from home. It was after GCSE students had finished school so in view of all this, the Survey does not give anything like a true picture of the volume using this busy road.

I hope all these aspects will be taken into account when rejecting this planning application.

Yours faithfully

Margaret and David Evans

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Kate Griffin

Address: 27 Southfield Road Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Do you actually have to drive in the mornings around Tollbar, new Waltham, even trying to get into Holton le clay is a nightmare. More houses is not what we need. We need infrastructure to support the traffic that is one day going to cause a major accident. Houses are being built all over the place in these villages in particular. But they don't build them properly. The permission homes estate is now more like the nunsthorpe. I don't know who you have on that planning board but please put someone in charge that has to tackle these traffic ques on a daily basis so they know anymore people to come onto our villages will be disastrous to the wildlife, roads, people and services in the area.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Kate Griffin

Address: 27 Southfield road Holton le clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I object profusely.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Caroline Kershaw

Address: 27 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Firstly with the already large developments currently underway in the village another 250 houses will create further chaos on the already busy roads.

There isn't enough infrastructure in place including schools which are already full and the drainage system cannot cope as it is, flooding already occurs when we have spells of rain.

The wildlife that now lives in this area will again be forced out and there aren't many options open to them anymore as it appears that all available green land in the village and surrounding area will be built on.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Jessie Sibbick

Address: 28 Danesfield Avenue Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Nature displaced into this area (due to other building projects on nearby former-fields) is going to be seriously impacted with nowhere left to reside. So many new estates in Waltham, New Waltham and Scartho, leaving next to no green spaces left to enjoy living in the area.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Ceri Stephen

Address: 28 Hawthorne Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The highways and services cannot cope with this further addition. Wigmore park has

already caused a lot of issues to the services to our property.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Emma chapman

Address: 28 Janton court New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Far to many houses being built, we will have no Greenland left

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Dianne Bradley

Address: 28 pretymen crescent Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Traffic congestion already at standstill during peak times. Amenities within village eg

schools already oversubscribed and detrimental to local wildlife

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Louise Read

Address: 28 Southfield road Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:It's utterly ridiculous how many homes are being built in the area destroying a beautiful area for wildlife and for our children and grandchildren to grow up in. The schools, gp surgeries, shops, hospital, cannot possibly cope with more and more housing estates being built. We moved to Lincolnshire because it was a beautiful part of the countryside and your destroying it. I work at the hospital and it's a nightmare traffic wise already. Another 250 homes is just ridiculous.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sally Zoka

Address: 28 The Crescent Holton Le Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This is too much for this area, what were valuable arable fields have been concreted over to create unwanted housing estates causing too much traffic, pollution, lack of access and no safety.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Ian Robinson

Address: 28 Windermere Avenue Scartho Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Totally object. Not only in respect of wildlife but also because of bulging school numbers or rather not enough places and the likes of already over crowded medical centres and doctors surgeries etc etc.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Louise Jones

Address: 28A trafalgar park Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New waltham cannot cope with anymore traffic, it is already extremely busy throughtout various times of the day, backing all thr wy down peaks lane and humberston avenue.

Where are these children going to attend schools? New waltham academy and Enfield are already full with waiting lists!

Tollbar and the new housing estates being built in the area are already causing a massive impact on traffic and cars parking in dangerous and illegal positions, which are not monitored.

The crossing from the spar shop is not fit for purpose, there has been so many near misses already, adding more traffic onto this road is dangerous!

Getting in and out of the co-op is also dangerous during peak times, again adding more traffic to this is an accident waiting to happen.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Louise Jones

Address: 28A Trafalgar Park New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:TRAFFIC CONGESTION!!!!! New waltham is already becoming a gridlocked area of the town at peak times without another 250 homes to built, increasing the potiential risks of accidents! THIS IS NEVER CONSIDERED!!!!

Where are these children going to attend school? They are already full with waiting lists. Bigger classes means lower standards of education.

What happens to all the wild life??

Why not regenerate existing areas and make them more appealing and habitable before they decimate the countryside and the wildlife that lives there.

NEW WALTHAM VILLAGE DOES NOT NEED ANYMORE HOUSES TO BE BUILT, IN AN ALREADY BUILT, WELL ESTALISHED AREA. THIS PROPOSSAL WILL HAVE A DETRIMENTAL AFFECT ON THE AREA.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Lynda Ambler

Address: 29 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I would like to submit my objection to the proposed development on the following

grounds:-

- Loss of wildlife as wildlife will be displaced & their habitats most likely destroyed. There is documented evidence of great crested newts, water voles, badgers & bats which are all protected species along with other wildlife. Whether this loss of wildlife is from the actual buildings invading their habitat, discharge & pollution into the surrounding ditches, increased traffic or from domestic pets, it will all affect the wildlife & have a significant detrimental effect for them. There is already evidence that with all the new developments going on in the area, wildlife is already being displaced & their natural habitats are being diminished. This is last piece of open space in New Waltham. Surely we should be keeping this open space to protect the flora and fauna as well as associated benefits that an open space brings such as people's physical & mental health & absorbing pollutants such as car fumes.
- This development will cause an increase in traffic pollution & noise pollution. Tollbar is constantly busy & at a stand still at peak times now with traffic backing up on all 4 arms & engines idling, all adding to the pollution which can only have a detrimental effect on people's health & that of our children. This development can only exacerbate the situation. You only need to walk near Tolbar to smell & taste the fumes. TollBar roundabout is already identified as a congestion hotspot in the Local Transport Plan 3. It is also expected to be over capacity by 2029.
- New Waltham & surrounding area doesn't have the amenities or infrastructure to support any

more developments. NHS, GP's & dentists are already overstretched, oversubscribed & at breaking point & people are currently struggling to get timely appointments. Schools are at capacity. Rather than the expectation that people on this development will walk, they will unfortunately have no option but to drive, adding to the already congested roads.

- According to North East Lincolnshire Council's 5 Year Housing Land Assessment 2023, more houses are being built than required so why the need to build more. Some new builds are struggling to sell & some are even offering incentives to entice a sale so again why more houses as there is not the demand.
- The ONS states that North East Lincolnshire saw a decline in population of 2716 from 2011 to 2021 with an increase in those aged over 65 & a reduction in those aged 35-49 &, in the Council Plan, the Council believes that this trend of an increasing ageing population is predicted to continue. It therefore makes sense not to build 3,4 and 5 bed houses, but to build bungalows instead which will then free up more larger houses.
- The Council's Local Plan states that the arc of larger villages (which includes New Waltham) will have grown by the year 2032 & sustained by improving local facilities & the open countryside separating settlements will have been protected to maintain the sense of separation. We have lost a lot of the green buffer zones already & they are continuing to be eroded with all the new developments under construction & proposed. If this development goes ahead which I think goes up to the boundary with East Lindsey District Council, should ELDC build up to their northern boundary, then we will merge with Holton le Clay as we have with Humberston. The current green gap separation between New Waltham & Holton le Clay will be eroded with this development.

With regards to the amended plans that Cyden have submitted, there appears to be some inconsistencies between the various plans with regards to the types of properties backing on to Pretymen Crescent &, property boundaries so it is difficult to comment fully. Whilst amended plans do seem to show some improvement with some of the houses backing on to Pretymen Crescent being replaced by bungalows/chalet bungalows, I am struggling to understand why there are still a few houses. Surely it would make sense to have bungalows/chalet bungalows all the way down backing on to Pretymen Crescent. To have houses backing on to chalet bungalows on Pretymen Crescent will cause an invasion of privacy as we will be overlooked, overshadowed & is not on parity & therefore not in keeping with existing properties.

Cyden Homes, in their pre-planning application talked of respecting the existing dwellings and to limit direct overlooking of properties. I would like to think that Cyden Homes do respect existing dwellings &, if this development were to be approved, then there would be bungalows/chalet bungalows backing on to the full length of Pretymen Crescent as this would be sympathetic to existing residents & in keeping with existing properties.

### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Lynda Ambler

Address: 29 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Why more houses? There isn't the need/demand. More houses leads to more traffic congestion & pollution, puts more strain on current infrastructure & resources that are overstretched plus displacing wildlife. ONS states NE Lincs's population dropped by 2700 (2011 census to 2021) & was an increase in 2+ cars.

5 Yr Housing Assessment says 3363 dwellings were built in NE Lincs since Apr 2013. 2018 - 2021, 573 homes needed & 923 built (61% over). In next 5 years, 1082 dwellings needed. Council say there's enough land to meet 5 yr need & has 13.1 year supply (oversupply of 1758). Who will buy these when 2021 Census showed decline in population? Some new builds are not selling. Why not tackle empty houses before building more.

Looking at New Waltham, Waltham, Humberston & Scartho there are 1033 dwellings under construction over next 5yrs. Whole of NE Lincs housing need is almost met just in our area. We are saturated with new builds & don't need more with associated issues it will bring.

Re this site, TollBar is regularly in the media re concerns over how busy it is. With more traffic, pollution & safety issues this will get worse with more new builds. TollBar is always busy & nightmare at peak times. Traffic backs up to Holton le Clay, Waltham Fire Station, Co-Op (New Waltham) & beyond Becklands. On 11/09/23, 820 vehicles went north on A16 to TollBar (8 - 9am). On 13/09/23 Station Rd, New Waltham (8 - 9am) 533 vehicles went towards TollBar & 438 went East through New Waltham. With this development comes increased noise, pollution & disturbance from extra traffic & may create more danger for road users & pedestrians. Transport Assessment for this site expect 96 vehicles leaving between 8 & 9am. I think this is low based on 250 dwellings with 2+ cars. As the assessment was June 2021 when still under Covid rules I

believe it needs re-doing. Travel Plan projected split differs from 2021 Census figures for New Waltham which shows greater % of car/van drivers & less passenger figures than Travel Plan projections. From New Waltham to Louth there are lots of developments going ahead already.

Number of roundabouts/crossings/traffic lights currently close to this site reduces traffic flow. Car engines idling creates more pollution which is detrimental to the environment, our health & of children walking to school. Addition of extra cars will make things worse

Council's 2021 pollution report says Nitrogen Dioxide levels increased at all site compared to 2020. As we were still under Covid rules, what are they now? Grimsby Telegraph report PM2.5 levels above WHO guidelines.

New Waltham hasn't amenities to support more houses. We have 1 pub & small Drs Surgery. NHS, GP's & Dentists already over-stretched & at breaking point. People have longer waits for appointments & can't access services locally. Schools are over subscribed. 2 new Primary Schools in Waltham & Scartho Top on hold. An extra 250 houses on top of all other new developments - where will all the children go when demand is outstripping supply? If can't get in local schools this new build will increase traffic & pollution as they won't be able to walk to school. Lack of local amenities means people will need to travel further. Roads are already in a state of disrepair.

Cyden say this site will enhance Ecological & Biodiversity for plants & wildlife. How can this be achieved with increased light, noise & traffic pollution & housing instead of open space. On a daily basis I see deer, foxes, hedgehogs, bats &, birds of prey circling along with other wildlife. Their habitat is being eroded. When ecology surveys were carried out, bird scarers were in operation therefore deterring wildlife.

In Pre-planning application, Cyden talk about a green wedge to respect existing dwelling on Maple Grove & dwellings orientated away from rear gardens with blank gable ends to limit direct overlooking of Pretymen Cres. In revised plans I see that has changed. Pretymen Cresc has bungalows/chalet bungalows. Having 3 / 4 beds so close with a narrow strip between will be imposing, overshadowing & loss of privacy for existing residents. If 2 storey garages are backing on to Pretymen Cres, this can only compound issues. I believe the development is not in keeping with surrounding properties, having 3 / 4 bed houses backing on to bungalows. If this goes ahead why isn't the green wedge opposite Maple Grove extended full length of the northern edge to allow existing dwellings to breathe as Cyden state.

Planning Application states 20% are affordable housing. People struggling to pay mortgages, landlords selling & first time buyers struggling to get on the ladder. As the bulk are 3 / 4 beds, who can afford them?

NE Lincs Council Plan says in the next 5 yrs there will be less congestion. I believe this

development conflicts with this Plan. An extra 250 dwellings with potentially over 500 cars & 650 people, roads will be busier, car use greater, increased pollution & health may deteriorate.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Charlotte Cox

Address: 29 station avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:There are to many houses being built in and around new Waltham within small vicinity. They aren't even selling well so the demand is questionable. To much pressure on roads which are in poor condition and pressure on infrastructure. My biggest issue is the disappearance of green spaces destroying wildlife habitats & leaving less green off road walks for the existing community to enjoy. The village is to loud and congested makes me feel like moving out.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Simon Clayton

Address: 30 Garrick Lane New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The village does not have enough amenities to cater for yet more homes. The village has expanded beyond recognition since I moved here 22 years ago and nothing has been done to improve village life, especially for teenagers who have nothing to do, which causes anti social behaviour. The road system cannot cope with the amount of vehicles already coming through the village at peak times, and it is especially dangerous at school leaving times.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Tyla Capps

Address: 31 Thornton Crescent Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Our school, doctors and dentists are all currently oversubscribed and with huge waiting lists that cause more harm due to local people already not being able to easily access them. How will this then be affected by building an additional 250+ houses to an area that already seem to be overpopulated due to the lack of local facilities based on it being a village? Pressing on from this, there also seems to be a high level of pollution that already occurs from areas surrounding Tollbar roundabout, including Station Road and the A16. The A16 has been deemed the fourth most dangerous road in Lincolnshire, from this it has seen an increasing number of fatalities due to car incidents. How is it logical to then make an estate with only one access point that enters onto such a dangerous road, where they suspected potentially 500 cars will use daily from this development as well as the already existing high volume of vehicles that use that road...... Is it not foreseeable that other fatalities could occur due to this?

Traffic issues and pollution levels are at an all-time high as cars seem to be at a standstill even when in off peak times, this then increases health risks and potential injuries to other drivers or even cyclists (potentially Tollbar school children). These health issues may then be delayed in treatment due to the oversubscription of doctors as previously mentioned. This can only get worse as more areas and land are being built on. This development does not allow for sustained living!

On top of this, if the development does go ahead before we face the devastation of extreme traffic issues, we will be faced with constant construction pollution. This will include traffic pollution and excessive noise pollution for hours a day. There is a reason some areas fine you for driving in them due to pollution levels being on the rise, we are supposed to be tackling global warming, however we only seem to make the planet worse. Take away our green lands and leave us with smog!

Now let's mention wildlife, there is evidence that on the proposed land there are badgers, deer and foxes that live there. Where do they go? Why do they get forced out of their homes? There is such a disregard for all life its disgraceful. Bats and birds will also lose their habitats and food source. The RSPB and Natural England made a scheme to reintroduce Red Kites that mankind had previously made extinct in England and Scotland in 1800s. Since 1980, they have managed to slowly reintroduce these lost birds of prey, they are now even making there way into Lincolnshire! How is it fair that these birds that we have already made extinct once then get their homes damaged yet again? Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) all birds of prey are protected, surely due to this status we should be trying to conserve the natural world around us rather than be taking it away and hindering their lives.

Lincolnshire will no longer be Lincolnshire without these green habitats and empty lands!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Tyla Capps

Address: 31 Thornton Crescent Cleethorpes

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This is the second time we have had to offer our comments in regards to this subject and my stance has not changed. The main issue with this building proposition is the fact that they are building, not what they are building! The land and area can not take it, the local roads are already too congested and the additional houses will only add to this already polluted neighbourhood. We should be trying to conserve what little green space is left and allow nature to live. This includes all the wildlife that would be destroyed if the land is built on.

This has already been mentioned in my original objection and my stance has not changed on the matter and will not change.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Belinda Howard

Address: 31 Thornton Crescent Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We don't need any more houses in the area. It's important to think about the impact another few hundred houses will have on Grimsby and Cleethorpes, factors including the infrastructure not being able to take it, the roads are already incredibly busy at peak times and also the impact on wildlife to name a few. Following developments on other parts of the area such as the Becklands estate and Wigmore Park (both yet to be completed), it is completely unnecessary to begin building further. Building work appears to have stopped on Wigmore Park, with completed houses failing to be purchased. These points alone will show that it is a complete waste of green space to build more houses. The wildlife such as badger's, foxes, buzzards, kestrels have already been forced out of their homes and pushed onto the fields behind Pretymen Crescent, so where are they expected to go now if developments begin?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Jacqueline Hall

Address: 31A Pinfold Lane Grinsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The amount of houses being built between New Waltham and Holton Le Clay has already taken away the green belt and has zero safe space now for wildlife. The main road is now an accident waiting to happen and absolutely spoiled the beautiful open space that has existed for years!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Hayley Raper

Address: 32 station avenue New waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am objecting to the plans of MORE new building.

We already have severe traffic issues throughout the day in the area which are very stress full when it takes 10 mins to pull out of your own street absolutely ridiculous!

There is already unfinished construction in the area that is causing issues.

We do NOT need more housing in the area!!

More and more wildlife is being killed off with all the planning applications being accepted and the council not even taking these poor animals into consideration.

The area is already so built up we do not need more.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Paige Raper

Address: 32 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The erection of yet more houses in the area raises more problems to the current residents and wildlife around than it would solve.

The volume of traffic in the area is exceedingly high as it is and building more houses will only raise this to a more dangerous level.

There are already too many houses in the area and not enough jobs, adding more houses will make this situation worse and the economy in the area will worsen even more.

That's not to mention the impact on the environment in more ways than one. More houses equals more cars on the road and will cause more pollution in the area. The wildlife will also be tremendously impacted as the current amount of greenery for nature is diminishing with all the houses already being built nearby. That doesn't include all the benefits to human life that nature has.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Darren Raper

Address: 32 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am objecting to this due to the build up of traffic issues in the village including safety for children there is already vast amounts of buildings being erected in the area already and believe that more housing is unnecessary.

The impact on the wild life and green areas is more important than more housing.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Anne Humphrey

Address: 33 Priors Close New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I live in Priors Close and yes I moved into a bungalow 27yrs ago and fought for 10yrs to stop the building across the road from me being built on , yes they did build and we have a Priors Green possibly will be built on at some stage . We used to see deer but with all the building Par 5 and building along Humberstone Ave the wildlife takes a back seat .

For goodness sake there is enough building going on in New Waltham the schools are not big enough to have more children the one near me over the years have extended and extended who on earth are buying? these houses there is not the work here and NOTHING is thought about wildlife once it's all concreted over it's to late are we all not entitled to enjoy watching wildlife in a village that is growing at a much to fast rate

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Shelly Harrod

Address: 34 Charles Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I 100% object to houses being built in the area behind Pretyman Crescent, Maple

Grove, etc.

We moved to this village to raise our children amongst green spaces & these have been slowly chipped away at & built on over the years.

What amenities are in place for another 200+ houses? Where will children go to school? Where will people be able to see a doctor? Land is being taken away from local wildlife. All of these new builds are destroying the village as we know it.

With the amount of houses being built in this area currently I do not believe there is a need to build additional houses in this area.

I access the A16 daily to drive to Louth for work & more congestion will add so much more time to my journey.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Alison Sarbutt

Address: 34 Peaks Avenue New waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I would like to object to the planed building of 250 houses on the grounds of there not being sufficient infrastructure in the village to support all these extra families ie schooling ,congestion on the roads. I am also concerned about the wildlife that will be displaced due to this development. We have already had a lot of Greenland built on in the last few years in new waltham and Humberstone. Where is all the wildlife going to live? Why can't the council promote companies to build on brown sites instead of taking up all our greenspaces. We live in New waltham because we like to see the fields and the wildlife so this will impact on my well being and mental health if these houses are built. Thank you for reading my objection

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Michael Foley

Address: 35 Beech Grove Holton Le Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Another field nearer HLC. Only one to go before WAltham, Holton Le Clay and New Waltham become one. Don't these greedy farmers/ building companies realise the whole country need field# to grow our own food to survive. Why do the build companies purchase brown field sites? Ie Freeman Street. The infrastructure around our villages is already under stress and another 250 houses will only increase the pressure on roads, schools, amenities and of course doctors. The whole of HLC rely on one electrical cable and as on 31/08/23 some idiot with a drill at toll bar manage to cut the whole village off for hours. Is it another "already to late" because the brown envelopes have already been handed out.

Mike

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs K Camplin

Address: 35 Grainsby avenue Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:This area's infrastructure, highways, schools, doctors, dentist can not support this. The green belt between new Waltham and Holton le Clay is being diminished from all angles and the wildlife inc deers, foxes, and small frogs etc are being left homeless by developments. If NE Lincs identified all their empty houses there will be way more than 250 available to house people, so using the reason that more housing is needed, does not hold credibility. Also the drainage and reduction in fields, being replaced by hard surfaces and housing with further increase the surface water and flooding locally. PLEASE STOP THESE ENDLESS PLANNING CONSENTS FOR NEW HOUSES WHEN THEY ARE NOT NECESSARY.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Donna Burden

Address: 35 Greenlands Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Too much traffic and already a large number of homes being built. We can't

accommodate more. Save the last bit of greenery we have.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Wayne Bloy

Address: 36 Becklands Av New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The roads just can't take the added vehicles, the A16 up towards TollBar is a nightmare

as it is.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Jennifer Davison

Address: 36 Granville Street Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My original Objection still stands

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Jennifer Davison

Address: 36 Granville Street Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am a Parent of a child at Toll Bar Academy, classes are already at capacity this will put further strain on the local schools. Travelling to Toll Bar is always busy with stop start traffic constantly. The infrastructure is already overwhelmed. There is an incomplete building site at the end of Granville street on the old factory site why not finish building on sites like this before starting on the green belt. The hospital is already struggling. We don't need more unaffordable housing. Soon New Waltham and Holton Le Clay will be one village/town and less green belt, impacting the environment and wildlife.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Kim Tucker

Address: 36 nova croft Coventry

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Just about to move to area and am aware of new developments at wigmore Park and millennium Park. Currently living in an area where building on greenbelt land and also hs2 are decimating local areas with huge impact on wildlife and local communities. Impact on traffic from construction vehicles and then more residents to the area. So called 'affordable housing' is, in practice, rarely affordable for local people. I will object simply on the basis of loss of wildlife habitat

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stuart Walker

Address: 36 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am writing to formally register my strong objection to the proposed planning application. While I acknowledge the importance of development and growth, it is paramount that these developments are carried out responsibly and sustainably to preserve our environment, minimize negative impacts on our infrastructure, and improve the overall quality of life for residents. Unfortunately, the current proposal falls short of these vital objectives on multiple fronts.

One of the most pressing concerns associated with the proposed development is the potential loss of crucial wildlife habitat. Our community boasts a rich and diverse array of flora and fauna, some of which may be endangered or vulnerable. This development threatens to disrupt these ecosystems, leading to the displacement of local wildlife populations. It is essential to recognise that we hold a stewardship responsibility towards our natural surroundings and must take action to protect and conserve them for future generations.

The proposed development area may encompass vital habitats for various species, including nesting sites, foraging areas, and migration routes. The destruction of these habitats not only jeopardises local wildlife but disrupts the delicate balance of our ecosystem.

The proposed development will undeniably result in a significant escalation of traffic in an already congested area. This not only exacerbates existing traffic woes but also leads to higher levels of pollution. The surge in vehicular emissions, noise pollution, and the deterioration of air quality will have detrimental effects on the health and well-being of our community members. Furthermore, the anticipated increase in traffic poses substantial safety concerns for pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers, rendering our streets less safe and less pleasant. Basically living on the proposed new estate will force you to use a vehicle to get to any amenity given its isolated location!

