



To be submitted to the Council at its meeting on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2025

## **PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR HOUSING, INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT**

**26<sup>th</sup> June 2025 at 1.00 p.m.**

### **Present**

Councillor S Swinburn (in the Chair)

### **Officers in Attendance:**

- Sophie Pickerden (Committee Support Officer)
- Paul Evans (Assistant Director - Infrastructure)
- Anthony Snell (Transport and Traffic Manager)
- Lynne Broughton (Parking Manager)
- Hannah Steer (Solicitor)

There were two members of the public and no member of the press in attendance at the meeting.

### **PH.HIT.1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

There were no apologies for absence for this meeting.

### **PH.HIT.2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest from the Portfolio Holder in respect of any items on the agenda for this meeting.

### **PH.HIT.3 MINUTES**

RESOLVED – That the minutes of the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Infrastructure and Transport meeting on 24<sup>th</sup> March 2025 be agreed as a correct record.

## **PH.HIT.4      RESPONSE TO PETITION – REQUESTING ACTION TO ADDRESS ROAD SAFETY ISSUES IN BARNOLDBY LE BECK**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report in response to a petition submitted that requested action to address road safety issues in Barnoldby Le Beck.

Ms Meenghan spoke as the lead petitioner for the petition. She said that she had lived in the village for twenty-five years and had submitted a petition in October 2024. Ms Meenghan stated that she was happy with nearly all the results of the petition. She said that she was concerned about the time it would take for the speed limit reduction to be put in place. Ms Meenghan referred to the officer's report where it outlined that the process to introduce a speed limit reduction could take between eight to ten months. She asked whether there was any possibility of this being brought forward as the process to get to the current point had already taken two years. Ms Meenghan said that she appreciated all the time officers had spent on this issue. She said that during the summer months, the area can be more dangerous with motorbikes using the road. Ms Meenghan said that the report outlined that nothing could be done regarding the footpath, but there was still a resident who was worried about exiting his house with his small children and she had hoped something could be done regarding the footpath. Ms Meenghan stated that there had been an incident with a vehicle on the bend, but she was unsure if that had been reported.

Mr Snell explained that the Traffic Regulation Order process was a legal process and if the recommendations outlined in the report were approved, the next step would be for a report to come before the Portfolio Holder regarding the making of a speed limit order and that order would then go out for consultation. He explained that where there to be objections, the report would come back before the Portfolio Holder and if there were no objections then the speed limit order would be made. Mr Snell stated that eight to ten months was the time that officers advertise in order to manage expectations, but it hopefully wouldn't take as long.

The Portfolio Holder said that that was the process that needed to be followed. He said that he was pleased with the progress that had been made, and it would continue to be monitored. The Portfolio Holder stated that residents must report any incidents.

Miss Pickerden read out a statement submitted on behalf of Barnoldby Le Beck Parish Council.

The statement read that whilst not present at the meeting, they wanted to express their gratitude for the support from Mr Snell, for this to be revisited. They wrote that speeding and the 60mph limit was very much an ongoing concern raised month in, month out by residents who all share the same concern of public safety. The statement read that they were in full support of the recommendations made by Mr Snell and wish to hear of a better outcome at the meeting following the opportunity for this to be revisited and re-considered by Cabinet.

#### RESOLVED –

1. That reduction of the speed limit on the eastern approach (Waltham Road) to the village from the current 60mph to a new lower 50mph limit be approved. (Ref: Appendix 1 - HD014-25)
2. That installation of two new Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs) on the eastern approach to the village on Waltham Road be approved.
3. That the proposal to undertake a resigning exercise through the village as identified in Appendix 2 (Ref: ADHR-BB-02 and ADHR-BB-03), and the proposal to refresh the existing carriageway markings to complement the revised signing regime be approved.

### **PH.HIT.5      TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 20-15: CLEETHORPES CONTROLLED PARKING ZONE – ZONE ONE – CONSIDERATION OF OBJECTIONS**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report requesting consideration of objections and seeking approval to progress with the advertised scheme as shown on the drawings in Appendix 1.

