



PORTFOLIO HOLDER HOUSING, INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT

DECISION NOTICE

Publication Date: 11th February 2026

At the meeting of the Portfolio Holder – Housing, Infrastructure and Transport held on 10th February 2026 the following matters were discussed. The decisions of the Portfolio Holder are set out below in each item along with reasons for the decision and other options considered.

Present: Councillor S Swinburn (in the Chair)

DNPH.HIT.36 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

No apologies of absence were received for this meeting.

DNPH.HIT.37 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest in relation to items on the agenda for this meeting.

DNPH.HIT.38 MINUTES

RESOLVED – That the minutes from the meeting of the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Infrastructure and Transport meetings on 18th December 2025 be agreed as an accurate record.

DNPH.HIT.39 BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND ENHANCED BUS QUALITY PARTNERSHIP PROGRESS REPORT

The Portfolio Holder considered a report providing an update in relation to the work of the EP and BSIP in North East Lincolnshire.

RESOLVED –

- 1. That the report be noted and that the Portfolio Holder receive a further BSIP and EP progress report by 31 March 2027, in line with the Department for Transport (DfT) and EP requirements.**
- 2. That the progress report be published on North East Lincolnshire Council's website.**
- 3. That the proposed 2026/2027 BSIP delivery programme included in Appendix A (subject to funding) be approved.**

REASONS FOR DECISION – This report provides an annual progress report which is a requirement of the DfT and the Council's EP Plan.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – This report provides an update, as approved in the February 2025 update report, in relation to the work of the Enhanced Bus Quality Partnership Plan and Scheme, options are to be considered as part of the EP delivery process.

DNPH.HIT.40

**TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 25-01: AREA WIDE
NO WAITING AT ANY TIME**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that proposed to introduce new or extended 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting (double yellow line) restrictions at identified junctions in the Borough.

RESOLVED –

- 1. That the making of a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to introduce 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting (double yellow line) restrictions be approved, the extents of which are detailed in the drawings to Appendix One.**
- 2. That in the event there were unresolved material objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed.**

REASONS FOR DECISION – The introduction or extension of existing 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting restrictions is proposed to improve road safety for all road users and improve vehicular access for emergency service, Council refuge and larger delivery vehicles, by keeping the area free of parked vehicles, which will in turn ensure clear visibility for drivers, pedestrians and cyclists exiting or egressing the junctions identified.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – Do nothing. This is not recommended as not taking appropriate action will result in a less safe environment risking fatalities and casualties on our roads.

DNPH.HIT.41 TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – 25-17: TAXI RANK PROVISION REVIEW - HIGH STREET AND GRIMSBY ROAD, CLEETHORPES

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that proposed to amend current taxi rank provision at locations in Cleethorpes following a request from the Hackney Carriage Association.

RESOLVED –

- 1. That the making of a Traffic Regulation Order be approved so that the taxi rank restrictions imposed on High Street and Grimsby Road, Cleethorpes shall be amended in accordance with the plans at Appendix 1 (ADHR 26-01 and ADHR 26-02).**
- 2. That in the event there were unresolved material objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Infrastructure and Transport for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed.**

REASONS FOR DECISION – The review of the restrictions will ensure appropriate, safe and clearly marked taxi rank provisions are in place where demand for these services is evident.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – Do nothing. This is not recommended given that the Hackney Carriage Association have brought concerns about service user safety to our attention.

DNPH.HIT.42 SPEED LIMIT ORDER – 25-25: BRADLEY ROAD, WALTHAM

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that proposed to extend the current Waltham village 30mph speed limit extent further north along Bradley Road.

RESOLVED –

- 1. That the making of a Speed Limit Order to introduce an extension of the current 30mph speed limit on Bradley Road, Waltham be approved, the extent of which is shown indicatively in the plan in Appendix One (Ref: ADHR-25-02).**
- 2. That in the event there were unresolved material objections to**

the Order, these be referred to the Portfolio Holder for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed.

REASONS FOR DECISION – The review of current restricted road (30mph) speed limit on Bradley Road is required in order to comply with Planning requirements related to the nearby development.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – Do nothing. This is not recommended given that the change in speed limit is required in order to comply with planning requirements.