An essential element of a thriving community is access to fundamental amenities, including

schools, parks, healthcare facilities, and efficient public transportation. Unfortunately, the current proposal appears to prioritise profit over the well-being of the community, as it lacks the necessary infrastructure to support the expected influx of residents. This oversight is deeply concerning, as it may lead to overcrowded schools, insufficient medical facilities, and limited recreational spaces, ultimately diminishing our overall quality of life, given none are really within walking distance. Moreover, the proposed development seems to disregard the significance of green spaces and recreational areas. These spaces are not only vital for physical and mental health but also contribute to the aesthetic appeal of our neighborhood. The absence of such amenities will inevitably make our community less appealing and less conducive to a high quality of life.

In light of these concerns, I urge the Planning Department to re-evaluate this planning application and carefully consider the potential consequences of this development on our environment and community.

Ultimately, the success of a development project should be measured not only by its economic gains but also by its ability to enhance the lives of those residing in the affected area and safeguard the environment for future generations, once these green spaces are gone that's it forever! We must strive for a balanced and sustainable approach to development that benefits all not just the few developers/shareholders!!!

I kindly request that my objections, along with those of other concerned community members, be given the utmost consideration during the planning process. Our voices should not be disregarded, as we are the ones who will bear the long-term consequences of this decision.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Shelley Walker

Address: 36 Pretymen crescent New Waltham

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am under no doubt that this will fall on deaf ears however, the wildlife cannot speak for themselves.

The proposed site is home to deer, rabbits, buzzards along with other wildlife. What will happen to this wildlife? Developers have already taken endless acres of nature's landscape causing disruption and devastation to many animals.

New waltham is now connected to Humberston following the Priors close development we are a stones throw away, again this development took up acres of natural land.

This proposed development with make New Waltham a stones throw from Holten le clay. Is this not creating a new town rather that 3 villages?

Education. There are two schools in new Waltham, one overprescribed and the other undertaking a small extension due to the demands the already compete developments have created. What eduction setting will these children attend?

Tollbar already creates a danger due to the current volume of students attending and the cars on the road. Accidents have happened and more are likely.

Traffic, the volume of traffic currently is unmanageable for the roads. New Waltham is often at a standstill. This proposed development with naturally create more cars, adding to the already over stretched roads and dangers for children and elderly residents.

Health, there is one doctors surgery in the village, which is at capacity. What doctors will these residents use or will they have to travel? This is not very considerate of the environment or what's being preached...

We do not get our bins emptied on time now, regardless of paying council tax, how will the council cope with these extra bins?

This development provides no positives for the residents of new waltham in my opinion, just negatives.

One last thing, my son (8) as I am sure many other children do, loves wildlife and nature. He has seen many animals with his binoculars in this field and others that are now housing developments. What is this teaching our children? We are asking for children to look after the world while you are destroying it, how is this ok? You ask children to walk to school for both environmental and health reasons, how will this be possible when there is no room locally? Yet more cars required! Double standards?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Yvonne Kershaw

Address: 37 Church Lane Humberston North East lincs

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to this housing estate being beguile because the town is absolutely saturated with housing developments. A trip that once took me 15 minutes to get to and from work now takes double that. The roads that connect Holton le clay, Waltham, New Waltham, Humberston are grid locked at peak times of the day and evening, the roads are full of temporary traffic managements systems and heavy good vehicles providing supplies to the many developments going up in the area. The detrimental impact the already current housing developments being built in the town is having on the wildlife is shocking, last week we had a monk Jack deer in our garden, they have also been seen on the streets of Humberston. the councils have struggled through the summer to keep up with refuse collections for the houses that are already occupying the town so how do they plan to cope with the thousands of new homes being built? I could keep going on and on about the reasons why this housing development should not be built. For the reasons mentioned here I respectfully ask for this housing development not to be given the green light.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Derek Fenwick

Address: 37 Janton Court New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Still object. No change.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Derek Fenwick

Address: 37 Janton Court New Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham does not need any more houses. I have lived in the village for 60 years and don't like what it is becoming. It is too big for the amenities that the village offers. The schools are full, the roads are at a standstill and the nice, green areas are rapidly disappearing. Where is the wildlife expected to go?

I'm sure 90%+ of the people in New Waltham do not want this development to happen, so why should it? We are the people who matter, not the fat cat business men that think they can make a fast buck at our expense. It stinks!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Davina Simpson

Address: 37 Langton Road Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to more houses being built within this area. The toll bar roundabout is already too busy with backed up traffic and terrible merging systems. These houses are being built too often and too close to schools. Does someone have to die on these roads before it is stopped? Holton le clay residents are already suffering the consequences of long traffic delays on a daily basis.

Please feel free to take a look for yourself on any weekday between 8-10am and 4-6pm. More houses, means more traffic, less wildlife and a bigger risk of accidents happening.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julia Hollimould

Address: 37 Newstead Avenue Holton le Clay Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Building more housing will cause even more congestion on the roads in the area. There are not enough resources as it is, and schools, GP, and amenities, cannot cope with anymore people. It will further drive the wildlife away, and take away valuable areas of countryside. The whole of this area is being over run with new housing, and causing more pollution. Our village is becoming too big, and will eventually be swallowed up into the town.

Not happy about this at all.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ruth Fenwick

Address: 37 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object to this development for the following reasons.

#### Wildlife:

There has been a large increase in deer, fox and badger activity in this field, partly due to its displacement from Wigmore park and the back of Humberston Avenue, now where are they supposed to go? Buzzards, sparrowhawks, owls and bats are also regularly seen and heard. (photographic evidence available) If this development is approved a license will be required from Natural England as there are badger setts here.

The percentage decline in farmland birds due to loss of habitat since 1970, all red listed, including Skylarks which we have observed this year nesting in the field.

Yellowhammer 60% decline, we are lucky, presently we still have visits from Yellowhammers.

Tree Sparrow 90%

Corn Bunting 89%

Turtle dove 98%

Twite since 1990-2000 80%

Curlew 1995-2008 42%

Lapwing 1960-1998 80%

RSPB state 'we should be protecting and restoring farmland habitat, NOT destroying it'.

Homes for wildlife versus need for new housing:

We have reached the planning quota for government target-funding. We don't need more new housing on farmland. The centre of Grimsby needs massive investment for regeneration, this would provide more affordable housing. The council and government alike should be encouraging & providing incentives for this instead, otherwise what happens to these areas? Given the price of

the houses planned, averaging out at £300k, in the current economic crisis, is there a need for them? Another 250 of them??

#### Lack of Infrastructure:

82% of this development is for three, four even five bedroomed homes, this suggests young families with children. There are no places available in the local schools, where will they go? They will need to be driven to schools in other areas, adding to the traffic congestion at peak times. Lack of medical facilities, doctors, dentists. Again, a need to travel by car. The council have recently been unable to meet their obligation for bin collection, add to that another 250 weekly collections??

## Traffic Congestion and Pollution:

Access/egress of this development of 250 dwellings and the Barratt homes 400 dwellings to the west of Louth road is to be by traffic lighted junction. Each dwelling comes with two off street parking spaces so they are assuming most family homes have two cars. That's potentially 1000+ cars attempting to spill onto Louth road at peak times, massively increasing the already heavily congested roads around the village. Authorities up and down the country are taking action to reduce pollution around schools and school routes. This new development will massively increase congestion and with it pollution. Gridlocked, idling, stationary traffic causes pollution; this centred around a school. Pedestrians and cyclists are worst affected, pollution levels can increase 300% at pick up and drop off times. This will cause irreversible damage to our children's lungs.

## On a personal note:

People may say I'm precious about the view and yes I have loved my view for over twenty years, my son has grown up with an appreciation of wildlife and is now a keen amateur wildlife photographer, but it's about far more than the view. The lack of privacy and light. We are a bungalow, we will be loomed over by a large detached house and garage, the occupants of which will be able to look out of an upstairs window and see not only my garden but right through my home, from the back to the front. We will no longer have any light, which all comes from our rear aspect, we get no light in the front.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Simon Fenwick

Address: 37 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I have looked at all of the objections and although, I can't really add to anything everyone is saying. I feel obliged to put my points across, albeit, even if they are the same as everyone else's.

What I will say is, all of these objections for all the same reasons, surely, we can't all be wrong can we?

Here we go!

- The traffic congestion is already a problem in the area without these 250 homes and 400+ cars being added to it. (I am being generous with my calculations as each house is being built with spaces for 2 cars.) The congestion doesn't just impact on residents of New Waltham. It is ALL surrounding areas, even including Louth and beyond who commute into Grimsby.
- The schools are already at full capacity with no more plans to build more in the vicinity. Where are the children going to be educated? Advised max class size in England 30 pupils. Education will suffer.
- Exhaust emissions will be even higher than they are now. Why where the traffic surveys conducted during partial lockdown? These will need to be done again for more realistic results and figures.
- The Doctors surgery in the village is already at full capacity and not fit for purpose.
- North East Lincs Council have been unable to keep up with regular refuge collections. (Even without extra housing) This will become an environmental issue if it carries on.
- There are Badger setts in the planned development area. A special licence, not mentioned in the proposals needs to be applied for. Failure to do so could lead to a fine and imprisonment for those involved (Natural England)
- The existing wildlife, Deers, (One less since one was shot intentionally) Badgers, Hedgehogs, Frogs, Bats and Foxes, not forgetting several bird species such as Skylarks that nest or occupy

the field will have to move on (again) Some of these have come to the field after already being pushed out of their homes because of nearby existing developments.

- The planned walkway at the back of David Place, Pretymen Crescent and Maple Grove will create anti-social behaviour. A public footpath from a disused railway line (that already has issues with motorbikes riding up and down) up to Toll Bar. What are they thinking??? Also, Given the site is to the south of existing dwellings on Pretyman Crescent this will be a point of conflict in the future in relation to issues such as light and security (Trees and Woodlands comments)
- There will be no privacy for the houses overlooking the project. (The houses being built are 2 storey buildings overlooking existing properties) Common sense would suggest, if they build anything, build bungalows (But that would mean less profit?) And obviously if building does take place the plans should have had new builds further away from the existing houses.
- There are areas of Grimsby and surrounding areas that need renovation. Restore what properties we already have, before building more houses. Putting money into new houses will mean that the derelict houses will fall into a further state of decay and ruin. Parts of Grimsby are so run down that when I see some of them, I'm ashamed that this is my home Town. Sort these out first.
- Wigmore Park houses have stopped being erected because there is no demand for these new builds. Common sense would say if they aren't selling, why will they sell on this proposal? Empty houses can lead to squatters.
- The economic crisis suggests NE Lincs needs affordable housing? These are NOT plans for affordable houses for average wages of N. E. Lincs residents (Less than 20% are affordable) I don't know how many people/resident's it will take to get these plans thrown out by the council planners, but looking at how many objections have been put in, I hope they realise people aren't doing this for fun. We are doing it because we care about ALL resident's standards of life! The powers that be should let common sense prevail and stop this development from going any further. If they don't, I for one would have to question their honesty, integrity and values. Would any of them like this to be happening where they live?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Simon Fenwick

Address: 37 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham GRIMSBY

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Do we have to do this again? Nobody wants the houses and no change of plans will change minds. So what if it's mainly bungalows? Wildlife still dies, traffic stays at a standstill, polluting the air and the infrastructure still can't take the strain. I think this may have been mentioned by the people several hundred times last year!

ALL surrounding village councils, local MP's, nearby residents and even the Wildlife Trust have expressed their objections to this and still we go on!

Cyden Homes, please give this one up as a bad idea, listen to what the local communities are saying (the people that matter) and save any good reputation you may have within this widespread community, by taking all the concerns seriously and making the ethical and moral correct decision. The same goes to the planners!

I'd also like to know which amended plans are the right plans as there's around 5 options last time I counted. Is the winner being drawn out of a hat???? The whole plan has so many flaws and has been thrown together so unprofessionally.

Finally, Planning Officers, go and look at the 2000 derelict houses nearby as the Centre of Grimsby is an embarrassment to the Town and without any development, will only get worse.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Harry Fenwick

Address: 37 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I see no reason to change my original objection. Rushing a slightly different plan together changes nothing for me as it was never about what is built, it was simply that nothing should be built as this final green space in New Waltham should be left for our remaining wildlife.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Ruth Fenwick

Address: 37 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:To reiterate, my original objection below still stands, I fully oppose the development. In addition, I have just looked at the amended plans submitted today (22nd February), very poor timing by the developer (or intentional?) considering the deadline for submission of objections is also today. The previous five plans submitted on 29th January being very confusing, showing different variations of properties directly behind Pretymen Crescent. The additional plan uploaded today 'SEPARATION DRAWING AND OPEN SPACE VIEWING' makes no sense at all. So I still have a large two storey house behind me because you have worked out I have 'no living accommodation windows at rear'? Upstairs no but downstairs yes and we spend most of our time at the rear. As stated at the bottom of my original objection, the occupants of this house will be able to look right through my house from back to front and we will lose a lot of natural light.

### Original objection:

### Wildlife:

There has been a large increase in deer, fox and badger activity in this field, partly due to its displacement from Wigmore park and the back of Humberston Avenue, now where are they supposed to go? Buzzards, sparrowhawks, owls and bats are also regularly seen and heard. (photographic evidence available) If this development is approved a license will be required from Natural England as there are badger setts here.

The percentage decline in farmland birds due to loss of habitat since 1970, all red listed, including Skylarks which we have observed this year nesting in the field.

Yellowhammer 60% decline, we are lucky, presently we still have visits from Yellowhammers. Tree

Sparrow 90%

Corn Bunting 89%

Turtle dove 98%

Twite since 1990-2000 80%

Curlew 1995-2008 42%

Lapwing 1960-1998 80%

RSPB state 'we should be protecting and restoring farmland habitat, NOT destroying it'.

Homes for wildlife versus need for new housing:

We have reached the planning quota for government target-funding. We don't need more new housing on farmland. The centre of Grimsby needs massive investment for regeneration, this would provide more affordable housing. The council and government alike should be encouraging & providing incentives for this instead, otherwise what happens to these areas? Given the price of

the houses planned, averaging out at £300k, in the current economic crisis, is there a need for them? Another 250 of them??

Lack of Infrastructure:

82% of this development is for three, four even five bedroomed homes, this suggests young families with children. There are no places available in the local schools, where will they go? They will need to be driven to schools in other areas, adding to the traffic congestion at peak times. Lack of medical facilities, doctors, dentists. Again, a need to travel by car. The council have recently been unable to meet their obligation for bin collection, add to that another 250 weekly collections??

### Traffic Congestion and Pollution:

Access/egress of this development of 250 dwellings and the Barratt homes 400 dwellings to the west of Louth road is to be by traffic lighted junction. Each dwelling comes with two off street parking spaces so they are assuming most family homes have two cars. That's potentially 1000+cars attempting to spill onto Louth road at peak times, massively increasing the already heavily congested roads around the village. Authorities up and down the country are taking action to reduce pollution around schools and school routes. This new development will massively increase congestion and with it pollution. Gridlocked, idling, stationary traffic causes pollution; this centred around a school. Pedestrians and cyclists are worst affected, pollution levels can increase 300% at pick up and drop off times. This will cause irreversible damage to our children's lungs. On a personal note:

People may say I'm precious about the view and yes I have loved my view for over twenty years, my son has grown up with an appreciation of wildlife and is now a keen amateur wildlife photographer, but it's about far more than the view. The lack of privacy and light. We are a bungalow, we will be loomed over by a large detached house and garage, the occupants of which will be able to look out of an upstairs window and see not only my garden but right through my home, from the back to the front. We will no longer have any light, which all comes from our rear aspect, we get no light in the front.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Harry Fenwick

Address: 37 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:No need for more houses, what is supposed to happen to the wildlife when MORE land is built on? Why do we need more houses? The roads are already busy enough, how bad is it going to be with another 300 houses in the village?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: David Humberstone

Address: 37 Tetney Lane Holton Le Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The development appears to I'll thought out as no obvious consideration has been given to the impact on services in the area ie doctors, schools etc. The medical centres serving Holton Le Clay, New Waltham are all currently at f capacity. Toll Bar School has already declared its concerns in accommodating the influx of children from the the Barrett Development at Toll bar. The A 16 struggles to deal with the current traffic flow at peak times as thing are now. Further development without significant infrastructure and service provision is a disaster waiting to happen.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Susan Watts

Address: 38, priors close New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We struggle already to get out of our village in any direction (all) at certain times of the day.

Multiple new builds around the village (Humberston avenue and Tollbar roundabout) have already added to congestion issues without another estate being built to cause even more chaos.

The amenities are already stretched (schools, shops, doctors and everything that is required for a village to function).

So,how can 250 new homes help. They can't ,our village is well over its limits .

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Louise Arnold

Address: 38 Teteny Lane Holton le clay Lincolnshire

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Why do we need more homes building when these plenty homes that need doing up.thats empty.

Poor animals are getting killed on the road because there habitats are been taken over for housing.

We don't need more houses going up there's enough around.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Matthew Cottingham

Address: 39 Carmen Crescent Holton Le Clay GRIMSBY

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Lack of infrastructure to cope with this many houses, (schools, health, parks and leisure facilities) lack of environmental concern for green field space and wildlife.. Traffic problems. Lack of affordable housing within development..

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Andrea Paterson

Address: 39 Lindsey Drive Holton Le Clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: There is already mass building in this area, spoiling green spaces, harming wildlife and the countryside generally.

Why, in a broken down town, with stunning old buildings, are horrendous box houses being built? Make use of the gorgeous churches and dock areas to regenerate. No doubt back handers are in place so the plans, causing harm and chaos, will go ahead

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Maureen Tems

Address: 39 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham, Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My objection to this proposed plan still stands as previously stated and to say again, I moved from the town to New Waltham because of its village status and to be closer to the countryside. I walk a lot around this area and see all the traffic queueing, pothering out fumes, and that's away from peak times too, I can smell it and even taste it! In the revised plan bungalows have replaced some of the proposed houses, why only some? It states 'dwellings replaced with bungalows' but there are still houses in the plan? so they will still be overshadowing and even more so as the path has been scrapped so will be backing directly up to the existing row and the boundary hedge! What will happen to the hedge, who will be responsible for it?

But bungalows or houses it will still mean more people, more traffic, more pollution but not enough resources to cope.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Maureen Tems

Address: 39 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham, Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The proposed new housing development will have a devastating effect, not only on the residents of Pretymen Crescent but also the loss of the field which is habitat to numerous varieties of wildlife, something that should be nurtured and not destroyed.

The proposal of the green space does not really benefit the bungalows of Pretymen Crescent on the north boundary, bringing it along to cover this area and making it much wider would help retain the wildlife and go to making a more natural shield between the proposed large houses and the bungalows. The bungalows will be greatly overshadowed by these houses so it would also give a little more privacy to both sides.

New Waltham is a village, a lovely peaceful village and the reason I chose to live here, I moved away from the busy town but this development will be putting me back into the very environment I moved away from.

The proposed 250 houses means more people in need of facilities already over stretched, then there's the matter of more traffic and and more pollution that comes with it.

And really shouldn't these green field be used for growing crops in...not houses! So i register my objections to this proposed development

Signed

Maureen Tems

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Dominique Robertson

Address: 39 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:New Waltham already is gridlocked at peak times, schools are already at maximum capacity with waiting lists. There is currently a clear boundary between two council areas, building these houses would not only minimise that area but almost join up two villages and counties! A lack of amenities to meet the needs of an already large village not to mention the impact on areas for wildlife

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Amanda Tuff

Address: 40 Edinburgh Drive Holton le clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There are already several existing plans in place for new housing in the immediate area, New Waltham and Holton le Clay. With all the junctions merging onto the A16 it will be a gridlock with all the extra cars, the infrastructure will not cope. Holton le clay will not be a separate village anymore as it will almost merge with New Waltham exactly like New Waltham does with Scartho and Humberston and Waltham does with Scartho. Are we not able to have separate villages anymore? Do they have to all merge together like small towns? I have lived in Holton le clay for most of my life but I honestly feel like the only way to live in a proper village now is to move further out away from Grimsby as it seems like if there are any fields spare someone wants to build on them!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julie Cook

Address: 40 newstead avenue Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The A16 is not suitable for more traffic it is a major road in and out of grimsby and with it only being a single carriage way either way is not suitable to deal with more cars from both new estates on both sides of the road. We have temporary traffic lights at the moment and it is causing major tail backs in both directions. As a resident of Holton le clay I feel getting to and from work will take far to long and at school times as well. We will not be able to leave the village in fear of it taking so long to get anywhere. Before the new houses are even built maybe look at making the road wider. Just a thought.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Charlottr Brand

Address: 40 Southfield road Holton Le clay

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The congestion this will cause between the 3 villages is observed. Already there is traffic at peak times. A new road layout will need to be made to support the estate going in

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Ben Glover-Poole

Address: 40 Station Avenue Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:To much traffic and there are now far to many houses taking up green space.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Nadine Marshalsea

Address: 40 Sterling Crescent Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I have already previously objected to this development and would like to re-iterate this objection.

It beggars belief that more housing is required in this area, the infrastructure is struggling to cope now with all the building works being carried on at the minute in this area.

The roads are backlogged with traffic, especially around Toll Bar school, in a morning and afternoon (with cars parked on the roadside picking up children, clogging up the road even more). No Doctors surgeries in the area and those that are closest cannot take anymore patients.

The wildlife in the area is being decimated, hedges and trees being removed so nowhere for them to live.

Why oh why is it always green spaces that are being built on,

build on the brown spaces in the Grimsby town area that are left derelict instead.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Nadine Marshalsea

Address: 40 Sterling Crescent Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I have already previously objected to this development and would like to re-iterate this objection.

It beggars belief that more housing is required in this area, the infrastructure is struggling to cope now with all the building works being carried on at the minute in this area.

The roads are backlogged with traffic, especially around Toll Bar school, in a morning and afternoon (with cars parked on the roadside picking up children, clogging up the road even more). No Doctors surgeries in the area and those that are closest cannot take anymore patients.

The wildlife in the area is being decimated, hedges and trees being removed so nowhere for them to live.

Why oh why is it always green spaces that are being built on,

build on the brown spaces in the Grimsby town area that are left derelict instead.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs nadine marshalsea

Address: 40 Sterling Crescent Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object to this development, for many reasons, as follows.

- 1) Loss of more green space.
- 2) Impact on the wildlife, where can they go.
- 3) Too many developments in this area already being built.
- 4) Traffic is already horrendous, without adding 250 plus cars onto the main road.
- 5) Already a lack of enough doctors surgeries and school places in the area to cope with anymore houses.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sheila Lawty

Address: 41 Enfield Ave New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:No

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Michelle Doore

Address: 41 picksley Crescent, holton-le-clay Grimsby, lincolnshire

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Holton-le-clay is a village and we live here because its a village ,its becoming more of a town, our wildlife has nowhere to go as it is, destroying thier habitats further, traffic is horrendous as it is, this will cause more. Village Shops are congested and shelves minimal due to population In our village, this will take more of our green space away, we don't have much of it left because of these hideous new builds, which do not even fit in with our village, completely against these plans, just awful!!.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stephen Cragg

Address: 41 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham GRIMSBY

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This field for the proposed new housing development has frequent visits from Deer, badgers, foxes, hedgehogs plus numerous smaller animals. Pheasants, sparrow hawks, kestrels & buzzards also. Sky larks which are in decline were seen nesting this year also.