Miss Pickerden read out a statement on behalf of Ms Middleton.

Ms Middleton wrote that she was writing in support of the proposal to implement Zonal Parking to include Kew Road. She wrote that parking for residents, in the area had become increasing problematic. Ms Middleton wrote that this was because there were no restrictions, other than yellow lines at the end of the road and residents found it extremely difficult to find a space. She wrote that as there were no restrictions, yellow line parking went unpunished, through lack of patrol from enforcement officers. Ms Middleton wrote that there had been vehicles parked on yellow lines for days on end effectively blocking access for emergency vehicles and making it impossible to turn at the end of Kew Road. She wrote that the proposals would also reduce the numbers of cars driving down the street, often reversing at speed, when looking for parking spaces. Ms Middleton

wrote that objectors had said it would reduce customers in St Peter's Avenue, but it was not shoppers who parked on Kew Road. She wrote that staff from businesses arrived in the morning and stayed for the whole working day. Ms Middleton wrote that people using the Bowling Club also parked for long periods of the day and that whilst she was aware that the Bowling Club informed people there was a carpark off St Peter's Avenue, the lure of free parking brought them in their direction. She wrote that the Council's plans to revamp the Market Place would no doubt lead to more visitors walking up from the seafront to St Peter's Avenue and this should be an advantage to businesses along the routes leading to the St Peter's Avenue shopping area. Ms Middleton wrote that the person in Kew Road who had objected had informed her they were now in agreement with the proposal.

The Portfolio Holder sought clarification that a full public consultation had been carried out and asked if the scheme was to be further consulted on. Ms Steer responded that all the consultation had taken place, and this was the final stage.

RESOLVED – That the sealing of Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) 20-15A, amended to retain the Loading Only Bay on Elm Avenue, and the introduction of parking restrictions as shown indicatively on drawings TR-20-15-01O & TR-20-15-01O-A, at Appendix 1 be approved.

**PH.HIT.6 THE BOROUGH OF NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE COUNCIL (OFF-STREET PARKING PLACES) ORDER 2022 (AMENDMENT NO.10 2025) – RESTRICTION OF MOTORHOME PARKING DURING THE WINTER PERIOD**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report seeking approval for an amendment to the North East Lincolnshire Borough Council Off Street Parking Places Order 2022, to amend the dates for the designated motorhome parking site to only be used between 15 March and the 30 October, to comply with a planning condition.

RESOLVED –

1. That the commencement of 'The Borough of North East Lincolnshire Council (Off-Street Parking Places) Order 2022 (Amendment No.10 2025)', be approved for consultation in accordance with statutory requirements.
2. That subject to no objections being received, the making of the Parking Places Order be approved, as detailed in attached appendices.

3. That if there were unresolved objections to the Order, these be referred to the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Infrastructure and Transport for determination and decision as to whether the Revocation Order be confirmed.

**PH.HIT.7      TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 25-15: GARTH LANE,  
GRIMSBY – NO WAITING AND NO LOADING AT ANY  
TIME**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report proposing to introduce No Waiting at Any Time (double yellow line) and No Loading at Any Time restrictions on a newly constructed turning head required as part of Planning approval for the site.

RESOLVED –

1. That the making of a Traffic Regulation Order to introduce 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting (double yellow line) restrictions, the extent of which is detailed in Appendix 1 (Ref: CDY-XX-XX-DR-H-0017) be approved.
2. That the making of a Traffic Regulation Order to introduce 24-hour Prohibition of Loading restrictions, the extent of which is detailed in Appendix 1 (Ref: CDY-XX-XX-DR-H-0017) be approved.
3. That in the event there were unresolved material objections to the Order, these be referred back to the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Infrastructure and Transport for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed.

There being no further business, the Portfolio Holder closed the meeting at 1.25pm.