Most properties are multi car households and the increase in traffic on Louth road even with management, will cause problems plus the increase in vehicle emissions will impact peoples health.

The development will mainly impact residents of Pretymen Cr, whose bungalows back onto the field & whose living rooms are facing it, feeling the most impact. The plan shows houses & not bungalows, directly overlooking these. Many are elderly & rarely leave the house, a lot being ex RAF & bought the property from the MOD many years ago.

There is a greenspace proposed opposite Maple grove, which already has separation from the development with a path and a road in place, so this might have been better moved up to the top of Pretymen Cr. to provide more privacy where needed, also the proposed footpath at the rear of Pretymen Cr seems quite narrow and could end up being a magnet for anti social behaviour. New Waltham has prided itself on being a village, a mixture of houses and bungalows, and will be losing that status, plus the Doctors surgeries & schools in the area can't cope with any more patients or more children. Where will they go?

In view of the above comments we object to the development, to save our wildlife and our village status.

JOHN PUD ANN LEWIS

H3 PRETYTIEN CRESCENT

NEW WALTHAM

CRITSBY

DN36 4N4



RE PLANNING APPLICATION DM/0761/23/ FUL

Dear Mr Limner

whilst we appreciate the need for yet more housing in our area we feel that houses behind our property one not appropriate as we are a bungalow and do not wish our provery to be invoded by being overlocked by bodroom windows. We wall obviously prefer bungalows behind us.

Also we are concerned about the lack of bunlight from the houses, and also a footpath behind us which would probably allow for access from motorcycles etc, also who will be nesponsible for maintaining the boundary hedges that has always

been the responsibility of the former/land owner. we have lived here for over 30 years and have enjoyed the various wildlife that frequents the land which we will lose it

the building work sees ahead.

yours faithfully

for John and Ann lewis

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sarah Neville

Address: 43 tetney Road Humberston Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: How many more developments can this area have without significant investment in the infrastructure. This area is supposed to be villages, but the continuing developments has reduced the open spaces, which is having a huge impact upon our wildlife.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs J Pritchard

Address: 44 Peaks Lane New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The roads on all routes in and out of the village are overcrowded as it is, with slow moving traffic during peak times making it very difficult for residents. Increased cars will exacerbate this and increase air pollution, which is already very noticeable at peak times for the residents on the main roads. The traffic volume seems to increase every year as it is and we certainly don't need any more cars coming through the village. Peaks Lane and Station Road are very difficult for pedestrians to cross as it is without any extra traffic.

The schools would have extra pupils and the residents near the schools will have to endure the inevitable extra traffic.

The wildlife will be displaced and will also be harmful to the environment as would be destroying areas for pollinating insects.

Surely the town needs more housing on brown field sites with affordable /social housing for the areas and the people that actually need it. This plan seems to be only beneficial for the developer and has no consideration for the residents or the suitability of the proposed houses.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Amanda Jennings

Address: 44 Picksley Crescent Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Traffic will be at a standstill at peak times with too many house going up in that area .

It's already crazy! Leave green spaces somewhere for wildlife to live. Spend money redeveloping

areas of Grimsby instead! It badly needs it

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

## **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Laura Saxby

Address: 44 pretymen crescent New waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My parents live at no.44 pretymen, growing up overlooking the fields there has always been a large presence in wildlife - deers, foxes and more! Yet again their habitat will be destroyed for the sole purpose of expensive housing that very few people can afford.

Traffic around school time is already appalling - when Tollbar roundabout had temporary lights it was hell, a routine 5/10 minute drive from scartho would take about 30/40 minutes due to the onslaught of traffic backing up all along Louth road and other exits of the roundabout - furthermore any temporary traffic lights cause major disruption throughout the day in New Waltham due to the high volume of traffic already present. Having an extra 300+ cars in the area will be a huge problem for traffic, road accidents and more.

Schooling will also be an issue as most local schools are nearing full capacity I know this as I work in various local primary schools - an increase in children will also no doubt lead to an influx of antisocial behaviour, which is already on an increase within new Waltham.

This is overall another money fuelled scheme which is not required and will ultimately destroy a beautiful 'village'. Maybe out more focus on reserving wildlife and their habitats and think less about destroying the land that is home to many innocent/thriving animals!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Andrew Isaac

Address: 44 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I strongly object to the planning proposal - DM/0761/23/FUL - Land at Louth Road, NW for the following reasons:

Erection of a further 249 homes in addition to the already overwhelming existing development will detrimentally affect the character of the village of New Waltham to further become a 'Dormitory Village' with totally inadequate facilities to support its ever-increasing population.

The village GP Surgery has reached capacity and not taking new patients. Dental facilities are non-existent in the area. There were two public houses in the village. One has been converted to a Coop shop and the remaining one cannot cope with demand.

The local roads are already congested, becoming grid-locked at the two daily 'Rush Hours'. The poor air quality and traffic noise pollution already makes walking and cycling in the area unbearable. A further junction and set of traffic lights will compound the problem.

The requirement for children to walk and cycle to the Toll Bar Academy is not compatible with the existing weight of traffic without adding to a. the numbers of children and b. the volume of traffic.

The walking route to the village along the A16 is inadequate and dangerous crossing two side streets and the entrance/exit to a busy filling station. The proposed link to the existing (unofficial) path along the old railway is not a realistic alternative route without significantly upgrading the railway path.

Expansion of New Waltham to the South and development to the North of Holton le Clay erodes the rural separation between the two villages eventually forming one huge residential area damaging the individual characters of both communities for ever.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Gordon Vinson

Address: 45 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Firstly. I agree with all the comments regarding objection to the new development.

My objection is that there are several bungalows in Pretymen Crescent that have the kitchens and living rooms facing the field and have their bedrooms at the front, are the developers aware of this or not? Do they realise that by building houses in front of them we will lose a good percentage of sunlight and daylight, this greatly reducing the amount of light into our main living rooms.

Also, the people living in the houses will have the ability to look into our gardens and living areas, this means we will lose some of our privacy.

Would it not be better to build a row of bungalows instead and not houses, there are no bungalows anywhere on the proposed development and people want bungalows with two or three bedrooms, detached or semi. Please give it some consideration.

Another concern is the proposed pathway running to the old railway line, will it be lit up when dark? Will it have bollards to prevent quad bikes and motorbikes using it, making it unsafe for pedestrians especially children coming to and from school.

Please consider our concerns with due diligence.

From: Janice Aitken

Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2023 8:20 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Objection to a planned development.

An objection to the planned development of 250 dwellings on land off Louth Road, New Waltham adjacent to Maple Grove, Pretyman Crescent and David Place.

We are concerned about the increased levels of air pollution from traffic stationary in queues at 2 sets of traffic lights, namely the Holton-le-Clay junction with the A16 and the traffic lights at the proposed development, Further queues would also occur at Toll Bar roundabout due to traffic from Wigmore Park. The increased pollution levels will have detrimental effects on cyclists and pedestrians especially the children at Toll Bar.

Motorists who do not want to be held up on the stretch from Holton-le-Clay will be tempted to use Cheapside as a diversion causing the road to Waltham to become a rat-run and this will lead to more congestion in Waltham.

Paul and Janice Aitken 46 Charles Avenue New Waltham DN36 4PD

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Melvin Clarke

Address: 47 hammerfest avenue Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This would be a crazy idea as you see the trouble with traffic around the school and all roads leading upto toll bar. Also the amount of wildlife in that field will be a big loss to have seen many deer and badgers also many birds. If this goes ahead the impact would be a very sad day for this town as well as the people

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Kim Woods

Address: 48a Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I oppose to the building of homes on this field, due to the area already being oversaturated with new builds. The roads near the proposed site are congested enough as it is and with a further 250+ extra cars on the road and especially at peak times whilst children walking to and from school they will be breathing in toxic fumes created by standing traffic.

The village of New Waltham can't take this development on due to the lack of infrastructure, the GP surgery is full and are not taking anymore patients, refuge collection is already suffering and unable at times to keep up with regular collections, only the one pub to serve the community due to the council allowing another supermarket being built on the harvest moon site and all the schools in the area are already stretched to capacity.

There has been a large increase in wildlife in this field due to displacement from the fields where the Wigmore development is ongoing and also from the back of Humberston Avenue where will the wildlife go?

PLEASE have a heart and think again

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Dene Ashcroft

Address: 49 Prettyman Cresent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:To whom it may concern,

Firstly I would like to reiterate my objection to the proposed building of dwellings directly to the rear of my property.

Having attended the parish council meeting 6th September 23, there is a strong collective feeling of objection to this proposal.

Several reasons for not building have as you know been submitted to date, which include the likes of:-

- 1) Environmental damage through human inhabitancy
- 2) The woeful destruction of land inhabited by wild life such as deer pheasant, fox and multiple bird species
- 3) The lack of infrastructure to support yet another increase in the population i.e. schooling, medical GP services, Police services and local Bus route stops.
- 4) Adding to the already congested transport route using the Toll Bar roundabout
- 5) The mental Health and wellbeing of those residents affected by this unnecessary project
- 6) In the light of the fact that it is understood at the meeting held on the 06/Sep, the 5 year hosing plan has been reached. So what is the reasoning behind this development?
- 7) Should this proposal go ahead, would I see a reduction in my council tax. We probably all know the answer to that.

The above I am sure have all been mentioned to date plus more objective reasoning than just

those I have mentioned.

It has also come to light today (15/Sep) a post on the Waltham Facebook page is suggesting that one of the roaming deer located in the field in question, has been killed. If this is true then I would hope those responsible for that outcome, which could easily be seen as attributed to this unnecessary build project, should feel ashamed.

In closing, if the efforts of all those that have raised objection are ignored then could I at least request that the proposed dwellings to the rear of my property are built as bungalows.

I would also like to request acknowledgment to this objection.

Kind regards

Dene Ashcroft

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julie Tear

Address: 49 Station Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My main concern is wildlife.

I read recently that a development in Humberston had been thrown out, because of the effect in

the wildlife.

So why not here????

Also the congestion around Toll Bar is bad enough, without causing more!

Why not develop affordable housing for young families in town?

We will have no green belts left in this area..

But as usual it's all about money isn't it!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Julie Anderson

Address: 52 Albertross Drive Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Roads around that area are already very busy and congested. Wildlife is at risk as no habitat left for them. Infrastructure not able to support in terms of doctors, dentists, schools, childcare, facilities for families, transport services, emergency services etc. Housing market has slowed down significantly and already being left with unsold new builds elsewhere.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Helen Marwood

Address: 52 Langton Road Holton Le Clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:There are too many developments in this area, some of which are failing to sell. Toll Bar roundabout is currently a bottle neck for traffic before hundreds/thousands of homes are built and is a nightmare when temporary traffic lights are installed during building works. This development would encroach on the boundary of Holton Le Clay meaning the village type communities of Holton Le Clay and New Waltham would be lost and I fear this could lead to more burglaries because offenders can slip away easier in built up areas. The schools and doctor's surgeries do not have enough spaces to cope with an influx of population. There would be a loss of wildlife as badgers, foxes and deer frequent this area.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Helen Notley

Address: 52d Church Lane Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: No more house. Not room at the doc n schools

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Pat Wright BEM

Address: 53 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am in my mid 80s and my house in Pretymen Crescent backs onto this new estate. Every day I watch deer on the field behind as well as other wildlife and it's heart-breaking. I know I am not entitled to a view but surely they are entitled to have somewhere left to live? Pretymen Crescent, Station Road and Louth Road are almost impassible at times. Where, exactly are people going to find a doctors, school etc from? Where are the statistics that prove that this type of housing is even needed in the current climate? It's time the planners start to say no to villages meeting other villages and turning into towns - except without the facilities of towns. It's just appalling. I came to live in a village for rural life, not to eventually join up to be one grand metropolis. Please leave some wildlife for the next generations!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stephen Smith

Address: 54 Grainsby Avenue Hilton-le-Clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My concerns for my objection are:

- 1. Point of access to and from the development is off the A16 which is a main artery in to Grimsby from the South. With this proposed new dwelling site along with the other two sites at Tollbar, Hilton-le-Clay, Humberston and New Weltham this will add to the already congestion at peak times. The extra traffic will cause more pollution raising the danger too, not only school children traveling to and from schools, but for the residents in the area.
- 2. The infurstruture within the area will not be able to cope with the extra demands place on it. GP surgeries which are already have considerable difficulty meeting the high demand placed on it. How are the schools going to cope. The nearest primary schools are either at Holton-le-Clay, New Waltham or Waltham this will add to travel of primary school children again at peak times, this will also increase the danger for those children that walking to and from Tollbar Academy.
- 3. Concerns about the wildlife that will be displaced if this plan is granted. The destruction of the natural habitats will not only impact on the wildlife already in the area, but will have an impact for future wildlife settlement.
- 4. Within the Grimsby area there are a large number of brown field sites that could be used for development of new social housing, instead developers are so keen on constantly building high end housing on green sites on the outskirts of the town, Other than those mentioned above Scartho, Scartho Top, the site Opposite Tesco Extra, also xby Beacon Academy and Tetney. The main industry is in the North and North West of the Grimsby area so why is most development in the South of the town, forcing a commute of the work force through the town, adding congestion and pollution to the town central area.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Anita Milson

Address: 54 Picksley Crescent Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:There are already 2 major developments in progress, another smaller in construction, 1 on outskirts of the village this is enough. Louth road and neighbouring main roads cannot take the amount of traffic as it is, yet more is likely to come. There will also be disturbance to local wildlife which will then be more than likely be killed on the roads trying to find new places. The roundabout even though been redesigned is pitiful at best with long queues of traffic, made worse in school times. Parents are already parking just after the crossing to drop off and pick up children, the crossing just after roundabout is ill conceived ado backing up traffic. Concentration should be centred around Grimsby/Cleethorpes area rejuvenating that area as a disgrace.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lewis

Address: 57 South View Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:It's disgusting how many new housing developments are going up destroying our green spaces when there are plenty of empty affordable houses that just need rejuvenation. These new housing Developments have very little affordable houses and cost a lot more than ones already empty. Where are our wildlife supposed to go if you keep destroying all our green spaces not to mention the amount of pollution to the nearby areas and school from the already hugely busy road. More houses are not needed, let's fill the empty ones we already have.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Janet Dickinson

Address: 57 Weelsby Road Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:The A16 from Louth through to Grimsby is already far too busy and congested at Toll Bar . More housing will make this congestion far worse with an adverse affect on people and wildlife . We are already taking far to much land away from local wildlife and where will it go? Onto the roads?! We are also polluting the atmosphere and damaging our people's health!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lisa Tuff

Address: 58 Huddleston Road Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: As stated previously in my comments, I still object to this development project.

Regards

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Alex Offiler

Address: 59 Picksley Crescent, Holton le clay, Grimsby DN36 5dr

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This will be too much congestion plus having 2x sets of traffic light with in 50 or so meters of each other will cause so much air pollution. Please see sense and stop building houses and look at solar farms. This is making it difficult for the wildlife if you keep taking there homes!!

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Janis Stewart

Address: 59 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Many objections still stand with this proposed housing development. There are countless houses being built in our area, some of which are still standing empty and those that are occupied are suffering from flooding in their gardens. The field at the back of Pretymen Crescent also floods with heavy rainfall because there is nowhere for the water to go. There will also be extra strain on sewerage and we already know that the whole of the country is experiencing overflow into rivers and the sea because it cannot cope with that which we have now.

New Waltham has become gridlocked every day between the A16 and Toll Bar roundabout and Station Road is a nightmare to access at rush hour and school times. Most houses now have more than one car so the situation is only going to exceed what we already have. More air pollution is a given. Most residents chose to live in a village, NOT a town. More housing means more traffic and we also have an increase of delivery Lorrie's which cannot cope with our small side roads and because of that they cannot avoid churning up our grass verges because they can't turn around tightly enough.

More houses means more strain on other services such as schools, doctors surgeries and pharmacies and NHS facilities which are already over subscribed.

The new plan offers nothing viable to the residents of Pretymen Crescent who already have increased traffic on a once quiet road. The bungalows in particular have bedrooms at the front of the property so we have to endure car engine noise and, in winter, car headlights shining through windows. We also actually live at the rear of the property so we are going to be greatly impacted by the noise that will invariably happen with gardens backing onto our gardens and building 4bedroomed houses directly at the back of our bungalows will destroy the little peace we enjoy at the moment, as well as our privacy and a fair bit of our light. The proposed 180cm fence will do

nothing to counteract the above comments and Just as an aside, it's hard to imagine anyone wanting to buy a house with a north facing back garden!!

Who is going to be responsible for the hedgerow that separates our gardens from the proposed development? There is no mention of that in the plans.

I strongly object to this housing development.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Janis Stewart

Address: 59 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The proposed new housing development will have a serious and negative effect on all residents of Waltham and New Waltham and in particular to those living in Pretymen Crescent. not only are we losing too much of our wild life habitat with numerous new estates being built but the effect on public services (already stretched) ie roads, schools, surgeries, chemists (already in decline) and in particular the NHS which will struggle severely from an influx of new residents. Grimsby hospital is unable to cope with the pressure of admissions already.

The A16 Road toward Grimsby is consistently at a standstill and yet will be further congested from the ongoing property development from Wigmore Park. It will be a complete nightmare with extra access from new roads. This is not good news for the country's commitment to lower carbon emissions and very bad for air pollution in general.

On top of traffic thrombosis there will be considerable strain on sewage/water supplies. Our rivers are already polluted with excess effluence which will be made so much worse with all these new builds.

In particular Residents in Pretymen Crescent will be severely impacted with the loss of daylight from 4 bedroomed houses and the planting of proposed trees overlooking our bungalows and back gardens.

More importantly and alarming is the prospect of a public footpath at the back of our gardens which will render us all to all sorts of noise pollution and compromise any kind of peacefulness that we currently enjoy. It will also leave us wide open to intruders/burglars who will have access to our vulnerable properties and this is a very scary prospect for ageing residents. Who will maintain this path to stop it becoming a "poo alley" for inconsiderate dog walkers and prevent it from becoming a litter strewn smorgasbord for vermin? Also who will maintain the proposed trees and stop them encroaching onto and into our gardens?

This is a very badly planned building development with no thought to the residential occupants or

to the preservation of of our already dwindling wild life.			

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Richard Wright

Address: 59 Tetney road Humberstone

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:No

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Chris Bromfield

Address: 61 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I would like to reiterate my previous comments I submitted on the 8th of September 2023. In the revised plan bungalows have replaced some of the proposed houses, why only some? It states 'dwellings replaced with bungalows' but there are still 4 bedroom houses in the plan that are directly up to my boundary. In fact my bungalow has the longest boundary of all the properties on Pretymen Crescent so the proposed plan has two 4 bedroom houses on my boundary to which I strongly object to. Not only will my privacy will be greatly impacted on but light and not to mention the noise.

I therefore strongly object to the plans.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Chris Bromfield

Address: 61 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I would like to reiterate my previous comments I submitted on the 8th of September 2023. In the revised plan bungalows have replaced some of the proposed houses, why only some? It states 'dwellings replaced with bungalows' but there are still 4 bedroom houses in the plan that are directly up to my boundary. In fact my bungalow has the longest boundary of all the properties on Pretymen Crescent so the proposed plan has two 4 bedroom houses on my boundary to which I strongly object to. Not only will my privacy will be greatly impacted on but light and not to mention the noise.

I therefore strongly object to the plans.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Chris Bromfield

Address: 61 Pretymen Crescent New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Formally register my strong objection to the proposed planning application off Louth road.

There is a huge area of housing development already in progress within New Waltham Humberston and Halton Le Clay.

Pollution from an extra 250-300 or even double as most families own 2 cars.

I thought we were trying to lower carbon emissions its very bad for air pollution in general.

Also there will be considerable strain on sewage/water supplies.

Congestion from the A16 towards Toll Bar roundabout is already backed up from Halton le Clay to Peaks Parkway plus trying to get out of Station Road is impossible.

How can our Village cope with it?

Parents collecting or dropping off their children at the Toll Bar Academy continue to park at the bus stop which is almost on top of the traffic lights.

Our NHS is already at full capacity, Hospitals GP Surgeries Dentists are struggling to offer appointments treatments and surgery waiting lists are long.

The development will certainly impact the residents of Pretymen Crescent especially the bungalows which back onto the field.

With the additional planting of trees and walkway I am sure this will affect everyones privacy and light as the new plans show 4 bedroom houses & not bungalows.

As for the public footpath that runs along the back of our gardens alarm bells are ringing regards intruders and burglars and antisocial behaviour it's very scary for ageing residents.

Who will maintain this pathway hedgerows and trees? Also inconsiderate dog walkers and and litter droppers which encourages vermin.

As for the wildlife that give so much pleasure it's a very sad thought that they will disappear.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Hayley Nunn

Address: 66 Tetney Lane Holton le clay Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We do not need more housing especially near the village, our roads and schools can not accommodate, the noise, air and light pollution will be horrendous. Their will be less environment for wild life. This is a village with beautiful green spaces NOT a town! Stop making it into one!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Mark Barnett

Address: 69 pretymen crescent New waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:As a local resident I have gotten first hand experience of how many New houses are going up in this area, obviously the developers know this is a more affluent area and the likelihood of sales is very high but the knock on means more strain on the local infrastructure, it's already manic on the school runs around toll bar roundabout and add more lights and junctions to the mix and you are looking at more cars moving slower idling and polluting, creating more back logs. We've seen also the extra strain on the sewer system, and local amenities, the schools are already bursting, you have to ask, do we need any more major development in this area, the Barrett and David Wilson homes sites are already on going across the road and have plenty of unsold plots, not to mention persimmon on humberstone avenue, the recent cyden development at brooklands, snapes plot at holton le clay and all the smaller projects being undertaken I think it's time we stood up and said enough is enough

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Katrina Vorley

Address: 72 Louth road Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Minimal affordable housing. stretches local service which are already under pressure.

Increased traffic and pollution. Risk to local wildlife

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr james coulson

Address: 73 pretymen crescent NEW WALTHAM

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Erodes precious green belt, amenities, wild life, sustainable travel, reasonable access to strained amenities. Increases congestion and travel times. This impacts the wider community not just New Waltham and the viability of Grimsby Town Centre, ongoing Business investment and Tourism.

There is no adequate mix of dwelling types - ie affordable, retirement, single story or 2 bedroom homes which are needed. Nor adequate pedestrian, cycle or bus provisions to access to schools, shops, chemists or medical centres nearby.

#### Required:

20m green strip on north edge with cycle/footpath, upgraded on Railway line More Zebra Crossings on Station Rd & Traffic lights at Greenland Ave Open Martin Way to give vehicle access to Peaks Parkway

Measures to reduce tailbacks to Waltham, Humberston, Cleethorpes, Grimbsy a & New Waltham

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Janet Hewson

Address: 76 Picksley Crescent Holton - Le- Clay

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There are not enough facilities in this area now ie Schools, Doctors surgeries, Dentists, things for youths and younger children, the traffic situation is at peek times chaotic to say the least already, if, 250 houses are built it would be even worse.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Kerry Burns

Address: 84 Coniston Crescent Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I lived near here for 5 years and see lots of wildlife in that time. Building this new development will basically destroy their home. All the new houses near Tollbar have had a detrimental impact on the wildlife that lived there. The wildlife are constantly being forced to move from their homes. New Waltham is rapidly losing its village feel and becoming a town. The volume of traffic is increasing year on year, trying to get through New Waltham at peak times is horrendous as it is. Seems our council don't care about nature, all they care about is money!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Theresa Cole

Address: x Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Do we really have to build yet more houses on every available piece of land!?

Please leave some natural spaces for the trees and wildlife, too much has been taken already.

I am against this development. More houses mean more traffic congestion and pollution, and less

green natural spaces.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Carolina Walker

Address: 87 Samphire close Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The traffic around Tollbar is already a nightmare, especially in the morning and afternoon. There's already new housing going up over the road. How many houses do new Waltham actually need?

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sharon Jones

Address: 88 Orion Way Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: A16 can't cope with this!! The wildlife need the area. We have enough houses now,

roads won't cope, there's not enough schools, doctors, dentists in area

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Jackie Griffin

Address: 89 Picksley Crescent Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There is no increase to services schools

Hospitals or doctors to support more new houses, most of them aren't selling so why do we need

more Building

On all green land object

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Lucy OMeara

Address: 97 Peaks Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Schools are already over subscribed in the area, new Waltham academy has had to increase class sizes due to this which impacts on children's education.

Louth road is already a bottle neck at Tollbar, adding another housing estate opposite another one is going to further this.

The area to be built on is home to a lot of wildlife that's already been displaced from Wigmore Park.

New Waltham is known for being a village surrounded by beautiful countryside that is now rapidly becoming concrete city with more and more housing estates.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Lee Reeve

Address: 98 Peaks Lane New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Traffic is already ridiculously heavy everywhere around this town especially through new Waltham and humberston with all the extra houses added in the last 10-20 years. Yet the roads like station road and Peaks Lane are the same size as they were originally laid 100 years ago for horse and carts. Schools,Doctors,Dentists cannot cope or too full, Council local bin men can't keep up, low water pressure, over flowing sewers, flooding,

The council can't maintain or use common sense designing the roads and junctions they've got now let alone another junction for 250 houses onto a traffic Jamed busy A16 road. Cydens cannot even sell or complete or even find decent tradespeople to finish the houses they already have all over this town.

We do not need any more houses in this area,

Clear off to another area of the country that can cope with it and have the infrastructure to accommodate it.

# **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Michael Boon

Address: 111 picksley crescent holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:according to the councils own 5 year plan , page 11 item5.1 a table shows they are at 262% of their allocation to build new houses with 1936 dwelling already under construction the requirement being 1082 . with plans already in place yet to start they demonstrate an over supply of 1758 dwellings representing 13.1 years of supply. with a total of 3065 available ( with 225 demolitions or losses presumably enough brownfield site to build 100+) making a net housing land supply of 2840 dwellings.

there is absolutely no need to build 1 more house anywhere in the borough, and certainly it would be a deriliction of duty to build another 250 on the A16 southern arterial corridor.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mik Boon

Address: 111 picksley crescent Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:NEL have a shrinking population, thousands of empty houses, at least 5000 planned in and adjacent to nel. Not a brick laid at Cambridge rd brownfield site, building stalled due to lack of sales elsewhere.

Yet up to a thousand houses planned on the A16 corridor. All bottlenecked at toll bar. There is currently a set of temporary lights in the position this development is set to exit and it's causing carnage and delays all the way back to holton le clay lights with the school on holiday. 250 extra houses on top of the 3 developments already on the roundabout will bring up to 500 more cars to the junction, this doesn't include the 300 houses planned for holton le clay. Schools are full, the doctors surgeries can't cope already,

The delays will see thousands of cars sat idling at lights increasing fuel costs and pollution.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Lewis Scott-Williams

Address: 113 High Street Waltham Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My concerns for this build are the already overwhelming amount of traffic and pollution growing to an even more unnecessary level.

New builds are ruining villages and turning them into small towns all for a profit for developers.

This all puts massive strain on an already stretched community.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Helen Kelley

Address: 120 North Sea Lane Humberston Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to houses being built as too many are being built in one area recently. The wildlife is being confused, disoriemtated and will have nowhere to live. Their is insufficient education establishments and traffic congestion is getting worse.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Paul Standley

Address: 131 Peaks Lane New Waltham Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:No need for these at this time, crowded roads as it is.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Annette Stpnes

Address: 133 Louth Road Holton Le Clay Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The village is already under pressure with the local amenities under stress from the houses already built. The traffic is a nightmare and the road network cannot handle more cars. Schools are bursting at the seams and cannot handle more pupils.

Our villages will no longerbe individual as there will be no space between. There are not enpugh doctors, schools in the area for all these people.

The wildlife we have will have nowhere to go as there will be no green space left for them.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Laura Richardson

Address: 134 Heneage Road Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to the new houses as more pollution, traffic and it's not fair on the residents

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Steve Taylor

Address: 181 Louth Road Holton le clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:No need for more housing

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rose Taylor

Address: 181 Louth Road Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:No! Far too many new builds being built in the surrounding area! We brought in a village because we like a Village! Soon we will be a small town and YOU will have destroyed village life for so many over greed!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Meryl Culyer

Address: 222B STATION ROAD New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Too many houses, not enough infastructure

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Michelle Lonsdale

Address: 228 station rd New waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This is completely ludicrous in an already over populated village. The traffic is a complete nightmare morning and night. Living on station road I cannot already get in and out of my house at ease. Where is the space for over 1000 more cars on these roads? Who is thinking this mad idea is good?

The crime has increased from having social housing. The schools and doctors surgery's cannot cope with the people that live here let a lone more. I am worried for the future of this village or should I call it a town. Its an absolute disgrace to even thunk this would be viable.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Lee Burns

Address: 258 Station Road Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:No

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Angela Lonsdale

Address: 268 Station Road Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:To preserve our local nature and habitat's. To keep people safe from too many vehicles

and to try and keep this a village and not a town.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Stella Crookes

Address: 289 Station Road New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There already has been many new properties built in this area and the infrastructure and environment is being impacted in a negative way. Station Road and Louth Road is struggling to cope with thr traffic and the wildlife is being decimated. Please do not allow this development.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 249 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage, emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road - amended site layout and house types, additional Air Quality Assessment and Highway Report received

January 2024

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs kathrine matthews

Address: 421 Louth Road New Waltham New Waltham, Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We wish to strongly object to the amended planning application to erect 250 dwellings on land off Louth Road New Waltham. Our original comments still stand on the initial proposal and this application. This development has nothing to offer an already over developed area, lacking infrastructure, and community services.

New Waltham has become an oversized characterless intensive housing estate, devoid of green, open and pleasant space to walk, sit and enjoy outdoor pursuits. Intensive development over and above our required commitment has reduced the area to a bland and basic functional space. The building frenzy has robbed our village the potential to provide community assets, for healthy living and open space enjoyment. This proposed plan is yet again, another tightly packed housing estate totally unnecessary and giving nothing back to the enhancement of the area. You only have to look at Wigmore Park, which hardly has any attributes of a park and High Forest, we can only hope will have some resemblance of the leafy title and incorporate just a few trees. It is likely the traffic lights to facilitate access and egress of the site will add to our weekday, twice daily traffic gridlock at Toll Bar Junction which is projected to be over capacity in just a few years. This land is the last bastion of green space available to the people and our much persecuted and abused wildlife, over the last years chased from site to site across the village.

This development on our last available green space, leaves the village out of options for improvement and enhancing quality of life, essential to healthy lives and mental health. Creating a country and wildlife park on this land, would benefit not just people, but animals alike. Whilst we appreciate the football field at the pavilion, it is not attractive if you do not play football.

Green spaces are an integral part of any urban area, for environmental quality and reduction of pollution. Our local authority is failing, the residents, flooding the area with housing and eliminating the option for community enhancements, as this is the last chance for any substantial project to benefit the people and not developers and council coffers. Engaging with nature, woodland walks and therapy groups would be hugely beneficial to young and old alike and would provide easy access to nature, for people with disability, without the need to travel.

Humberston, Waltham, Grimsby and Cleethorpes have parks, it is not unreasonable, that New Waltham could be afforded the same, instead of using the village to satisfy the insatiable appetite of developers. We have one public house which struggles to cope with the volume of residents, one satellite GP facility and school places are at a premium, which will force some children to travel out of area for education. Seats around the village are limited, sited at Toll Bar, who would want to sit here with up to almost 100 HGV vehicles passing through the junction in one hour, as well as other traffic at peak times. Two other sites are a bench at the village hall and one at the village green, hardly areas of beauty, peace and tranquillity.

We implore the council to reject this application, and use this land to improve the quality of life for the residents of New Waltham and surrounding area and promote restoration of the environmental imbalance.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr John & Kathy Matthews

Address: 421 Louth road New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Traffic congestion & pollution: New Waltham has seen an exponential rise in housing development. A four way intersection at Tollbar despite "improvement" is often gridlocked at peak times. Idling, stationary traffic backed up at all four approaches. Retention of the roundabout aimed to maintain free flowing traffic to reduce pollution, however traffic has massively increased. We noted up to 90 HGV's pass through this junction every hour added to the other vehicles during daytime hours. HGV traffic continues through the night at a reduced level. With more properties built here and in Holton le Clay, poor air quality will increase. This explains how DN36 4PP exceeds three WHO limits for pollution adjacent to the roundabout. PM 2.5 9.04mcg/m3. WHO limit 5 mcg/m3 can cause asthma respiratory inflammation, promote cancers PM10 15.89mcg/m3 WHO limit 15 mcg/m3 can cause wheezing bronchitis reduce lung development NO 2 17.25mcg m3 WHO limit 10mcg/m3 Exposure for one year+ to 30mcg leads to a 5.5% increased risk of disease related mortality. Most recent pollution data available on the council website is for 2021, the government admits this is still impacted by COVID 19 restrictions, with reduced traffic on the roads. 2022 data will be significantly higher. The UK currently meets legal standards because the legal limit is more lenient than the international health community. Current research has not identified any safe limits for traffic pollution. Data represents annual averages, it does not capture peaks and fluctuations in roadside levels, which can exceed limits in a short space of time at peak hours. Consider who is most at risk, our school children who traverse these four congested routes to the roundabout, daily, to attend Tollbar. Pedestrians and cyclists worst affected, pollution levels can increase 300% at pick up and drop off times. Short term exposure at higher levels over several years, can cause irreversible damage to young lungs, accumulative over time, much like smoking. It also impacts residents, unable to escape the health risks, poor air quality, the largest environmental risk to public health in the UK. Each new home attracts roughly 2 cars, 250 homes proposed added to 400 homes on Wigmore Park a potential 1,000+ vehicles. The new traffic lights

to facilitate these, further creating congestion and traffic delay also making it extremely difficult for existing residents to exit their properties on Louth Road. Authorities up and down the country are taking action to reduce pollution around schools and school routes. New developments, extra traffic, traffic lights increase congestion and therefore pollution. Tollbar junction has become a pinch point, how long before it is over capacity. It is time to consider a bypass, giving villagers a break. The proposed 66 bed dementia unit, on the corner of Tollbar, it is argued it will not cause canyon pollution. However, we do not believe it will have no effect on dispersal, particularly in winter, due to lower air pressure, fog, lack of vegetation and size of the building. The site of this facility is questionable, experts such as WHO, NICE and the government's own guidance, advise against building care facilities close to busy roads and junctions, where PM 2.5 exceeds WHO guidelines. Current levels at Tollbar are almost double and we are awaiting 2022 figures. Buildings should at least 100 metres from busy roads to avoid health implications, the care home will be 13m. It is unacceptable to place elderly and vulnerable people in environments which could exacerbate existing health conditions. Also high levels of traffic noise, can cause extreme distress and agitation. Planners and care provider will be aware of this. Urban planning should support reducing traffic pollution, specifically near schools and healthcare settings. Suitability of sites and development at design stage would be easier managed rather than putting expensive measures in at a later date. It appears our council is indifferent and dismissive to public concern. It would be interesting and reassuring if roadside concentrations could be monitored, children could wear monitors to assess the impact of air pollution peaks not relying on averages. Electric cars improve air quality, but still produce particulate matter from brakes and tyres. The UK Government published it's draft Clean Air Strategy May 2018 including a new commitment, welcomed by the British Lung Foundation to halve the number of people living in areas with concentrations of PM 2.5 above WHO guideline levels by 2025.

Lack of infrastructure: With new homes come children. Local schools are full to capacity, it is likely some of these children may have to travel out of area. Contrary to the focus on walking and cycling to reduce traffic. Healthcare facilities are sparse, one small GP surgery, causing people to access services out of area. There is very little green space for people to exercise and enjoy fresh air.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Michelle Kingswood

Address: 441 Louth Road New Waltham Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The pollution is already increased by the number of new builds in the area. The traffic on Louth Road is already ridicules and the number of time that the corner of my road has been dug up in the last 1 year is ridiculous. There are enough houses in the area. I am concerned for an already overpopulated area, concerns regarding the children travelling to school. Noise pollution etc. the council needs to stop trying to make make and think about the already located residents. The roads cannot cope with the existing traffic.





Richard Limmer
North East Lincolnshire Council
Municipal Offices
Town Hall Square
Grimsby
DN31 1HU

RE: Planning Application DM/0761/23/FUL

Dear Richard Limmer,

Thank you for consulting with us on this proposed development.

We have no objection with the principle of development. We are however concerned on the proposed access plans and submitted Highway Assessment documents and its supplementary additions.

As you will be aware, we have just achieved technical approval on our Access plans at our High Forest development opposite the proposed site. This comprises of a 2-way signalised junction.

It is noted that the current proposal from Cyden Homes is to convert our approved and to be built 2-way signalised junction into a 4-way signalised junction.

Reviewing the submitted material within the subject planning application, we fear the proposed 4-way signalised junction as inappropriate and a risk to health and safety of road users.

Reviewing the Transport Assessment and additional documents completed by LTP, we comment on the following points which we deem need further investigation.

- We note that the assessment was conducted on a previous version of our junction design.
   One of the most fundamental changes is that there is now only one A16 northbound ahead lane at the junction. The LTP layout shows two ahead lanes and their modelling of the junction will therefore exaggerate the capacity that is available i.e. the proposed junction will operate worse than LTP have assumed and should be re-modelled.
- The LTP TA predicts that only 3.8% of the Cyden development traffic will travel to/from the south along the A16, which equates to only 2 to 4 right-turning trips into the Cyden Site during the AM and PM peak hours. This appears to be low and requires verification because any changes would affect the junction modelling.
- The original junction modelling gave right-turning traffic into the Barratts site their own stage, whereas the LTP modelling has removed this - therefore re-analysis is required to demonstrate that traffic can still satisfactorily access the Barratt site.







- The LTP scheme reduces the space available for Barratts right-turning traffic within the centre of the junction. No vehicle swept path analysis has been seen that demonstrates this manoeuvre could still satisfactorily take place, particularly for larger HGV's.
- The LTP layout does not reflect the 'staggered' pedestrian crossing layout at the junction across the north side of the A16.
- We are unsure why the LTP layout is providing the southbound bus stop in a lay-by but it is noted that the provision of the lay-by does not accommodate the existing pedestrian crossing point opposite Vision Garage.

In summary of the above points, the current proposals are not reflective of the latest BDW S278 layout. We suggest that further investigations are conducted on the Transport Assessment to be reflective of the latest layout. Failure to do so could have a detrimental impact on the effective functioning and safety of the highway network.

Yours Sincerely,

Erica Bell

Technical Director

Barratt & David Wilson Homes – Sheffield Division



## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss JOANNE WRIGHT

Address: Brambly Hedge Main Road, Brigsley Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:For anyone in New Waltham who has adopted the attitude of "this won't affect me" - you couldn't be more wrong! When you can't get access to a GP without travelling miles, or any access to a dentist at all, when the schools are full to bursting that it affects your child's education, or they are refused it because of capacity, when you can't get to work on time because of traffic flow ...the list goes on and on. This isn't just an issue for the residents who back onto the field but New Waltham as a whole.

The plans are inadequate and leave out substantial details. If it's not bad enough that dozens of bungalows are to be deprived of their light by monstrous four bedroomed houses, there is a very deep concern for the walkway planned behind the existing houses which make these properties, and their residents, so vulnerable. Let's just call it the Poo Path because that's what it will become, or Burglar's Delight, whichever you prefer (who will pay for the extra policing for the latter - the residents of New Waltham through their council tax bills?).

There are distressing reports of deer being shot recently on the field. OK - it's still agricultural land at the moment and it may be in season (for those who try and justify it) but the wildlife left is really important to those locally. Why do we need to fill ever square metre of nature's land with brick buildings? Simply - we don't.

Landscaping is an issue, ongoing tree management is an issue, public services, chemists, sewage and water supplies, and much more. It's high time that the public really did have a voice on this, it's not about housing quotas - in fact, where's the evidence these are even needed?

Don't even get me started on air pollution and carbon!

This is obviously going to be debated at length by the Council but please - for once- say no.	

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Spencer Smith

Address: Church lane Holton le clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I agree we need more local housing but they should be affordable but I doubt they will

be as the house builders make more money from expensive housing

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs R Mason

Address: Conisborough Avenue Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Hec I'm a resident & traffic, schools snd local amenities are already over populated.

New builds are absolutely not needed

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Daniel Huntley

Address: Gervase Holles Way dn331bt Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Waltham is one of the few places in the area you can feel at one with nature, these

plans will destroy that

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Jeanette Burgess Address: Holton-le-clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Your boxing Holton Le clay in we will be unable to get anywhere for cars traffic lights etc drs are already struggling but u still want to build houses are being unsold but still u build it's a main rd the pollution will be over whelming school already full please no more planning it's bonkers

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Caroline Hooks

Address: Kristen Turton close Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I object for a few reasons. Traffic at toll bar roundabout if already awful. Two lanes are squished together into a travelling space that is not big enough for two lines of moving traffic. Queues and pollution from standing traffic is bad for the air and environment in the area. There is not enough infrastructure in the area to withstand more dwellings such as sewerage. There are regular power cuts and long standing issue with slow internet in the local area, and this will be compounded by more dwellings. Also the impact on wildlife such as deers and hedgehogs to name but a few. We need some open green areas to remain.

## **MARTIN VICKERS**

Member of Parliament for the Cleethorpes Constituency



## HOUSE OF COMMONS

LONDON SW1A 0AA

Tel: 020 7219 7212

31st October 2023

Mr Rob Walsh, Chief Executive, North East Lincolnshire Council, Municipal Offices, Town Hall Square, Grimsby DN31 1HU

Planning Application DM/0761/23/FU

I have lodged an objection to the above application on the Planning Portal but wanted to bring more detail to your attention and to members of the Planning Committee.

The issues apply to a number of proposed developments throughout the Borough which are causing considerable concern to my constituents who recognise that public services such as access to GPs, school places and some council services are becoming severely strained and are unconvinced that sufficient attention is taken to this when determining planning applications for further housing.

Turning to application DM/0761/23/FU I wish to object to the application on the grounds of destruction of wildlife, pollution and over development.

In recent years, there has been a concerning trend of destruction of wildlife habitats, pollution, and overdevelopment caused by planning applications. This issue has raised significant objection from a large number of residents who are deeply concerned about the impact on their surroundings.

One of the primary concerns raised by residents is the destruction of wildlife habitats on the proposed site. These habitats serve as crucial ecosystems that support a wide range of flora and fauna. When planning applications are approved without clear considerations for the preservation of wildlife, we witness a devastating loss of biodiversity.

The destruction of wildlife habitats not only disrupts the natural balance but also threatens the survival of many endangered species. From birds and mammals to reptiles and insects, countless creatures rely on these habitats for their survival. By approving planning applications that disregard the importance of these habitats, we jeopardize the delicate balance of nature.



Another major objection to this planning applications is the resultant pollution and overdevelopment. When new developments are under construction, it can often lead to increased pollution and strain on local resources. This can have a detrimental impact on air and water quality, as well as on the surrounding infrastructure.

Overdevelopment puts immense pressure on existing resources such as transportation, sewage systems, and energy supply. Without adequate provisions to accommodate the increased demand, the quality of life for both current residents and future inhabitants is compromised. Moreover, the increased pollution from additional vehicles, and construction processes contributes to the degradation of the environment and poses health risks to local communities. This proposed site will border and have an access road onto the already busy A16 and, in particular, the roundabout at Toll Bar. This junction already causes severe delays and traffic queues, stopping traffic resulting in an excessive increase in pollution from idling engines, close to one of the largest schools in the area.

With such profound and far-reaching consequences, it is no surprise that a significant number of residents object to this planning applications that prioritises development over environmental considerations. These objections stem from a genuine concern for the well-being of their community and the environment as a whole.

Residents worry about the impact on their quality of life, including increased traffic congestion, noise pollution, and the loss of natural spaces. Moreover, there are concerns about the strain on local amenities such as schools, healthcare facilities, and recreational areas. The objections raised by the community are a collective voice calling for a more sustainable and harmonious approach to development.

Recognizing the objections and concerns of residents, it is essential to find a balance between development and conservation. Sustainable development practices that take into account the preservation of wildlife, pollution mitigation, and optimal resource allocation should be prioritised. Community engagement and consultation should form an integral part of the planning process. Empowering residents to contribute their insights fosters a sense of ownership and ensures that decisions align with the community's



values. Increased transparency and accountability are crucial in building trust and assuaging the concerns of residents.

The objection to planning applications involving the destruction of wildlife, pollution, and overdevelopment reflects the genuine concerns of communities across the country. The preservation of our environment and the well-being

of future generations should take precedence over short-term development gains. Through a balanced approach that includes environmental assessments, community engagement, and sustainable practices, we can build a future that harmonises development and conservation. It is imperative that we listen, understand, and address the objections raised by residents to create a better and more sustainable environment for all.

Can you please ensure this letter is drawn to the attention of Planning Committee members when determining the application and would welcome your comments on the wider issues.

Yours sincerely

Martin\Vickers

Member of Parliament for the Cleethorpes Constituency

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Martin Vickers

Address: House of Commons Westminster London

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I am writing to express my objection to planning application DM/0761/23/FUL. I am deeply troubled by the potential destruction of wildlife, increased traffic pollution, and overdevelopment that this project may bring. It is crucial to recognize the importance of our natural habitats and the delicate balance of our ecosystem. Any development that threatens or destroys wildlife habitats is not only detrimental to the environment but also to the well-being of our community. Additionally, the potential increase in traffic resulting from this development, in close proximity to one of the largest schools in our area, raises concerns about congestion and air pollution, impacting both the health of residents and school children alike and the overall quality of life. Furthermore, the proposed level of development appears excessive and incompatible with the existing infrastructure and character of the surrounding neighbourhood. I urge you to consider the long-term consequences of this planning application and to prioritise sustainable and environmentally-conscious alternatives.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Alex Offiler

Address: Picksley Crescent Holton le clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: How about a solar farm to be installed? Make Grimsby green!!!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Laura Croft

Address: Pretyman Crescent Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This application is of great concern to me and many others in my local community. Our

village, will no longer be a village.

We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the vast amount of houses already being built in the area. In the last few years, the number of houses being built is extremely high. the growth and population of this area in particular has been substantial and is going at a pace that the amenities and services cannot keep up with.

Current residents are suffering the impact, with schools, drs, transport routes all being affected.

We are totally loosing our green areas. In fact, this leaves us with the only public green space being the pavillion area. Can you advise what considerations have been made to our outdoor spaces, for the community and the wildlife alike.

With the increased population, has come increased anti social behaviour, and we do not have a great enough police presence to cope.

Council services are non existent in the area, with trees and grass uncut. In many area, the trees are actually blocking highways and the council have advised this is not a priority. How do the council plan to ensure they can manage and maintain the area?

I completely object based on the above reasons

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: D Goodman

Address: Station Avenue New Waltham

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Having been a resident in New Waltham for many years now we have endured constant building and roadworks. There have been at least 6 sizeable estates started in the last few years and yet no change to infrastructure. At peak times the roads can not cope, the Tollbar roundabout is not fit for purpose as the arterial routes building up to it just create solid back logs of traffic (the location is mentioned daily on the radio traffic updates due to the delays and congestion). Most of this is, if not all, created by the council and what I can only assume to be their poor planning/research. A further estate, especially one that will cause further problems on Louth Road, is not needed here. There are numerous other sites within and around Grimsby and Cleethorpes that would benefit from further accommodation/housing, plenty of sites in town that should be developed to improve the appearance of the area.

We have endured the constant background noise of diggers, banging, reverse sirens from these building sites for what feels like an eternity. The proposed land is undeveloped countryside (fields) and is a natural habitat to many creatures, there appears no genuine justification to develop this land other than for the search of profit by the potential developers. It is also clear that the area at the rear of the potential estate will no doubt be used for another 150 houses once this application has been pushed through. The proposed 250 houses would be better suited being built on vacant land/brownfield sites within the town to better meet housing needs and improve the general appearance of Grimsby.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Angie Cox

Address: Tetney Lane Holton Le Clay Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Wildlife suffering and have nowhere to go!

Schools are already full to capacity.

Doctors surgery not big enough to cater for the amount of houses going up in the area.

Traffic near Tollbar is already congested enough we don't need more!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0761/23/FUL

Address: Land Off Louth Road New Waltham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Erect 250 dwellings with associated garages, open space, landscaping, drainage,

emergency access and associated infrastructure with new access from Louth Road

Case Officer: Richard Limmer

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Angela Keal

Address: The Hollies, Louth Road, Holton-le-Clay

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This application, if passed, will contribute to one of the biggest 'car parks' in the area (if not the biggest)! The traffic on/around Toll Bar traffic island is already snarled up at peak times. Parents collecting/dropping off their children at the Toll Bar Academy, quite possibly the largest school in Grimsby/Cleethorpes, continue to park at the bus stop - almost on top of the traffic lights. There are 'near misses' almost daily - largely due to the volume of traffic. In the morning, and evening, traffic backs up from/to Peaks Parkway.

There is a huge area of housing development already in progress to the West of this main arterial route into/out of Grimsby - and beyond. In addition to this, there are other developments planned/in progress which will impact this route. Gleeson's are building in excess of 250 homes on Louth Road, Holton-le-Clay (due to complete in 2024) and there is a planning application for in excess of 40 homes adjacent to this site. I am told that North Thoresby is to have developments amounting to 1500 new homes. Louth has seen recent major housing developments taking place throughout the town - this continues.

Most of these new home owners will have one car (probably two +) and many of them will need to travel through this junction daily. It would appear that nothing has been done/planned to address the issues relating to traffic management at this bottleneck - other than adding traffic lights! I fail to see how traffic lights will improve the flow of traffic - their only purpose is to enable traffic to ingress/egress the new estates! This will only add to the congestion on the main route into/out of Grimsby!

In addition to these concerns, the essential infrastructure to support the additional numbers of people does not exist - ie GP services, schools and public transport - even employment. All that happens is that you increase the numbers of cars needing to use the roads and the associated risks of pollution and danger to human life, particularly to children.

Finally, what happened to the governments' resolve to preserve green spaces and natural

environments and to listen to the opinions of residents? In approving this excessive rate of development you continue to ride roughshod over the wishes of the majority of your constituents. You have allowed wild animals to be displaced - losing their natural environments. You have allowed mature trees/hedges to be cut down/destroyed. It seems you prefer concrete play grounds to green meadows for our children. In the past, rural environments enabled our children to learn about nature and farming - where our food comes from etc. Soon this area/country will be a concrete jungle that no one really wants to live in.

Item 3 - 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston -DM/0134/23/FUL



## **Humberston Village Council**

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

10<sup>th</sup> May 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> May 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

#### Planning Application Reference: DM/0134/23/FUL

Proposal: To replace rotten and broken fences with new fencing, both sides of driveway. Replace muddy/slippery driveway with stones/gravel to help stop driveway flooding and being dangerous to walk on. All in keeping with the Conservation and Heritage of the environment.

#### **Location: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston**

Objections – The Village Council feel that this is not in keeping with the area and surrounding chalets. The fencing should be picket fencing and not solid fencing and there is no much stone/gravel incorporated into the proposal and is at odds with the surroundings. The Village Council notes that this is a retrospective application but this is not a reason to allow this application to proceed, the application should be refused and the works already carried out removed.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council



## **Humberston Village Council**

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

<u>Tel:-</u> 07494 577661 Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Dear Sirs, 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 1<sup>st</sup> October 2024 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0134/23/FUL

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front (amended plans received 11/09/2024 showing retention of gravel and closeboard fencing in situ)

**Location: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston** 

Objections – the Council reiterates its previous objections to this application and would support the comments of the Heritage Officer as shown on the portal. This application should be refused and any works already commenced, removed.

Yours faithfully,

KU Peers

Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council Humberston Village Council

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Susan Camilleri

Address: 156 Tudor Road London

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This proposal is out of order. If I wanted to come to a side show attraction I would stay in London for that. This is not what the Fitties is about. Leave it traditional to fit into the area. I come to Humberston to enjoy the natural way the area is.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Amanda Holmes Address: 9 The Green Ashbourne

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I object to this application, as is not in keeping with this conservation area and does not

meet the Article 4 Direction/design guide.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Lisa Furneaux

Address: 284 antonio's bank Rd Humberston fitties Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Well it's not in keeping with a plot land nature reserve more like a housing a state ....far too modern for our humberston fitties conservation area....should be removed and put back to its proper boundary too ...

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Lisa Furneaux

Address: 284 antonio's bank Rd Humberston fitties Grimsby

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Drive an paving is a modern style and looks completely out of place in humberston fitties conservation area...more hard standing in creases the flood risk...an looks awful from the front an is scene from main road. Ruining

the conservation area...an is not in character .

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Gary Mcrae

Address: 31 lindsay drive Holton le clay Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Totally out of character with the area and yet another thing destroying the nature of this

peaceful place.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Lauretta Mckinnon

Address: 101 1st Main Road Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This looks like a sterilisation of the Fitties...completely hermoginised! Totally out of keeping and against chalet guide lines. I am absolutely sick of the many retrospective planning applications. Retrospective planning is there for miniscule changes...not for when planning wasn't applied for in the first place!!

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Charles Bewick

Address: 10 Ferndale Way Dogsthorpe Peterborough

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Not in keeping with conservation area planning colour of gates and hard core drive

completely out of character

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Katherine Teakle

Address: 85 Main Road Humberston Fitties

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Sad to have to object. Prospect Cottage belonging to Derek Jarman at Dungeness was the inspiration for this beautiful property. The driveway is the antithesis of what should be on the Fitties...The guidelines say keep it quirky keep it natural. There is not a lot about this that follows those guidelines. These 'bespoke' gravel driveways require chemicals to keep them pristine..that gets into the food chain and kills everything. Please please use another method of hardstanding that has minimal impact both visually but more importantly, regarding Nature... this is why we are all here in the first place? Thank you

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0134/23/FUL

Address: 121 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4EZ

Proposal: Retrospective application to replace fencing and installation of new gravel drives to front

Case Officer: Owen Toop

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Lianne Middleton

Address: 310a main road Humberston Fitties Grimsby

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:If tingdene didn't insist we all have a drive and put notices on our cars then these problems wouldn't happen as often. We the owners are not allowed to park on the roads. Whereas the public can park where they like.

So although the wrong materials have been used i thing tingdene should take some responsibility they are supposed to be the landlord and responsible for rules being followed.

Item 4 - 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby -DM/0422/24/FUL North East Lincolnshire Planning Municipal Offices Town Hall Square Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 1HU



Tel: 01472 326289 Option 1

## REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
DM/0422/24/FUL	The resident at the property below has raised serval points of issues around turning the flat above into a HMO, including parking on the property age and space of the property and noise pollution the property. This is combined with issue around emergency exits.
	Wide public interest into this property with resident from neighbouring properties contacting me as there cllr to object.

Signature ...... Date 24/6/24

Name Cllr Robson Augusta

Address:

North East Lincolnshire Planning Municipal Offices, Town Hall Square, Grimsby, N E Lincolnshire, DN31 1HU (01472) 313131 W www.nelincs.gov.uk





## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Timothy Willson

Address: The Old Stables 40 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PN

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Firstly, as we all know the property at 73 Welholme Avenue is situated in the Wellow Conservation Area. An area which is blessed with property of historical and architectural interest.

Secondly, we have lived on the western side of the property in question for the past 38 years. The property has direct views into our house and gardens.

Thirdly, a House of Multiple Occupancy (HMO) will undoubtedly cause noise and anti-social behaviour issues to the area as a result of late night parties and drinking.

Fourthly, we already have parking problems in Welholme Avenue as a result of cars delivering and collecting children from St. Martin's School, a HMO will exacerbate the issue. It should be noted that a 5 bedroom HMO could generate an additional 10 people to this area, resulting in more parking and waste management issues.

Finally, we strongly object to this Planning Application as the area should not be put at risk by property developers. The area needs protection and preservation for future generations to enjoy.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Karen Rigg

Address: 8 Welholme Road Grimsby DN32 0DU

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I raise major concerns and objections to this HMO. My key concerns include increased traffic. More occupants would lead to more vehicles in the area. Noise and disturbance. This HMO includes plans for 5 double bedrooms, so potentially at least 10 people, resulting in increased noise levels. The impact on community cohesion. The transient nature of HMO tenancies may affect the sense of community already built. An increase in the number of occupants will inevitably lead to more waste, and without adequate provisions could lead to overflow and improper waste disposal, thus leading to possible fly tipping and/or conditions which are conducive to attracting vermin, posing health and hygiene risks to the entire neighbourhood. Allowing the HMO could also effect property values. This conversion will likely negatively impact the value of our homes.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Anthony Blake

Address: 18 welholme ave Grimsby Dn320ea

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Welholme ave is not a multi occupancy area and should not be allowed to become one. This is the thin edge of the wedge. Five doubles is a ridiculous number, what about the parking with a potential of ten extra cars. What about the bins a possible 15 plus. I strongly object.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Kevin Grant

Address: 20 Welhome Avenue Grimsby DN32 0EA

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My objection is with regards to the conversion to a Multi Occupancy property. The reason for this is we have experienced issues with regards to short-term rentals on Welholme Avenue in the past, this consisted of load music, drinking, confrontations, multiple cars blocking the street.

This is a family orientated street which is normally quite and we would like to keep it that way.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Sue Gunster

Address: 22 welholme avenue Grimsby DN32 0EA

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:No to H.M.O If this allowed to happen many more will follow, Parking issues are already

a problem during school term.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Jane Stanton

Address: 26 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN320EA

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I don't think it will be appropriate

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Daniel Rouse

Address: 32 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0EA

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Objection to Planning Application for HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby

Writing to formally object to the recent planning application for a House in Multiple Occupation (HMO) at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby. As a concerned resident, I believe that this development would have a significant negative impact on our community for several reasons, including increased traffic, anti-social behaviour, littering, noise pollution, and inadequate parking provisions, especially in the vicinity of St. Martins School.

### 1. Increased Traffic

The introduction of an HMO will undoubtedly increase traffic congestion in the area. HMOs typically accommodate more individuals than a single-family home, leading to a higher number of vehicles entering and exiting the property. This will exacerbate the already congested roads, particularly during peak hours when residents are commuting to work or school. The local infrastructure is not equipped to handle such an increase in traffic volume, posing a risk to both drivers and pedestrians, especially school children.

#### 2. Anti-Social Behaviour

There is a well-documented correlation between HMOs and increased levels of anti-social behaviour. The transient nature of HMO residents often leads to a lack of community integration and accountability. This can result in disturbances such as loud parties, public drunkenness, and general disrespect for local residents. Our community prides itself on being a safe and cohesive

environment, and the introduction of an HMO could undermine this.

### 3. Littering and Environmental Impact

The increase in the number of occupants will lead to a proportional increase in waste generation. Unfortunately, HMOs often have insufficient waste management arrangements, leading to overflowing bins and littering. This not only detracts from the aesthetic appeal of our neighbourhood but also poses environmental health risks. Effective waste management should be a pre-requisite for any such development, but it is often overlooked, and has not been fully address in the application

### 4. Noise Pollution

An HMO will likely bring a higher level of noise pollution to the area. With more residents, there will be increased activity at all hours of the day and night. This continuous noise can severely affect the quality of life for existing residents, many of whom are families with young children or elderly individuals. The quiet and peaceful nature of our neighbourhood should be preserved, and an HMO is incompatible with this objective.

### 5. Inadequate Parking Provisions

One of the most pressing concerns is the lack of off-road parking included in the application. St. Martins School is situated nearby, and the parking situation is already problematic during school hours. The influx of additional vehicles from an HMO will worsen this issue, leading to increased competition for limited parking spaces. This not only causes inconvenience but also poses a danger to school children and other pedestrians.

The recent HMO application at 35 Welholme Road serves as a pertinent example. Despite objections, the approval of that application has resulted in noticeable issues related to parking, traffic, and noise. Residents in the vicinity of Welholme Road have reported a decline in the quality of living conditions, and similar outcomes can be expected if the current application is approved.

#### Conclusion

In summary, the proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby poses several risks and challenges that are not compatible with the needs and character of our community. Increased traffic congestion, anti-social behaviour, littering, noise pollution, and insufficient parking are significant concerns that must be addressed. The negative impact on residents' quality of life and the added pressure on local infrastructure and amenities make this development highly undesirable.

I urge the planning committee to consider these points carefully and reject the application for the

HMO. Protecting the integrity and safety of our community should be the priority, and I believe that this development would undermine those efforts.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Daniel Rouse

Address: 32 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0EA

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Objection to Planning Application for HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby

Writing to formally object to the recent planning application for a House in Multiple Occupation (HMO) at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby. As a concerned resident, I believe that this development would have a significant negative impact on our community for several reasons, including increased traffic, anti-social behaviour, littering, noise pollution, and inadequate parking provisions, especially in the vicinity of St. Martins School.

### 1. Increased Traffic

The introduction of an HMO will undoubtedly increase traffic congestion in the area. HMOs typically accommodate more individuals than a single-family home, leading to a higher number of vehicles entering and exiting the property. This will exacerbate the already congested roads, particularly during peak hours when residents are commuting to work or school. The local infrastructure is not equipped to handle such an increase in traffic volume, posing a risk to both drivers and pedestrians, especially school children.

#### 2. Anti-Social Behaviour

There is a well-documented correlation between HMOs and increased levels of anti-social behaviour. The transient nature of HMO residents often leads to a lack of community integration and accountability. This can result in disturbances such as loud parties, public drunkenness, and general disrespect for local residents. Our community prides itself on being a safe and cohesive

environment, and the introduction of an HMO could undermine this.

### 3. Littering and Environmental Impact

The increase in the number of occupants will lead to a proportional increase in waste generation. Unfortunately, HMOs often have insufficient waste management arrangements, leading to overflowing bins and littering. This not only detracts from the aesthetic appeal of our neighbourhood but also poses environmental health risks. Effective waste management should be a pre-requisite for any such development, but it is often overlooked, and has not been fully address in the application

### 4. Noise Pollution

An HMO will likely bring a higher level of noise pollution to the area. With more residents, there will be increased activity at all hours of the day and night. This continuous noise can severely affect the quality of life for existing residents, many of whom are families with young children or elderly individuals. The quiet and peaceful nature of our neighbourhood should be preserved, and an HMO is incompatible with this objective.

### 5. Inadequate Parking Provisions

One of the most pressing concerns is the lack of off-road parking included in the application. St. Martins School is situated nearby, and the parking situation is already problematic during school hours. The influx of additional vehicles from an HMO will worsen this issue, leading to increased competition for limited parking spaces. This not only causes inconvenience but also poses a danger to school children and other pedestrians.

The recent HMO application at 35 Welholme Road serves as a pertinent example. Despite objections, the approval of that application has resulted in noticeable issues related to parking, traffic, and noise. Residents in the vicinity of Welholme Road have reported a decline in the quality of living conditions, and similar outcomes can be expected if the current application is approved.

#### Conclusion

In summary, the proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby poses several risks and challenges that are not compatible with the needs and character of our community. Increased traffic congestion, anti-social behaviour, littering, noise pollution, and insufficient parking are significant concerns that must be addressed. The negative impact on residents' quality of life and the added pressure on local infrastructure and amenities make this development highly undesirable.

I urge the planning committee to consider these points carefully and reject the application for the

HMO. Protecting the integrity and safety of our community should be the priority, and I believe that this development would undermine those efforts.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr David Tapsfield

Address: 33 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0DZ

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:The development is likely to have an adverse impact on road safety. There are already problems at certain times of day due to the proximity of a school on Bargate without parking as well as many drivers taking a shortcut to avoid traffic lights. The increase in occupancy is bound to increase the number of vehicles parking or loading/ unloading. I have seen numerous near accidents around the intersection of Welholme Ave and Bargate Ave due to distracted youngsters crossing without due care and anything that increases traffic and parking on the central section of Welholme Avenue makes a serious accident more likely.

----- Original Message -----

From:

To: <a href="mailto:planning@nelincs.gov.uk">planning@nelincs.gov.uk</a> Cc: Sent: Thursday, July 4th 2024, 11:58

Subject: objection to planning application DM/0422/24/ful

This is a copy of my objection which I put on the website but the website appears to not be working

It is in relation to 73a welholme avenue-this is on the letter received by a neighbour although the web site states 73

we have not received any letter about this development and have not seen any notices on nearby lampposts etcwe live diaganolly opposite to the property.

We strongly object to this proposal which if it is successful will result in the following;

. it will damage the nature of the conservation area which has already been identified as being at risk of deterioration by Heritage at Risk . This is a residential area and to incorporate a multiple occupancy unit in a house designed for one family is at odds with the character of the conservation area

.there are already problems with traffic and parking particularly with the school extra traffic and the use of the road as a cut through to town.an additional 6-8 residents some of whom will have vehicles will cause potential dangers on the road

.there is bound to be noise issues based on the history of multi occupancy units in grimsby and this will be damaging to the character of the area and the enjoyment of what should be a peaceful avenue

.changing the appearance of the building which is a residential house to one which becomes a multi occupancy block of flats is outwith the character of the street and contrary to the nature of the conservation area

The council has the ability to protect the character of the street by the use of an Article 4 directive and we believe that this should be done.

Pat and Denis Lofthouse

38 Welholme Avenue

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Nicola & David Westerman

Address: 42 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN320PN

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We object strongly to the proposed HMO residential alterations to the named property. Welholme Avenue, part of the Welholme conservation area is a beautiful, quiet and friendly neighborhood.

We live opposite the property to be altered. It would morally irresponsible to change the look of the property which currently fits perfectly well within the avenue.

Other HMO's in Grimsby and Cleethorpes have degraded the areas due to increased parking difficulties, anti-social behavior and general looks with multiple waste bins left out in front gardens.

Quite frankly, we are very surprised this application has even been considered.

Yours sincerely

Nicola & David

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Philip Green

Address: 45 welholme ave Grimsby DN32 0DZ

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We strongly object to this Planning Application. Property's on the Wellow conservation area should be protected and preserved for future generations. A HMO simply does not fit the character of Welholme Avenue. We are deeply concerned about the negative impact this would have on the street.

## Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)

**Subject:** FW: 73 Welholme Road planning

Chri & Beverley Sparkes 46 Welholme Avenue Grimsby

**DN32 0PN** 

Good afternoon, Emily,

I have been trying to access the planning portal to register an objection to planning. The objection is for the application for 73 Welholme Avenue, for multiple occupation and Landlord storage.

There are many points of objection against the plan I would like to register before the 6<sup>th</sup> of July deadline, but the portal is down and not accessible. I think that gives unfair advantage to the applicant of planning. As I am unable to access the objection facility, I will state our objections now and hopefully access the portal before tomorrow's deadline.

- !, An already overloaded traffic volume upon the road. At 9.00 on the 24<sup>th</sup> of June 2024 there was a total of 47 vehicles parked in and around the road between Weelsby Road and Welholme road. Most of the vehicles nearer the Weelsby Road end, some on the paths, and others parked making it difficult for residents to access and exit properties along that Part of the road. This part of the road is where the proposal is situated.
- 2, Turning 73 into multi dwelling is an overdevelopment of the site, parking is limited so any vehicles will be adding to the already difficult situation. Impact also on the whole character and amenities of the site of 73.
- 3, loss of privacy for the surrounding houses and the lower floor dwelling.
- 4,increasing the volume of residents to the projected numbers will certainly have an adverse impact on the immediate environment.
- 5, with this part of Welholme road often used as a rat run by cars from both ends Weelsby Road and Welholme avenue there has to be raised safety concerns with even more traffic in the limited environment definitely restricting access further.
- 6, Landlord Storage, I believe that will be accessed from the rear this is surely in the conservation area close to people's park not an industrial warehouse.
- 7 The impact on the lower floor quality of life.

I am sure others have similar concerns and fears over this grossly inappropriate application for development.

Thanks and regards

## Chris sparkes

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr David Pratt

Address: 47 Welholme Avenue Grimsby dn320dz

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I wish to express my concern and objection to the proposed planning application.

It is not in keeping with the area nor does it benefit the area in anyway it will only detract.

The area is of traditional homes in keeping with the conservation area and many things have to be in place even before chopping a tree for instance. Let alone a house with 10 bedrooms and multiple occupancies potentially causing disruption at all hours.

Concerns as stated by many objections on here are:

Increased parking.

Noise and disturbance.

Impact in community cohesion.

Waste collection and vermin.

Effect on property values.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr David Pratt

Address: 47 Welholme Avenue Grimsby dn320dz

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I wish to express my concern and objection to the proposed planning application.

It is not in keeping with the area nor does it benefit the area in anyway it will only detract.

The area is of traditional homes in keeping with the conservation area and many things have to be in place even before chopping a tree for instance. Let alone a house with 10 bedrooms and multiple occupancies potentially causing disruption at all hours.

Concerns as stated by many objections on here are:

Increased parking.

Noise and disturbance.

Impact in community cohesion.

Waste collection and vermin.

Effect on property values.

From: Henry Lee

**Sent:** Thursday, July 4, 2024 3:22 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: DM/0422/24/FUL

Dear sirs,

I am writing to express my objection to the planning application DM/0422/24/FUL which proposes converting a flat at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby into a house of multiple occupation (HMO).

I am concerned about the impact that this will have on the street and broader area and the precedent that this will set for further properties to be converted into HMOs. The only reason for the property being converted into a HMO is for commercial gain for the landlord in the respect that they can achieve a higher rental yield.

The below outline my primary concerns about the property HMO being introduced:

- Increased traffic and parking issues: more occupants will lead to more vehicles and with the current restrictions in place more parking issues.
- Noise and disturbance: the application includes plans for 5 double bedrooms in the property and as such up to 10 people could be living in the property. This would be significantly more than currently occupy the property.
- Impact on community cohesion: The transient nature of HMO tenancies are likely to have an impact on the sense of community in the street.
- Waste collection and vermin: An increase in the number of occupants will lead to more waste and without adequate provisions. The resulting excess waste could attract vermin causing a broader problem for neighbouring houses.

Thank you for taking the time to read this correspondence.

Kind regards,

Henry Lee 51 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0DZ



To Ms Davidson
Planning Application Reference; DM/0422/24/FUL,

I am writing to object to the proposed development of Number 73 Welholme Avenue into a house of multiple occupancy. I can't believe a proposal such as this is even being considered.

Living in a conservation area, must mean that you have certain obligations when it comes to altering an existing building. These plans don't go anywhere near preserving or enhancing the special character of this particular property. Removing a chimney, obscuring four windows and squeezing five flat lets into a relatively small area.

This house along with all the other houses in Welholme Avenue add important historical value to the social and structural history of Grimsby.

On a more practical note who is going to maintain this house and garden if this proposal goes through. Domestic waste / wheelie bins overspills of rubbish could become persistent problems. Loud noise and the comings and goings of an extra 5 plus people in what is a relatively small building.

I doubt the developer will be around to put things right when things go wrong and conflict and confrontations start happening.

Has a traffic report has been produced? This house is situated in the restricted parking end, and there is no parking for the upper floor flat.

Welholme avenue can be incredibly busy Monday to Friday with school traffic, rush hour etc. With the possible increase of 5 plus cars with limited parking facilities these issues obviously haven't been considered. It will inevitably push on road parking to the far end of Welholme which is already very busy during the day. As a resident it can at times be very dangerous to pull out of or reverse onto Welholme due to the number of parked cars blocking visibility.

This project is all about maximising potential income with no consideration to anybody else. Finally giving this proposal the green flag will inevitably open the flood gates for more development in the Wellow conservation area.

Having dealt with planning issues in the past, I know that certain points will be deemed irrelevant but has anybody given any thought to the privately owned ground floor flat above which this might all take place?

It is a sad state of affairs to propose this to such a lovely house.

Yours Sincerely

Mr & Mrs Feld 53 Welholme Avenue

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Tamisha Montrose

Address: 58 welholme avenue Grimsby Dn32 0pn

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Added traffic to an already busy street due to the school & potential for antisocial

behaviour

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr David Pick

Address: 63 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This type of development is totally unsuitable for this area. It will lead to more cars parked in the street at all times. It could also lead to a devaluation of neighbouring properties. This is a quiet residential neighbourhood and I and my fellow neighbours would like it to remain so.



64 Welholme Ave Grimsby DN320PN

22.06.24

Dear Ms Davidson,

Planning Application Reference: DM/0422/24/FUL

I am very concerned to read that the owner of the upper flat at 73 Welholme Ave has applied to convert his C3 dwelling into a C4, a house of multiple occupation which could potentially have ten adults in the five flatlets,

I am shocked that such an application can even be considered, apart from the fact that the ground floor flat, which is owned by a different owner, will be hugely affected by noise through the floorboards and on the property, thus affecting their quality of life and their mental health, I am sure it will also adversely affect the property's value. I m aware this last point can't be considered by you but as a resident in the Avenue, it is a concern.

I have lived at the above address since Aug 1978 and the fact that this was such a lovely residential avenue in the Park Conservation Area certainly paid a huge part in our decision the buy here. We were made aware of what living in a conservation area involves. Getting permission to cut down a dead or dangerous tree took many hours to gain permission and I will add that we were very unhappy when the orchard behind us was given permission after a developers appeal to be chopped down and a bungalow built. I still feel that went against the rules of the area.

Getting back to the house in question' Welholme Ave has restricted parking times and there is no parking on the premises for the upper flat. This could result in many more cars having to park in an already crowded avenue.

I also assume that the units for multiple occupation will be rented at a much lower rental than other properties in the same council tax area. It seems to be a highly unsuitable area for this type of dwelling. It sounds to me like a boarding house or a hostel with constantly changing tenants. It will cause noise, particularly at night time if Music is played and also there will be constant comings and goings.

Finally let me say that the only winner in this is the developer who stands to make a lot of money from renting out the units. He I take it, will not be living in the area where the rest of us will be losers Yours sincerely.

(Mrs) Joy Edwards.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Kyran Aravinthan

Address: 67 welhome avenue Grimsby Dn370nq

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The properties on Welhome Avenue have a lot of characteristics and history, it would be shame to ruin the aesthetic of the area, especially as these are sort after homes that are known for having these great features. Everyone on this street looks after their home with it being a courteous neighbourhood. Therefore adding a HMO would bring down the value of each property on the street, and would also add to parking problems we face due to the private school around the corner. Finally, Welhome Avenue is known to be a quiet peaceful area to live, adding in a HMO with potentially 10 residents would increased the risk of noise disturbance and disrupt the peaceful nature of this street.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Michael Bull

Address: 71 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:1. The property is in the Wellow Conservation Area. Historic England notes that the condition of the Area is 'Very bad', the vulnerability of the Area is 'medium' and the trend is 'Deteriorating'. I believe that approval of the application will continue the downward trend of the area, and on those grounds alone the application should be refused.

- 2. We have lived next door to this property for over 40 years. The entrance is immediately adjacent to our driveway and side entrance. The extra noise and inconvenience from up to 10 residents coming and going at all hours will be detrimental to us.
- 3. The Waste Management Team at NELC have stated that the property will only have one set of bins. That will be woefully inadequate for so many residents and will lead to overflowing bins with a corresponding increase in vermin, smells, and general untidiness.
- 4. There is no parking provision in the application. Many residents will have cars and there are parking restrictions on Welholme Avenue. Residents will be forced to park in adjacent areas, causing increases crowding.
- 5. I agree with the Heritage Officer of NELC that removal of the chimney as proposed in the application would be detrimental to the appearance of the property, and that the application should be refused.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Sean Birkinshaw

Address: 73A WELHOLME AVENUE Grimsby DN32 0PL

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I am the owner/occupier of 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby.

One concern that I would like to point out and strongly object to is the removal of the chimney also included in this application.

This is a period building within the Wellow Conservation Area, with many significant original features both internally and externally.

The importance of this chimney cannot be understated in this heritage area. It is a heritage asset. Chimneys form the character and skyline within this beautiful conservation area.

We purchased this apartment in 2022 to restore it to its former glory, we had hoped the owners of No. 73, having purchased a property in a conservation area, would share our love of the building. How very wrong we were.

The demolition of this chimney is simply unnecessary. It would do nothing except take away the character of this beautiful property and the skyline.

The majority of the roof on this property is actually in reasonable condition for its age, maintenance is all that is required.

The chimney does indeed need attention as soon as possible, however, I would suggest any roofer/builder with a well skilled bricklayer, could carefully repoint and replace bricks with

reclaimed items, many of which can be sourced reasonably easily locally or online. Restoration not demolition.

I would like to suggest a relevent expert in conservation and restoration be consulted.

I would kindly request that my concerns are taken into account and the application to remove this chimney is refused.

The current owner has been in occupation since 2020, therefore, has had ample time to repair this chimney. Had a programme of maintenance been in place, the chimney would not be in such poor condition.

Sent: Thursday, July 4, 2024 5:28 AM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection DM/0422/24/FUL

From Mr & Mrs Birkinshaw 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

As discussed yesterday, please add these photographs to our concerns regarding waste issues to the planned proposal:-

The reality of HMOs in the nearby area.









**Sent:** Thursday, July 4, 2024 5:50 AM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection DM/0422/24/FUL

From Mr & Mrs Birkinshaw 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby

A further HMO on the beautiful and once cherished and sought after "Spectacle Row".

Unloved, poorly maintained and once again overflowing bins.

## This has to stop!





Sent: Thursday, July 4, 2024 6:51 AM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection DM/0422/24/FUL

From Mr & Mrs Birkinshaw 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby

We would like to raise a direct concern to the Waste Management Team regarding their comments on this application.

The photograph clearly shows that the existing bins for No. 73 is not ideal and already in very close proximity to both kitchens of No 73a and No 75 Welholme Avenue, both of these properties are privately owned and not associated with this proposed development.


Kate Fox stated clearly that only 1 set of waste and recycling bins will be provided.

We are only 3 people in our household, we recycle efficiently and still always struggle to fit all our waste in 1 set of waste and recycling bins each week particularly now collection for each bin is fortnightly.

We would like to ask the waste management team to clarify the following:-

- (1) Would they consider 1 set of waste and recycling bins to be adequate for potentially 10 residents?
- (2) What does the waste management team think will happen to extra bags of rubbish?
- (3) Are they aware that we have a significant population of foxes in the area?
- (4) Do they believe that excess rubbish left out and most likely ripped apart by said foxes, would attract vermin, flies and cause smells and health and hygiene issues?

(5) Finally does the waste management team think it is appropriate or fair to inflict the smell and potential health risks of excess waste in between two privately owned properties, directly next to their kitchens where food is prepared.



Sent: Friday, July 5, 2024 9:58 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

Subject: Concerns regarding off street parking for proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby.

Sender: Sean Birkinshaw, 73a Welholme Avenue, Grimsby.

I would like to raise further concerns regarding off street parking for the proposed 5 double bedroom/10 Occupant HMO.

The Highway Department Control Officer stated that he believes there is adequate provision for potentially 10 residents to park at this proposed site. I strongly dispute this, it is factually incorrect

(1) The driveway may appear to be large enough to accommodate 2 vehicles, however, restricted width and length due to the adjoining property No. 73a does not allow this. 2 vehicles parked would in fact fully obstruct the driveway rendering the property inaccessible. Even with 1 car parked tightly to the hedge, it is very difficult to exit a vehicle and would certainly not allow an adequate width for residents/visitors to pass a vehicle safety and access the property.

Current building regulations state that within curtilage to main entrance, the suitable path width is to be 900mm overall width. It also states paths used for the removal of refuse waste to the collection point should be a minimum overall width of 900mm. This requirement is simply impossible with a vehicle parked on the driveway.

If the main entrance is mixed use, pedestrian access must take priority. I therefore would say that there is in fact no safe and legal off street parking on the driveway, taking into account, current building regulations for access for pedestrians/wheelchair users.

We would like to suggest a further on-site visit from Highways and or the planning department.


- (2) I would also like it noted, that we have rights of common access to this driveway for cleaning, repairs and maintenance to our property and this access cannot be obstructed.
- (3) Highways have also stated that there is the provision for 2 cars to park in the rear garage. This is also factually incorrect and we would suggest the officer has an on-site visit to confirm that the said garage is indeed a garden room annexe, with a kitchen/wc and is certainly not a usable 2 car garage in its current form.

A recent property listing from June 17th 2023 describes this building as "a brick outbuilding which is a kitchen with a separate wc"

Furthermore, the document DESIGN & ACCESS, INCORPORATING HERITAGE ASSESSMENT, refers to this as an "existing annexe building"

Therefore, there is indeed no off street parking. Even if this building was converted back to a double garage, the access is unkept and unlit and unsuitable for a licensed HMO. I would question if the rear access track would be within current building regulations for a new development of a HMO.

We strongly recommend that the Highways and planning department re-assess the suitability of this site taking into account the provided information.







Sent: Friday, July 5, 2024 8:44 PM

**To:** Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk> **Subject:** Additional Photos Road Safety Concerns

Sender: Anna Birkinshaw 73a Welholme Avenue, Grimsby.

Just an average day on Welholme Avenue.


























Sent: Friday, July 5, 2024 8:28 PM

**To:** Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk> **Subject:** On Road Parking Welholme Avenue Grimsby

Sender: Anna Birkinshaw, 73a Welholme Avenue, Grimsby.

I would like to strongly dispute the report produced by Highways on 2nd July 2024 in relation to on street parking with the potential addition of up to 10 vehicles for the proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue, Grimsby.

Firstly, I was shocked to see that it appears to have gone totally unnoticed that there is no road safety interventions in place on Welholme Avenue by the Highway Department Control Officer.

No mention that there is no 20mph zone, no mention that there is no school sign. A closed vision crossroad junction with 2no. brick walls and 2no. fences obscuring vision for drivers and with a constant flow of pedestrians, cyclists and many children crossing the road.

As I am sure the Highways officer is aware, 20mph School Zones are in place throughout the NE Lincs area around primary/junior schools to ensure child safety. It would seem because St. Martins School is a private school, it is not afforded the same road safety interventions as schools under the umbrella of the LEA.

Does this however mean that the same dangers do not exist? With no school safety zone in place, a current speed limit of 30mph, instead of a 20mph speed limit that is currently in place for other schools, the children at St. Martins already face increased risk and I would have expected this to be a major consideration in this report.

His comments that this disruption is short lived and happens when residents are at work is simply inaccurate. Many residents are retired and home workers and many have visitors. Along with families parking when visiting Peoples Park or walking into town.

I would also question the assumption made in this report that "the reality" that there may not be 10 Occupants and that this suggests that there may not be 10 additional vehicles. What evidence is this statement based on?

I believe a well documented problem with HMOs is actually over occupation. I would assume that double bedrooms are created to increase income flow and find it very unlikely that a landlord would reduce their rental income by having single occupancy in a double room.

I strongly disagree with the assumption and this assessment should have been based on maximum occupation and maximum potential vehicles.

It was also stated Welholme Avenue is a safer option for parents to park for St. Martins School. Safer than Bargate does not mean safe. The parking in this area is certainly not safe for resident's access or pedestrian/cyclists crossing the road as vision is constantly impaired due to double parking. It is a closed vision junction at Bargate Avenue.

I would also ask if the Highways officer even knows this area.

Welholme Avenue is a thoroughfare for delivery drivers, taxi drivers, commuters and parents trying to avoid the traffic lights and traffic jams on Weelsby Road and Bargate. The encouragement of any additional vehicles should be avoided, in fact I would suggest that the Highways attention should actually be given to reducing traffic on this road, not increasing it.

I am DVSA Approved Driving Instructor of some 25+ years. I have devoted my life to teaching road safety and teach hazard perception daily. I find the dismissive nature of this report regarding road safety quite disgraceful.

This problematic road already causes inconvenience to residents and potential danger for pedestrians. Road safety must be at the forefront of this decision.

Danger maybe intermittent, danger maybe "short lived", however, it only takes a few seconds for a pedestrian to be run over and potentially killed.


I quote the conclusion of this report:


" In coming to this conclusion the Authority has considered issues of highways access, capacity and safety; parking, servicing and sustainability."

Please see the attached photos.


These show a normal day on Welholme Avenue. I would argue that the evidence presented here strongly contradicts the Highways Department's conclusion.


I would like to request a further site visit from Highways and the planning department.
























Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2024 11:53 AM

**To:** Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk> **Subject:** Inadequate/Unsuitable Storage for Bins

Sender 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby.

I would like to submit these final photos regarding potential waste concerns at the proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby.

As the pictures clearly shows, this is the current close proximity of the existing bins. As well as this being unsightly it produces bad smells and makes it unpleasant to even open the windows.

The owner of No. 73 has no regard for us as neighbours currently, let alone when there maybe residents and a mass of additional excess rubbish.

You can see their total disregard for us and juvenile behaviour by the recent addition of this unpleasant item.

We should not have to tolerate this.





## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Anna Birkinshaw

Address: 73A Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:We are writing to formally oppose the planning application for change of use to the existing first and second-floor flat from C3 dwelling to a C4 Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO).

We are the owners of 73A Welholme Avenue and have several serious concerns regarding the potential impact to ourselves and our community, particularly as it is located in the Wellow Conservation Area. The main concern of this development is a restrictive covenant that does not permit this development, as it is clearly stated within the lease that 'Neither of the said Flats 73A and 73 shall be used for the purpose of any trade or manufacture ... or for any other purpose except as a site for a single private dwelling for the residents of one family only at a time'. Any commencement of this development would be a breach of the restricted covenant of this lease.

### Concerns we'd like to raise:

1. Conservation/Heritage: As noted on the N.E. Lincs 'Heritage and conservation' webpage: 'Conservation areas are declared by the Local Authority for those areas which possess 'special interest', the 'character and appearance' of which is desirable to 'preserve and enhance'. They represent a familiar and often cherished local scene thus have greater protection against undesirable changes'. Local lists of Historic Assets of Special Interest list three specific properties that are considered to be Historic Assets of Special Interest located on Welholme Avenue. These properties include numbers 53 (Grid ref. TA 2670 0832), 36 (Grid ref. TA 2667 0822) and 38 (Grid ref. TA 2667 0819), which is located directly opposite the entrance of this proposed HMO. We would like to request an independent heritage assessment.

- 2. Parking/increased traffic & increased risk to drivers: One of the most worrying concerns is the lack of off-road, and on-road, parking included in the application. Parking issues, particularly posed by parents of students at St Martin's, have already been raised with the council and this proposal would worsen the existing problem. The property only contains enough room to park one car. Once parked, only a row of paving slabs measuring 610mm would allow access to and from the property. Necessary tasks and movements such as other residents gaining entry and wheelie bins being taken past the vehicle would be extremely difficult, if not impossible. The development therefore has no feasible capacity for off-road, or on-road, parking for up to ten residents and any possible visitors. This HMO would worsen this problem leading to increased competition for limited parking spaces, potential contraventions to the time-restricted on-road parking and increased inconvenience to residents. The junction of Welholme Avenue and Bargate Avenue is already an extremely dangerous crossroad junction. The footfall in this area includes many school children, college students and people enjoying the local area and People's Park. Further vehicles double-parked will further reduce the vision and safety of this already dangerous junction.
- 3. Noise pollution/anti-social behaviour: HMOs are often associated with greater occurrence of anti-social behaviour i.e. loud music, poor behaviour and nuisance to residents. This HMO would significantly increase the chances of substantial interference to residents and undermine community cohesion.
- 4. Waste management: No additional waste management plans have been included in this application. A potential increase of ten residents will inevitably lead to more waste without adequate provision, potentially leading to overflowing bins and attracting vermin, thus posing health and hygiene risks to the entire neighbourhood.
- 5. Increased risk of fire and leaks: We would also like to raise our grave concerns regarding our own personal property and safety regarding the increased risk of fire and water leakage associated with HMOs. There appears to be no means of escape for residents, as the property only has one entrance/exit. I would question if there was a fire in the entrance/stairway, what their means of escape would be? We would like to ask whether a fire assessment report has been carried out and question if this development would be safe for ourselves and potentially ten residents.
- 6. Loss of privacy: The surrounding neighbours would suffer a drastic loss of privacy being overlooked by potentially ten occupants in a first-floor development. Their overshadowing and overbearing presence near the common boundaries would have a detrimental impact on the mental health and wellbeing of residents of the neighbouring properties.

My husband and I were overjoyed at the prospect of enjoying a peaceful retirement in this beautiful area. To be very clear, we do not agree under the terms of this property's lease to the proposed development. For both ourselves and our wonderful neighbours we implore the council to protect this beautiful conservation area and reject this proposal.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Anna Birkinshaw

Address: 73A WELHOLME AVENUE Grimsby DN32 0PL

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:With Reference to the Certificate of Ownership - Certificate B Town & Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(England) Order 2015 Certificate under article 14.

In response to this Certificate. I, Anna Suewah Birkinshaw as the owner & leaseholder of 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL (Title Number HS37271 HM Land Registry) formally state I do not agree to the application DM/0422/24/FUL for Change of use from C3 dwelling to C4 House of Multiple Occupation.

I also once again refer to the covenant within the Title Deed (HD37271 HM Land Registry) that restricts Flat 73 & Flat73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL to any other use than a single dwelling with a single family within each flat.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Sean Birkinshaw

Address: 73A WELHOLME AVENUE Grimsby DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:With Reference to the Certificate of Ownership - Certificate B Town & Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(England) Order 2015 Certificate under article 14.

In response to this Certificate. I, Sean Birkinshaw as the owner & leaseholder of 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL (Title Number HS37271 HM Land Registry) formally state I do not agree to the application DM/0422/24/FUL for Change of use from C3 dwelling to C4 House of Multiple Occupation.

I also once again refer to the covenant within the Title Deed (HD37271 HM Land Registry) that restricts Flat 73 & Flat 73a Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL to any other use than a single dwelling with a single family within each flat.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Andrew Robinson

Address: 75 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I would like to dispute the Highways Comment made on July 2nd, which states, "Two vehicles can be accommodated on the front driveway and two could be parked within the double garage to the rear. The Highway Authority is satisfied with the parking provision afforded at the site for future occupiers of the HMO."

This statement is factually incorrect. The front driveway can only accommodate one average-sized car. Additional vehicles parked there would either overhang the pavement or obstruct access to the property and hinder the storage of the required number of bins for 5-10 occupants.

Regarding the double garage at the rear of the property, a recent property listing from June 17, 2023, describes this structure as "a brick outbuilding which is a kitchen with a separate WC," with no mention of it being a garage. Furthermore, the document DESIGN & ACCESS, INCORPORATING HERITAGE ASSESSMENT refers to this as an "existing annexe building."

I suggest that the Highways Consultee conduct a site visit rather than relying on the information provided by the applicant.

I would also like to dispute the comment made by the Waste Management Team that states one set of bins is suitable for the proposed number of dwellings. I live next door, and as a family of just two adults and one child, our current set of bins is frequently overfilled and occasionally requires additional trips to the recycling center.

I suggest that the Waste Management Team revise their estimate to two or three sets of bins.

# Reference:

Zoopla Property Listing - https://www.zoopla.co.uk/property-history/73-welholme-avenue/grimsby/dn32-0pl/64900632/

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Andrew Robinson

Address: 75 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am writing to formally oppose the planning application for the conversion of the flat at

73 Welholme Avenue into a House in Multiple Occupation (HMO).

I am a resident of Welhome Avenue, and I have several concerns regarding the potential impact this conversion will have on our community, particularly as the property is located within the Wellow Conservation Area. This area is recognised as being at risk by Historic England due to its unique architectural and historical significance. My objections are as follows:

### 1. Impact on the Conservation Area:

The Wellow Conservation Area is valued for its distinctive character and heritage. The introduction of an HMO could undermine the aesthetic and historical integrity of the area. The increased density and potential changes to the property's appearance may not align with the conservation objectives, thereby threatening the preservation of our local heritage. I request that an independent heritage assessment is made to properly assess the impact on the area.

## 2. Increased Traffic and Parking Issues:

The proposed HMO plan includes 5 double rooms, potentially accommodating up to 10 occupants. This significant increase in residents is likely to bring a corresponding rise in the number of vehicles associated with the property. Our street already has parking restrictions on both sides, and the property in question has space for only one car with no possibility to extend parking facilities. This situation will inevitably lead to increased congestion and potential safety hazards, particularly in areas where parents park to drop off and pick up their children for the nearby St. Martin's Prep School. The lack of adequate parking may result in vehicles being parked illegally or

inappropriately, exacerbating traffic issues and posing risks to pedestrian safety.

### 3. Noise and Disturbance:

As the proposed HMO is an upstairs flat, there are concerns about the noise impact on the property below, where a retired man and a self-employed driving instructor reside. The introduction of multiple tenants could lead to increased noise levels, particularly during late hours, disrupting the peace and quiet that current residents enjoy.

### 4. Waste Collection and Vermin:

The plans submitted do not make any allowance for additional waste management. An increase in the number of occupants will inevitably lead to more waste, and without adequate provisions, this could result in overflow and improper waste disposal. Such conditions are conducive to attracting vermin, posing health and hygiene risks to the entire neighbourhood.

## 5. Impact on Community Cohesion:

The transient nature of HMO occupants can undermine the sense of community in the neighbourhood. Stable, long-term residents contribute to the social fabric of the area, and frequent changes in tenancy may lead to a loss of community spirit and engagement.

While we understand that objections cannot be based solely on property values, it is still a concern for many residents who have invested in their homes and wish to maintain the character and value of the neighbourhood.

I strongly urge the council to consider these points and reject the application for the conversion of 73 Welcome Avenue into an HMO. Preserving the residential nature and historical significance of our community is vital for maintaining its character, safety, and overall quality of life.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that the council will take the concerns of local residents into account when making its decision and keep us informed of further actions.

Yours faithfully,

Andy and Haylie Robinson,75 Welcome Avenue

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Kevin Gude

Address: 79 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: Not the right area for a house of multiple occupancy would be more suited to a family.

Also would increase the amount of vehicles and can not see an allocated area for this factored in to the plans.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Mary Topliss

Address: 81 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I write to object to this development at 73 Welholme Avenue, in terms of the following

- The proposal would not fit in with a conservation area status due to the increased 10 cars that such a development could potentially cause with no available spaces for parking
- The increased noise levels
- There are no such HMOs within this conservation area

Mary Topliss

1/7/24

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Simon Topliss

Address: 81 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:- The development would set a dangerous precedent on Welholme Avenue with no other HMO's in the area, opening up flood gates to de-valuing the locality

- This is a conservation area with restricted development
- potential is 5 double bedrooms x = 10 cars at the moment it is so dangerous reversing out of a drive across the footpath and it has single drive access with no turning spaces
- the increase in traffic in the area is currently a cause for concern with a speeding motorists cutting through from the lights already raised with highways department and is under investigation
- this is purely a commercial application as the owner of the flat cannot sell it hence change of use
- change of use isn't compliant with the NELincs published local plan
- potential increased crime levels see crime map for the Park Ward
- increase in noise levels

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Stan Frean

Address: 83 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My concerns are Increased traffic and parking

Noise and disturbance

Also being in a conservation area I don't think this would be the right thing to do

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Michael Rands

Address: 85 Welholme Avenue Grimsby DN32 0PL

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I strongly object to the application for a HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue.

There is restricted parking on that section of Welholme Avenue and with the potential for around 10 vehicles requiring parking space, this would mean that the proposed residents are likely to use parking spaces outside other residents properties, potentially blocking drive access and footpaths and creating dangerous blind spots when pulling in and out of driveways.

During peak times, Welholme Avenue is already a busy road, with many cars using this for school drop off and pick ups.

There is also a local childminder on Weelsby Road and again, several parents/carers use this road to park up and do the drop off and pick ups at many different times of the day.

Welholme Avenue is a conservation area, approving the alterations to the existing building would be detrimental to the character of the property and the historical values of the area.

Increased noise and disturbance is a significant concern.

Noise is not only inevitable from the potential new tenants but also the additional maintenance regimes.

Regular fire alarm testing will be required, depending on the type of system this could be weekly or monthly in most cases.

Fire doors, depending on the type and the fittings this could impact the noise for the tenants in 73A below and possibly others.

Fire doors are very heavy and can make an extremely loud bang every time they are opened and closed.

This would be most inconsiderate to the neighbours, particularly when most occupiers in HMO's in the local area are usually shift workers, leaving and/or returning home at all hours of the day and night.

Additional works traffic likely.

HMO's often require regular maintenance due to the amount of people in one property sharing communal areas such as kitchens and bathrooms etc, things go wrong, are damaged or require repairs more than the average household. This would mean that tradesmen could be called out numerous times, again taking up more parking space and causing more disruption to what is currently a nice peaceful area, not a construction site.

Emergency call outs are also common in HMO's, with landlords or their agents having to be available 24/7 or at least have an emergency team available.

Tenants often get locked out and in many cases, tenants will stand shouting and banging for the attention of other housemates to let them in. Again, not acceptable and not what we want around here.

Property inspections are usually carried out every 3 - 6 months. More traffic, parking spaces taken up and more footfall and noise in the area.

Not pleasant for the neighbours below, having landlords or letting agents wondering around part of the grounds that may be shared or overlooking their private spaces.

It is also not unusual for the council housing team to make requests to landlords/agents for asylum seekers to be housed in their properties. The issue with this in this particular area, is that they are usually large families or groups and the overcrowding policy is in most cases overridden, which would mean that there could potentially be more than 10 residents within this building, and there is potential for serious issues such as increased fire risk with larger numbers.

The type of tenancy will also have an impact on the area. Most tenancies (commonly known as AST) are usually for 6 months however, changes in law and difficulty with evictions has resulted in many landlords now using excluded licences, which can result in a high turnover of tenants.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Jonathan Gladwell

Address: 89 Welholme Avenue Grimsby Dn320pl

### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:My Concerns echo many that have already been put forward. Waste control is a big one as people's park is known for its issues and control of vermin as it stands, bringing in a HMO to its surrounding area is only going make this situation worse and widen the problem area, this in turn will increase health and safety risks.

Increased Traffic and Parking is another major issue as the amount of parked cars present throughout the day are more than enough and traffic flow is constant down this road as it is, any additions to this will be a major problem.

This proposed HMO is also a slippery slope of the loss of identity to this part of the town, which is proudly known for its period properties and charm. This is just a foot in the door to bringing down any individuality and appeal an area may have all for the sake of capital gain and it is wrong on many levels.

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Matthew Deakins

Address: 73 Abbey Road Grimsby DN32 0HN

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I wished to object to this proposal.

I live near to two existing HMO's within the Wellow Conservation Area and unfortunately they only provide negative effects on neighbours amenities and the historic environment. Numbers 81 and 83 Abbey Road are longstanding HMO's not far from this site and cause issues including:

- excess parking as in practice most residents will have a car, or at least a large proportion of them based on experience of similar developments,
- distant landlords tend to spend very little on external maintenance to the detriment of buildings and the wider setting in a conservation area and there is little way of securing such matters through the planning system,
- build up of domestic waste is a persistent problem with such a dense form of accommodation and overflowing bins and waste in gardens are a constant issue,
- noise created by a large concentration of residents in a relatively small building at all hours.
- garden areas (if any are provided) tend to receive little attention

These are the lived experiences of living next to an HMO of this type and I would not wish it on the residents of this area. The proposal is out of character with the conservation setting and should not be supported.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows.

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Ryan Jewitt

Address: 79 Abbey road Grimsby DN320HN

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I wish to object to the proposed house of multiple ocupancy (HMO) proposal based on the ongoing effects existing HMOs are having on the conservation area. I note other HMO properties in the area (81 and 83 Abbey road) are in disrepair with those living their not active members of the community and the owners not taking steps to maintain this historic piece of grimsby. The area already has significant challenges in parking which would be made worse and ultimately the property type does not encourage individuals to make homes in the area.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Susan Miller

Address: 95 Abbey Road Grimsby DN32 0HN

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:We three residents of Wellow conservation area wish to strongly object to the proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue.

It is with anger, frustration and dismay that we read that yet another planning application for an HMO in a conservation area has been submitted; that a family dwelling in an established residential area with a valued and cohesive neighbourhood should again be exploited as a cynical financial opportunity; that the location and daily usage already presents residents with parking and traffic problems especially at St Martin's school times and rush hours; that the property itself does not provide parking and could not accommodate a number of residents' vehicles.

There is plentiful evidence of HMO properties in disrepair, with distant owners and landlords currently within the Wellow conservation areas well as agreed HMOs under conversion(see 68 Brighowgate site).

HMOs do not encourage long term dwellers,ie those who wish to put down roots,make a conscious choice to settle in the area, wish to actively contribute to the neighbourhood and/or town Residents in the Wellow conservation area have many examples and trauma of living with antisocial behaviour and activities, noise pollution ,harassment and vandalism.

Why does North East Lincolnshire Council appear to have such an antipathy to balanced, appropriate and planned housing development in residential conservation areas? As stated we strongly object to the proposed HMO at 73 Welholme Avenue.

Susan Miller 95 Abbey Road DN32 0HN Lorraine Christenen 111 Abbey Road DN32 0HN Colin Miller 95 Abbey Road DN32 0HN

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Suemei Marris

Address: 2 KING STREET KEELBY Grimsby DN418EE

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:With regard to application for change of use to multi occupancy flats. I grew up in This area and attended St. Martins preparatory school. I have appreciated this area of conservation since my school days. I have appreciated the architecture of the splendid houses of the area always. I find it quite concerning that it would even be considered that a property which is already two homes in should be turned into four more. The folk of the area should be considered as many have spent a lifetime in their lovely homes. The area does not have the parking as the school parents already cause some fuss at drop off and pick up times. I can only shudder at the thought of multiple wheelie bins on the front of the property to accommodate these dwellings.. the noise and tone is not in keeping. The residents of this special area and community should be afforded the councils protection from people who want to monetise this splendid building. It takes a lifetime to build a home in a special area like this and it should stay as it is. Why else call it a conservation area. You protect trees so now protect this communities mental health as the worry of your home depreciating will cause massive worry. Also people should be allowed peace in their homes the noise that additional flats will create will be significant .. I will be very disappointed plus dismayed if this was to be allowed .

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rachel Moorcroft

Address: 6 Bargate Avenue Grimsby DN32 OEB

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Objection against the application for HMO in Welholme avenue.

This is currently a peaceful conservation area, and allowing a HMO would inevitably increase noise, the lack of bins would also be a problem. I think the house is much better suited to be family home. Having lived next to a HMO it can be at times noisy and unbearable which would affect all local residents.

Parking is often an issue in the local area due to the close proximity of St martins school and the college so adding a possible 10 more vehicles daily would be problematic for all residents.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Rachel Moorcroft

Address: 6 Bargate Avenue Grimsby DN32 0EB

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Objection against the application for HMO in Welholme avenue.

This is currently a peaceful conservation area, and allowing a HMO would inevitably increase noise, the lack of bins would also be a problem. I think the house is much better suited to be family home. Having lived next to a HMO it can be at times noisy and unbearable which would affect all local residents.

Parking is often an issue in the local area due to the close proximity of St martins school and the college so adding a possible 10 more vehicles daily would be problematic for all residents.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Christine Slosmanis

Address: 10 Bargate Ave Grimsby Grimsby DN320ED

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:Object to multi occupants dwelling at 73 Welholm Ave as follows

Increasd parking issues
Noise and Disturbance
Effect on property values

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0422/24/FUL

Address: 73 Welholme Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN32 0PL

Proposal: Change of use to the existing 1st and 2nd floor flat from C3 Dwelling to C4 Houses in multiple occupation with landlord storage. External works to remove chimney on the northern

elevation and obscuring of 4 windows

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Ms Toni Thompson

Address: 123 St Peters Avenue Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8HX

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I can not believe that this is even been considered firstly this is such a beautiful road / avenue it deserves its conservation so surely a HMO would totally wipe this status out ( conservation conserve HMOs do not conserve) I think people need to look on the waiting list of the council there is no family homes plenty of 1 beds but no two beds three beds the reason being landlords from away are buying up properties and turning them into HMOs leaving no family homes so ordinary people are loosing there rental properties and trying to get none existent council family homes , this property should be a family property as it says on the lease , PLEASE save our family homes stop HMOs it's wrong family homes should stay as family homes

From: Kevin Dyas

Sent: Monday, July 8, 2024 10:29 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) < planning@nelincs.gov.uk >

Subject: DM/0422/24/FUL - 73 Welholme Ave

#### Good evening

I submitted comments previously regarding the above application through your Planning Portal on 30th June 2024 as a member of the public however they do not appear within the Documents section for this application - has there been a technical issue that not all comments have been stored successfully?

My comments regarding the above application were;

This road is a busy thoroughfare between Weelsby Road and Welholme Road and the wider town centre, parking is an issue during school arrival and leaving times for the nearby St Martin's School and there are no interventions in place as is the case for other schools with the exception of a one hour parking restriction during the day. Additional vehicles being parked on here narrows the road space which at times can be congested and whilst the property has some off road parking this would be inadequate for a HMO as it would lead to one vehicle being blocked in, access to the rear is via a narrow, unlit passage which amongst other things would not be as convenient as parking at the front of the property.

The property is within a conservation area, I am concerned to read that the chimney which the applicant proposes to remove is "unstable" and "at risk of collapse", I fail to understand why works have not been undertaken to support the chimney and leaves me questioning the upkeep of the building in the longer term if such a pressing issue has not been resolved. The chimney and the property in general form part of the overall character of the area, the works and the introduction of a HMO is not fitting to the area which should be protected, the applicant has previously objected to an application (DM/0193/23/FULA) at the property on the grounds of it being a conservation area yet these works and effects are much longer term.

NELC's waste department have indicated that only a single set of bins will be provided to the property, this is going to be wholly inadequate for a HMO and the overall waste management from experience of similar properties in the area leaves to a general untidiness which would attract vermin.

This application and its works are purely for financial gain at the expense of the neighbourhood, the conservation area and its residents and should be fully rejected.

Since my initial submission, the downstairs neighbour of the property have submitted their objections, their concerns cannot be underestimated as the people who will be directly affected by this proposal. Additionally, the Highways Department have submitted comments based on a desktop exercise with some inaccurate information, commenting on areas outside of their expertise and I would wish that they would pay as much close attention to their own projects given the state of some of the works being undertaken on the Borough's highways of late.

From experience of properties in the area, I would be surprised if there are not conditions/covenants on the property which would affect this application.

Kind regards

Kevin Dyas 17 Charles Avenue New Waltham Grimsby DN36 4PD

-----

15 Park Drive, Grimsby, 12.07.24

The Planning Department,
Dear Sir,
RE DM/0422/14/FUL 73 Welholme Avenue

The location of this property is unsuitable for the planned development. The size is inadequate for the planned number of residents. Parking is already restricted in this area and would not be enhanced by greater intensity. We consider that the mention of a double garage is an irrelevance and would not alleviate parking. Incidentally access to this garage is for residents on Welholme Avenue, Weelsby Road and Park Drive.

Yours sincerely .
Mrs and Mrs M Turner

Item 5 - 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes - DM/0268/24/ FUL

### **Development Management Services New Oxford House** 2 George Street Grimsby

Telephone (01472) 326289 - Option 1

**DN31 1HB** 

Email: Planning@nelincs.gov.uk

### REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING **COMMITTEE**

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

DM/0268/24/FUL  I have been contacted by a lady who would like to open an air b n b and has suggested some of the benefits this could have to the local economy. Her efforts, endeavour and passion is noted and, as such, I call this in for further consideration by Councillors on the planning committee to determine the suitability of this venture in this particular area.	Application No.	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
	DM/0268/24/FUL	like to open an air b n b and has suggested some of the benefits this could have to the local economy. Her efforts, endeavour and passion is noted and, as such, I call this in for further consideration by Councillors on the planning committee to determine the suitability of this venture in this particular

Contact Details: - councillor email	
SignatureCLLR OLIVER FREESTON June 2024	Date 20th
NameOliver Freeston	
Address:63 Sandringham Road cleethorpes dn35 9ds	

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Simon Ostler

Address: 23 Lindsey Road Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This planning application is against what is trying to be created in Cleethorpes. Although some retail units are currently struggling to be filled the increase in footfall down the street due to all the improvements being brought to the area. With schemes such as the master plan, the regeneration of the docks and pleasure island having a positive influence on businesses fortunes in the resort. To approve this would be short sighted, going against all the regeneration in the area. There's plenty of other residential units to turn into air bnb's in good locations in Cleethorpes.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Louisa Buckingham

Address: 37-39 Cambridge street Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:As a member of the area and a business within the location I don't agree with this. We are a retail area sea view street has always been known for its retail, little shops, businesses, coffee, over the years we have already had a lot of change when it comes to bars and pubs taking over majority of the street, let's keep small businesses alive. Once one place turns into an air bnb it will continue into other places down the street. Before you know it sea view street will just be housing with no character. If they was set above onto a higher level then fair enough as we could keep a shop below and keep our street Alive and something to bring footfall down the street to help other businesses keep thriving.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Joanne Cowan

Address: The Hideout 49 Sea View Street 49 sea view st CLEETHORPES

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: This is a difficult one for me as I know the applicant personally so my objection is based purely from a business perspective. I have no objection if this was to be upper level only with a commercial unit remaining below however I think the street has always been boasted to have heritage etc. a comment was made about aesthetically the outdoor shutters would be gone so it would look better but it doesn't have outdoor shutters anyway. The street should remain a shopping street with retail, property agents, hair and beauty and hospitality which has come to the street in recent years which we have already had to adapt too. No doubt the High st is dying and I do understand it's difficult to fill the units but if we were able to do more in the street and not have so many restrictions that are in place the street would naturally flourish. We have tried many many times (the applicant included) to bring the street back to life but always have too many restrictions in place. Sea View St has always been known as Cleethorpes jewel in the crown and slowly slowly we are loosing it.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Davina Lacey

Address: 10 Seaview Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EZ Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Airbnb keeps more of the economics of travel in the communities where it happens because hosts keep the vast majority of what they charge and guests spend locally at restaurants, coffee shops, and other small businesses. It will improve the street.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Mohammed Jakir

Address: 17 Seaview street Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I think it's great for street to have an eclectic mix of businesses and the addition of a Uber cool AirB&B would add to the street bringing more business to the street by attracting more tourists. It's the little changes that make a big difference. I wish more people had an open mind. Business is difficult nationally especially in small towns like ours so these guys have thought outside the box to make great use of an amazing property on a fantastic street.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Miss Jade Smith

Address: 18 Sea View Street Cleethorpes Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:It will grow the economy and increase spending in the street. It will look much more of diverse and upcoming area with improvements.

In particular, when we consider the tourists who visit sea view, it can have huge benefits to all business owner's.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0268/24/FUL

Address: 45 Sea View Street Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8EU

Proposal: Change of use - Class E (shop) to a C1 (Air BnB/holiday let)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Christopher Perkins

Address: 39a Sea View Street Cleethorpes

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: As a nearby resident, I feel this development would be genuinely beneficial to the area.

It seems Sea View Street is rapidly evolving into an outdoor street party zone and if this proposed business can operate within closed doors and assure minimal impact to the neighbouring residents and businesses, it could help to redress the balance and be a really positive addition to the area.

The premises would be better utilised than 'shuttered up' like it is at present - as was the case with several former businesses that have come and gone at this end of the street.

Tourism will be boosted and the local shops and establishments would reap some rewards from any increase in footfall.

# Item 6 - 25 Chantry Lane Grimsby - DM/0386/24/FUL

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0386/24/FUL

Address: 25 Chantry Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2LP

Proposal: Change of use and alterations from a commercial premises (offices) to a HMO, erection

of single storey extension to side, creation of cycle store and associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs elaine smith

Address: flat 1 6 queens parade grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: the rear of this propertybacks onto queens parade which is a narrow road for the use of residents any more traffic would be unsuitable for the area which has become a rat run for traffic cutting thru from cartergare and littlefield lane also other properties in the area which are hmo are not maintained to a standard by the lahdlords example 4 queens parade westbury house and this lack of pride in property by landlords attracts unsavoury tenents and this parade is well maintainded by the property owners we already have a problem with drug dralers in the area which the police are aware of and a hmo will probably add to the residents despair take a look at the property on the corner ofdudley st and littlefield lane supposed to help people into housing and the whole place is a disgrace and run by a charity

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0386/24/FUL

Address: 25 Chantry Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2LP

Proposal: Change of use and alterations from a commercial premises (offices) to a HMO, erection

of single storey extension to side, creation of cycle store and associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Philip Bryan

Address: 4 Queens Parade Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am the homeowner and resident of 4 Queens Parade. My reasons for objection are

itemised below:

#### PLANNING POLICY

The proposed development will result in loss of B1a, B1(c) and B2, and B8 floorspace, in contravention of 20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018 Jobs (land requirement) Policy 1.

The local economy has been severely impacted by the pandemic, with small business in the area particularly affected in recent years. A commercial to residential development in this location will result in fewer suitable premises for businesses to occupy, supply reduction driving up the cost of acquisition and thereby acting as a barrier to entry for the growth of new SME business and accompanying jobs, which are greatly needed in central Grimsby.

20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018 "Place statements Urban Area (Grimsby and Cleethorpes) 9.6 By 2032 the urban area will have witnessed a step change in the image and desirability of the urban environment." - the development will have a wholly detrimental effect to realising this aspiration, as detailed below.

#### PARKING, VEHICULAR & PEDESTRIAN ACCESS

There is no provision for car parking within the proposed development. My experience of living next to an HMO is that residents use and own cars, not bicycles. I agree that it would be better if not the case, but the reality is that it will result in at least 5 additional cars street-parking. Both Queens Parade and Chantry Lane have limited road width - delivery and removals vehicles often have no choice but to block the road - this will result in increased likelihood of emergency vehicles failing to gain access. There is no pavement laid to Queens Parade - increased density of cars and pedestrians will increase the likelihood of pedestrian accidents.

#### DISTURBANCE

To the rear of 25 Chantry Lane (and adjacent to my property) is specifically the area subject to the most significant disturbance in Queens Parade. It is sited sufficiently out of sight of the busier road junctions at either end of Queens Parade for disturbances to be unobserved. During March and April 2024 in this area I have personally witnessed dropped litter, broken glass, graffiti, drug dealing rendezvous, street fighting, and repulsively - street defecation. The proposal will adversely add to the building density surrounding this area of disturbance, and it also appears that a two-storey outbuilding "Cycle Store" is planned that will further act to conceal this area. An increase in the known disturbance problem is inevitable.

#### **EXCESSIVE HMO DENSITY**

There are already several existing HMOs within Chantry Lane and Queens Parade. Queens Parade sits within the Wellow Conservation Area. The proposal will adversely affect the balance of commerce, residents, and residential properties. Though not applicable to my personal circumstance, there are several residents living in Queens Parade as families with children, The balanced environment children require in which to grow will be damaged by this proposal.

#### LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

The property when built was originally designed to be residential, with appropriate garden space for residents to sit in. Whilst the loss of garden was unavoidable during its commercial use, it is entirely avoidable to deny future residents garden/green space, or at least an area that is not overlooked to sit out in. Common sense suggests that it needs to include plants and trees rather than a blind application of any biodiversity formula! The property is over half a mile walk from any public park.

#### **DRAINAGE**

Foul water drainage is a known problem in the area with Victorian drainage infrastructure challenged by the increase in effluent arising from HMOs. May I ask the planning officer with requisite expertise to verify the capacity of the drainage infrastructure to absorb the increase during periods of peak use.

In summary, the proposal maximises developer financial gain with minimal benefit to the local area environment or its residents. May I kindly ask that these points are carefully considered, and the proposal rejected in support of the truly beneficial development of Great Grimsby.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0386/24/FUL

Address: 25 Chantry Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2LP

Proposal: Change of use and alterations from a commercial premises (offices) to a HMO, erection

of single storey extension to side, creation of cycle store and associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Philip Bryan

Address: 4 Queens Parade, Grimsby, North East Lincolnshire DN31 2LE

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am the homeowner and resident of 4 Queens Parade. My reasons for objection are

itemised below:

#### PLANNING POLICY

The proposed development will result in loss of B1a, B1(c) and B2, and B8 floorspace, in contravention of 20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018 Jobs (land requirement) Policy 1.

The local economy has been severely impacted by the pandemic, with small business in the area particularly affected in recent years. A commercial to residential development in this location will result in fewer suitable premises for businesses to occupy, supply reduction driving up the cost of acquisition and thereby acting as a barrier to entry for the growth of new SME business and accompanying jobs, which are greatly needed in central Grimsby.

20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018 "Place statements Urban Area (Grimsby and Cleethorpes) 9.6 By 2032 the urban area will have witnessed a step change in the image and desirability of the urban environment." - the development will have a wholly detrimental effect to realising this aspiration, as detailed below.

#### PARKING, VEHICULAR & PEDESTRIAN ACCESS

There is no provision for car parking within the proposed development. My experience of living next to an HMO is that residents use and own cars, not bicycles. I agree that it would be better if not the case, but the reality is that it will result in at least 5 additional cars street-parking. Both Queens Parade and Chantry Lane have limited road width - delivery and removals vehicles often have no choice but to block the road - this will result in increased likelihood of emergency vehicles failing to gain access. There is no pavement laid to Queens Parade - increased density of cars and pedestrians will increase the likelihood of pedestrian accidents.

#### DISTURBANCE

To the rear of 25 Chantry Lane (and adjacent to my property) is specifically the area subject to the most significant disturbance in Queens Parade. It is sited sufficiently out of sight of the busier road junctions at either end of Queens Parade for disturbances to be unobserved. During March and April 2024 in this area I have personally witnessed dropped litter, broken glass, graffiti, drug dealing rendezvous, street fighting, and repulsively - street defecation. The proposal will adversely add to the building density surrounding this area of disturbance, and it also appears that a two-storey outbuilding "Cycle Store" is planned that will further act to conceal this area. An increase in the known disturbance problem is inevitable.

#### **EXCESSIVE HMO DENSITY**

There are already several existing HMOs within Chantry Lane and Queens Parade. Queens Parade sits within the Wellow Conservation Area. The proposal will adversely affect the balance of commerce, residents, and residential properties. Though not applicable to my personal circumstance, there are several residents living in Queens Parade as families with children, The balanced environment children require in which to grow will be damaged by this proposal.

#### LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

The property when built was originally designed to be residential, with appropriate garden space for residents to sit in. Whilst the loss of garden was unavoidable during its commercial use, it is entirely avoidable to deny future residents garden/green space, or at least an area that is not overlooked to sit out in. Common sense suggests that it needs to include plants and trees rather than a blind application of any biodiversity formula! The property is over half a mile walk from any public park.

#### **DRAINAGE**

Foul water drainage is a known problem in the area with Victorian drainage infrastructure challenged by the increase in effluent arising from HMOs. May I ask the planning officer with requisite expertise to verify the capacity of the drainage infrastructure to absorb the increase during periods of peak use.

In summary, the proposal maximises developer financial gain with minimal benefit to the local area environment or its residents. May I kindly ask that these points are carefully considered, and the proposal rejected in support of the truly beneficial development of Great Grimsby.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0386/24/FUL

Address: 25 Chantry Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2LP

Proposal: Change of use and alterations from a commercial premises (offices) to a HMO, erection

of single storey extension to side, creation of cycle store and associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Philip Bryan

Address: 4 Queens Parade Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I am the homeowner and resident of 4 Queens Parade. My reasons for objection are

itemised below:

#### PLANNING POLICY

The proposed development will result in loss of B1a, B1(c) and B2, and B8 floorspace, in contravention of 20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018 Jobs (land requirement) Policy 1.

The local economy has been severely impacted by the pandemic, with small business in the area particularly affected in recent years. A commercial to residential development in this location will result in fewer suitable premises for businesses to occupy, supply reduction driving up the cost of acquisition and thereby acting as a barrier to entry for the growth of new SME business and accompanying jobs, which are greatly needed in central Grimsby.

20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018 "Place statements Urban Area (Grimsby and Cleethorpes) 9.6 By 2032 the urban area will have witnessed a step change in the image and desirability of the urban environment." - the development will have a wholly detrimental effect to realising this aspiration, as detailed below.

#### PARKING, VEHICULAR & PEDESTRIAN ACCESS

There is no provision for car parking within the proposed development. My experience of living next to an HMO is that residents use and own cars, not bicycles. I agree that it would be better if not the case, but the reality is that it will result in at least 5 additional cars street-parking. Both Queens Parade and Chantry Lane have limited road width - delivery and removals vehicles often have no choice but to block the road - this will result in increased likelihood of emergency vehicles failing to gain access. There is no pavement laid to Queens Parade - increased density of cars and pedestrians will increase the likelihood of pedestrian accidents.

#### DISTURBANCE

To the rear of 25 Chantry Lane (and adjacent to my property) is specifically the area subject to the most significant disturbance in Queens Parade. It is sited sufficiently out of sight of the busier road junctions at either end of Queens Parade for disturbances to be unobserved. During March and April 2024 in this area I have personally witnessed dropped litter, broken glass, graffiti, drug dealing rendezvous, street fighting, and repulsively - street defecation. The proposal will adversely add to the building density surrounding this area of disturbance, and it also appears that a two-storey outbuilding "Cycle Store" is planned that will further act to conceal this area. An increase in the known disturbance problem is inevitable.

#### **EXCESSIVE HMO DENSITY**

There are already several existing HMOs within Chantry Lane and Queens Parade. Queens Parade sits within the Wellow Conservation Area. The proposal will adversely affect the balance of commerce, residents, and residential properties. Though not applicable to my personal circumstance, there are several residents living in Queens Parade as families with children, The balanced environment children require in which to grow will be damaged by this proposal.

#### LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

The property when built was originally designed to be residential, with appropriate garden space for residents to sit in. Whilst the loss of garden was unavoidable during its commercial use, it is entirely avoidable to deny future residents garden/green space, or at least an area that is not overlooked to sit out in. Common sense suggests that it needs to include plants and trees rather than a blind application of any biodiversity formula! The property is over half a mile walk from any public park.

#### **DRAINAGE**

Foul water drainage is a known problem in the area with Victorian drainage infrastructure challenged by the increase in effluent arising from HMOs. May I ask the planning officer with requisite expertise to verify the capacity of the drainage infrastructure to absorb the increase during periods of peak use.

In summary, the proposal maximises developer financial gain with minimal benefit to the local area environment or its residents. May I kindly ask that these points are carefully considered, and the proposal rejected in support of the truly beneficial development of Great Grimsby.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0386/24/FUL

Address: 25 Chantry Lane Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN31 2LP

Proposal: Change of use and alterations from a commercial premises (offices) to a HMO, erection

of single storey extension to side, creation of cycle store and associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Chris Cummings

Address: 5 Queen Parade Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: For the last 8 years I have lived at 5 Queens Parade (on the opposite side of the road from the back of 25 Chantry Lane) with my wife and children and have lived in Grimsby all my life.

My family have to put up with lots of anti-social behaviour in the road behind 25 Chantry Lane - drinking and drug commotion continuing until the early morning, with police often called. Multiple occupants in the HMO will become vulnerable to these goings-on. If this gets any worse my family will be forced to move away.

Please be aware that the high fence at the rear of the building has been set back about 1 meter from the roadway for many years, providing a vital refuge for pedestrians walking along Queens Parade. If the plans go ahead and this space disappears someone will soon be knocked over.

Businesses have operated from 25 Chantry Lane for as long as I can remember. Before its last use as base for an electrical contractor I remember that it was a Chemist. Losing this building to an HMO will change the character of the area from a varied central small-business location to more like a slum.

There are already too many HMOs in the local area. This HMO application should be rejected.

Item 7 - 2-4 (Part Of) Edward Street Grimsby -DM/0193/24/FUL

Development Management Services
New Oxford House
2 George Street

2 George Street Grimsby DN31 1HB

Telephone (01472) 326289 – Option 1 Email: <u>Planning@nelincs.gov.uk</u>

# REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application No.	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
The old Manders site, 2 Edward Street Grimsby DN32 9HJ	For debate and discussion, around the flood requirements.

Contact Details: -	
ignatureE L Clough Date15.10.24	
lame …Emma Clough	
ddress: 3 Bygott Walk Grimsby	

# Item 8 - 151 Scartho Road Grimsby - DM/0085/24/FUL

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0085/24/FUL

Address: 151 Scartho Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2AR

Proposal: Partial demolition of existing bungalow, erection of two storey extensions to rear and side, installation of balcony to rear and associated external alterations (Amended site location plan

and ownership certificate received 24th June 2024)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Janet Colebrook

Address: 18 Lyndhurst Avenue Grimsby DN33 2AW

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I wish to object to planning application reference DM/0085/24/FUL for the following reasons:

- It must have been evident to the applicant when he purchased the property that access to the site is via an unmade, unlit & unadopted track, that varies in width between 7'6" 9'6" & the route is not straight. As an average vehicular cement mixer has a width of approx. 8', it cannot safely negotiate the track without causing damage to other properties in recent years a cement mixer has collided with & damaged a fence panel; the driver of said vehicle commenting that had he known the track was so narrow, he would not have attempted it.
- HSE site access guidance suggests a minimum width of 2x vehicle width on single track roads for safety, which is not met here.
- The track is described by the Highways Agency as "an established highway vehicular access" it is not. It comprises mainly compacted mud & grass, with some gravel. It is rutted & unstable, unsurprising since it was previously no more than a farm track leading to a windmill. It is in no way suitable for continuous use by HGVs delivering cement, bricks & other building supplies.
- In 2008, a proposed plan for a housing development on land adjacent to 151 Scartho Road, to be accessed via Lisburn Grove, was denied. The report of the Phase II Intrusive Environmental & Geotechnical Site Investigation for this proposal described the access, which is currently being considered as adequate for large cement mixers & brick lorries, as "a small track".
- The frequent use of the track by delivery vehicles, such as transit vans, assumed to be delivering to RTS Motors, owned by the applicant and adjacent to the proposed development, has already caused subsidence with subsequent impact upon adjacent properties. These vehicles weigh a fraction of the weight of the vehicles required to deliver building supplies.
- The traffic on this track is already heavier than might be expected; delivery drivers are careless &

often drive at speed along it. If the proposal progresses, it is almost certain to end with a collision, & potentially a vehicle ending up in a neighbouring property. Increased traffic, especially of HGVs, also presents a high risk to pedestrians using the track, including users of the lock-up garages and residents of neighbouring properties.

- As the track sits above neighbouring properties, the comments from the drainage expert are of concern, referring to an increased risk of flooding if surface water run-off is not managed appropriately.
- I strongly recommend that both the Planning Committee & Highways Agency conduct a site visit, ideally at a peak traffic time in order to understand the safety limitations for access using the existing track.
- Apart from the hazard to property associated with construction vehicles using the track, increased traffic presents an additional risk i.e. two vehicles meeting on a track only intended for one. This will necessitate one vehicle reversing back to the point where rental garages are situated as there are no passing places, nor space to provide any. Reversing to this point is the only practical option as reversing onto the A1243 is not permitted by the Highway Code nor realistically possible given the volume & speed of traffic on this road. There is high risk of collision with surrounding properties' boundaries when driving up the track for vehicles to have to reverse up it will significantly increase that risk.
- The track opens onto the A1243 (Scartho Road) less than 40m from a signal-controlled busy road junction, that provides access to Grimsby Hospital & is also the point at which traffic is filtered from two lanes to one. At peak times this often results in significant congestion. As the A1243 is used numerous times every day by emergency ambulances, the safety of other road-users, & especially ambulances must be paramount.
- The turn into the track from the A1243 will require construction vehicles with a large turning circle to be in the outside lane, creating a critical traffic hazard to those in the inside lane who do not realise the turning vehicle's intentions. The turn into the track is rendered more hazardous by the presence of a tree on the pavement level with the edge of the track.
- Turning right out of any side road onto the A1243 can be both challenging & dangerous; for a HGV this turn would be extremely problematic.

In summary, whilst the proposed extension of the applicant's bungalow is of low concern, the vehicular access required for materials is hazardous & unsuitable. Whilst the Planning Committee might feel that this falls outside of their direct remit, permitting the application when the hazards to neighbouring properties are so evident & have already been demonstrated by the collision of a vehicle with fence, could be considered as negligent, & I would urge the Committee to consider the safety of the applicant's neighbours.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0085/24/FUL

Address: 151 Scartho Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2AR

Proposal: Partial demolition of existing bungalow, erection of two storey extensions to rear and side, installation of balcony to rear and associated external alterations (Amended site location plan

and ownership certificate received 24th June 2024)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr John Harrison

Address: 20 Lyndhurst avenue Grimsby Dn33 2aw

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The access road is totally unacceptable for this type of development. When the owner had a new garage built a couple of years ago a cement wagon hit my fence (which was replaced by the owner). The garden drops off the other side and the wagon if he had gone any further may well have rolled into the garden where my deaf mother often sits.

If there is any alternative access I would have no objection but the likelihood of the road slipping or fences / hedges being damaged again is high.

The owners would have realised the limited access when they bought this property and this development is unacceptable

#### Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)

**Subject:** FW: Planning application DM/0085/24/FUL

To whom it may concern,

With reference to the above planning application, whilst not wanting to be of any hindrance to the actual building taking place, I would like to voice my concerns surrounding the access lane to the afore mentioned property.

I live in Lyndhurst Avenue and my property borders the left hand side of the lane. The access lane to the proposed building works is very narrow and therefore quite unsuitable for large vehicles. Some of the gardens are set several feet below the height of the lane which makes the access even more precarious and puts us at risk should the drivers misjudge how narrow the lane is. Two years ago a cement mixer crashed into my neighbours fence and narrowly avoided toppling into the property. The vehicles are driving too close to our properties and I am fearful that another accident is bound to happen and rightly so we are fearful it should happen again.

If the application is subject to approval, I would ask for detailed timetables of when lorries will be entering the lane so my neighbours and myself can avoid the area as we are quite nervous of the situation. We need assurances that we are safeguarded and our properties are not at risk of damage.

Regards

Carol Dean

21 Lyndhurst Avenue

From: Stephen Bawn

Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 5:56 PM

To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>

**Subject:** 151 Scartho Road planning application

#### 23-08-2024

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have received a letter with regards to the above property. Whilst I do not have any objection to the alterations to the property. I do have concerns about the following:

- 1) The amount of building construction vehicles using the narrow bending dirt track at the rear of my property, which is 19 Cragston Avenue. Large vehicles, have in the past, damaged the corner of my fence several times which I have had to repair at my expense. I have even screwed flat bar around the corner of the fence and fitted reflective boards, which also have been damaged.
- 2) My garden is below that of the single track, although, since we moved here 29 years ago, I have constructed a raised bed up to the height (about 2ft) of the dirt track along most of the rear fence towards the corner nearest the lock-up garages. There could be a possibility of a large vehicle's rear tyres sliding on wet greenery growing long the fence and coming through, then toppling over the wall.
- 3) I understand that there is a business being run from the above property as I have noticed various vans (Transit types) and pick-up trucks using the dirt track during the day. I hope that the modifications to the bungalow, when completed, does not mean an increase in traffic to the property as it would be very tricky if vehicles approaching each other as there is no passing place. There is also concern for increased traffic access/egress of the track especially at busy traffic times.

Kind regards

Stephen Bawn

19 Cragston Avenue, Scartho DN33 2AT

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0085/24/FUL

Address: 151 Scartho Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2AR

Proposal: Partial demolition of existing bungalow, erection of two storey extensions to rear and

side, installation of balcony to rear and associated external alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Patricia Rendall

Address: 39 Cragston Avenue Grimsby

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: I Re 151 Scartho Road Grimsby DN33 2AR

I would like to make the following observations regarding this development.

I share the Highways Authority concerns regarding the number, types and size of vehicles that will be obliged to use the narrow unpaved access lane (formally Mill Lane where the Scartho windmill once stood). For my neighbour and I the lane represents the only vehicular access to our properties. The lane already endures an exceptional amount of traffic due to Mr Rogers-Thomas business "The Real Toybox (RTS Motors)" storing many of his vehicles and meeting customers in the former piggery's buildings. The lane also contains several "lock-up" type garages in which various business's store materials requiring vehicular access and parking during loading and unloading.

I note the reservations expressed by the Highways Authority regarding access to the lane from the increasingly busy Scartho Road at a point very close to the traffic lights at the junction leading to the hospital. Traffic here frequently exceeds the 30mph speed limit in all four lanes as it heads towards the 'merge' pinch point or races towards town. Anecdotal evidence from observations and experience suggests drivers are not expecting vehicles to turn into the lane and most certainly will not be expecting larger vehicles to swing out into lane two in order to make a turn. I can state from experience that emerging from the lane performing a right turn into Scartho Road can be a fairly traumatic event.

I can foresee occasions where large construction vehicles exiting the site meet residence vehicles accessing the lane from Scartho Road. Rule 201 of the Highway Code states "Do not reverse from a side road into a main road" and it would therefore be incumbent upon the construction vehicle to attempt to reverse the length of the lane and its awkward turns risking damage to bordering hedge, tree and fence boundaries.

I would like to conclude with my concern that such a project will greatly disturb the quiet enjoyment

of my garden over an extended period. I feel very sad that I will not be able to sit and enjoy the garden my husband and I created together during nearly 70 years of marriage. May I also suggest that the noise of construction will impact on those visiting the cemetery at some very sensitive times.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0085/24/FUL

Address: 151 Scartho Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2AR

Proposal: Partial demolition of existing bungalow, erection of two storey extensions to rear and side, installation of balcony to rear and associated external alterations (Amended site location plan

and ownership certificate received 24th June 2024)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Patricia Rendall

Address: 39 Cragston Avenue Grimsby DN33 2AT

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:In response to the letter I received on 24 June 2024 regarding Amended Details I would like to add the following comments to my original objection.

May I begin by echoing the concerns raised by the Neighbour in Weelsby Grove and The Highways Department regarding access to the proposed development via the A1243 Scartho Road very close to the signalised junction with DPoW hospital. Turning into this narrow lane from either direction is very dangerous and causes some very severe and unexpected reactions from other road users. Turning out of the lane is tricky, to say the very least, as views of approaching pedestrians, cyclists and electric scooters is severely restricted by the hedges on either side. It is also difficult to judge the speed and intention of road users along this route.

Rule 201 of the Highway Code states "Do not reverse from a side road into a main road" so protocol would be for vehicles exiting the site to reverse back along the narrow and winding lane on meeting traffic entering. Echoing again the comments from the Neighbour in Weelsby Grove I would also like reassurances that the applicant will make good any damage caused to the narrow access lane and garage site by construction traffic.

Its clear that the area around the proposed development has changed dramatically over the time since the current dwelling at 151 was constructed especially traffic flows. Since the current occupiers moved in traffic along the lane has also increased as RTs Motors (shown on Google maps) stores vehicles on site and has numerous delivery vehicles visiting.

May I suggest a site visit by those involved in making the decision so they may fully appreciate the

difficulties and potential dangers associated with the demolition and construction phases along with the noise impact on the sanctuary of the neighbouring cemetery?

If the Planning Authority is minded to approve the Application, then the Highway Authority requested Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) be strictly monitored and in particular the sentence "No demolition or construction work shall be carried out on or before 08:00 or after 18:00 Mondays to Fridays inclusive, before 08:00 or after 13:00 on Saturdays and at any time on Sundays or Bank Holidays". I would also ask that the contact details of the person with responsibility for the implementation of the CTMP be publicised and easily accessible along with the contact details of those in the Authority in the event of an unsatisfactory outcome dealing with this person.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0085/24/FUL

Address: 151 Scartho Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2AR

Proposal: Partial demolition of existing bungalow, erection of two storey extensions to rear and side, installation of balcony to rear and associated external alterations (Amended site location plan

and ownership certificate received 24th June 2024)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Sheila Clarke

Address: 1 Weelsby Grove Grimsby DN32 0AG

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment: The only access to the property in question is along a winding non-paved lane which runs through the middle of a site of lock-up garages owned by myself. There are 2 other houses whose only vehicle access is along the same access lane. The access lane itself is off Scartho Road very near to the busy hospital junction, and it looks like a private driveway so other motorists do not expect vehicles to be turning into or out of it. Turning left into the access lane is very dangerous as the vehicles behind you assume you will be turning at the traffic lights and do not slow down when you indicate, in fact they often speed up instead as they are trying to get through the lights before they turn to red. A large construction vehicle would have to pull into the right hand lane in order to have enough turning circle to turn left, which would cause chaos to both lanes of traffic. Turning right into the access lane from the other direction is so dangerous that I no longer attempt it - you have to be in the 'straight on' lane at the traffic lights but then stop in the middle of the road 10 yards after the lights in order to try to turn across 2 lanes of traffic, while every motorist behind you screams to a halt or tries to undertake you. Obviously none of this is the fault of the applicant, whose property was there long before Scartho Road became a major route. I believe it was originally a farm building and the garage site was a windmill, so we have all ended up in this situation due to the way the town has developed around it. Whilst I understand the applicant wishing to extend and improve his property, I would like legal reassurances that the property can only be used as a single private dwelling and not developed in the future into a business or leisure/hospitality facility, which would lead to a permanent increase in traffic. I would also like reassurances that the applicant will make good any damage caused to the narrow access lane and garage site by construction traffic. I would also suggest that a short one-off lane closure/traffic control be allowed on Scartho Road at the start of the project, so that the bulk building materials can be delivered safely.

#### **Application Summary**

Application Number: DM/0085/24/FUL

Address: 151 Scartho Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2AR

Proposal: Partial demolition of existing bungalow, erection of two storey extensions to rear and side, installation of balcony to rear and associated external alterations (Amended site location plan

and ownership certificate received 24th June 2024)

Case Officer: Owen Toop

#### **Customer Details**

Name: Mr Kevin Taylor

Address: 37 Cragston ave Grimsby DN33 2AT

#### **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

Comment:I have no objection to the proposed development as it stands. Providing the client maintains a level of natural screening between them and mine/neighbouring properties as it stands now and, in the future, to provide the current amenity.

However, I would like to make the following observations regarding the application.

During the demolition and construction periods to ensure that all vehicles shall directly access and egress within client's property, without stopping at rear of mine and neighbouring property, to avoid unnecessary visual and noise impacts that may occur to us. And to drive at a reasonably slow speed to prevent any damage or dust issues to the unmade track on lane.

As mentioned by others, accessing and egressing the lane from Scartho Road can be very difficult and dangerous especially at busy periods, but is manageable. This can be more problematic when extra vehicular activities during building works occur, but see no reason for being objective. This is a Highways matter and should be resolved by them.